

May 2017 Version

Checklist to be completed at project initiation to inform project scope

COMPLETE STREETS CHECKLIST

Pro	oject Name:	
Pro	oject Manager/Owner:	Phone Number:
Des	escription of Scope:	
Pro	oject Budget and Funding Source(s):	nded, add timeline:
		,
	terial Classification:	
	Principal Minor Collector Non-Arterial Bouleva	ard SFD Non-Arterial
Str	reet Type:	
	Downtown ☐ Urban Center Connector ☐ Neighb Downtown Neighborhood ☐ Industrial Access ☐ Alley	orhood Yield
	Urban Village Main	
	Urban Village Neighborhood	
ъ.	and and the street	
a.	Planning Analysis Coordination Tool (PACT). Are there	Describe according tion annual traities
a.	any opportunities to coordinate with relevant City projects/initiatives within the project area?	Describe coordination opportunities:
b.	Shaping Seattle: Are there any opportunities to	
	coordinate with relevant active private development within the project area?	



Channelization	
----------------	--

a.	Average Daily Traffic (ADT):			Describe sidewalk, flex lane, and travelway standards recommended by the
b.	Current image or typical existing cross-section of street in pr (showing lane configuration):	roject a	irea	Streets Illustrated: Right of Way Improvements Manual (ROWIM):
				If ADT is less than 25K and lane configuration
				includes 4 or more through lanes, contact traffic management for review for potential rechannelization.
				Should rechannelization be considered in the project scope?
Sa	fety			
_	asult with Vision Zero program to complete this section.			
	Speed Limit:			Describe any traffic calming
b.	85th percentile speed:			recommendations for the project scope:
* If trai	85th percentile is 5 mph or over the posted speed, consider additific calming devices to project.	ion of		
C.	Is there a high collision location in the project area? If yes, contact the HCL Program Manager to discuss recommendations from a review of the site.	Yes	☐ No	
d.	Are there any half-signalized intersections in the project area? If yes, consult with signal design manager about the opportunity to upgrade to full.	Yes	☐ No	
e.	Is there a BPSA identified location within the project area? If yes, contact the appropriate (bicycle or pedestrian) implementation coordinator.	Yes	No	



Right-of-Way Conditions

Сог	nsult with Pavement Engineering Management to complete thi	s section.	
a.	Is the Pavement Condition Index 65 or below at any point within the project area?	Yes No	Should pavement and sidewalk repair be considered through this project?
b.	Are existing sidewalks in good condition?	Yes No	
C.	Has an initial assessment of trees and sidewalk conditions been conducted, with an engineer and arborist/landscape architect per the SDOT Trees and Sidewalks Plan?	Yes No	
Fle	ex Lane		
Сог	nsult with Parking Program to complete this section.		
a.	Describe existing flex zone use(s) (e.g., how many paid pa loading zones):	rking spaces,	Describe recommendations for flex lane:
b.	What is the utilization of existing parking (e.g., peak parki	ng occupancy)	
c.	Will project change existing flex lane use(s)?	Yes No	
	If known, describe proposed changes below:		
d.	Describe adjacent land use(s):		
	Residential Commercial + Mixed Use Ir	ndustrial	
e.	Does the existing flex lane support adjacent land uses?	Yes No	
f.	Can flex lane functions be met nearby or off-street?	Yes No	
g.	Describe ROW Allocation Framework prioritized functions for specified land use(s):	s for the flex lane	



