

Background

In accordance with the Seattle's City Council's Budget Proviso SDOT-005-A adopted November 2024, SDOT converted angled on-street parking on Harbor Avenue SW at Duwamish Head to parallel parking the week of June 9 and maintained the 11PM to 5AM restrictions. The proviso also included the opportunity to make up for the net loss of parking with additional parallel parking on Alki Ave SW adjacent to this location. The proviso directed us to use up to \$175,000 of existing SDOT budget on parking adjustments.

We released a survey asking people who live along Alki and visit this regional destination: How do you feel about this proposal? What specific benefits or challenges do you think re-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW might bring? Should we restrict overnight parking?

The survey was open for about 3 weeks, and we received over 880 comments. The survey was promoted through A-Frame signs within the 1200 to 1700 blocks of Alki Ave SW, the West Seattle Blog, and email notification sent to local community leaders.

The Outcome of Public Engagement

After reviewing the survey results, related email correspondence, and talking with interested individuals, **we decided to not move forward with adding parking to the water side of Alki Ave SW.** The proviso restricts funding to parking adjustments, so no other changes are currently being considered.

Continue reading for a summary of comments and the entirety of the 800-plus comments are also attached for those interested.

Thank you to everyone who participated and shared your experiences, potential benefits, and possible challenges. It was very helpful in guiding decision making.

Proviso SDOT-005-A Text

**SEATTLE CITY COUNCIL**

2025 COUNCIL BUDGET ACTION

V1

SDOT-005-A

Proviso \$175,000 in SDOT to implement parallel-only parking changes at Duwamish Head

SPONSORS

Rob Saka

DESCRIPTION

This Council Budget Action (CBA) would impose a proviso on \$175,000 of appropriations in the Seattle Department of Transportation's (SDOT's) budget to convert angled on-street parking on Harbor Ave SW at Duwamish Head to parallel-only parking, and to restore parallel-only parking to Alki Ave SW adjacent to this location.

This CBA would impose the following proviso:

"Of the appropriations in the 2025 budget for the Seattle Department of Transportation's Mobility Operations Budget Summary Level (BO-TR-17003), \$175,000 is appropriated solely for conversion of angled on-street parking on Harbor Ave SW at Duwamish Head to parallel-only parking and restoration of parallel-only parking to Alki Ave SW at Duwamish Head, and may be spent for no other purpose."

ATTACHMENT: No

Summary of Survey Results

The West Seattle Parking Adjustments Survey results reveal a divided consensus among participants regarding the addition of parking to the water side of Alki Ave SW. A significant portion of respondents expressed concerns about the potential environmental impact and aesthetic disruption, emphasizing the need to preserve Alki's natural beauty and pedestrian-friendliness. On the other hand, many saw the lack of parking as a hindrance to accessibility, particularly for visitors and families, which could negatively affect local businesses dependent on tourism and foot traffic. Overall, there were valid arguments on both sides, with more people opposing.

Supporters of adding parking on the water side pointed out that the growing popularity of Alki Beach has led to major parking issues, making it difficult for visitors to find spots during peak times and weekends. For instance, one respondent noted that adding additional spaces would alleviate frustration for out-of-town visitors who often leave due to lack of

parking. Another person argued that more parking would improve safety by reducing instances of cars circling and creating traffic congestion as drivers hunt for spaces. A third supporter shared that current parking limitations are unfair to individuals with limited mobility who struggle to access waterfront activities when spaces are full.

Conversely, those against adding parking raised concerns about environmental and community impacts. One respondent mentioned that expanding parking would diminish the area's natural appeal and encourage more vehicle traffic, increasing carbon emissions. Another individual highlighted that Alki Ave SW is already congested, and additional spaces would only exacerbate noise levels and air pollution. A third person expressed disappointment at the idea of losing pedestrian-oriented space, stating that the charm and walkability of the waterfront would be compromised by prioritizing cars over people.

Alternative suggestions were a key theme, with proposals to improve public transportation with a shuttle bus or enhance non-vehicular options such as bike lanes and pedestrian paths. One resident suggested, "Focus should be on increasing bus routes," and another urged wider bike lanes. There were also calls to convert parking areas into green spaces or public amenities, such as gardens or exercise equipment, to benefit the community. Residents favored solutions that prioritize pedestrian and people biking's safety and environmental preservation.

As mentioned prior in this summary, after reviewing public comment, **we decided to not move forward with adding parking to the water side of Alki Ave SW.** The proviso restricts funding to parking adjustments, so no other changes are currently being considered.

Duwamish Head Parking Changes

While the survey did not specifically ask about Duwamish Head, after the adjustment some of the responses discussed the change from angle to parallel parking. Some respondents worried about the reduction in the number of available spaces, as parallel parking typically accommodates fewer vehicles. Others, however, appreciated the idea of reduced clutter from perpendicular parked cars sticking out into the street, making the area safer for both people who drive and bike.

Id	How do you feel about this change? What specific benefits or challenges do you think re-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW might bring? Should we restrict overnight parking as we
1	I agree with removing the diagonal parking at the Duwamish Head and Boat Ramp areas. I do not support adding more parking along Alki Ave to offset the reduced parking spots. The city should not be providing free storage of private property (cars) on the public streets. In fact, all the parallel parking along the water side of Alki Ave should be removed and a two-way separated bike lane should be installed. Or possibly some money could be used to install dividers and signs/markings to separate the multi-use path from the sidewalk.
2	Increasing parking will just bring more troublemakers to an already fraught neighborhood. With the installation of speed humps in the 1500 block, the humps have become the starting blocks for racing vehicles. Adding parked vehicles and people entering and exiting their vehicles on the sidelines will bring tragedy when combined with the race track atmosphere of that section of Alki Ave.
3	I strongly support re-establishing daytime on-street parking on the west side of Alki Avenue. Alki welcomes a community broader than just West Seattle and with very limited access by bus, most people have to drive. I support restricting overnight parking so that guests to the area are able to access parking equally with residents.
4	I HATE IT! NO PARKING 24 HOURS SHOULD REMAIN. I am a 25 year 1300 block resident and was guaranteed it would always remain free of parking. It is already unsafe to enter the roadway with cars parked on the land side blocking sightline. This will make it even harder. PLEASE do NOT allow even more opportunity for the loud drag racing cars and motorcycles to line up there. It's already very NOISY and UNSAFE. This will make it WORSE.
5	Its a shame that you are taking away all the angled parking at the view point area, we will definitely need the added parking to offset this, however this is a really backwards way pf doing this and will just cause more issues, I do not understand why we cannot leave well enough alone and stop trying to fix what is not broken. This is all around a bad idea for everyone, those of u that live in the area as well as visitors. SDOT does not have a clue, just stop already you are making the roads more dangerous for all of us.
6	no to private use of public streets - let car owners park in a private lot
7	yes to re establishing on street parking and yes to it being restricted
8	The viewpoint parking that was recently changed to back in parking ruined the purpose of parking to enjoy a city view without getting out of your car which we have been enjoying since the 1960's - parallel parking will make it even worse for us older folks who can't easily get in and out of a car - all these parking changes have been made in our opinion to serve the condo owners who want undisturbed views -
9	NO! Just No!
10	Horrible idea. It will be impossible to enforce daytime parking and will increase the chance of more late night partying and crime that the police can.t handle as is. Don't we have enough crime down here already? Will also restrict emergency vehicles from access.
11	There is already too much congestion on the street with parking and illegal turns, Etc. This point also has many issues already with past accidents due to lack of visibility and parked cars will only add to this dangerous curve. NOT a good idea!
12	Yes, parking should be added/reestablished along Alki Ave as there is already too limited parking. This limits who can enjoy this asset to only those who live nearby. That said there should absolutely be no overnight parking as that creates/encourages issues that the city and neighborhood have been desperately trying to solve. The city should consider charging for this and all Alki Ave parking to encourage turnover after a few hours stay and help bring in some much needed funds for the city/Alki parks.
13	Parking should be increased to allow of people outside of Seattle to visit and at no cost. Adding street parking will decrease the driving lane and possibly reduce speed if not so open. Additionally it adds a layer of protection of pedestrians if a car veers off course. We've already seen cars in the water, which may be decreased if they hit a car to lessen its trajectory. Definitely keep overnight restrictions. Not in favor of switching diagonal parking to parallel. Parole the issues, don't remove the already limited parking.
14	Why reduce the parking at Duwamish Head? It sounds as changing from diagonal to parallel is causing the reduction which is a ridiculous way to spend \$175.000. Add to the fact that many have neck/back issues which makes diagonal parking easier, and no not every body with these issues qualify for disability pass. Perhaps let's have better patrols to prevent the scofflaw issues people think the diagonal parking creates.
15	Good plan. That space is perfectly safe for dyatime parking. Narrowing Alki Ave in this area should make it harder for reckless drivers to achieve fatal speed. Residents all have off street parking so no loss there. Parked cars will not impede views from the east side. Overnight parking must be prohibited. Nedds to be a no camping zone.