Intel	lige	ent	Tra	nsp	ort	atio	า Sys	tems	(ITS)
			_							

Con				
	sult with Transportation Operations to complete this section			
a.	Is the project on the <u>ITS Key Arterial Network?</u>	☐ Yes	No	Describe if and ITS recommendations
b.	Does the project overlap with the ITS 3-year implementation plan?	Yes	No	for the project scope:
Ped	destrian Infrastructure			
Con	sult with PMP Coordinator to complete this section.			
a.	Is the project on the <u>Pedestrian Master Plan's (PMP)</u> Priority Investment Network (PIN)?	Yes	No	Describe PMP recommendations for the project scope:
	i. Are there missing sidewalks in the project area?	Yes	☐ No	
	ii. Crossing Width (number of lanes):			
	iii. Controlled Stop Spacing:			
	iv. Are there missing curb ramps in the project area?	Yes	☐ No	
	v. Is there an opportunity to add a sequence of pedestrian lighting in the project area?	☐ Yes	☐ No	
b.	Describe any PMP recommendations NOT included in th scope and reason for deferral:	e project		



Bicvcle	Infrast	ructure
---------	---------	---------

Cor	nsult with BMP Coordinator to complete this section.			
а.	Is the project on the Recommended Bicycle Network?	Yes	☐ No	Describe BMP recommendations for the project scope:
b.	Is there an existing bike facility?	Yes	☐ No	F3
	If yes, does the facility meet the existing Bike Master Plan (BMP) designation?	Yes	☐ No	
	If existing facilities do not meet BMP designation, review <u>Streets Illustrated: ROWIM</u> for design guidance and consult with BMP Coordinator about opportunity to upgrade.			
c.	Describe any BMP recommendations NOT included in proscope and reason for deferral:	ject		
Tra	ansit Infrastructure		l	
	nsult with Transit & Mobility to complete this section.			
а.	Transit Classification:			Describe TMP recommendations for the
	☐ Principal Transit Route ☐ Local Transit Route			project scope:
	☐ Major Transit Route ☐ Temporary Transit ☐	Route		
	☐ Minor Transit Route ☐ Minor Restricted Tr	ansit		
b.	Is the project on the Frequent Transit Network?	Yes	☐ No	
C.	Are there bus stops in the project area?	Yes	☐ No	
	i. Are all bus stops in project area within close proximity to a controlled crossing?	Yes	☐ No	
	ii. Average distance between bus stops in/adjacent to project area:		-	
	iii. If bus stops are less than 0.2 miles (1056 ft.) apart, ca consolidated? Describe below:	n stops b	е	Describe relevant ROWIM transit design
				standards:
e.	Describe any <u>Transit Master Plan (TMP)</u> recommendations in project scope and reason for deferral:	s NOT inc	luded	



Freight Infrastructure

Cor	Consult with Traffic Operations to complete this section.							
a.	Is the project on the Recommended Freight Network?	Yes No	Describe FMP recommendations for the					
	☐ Major Truck Street ☐ Limited Access Str	eet	project scope:					
	☐ Minor Truck Street ☐ Over-Legal Route							
	First / Last Mile Connector							
	D							
b.	Does project area meet <u>ROWIM recommended</u> curb radius and clearance standards?	Yes No						
C.	Are there spot improvements needed in project area?	Yes No						
d.	Describe any Freight Master Plan (FMP) recommendation in project scope and reason for deferral:	s NOT included						
Ur	ban Forestry							
Cor	nsult with Landscape Architect Services to complete this section	nn.						
a.	Describe any existing <u>urban forestry</u> assets within the pro warrant project investment to sustain (e.g., preservation of		Describe Urban Forestry recommendations for the project scope:					
b.	Is there opportunity to plant trees or expand							
	ground-plane functional landscape?	Yes No						
C.	Will there be long-term urban forestry maintenance required for this project?	Yes No						



Urban Design and Planning

_							
Соі	onsult with Policy and Planning, Urban Design work group to complete this section.						
a.	Is there a <u>Street Design Concept Plan</u> for the project area?	Yes	□No	Describe urban design and planning recommendations for the project scope:			
b.	Plan(s) title and boundary overlap with project area:						
	Have other urban decign, transportation, and neighborh	and plane	hoon				
C.	Have other <u>urban design</u> , <u>transportation</u> , <u>and neighborher</u> completed, or are draft plans in progress, within project area (including plans from other City departments)?	Yes	□ No				
d.	Describe any urban design or planning recommendations	≈ NOT incl	uded in				
u.	project scope and reason for deferral:	ortor met	aded III				