16	I worry more cars will result in more pollution and more dangerous crossings for pedestrians. We know that more parking results in more cars, more people driving rather than bussing or walking, and so it concerns me.
17	No, no, no! Taking one of the most dangerous parts of the city in summer that Police can't protect as is and make it worse? Please, rethink this. Just asking for more crime, late night parties, car races and garbage left in more places. You are moving deck chairs on the Titanic!!
18	Yes I support adding more parking
19	More parking is welcome. Overnight parking should be restricted.
20	Happy about adding new parking. Like angle better though. Perhaps we just arrest street racers and impound their cars.
21	Re-establish parking along alki
22	Add daytime and evening parking, no over night parking. Add meters to monetize it and prevent people from overstaying.
23	Do not re-establish on-street parking for the west/water side of Alki Avenue SW, between the 1200 and 1700 blocks, where the street is wide enough. This would create a severe pinch point for the many, many cyclists that use this road. On the nice days, the trail designated for bike use is chocked full of pedestrians, so the street is the only viable option.
24	I don't see any benefit to allowing more parking on Alki. There should be more investment on transit options to benefit all who want to visit Alki without driving.
25	I live in Alki and I feel adding parking to the water side of Alki Ave will just create more traffic and issues. If parking is added to that stretch then there should be parking meters added as well.
26	Adding parking is fine, but do not restrict overnight parking. This may surprise the older homeowners in the area, but people do have legal reasons to park a car past 11pm. Do not punish law abiding citizens for the possibility of a few bad actors.
27	We are in desperate need of parking in this area of the city. So, while I understand the want to eliminate angled parking, I don't think that that should mean that there is no additional parking created. Less parking will not mean less people come hang out at the beach, it will just drive them further into our neighborhoods.
28	This is a good idea and, yes, you should time-restrict parking in that area. Otherwise, the party just moves west a few blocks.
29	I do not support re-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Ave. We need to adjust to conditions that are less automobile dependent, particularly in locations next to busy bike/pedestrian trails and bodies of water where 6PPD chemicals are likely to end up and harm fish populations. If we care about climate change, we have to reduce our auto usage and incentive other modes of travel.
30	Do not allow parking in the 1700 to 1200 blocks.
31	We need to maintain public access to Alki, so additional parking is needed after taking away angled parking. Yes restrict overnight parking.
32	Focus should be on increasing bus routes, or other non-vehicular options. If parking is still required then maybe it can be restricted seasonally (Open only for summer). Thanks!
33	They should increase the parking. Access to Alki Beach is something everyone should get, not just those wealthy enough to own or rent there.
34	I don't support this change. Please do not add parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW. The challenges include increased street congestion, decreased pedestrian safety, harder for emergency vehicles to get through during peak times, and current lack of parking enforcement to respond to parking issues.
35	YOU SHOULD BE IN JAIL FOR CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. WAR ON CARS.
36	this may be an intelligent solution to provide the necessary parking for people seeking access to the beach and to patronize nearby businesses; as long as there is no net decrease in parking in the future. Yes to restricting overnight parking on Harbour Ave SW.
37	Parking was allowed there years ago, so I think it's a good idea to bring it back. I suggest the City charge people (with the PayByPhone app) to park in this section seven days a week and limit the hours from 6:00AM to 10:00PM with a 4 hour maximum time limit to prevent people from parking there all day or overnight.
38	I am in favor of having parallel parking in this area. This will improve access to the trail and water for people who don't live in the neighborhood. I think an overnight parking restriction makes sense. Thanks!!
39	This is a terrible idea to add parking along Alki. So basically you want to reduce parking in one area because of problems there and move the problem to those of us that don't want the problem in front of our homes. Definitely not for overnight parking!! Bad idea Rob!
40	I do not approve of adding parking in this area. Our area on Alki is residential and we have enough noise and congestion as there is. Please do not add the noise and safety of the residence in this area.
41	DO IT! I absolutely favor this idea. I could never understand why this was no parking - the street is plenty wide.