Adaptive Streets		
Consult with Adaptive Streets Program Manager to complete this	s section.	
Adaptive Streets Program is a cost-effective way to experiment with new public spaces and street improvements. Focused on creating inexpensive, temporary solutions, the Adaptive Streets Program includes two types of projects:		Describe Adaptive Streets opportunities:
Pavement to Parks projects, which create opportunities for public spaces in underutilized roadway space, and		
Tactical Urbanism projects, which enhance safety and mobility with low-cost, easy-to-install materials.		
Consult with Adaptive Streets Program Manager. Is there an opportunity to apply an interim tactical solution or to implement a Pavement to Parks project?	Yes No	
Art		
Consult with SDOT Art Coordinator to complete this section.		
Seattle was one of the first cities in the United States to adoptor-art ordinance in 1973. The program specifies that 1% of ecapital improvement project funds be set aside for the communication of artworks in a variety of settings.	eligible city nission,	Describe Public Art or SDOT Art Plan opportunities:
a. Is there an opportunity for a Percent for Art funded public art project(s) in the project area?	Yes No	
b. Consult the <u>SDOT Art Plan</u> . Is there an opportunity to implement SDOT Art Plan toolbox elements (e.g., signal box art, sidewalk inlays, creative street furniture or bollards or planters, creative bicycle racks, etc.) in the project area?	☐ Yes ☐ No	



Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI)

Consult with Urban Design and SPU to complete this section. Is it likely that the project will trigger Describe any GSI recommendations in the Yes ∏No 2016 Stormwater Code? project drainage report: If yes, describe GSI elements or techniques included in this project, including any permeable options, below: b. Is this project in an area identified as suitable for infiltrating GSI approaches (per SPU GIS data), including permeable pavement options? No □ N/A Is there an opportunity to provide a minimum of 500 sf of GSI within the ROW? Yes No d. Is there an opportunity to remove impervious surface as part of this project in accordance with the 2013 Executive Order which urges all City departments to incorporate natural drainage features into capital projects? Yes No N/A Is this project on a street identified as potentially eligible for SPU partnership opportunities (per SPU GIS data)? No Are there existing GSI facilities within or near the project area that must be protected from compaction and sedimentation? Yes No



Based on the initial project information provided, the above noted Complete Streets elements are recommended to be incorporated into the project scope. The Project Definition Steering Committee will make all final decisions regarding project scope, based on these preliminary Complete Streets recommendations.

In addition to these broad preliminary scope recommendations, ongoing urban design review is required for 30%, 60%, and 90% design drawings to review consistency with these preliminary recommendations, as well as ongoing design details and urban design opportunities. To the greatest extent possible, all major scope recommendations will be made during the Project Definition phase.

Project Manager:							
	name (please print)	data					
ain atura							

signature



Exceptions

In the following unusual or extraordinary circumstances, Complete Streets principles will not apply (Note: the Complete Streets Ordinance requires the SDOT Director to issue a documented exception concluding that the application of Complete Streets principles is unnecessary or inappropriate because it would be contrary to public safety; or where other available means or factors indicate an absence of need, including future need):

Does the project wholly consist of simple repairs made pursuant to the Pavement Opening and Restoration Rule (SDOT Director's Rule 2004-02)?

Does the project wholly consist of standard maintenance activities designed to keep assets in serviceable condition (e.g. mowing, sweeping, spot repair, and surface treatments such as chip seal)?

Is there a plan to implement Complete Streets principles incrementally through a series of smaller improvements or maintenance activities over time?

Does the Project Team recommend an exception to Complete Streets for this project?

Additional Comments:

signature

Project Manager:		
, c	name (please print)	data
signature		
B		
Project Engineer:		
	name (please print)	date
signature		
Owning Division Director:		
•	name (please print)	data
signature		
Implementing Division Director:		
	name (please print)	data