42	This feels like an important improvement to offset the other parking changes down there. I strongly support this.
43	Restricting overnight parking doesn't seem like a worthwhile change, it's not restricted along the rest of Alki as far as I know.
44	I support the change. Yes restrict overnight parking.
45	I think the change is a good idea. I do not think we should restrict overnight parking,
46	Please add more parking for all to enjoy. Benefits will be slower traffic. Yes- restrict overnight parking like Harbor Avenue. Thank you.
47	Keep angled parking, add street parking, take the 2M grift for Saka Wall/Curby and add speed bumps on 20th Ave SW (alternate Delridge speedway & 4 way stop @ 20th/Cloverdale - blind hill/raceway - site of many MVCs
48	Re-establishing on-street parking is a great idea as a way to increase support for local businesses and increased use of the area in general. It is unrealistic to expect most people to take the bus or ride a bike to Alki. I like restricting overnight parking on Harbor Ave SW so that RV's do not park there for weeks; however, it means that I can no longer park there and take the Water Taxi to Mariners games since I would get back too late.
49	Perhaps consider changing the start time from 11 pm to midnight for those of us who want to visit downtown for a few hours. Thank you.
50	I support anything that will use engineering solutions to reduce vehicle speed on Alki Ave SW. I support installing parking or any other fixed object that makes drivers slow down. I support adding speed humps, chicanes,
51	speed tables/raised intersections, rumble strips, narrowing of lanes, medians/refuge islands, or radar signs.
52	Yes
53	I'm all for more parking and not angled! This will actually cause people to have to slow down while people park or leave the parking area! We always need more parking! And those that live there that want to complain,
54	should have never moved to a popular beach area let alone a city! Now get rid of the stupid closing at night!
55	overnight parking should be restricted completely - as in it is not allowed. parking is a privilege and not a right, it should not be paid for by taxpayers. if street parking is provided it should be at a premium cost, per hour, 24
56	hours a day... and never free outside of a limited amount for handicapped people who may struggle to use other forms of transportation.
57	I don't like the change to parallel parking,it will limit available parking severely during the busy weekend days. We should add daytime parking to Alki with restricted parking at night.
58	I don't like this change. Add additional transit routes instead. And if you do enact it, definitely restrict overnight parking. I have personally witnessed hit and runs with parked cars along this stretch 3 times. Visibility for people crossing the street is one challenge. Another challenge is this will just redirect people that use to drink / smoke weed in their cars by Constellation Park (now healthy street) or Duwamish head to this side. Police
59	already are split between patrolling boat ramp and beach and don't need another problem area. I see 0 benefits.
60	I think the conversion from angled parking to parallel parking is a good change and is long overdue. Adding parking to the west/water side of Alki Avenue SW may help handle the increased need for parking during peak seasons, but it may also hinder the flow of traffic. During busy stop-and-go traffic in the parallel parking areas on Alki Ave SW, some drivers get impatient while waiting for a car ahead of them to parallel park, and their driving is more focused on going fast rather safety of themselves, other drivers, bikers and pedestrians. I still believe that having the additional parking along that stretch is a good thing. We just need to keep the speed
61	down, so that it works for everyone. Also, yes, please restrict overnight parking in this area.
62	Please do NOT add more parking along Alki Avenue SW. There is already too much traffic congestion. If anything needs to be added it is more police presence to enforce the laws we already have. Thank you
63	No. It's already congested , difficult to pull out of the garage and to cross the street. This will impact the safety of those that live here as well as those visiting. I moved into my specific condo because there was no parking
64	across the street. I pay huge taxes and my safety is continuously being ignored. Speeders, drag racing. Motorcycle wheelies and inattentive drivers should be enforced before allowing more congestion.
65	Changing to parallel parking is the stupidest thing they can do. Why limit the vast majority people who go down there to visit. If they are so worried about crime they need to have a police presence in the area.
66	I don't live across from proposed waterside parking on Alki Ave SW so I can't complain that it would obstruct views.
67	Yes, restrict overnight parking and establishing waterside parking of Alki Avenue will just increase congestion and likelihood of increased pedestrian/bike accidents.
68	How about using a few of those spaces for chicane, bounded on either side by speed humps? This is the most effective traffic calming. Otherwise, it sounds good.
69	I am a resident on Alki in the 1200-1700 block and say NO to re-establishing street parking there. This will only contribute to the noise, littering and camping, as well as traffic congestion during the summer. Even if
70	restricted overnight parking was included, this will not solve the street racing and loitering that takes place along this stretch of Alki. Residents on Alki are fed up with the abuse of our beautiful neighborhood. We need
71	effective traffic calming measures. Open up parking across the street from 7-11 on Harbor and use a shuttle to bring people to Alki. Less cars, my more safety for all.

Adding more parking spaces is critical given the reduction of spaces resulting from the elimination of the angle parking. Given the high demand for parking in the area it would be beneficial to eliminate all the “no parking” areas along Alki Avenue. There is no defensible rationale for the existing huge “no parking” area. Restricting overnight parking would be a good compromise with the rich condo owners who don’t want any parking for anyone but themselves.

62

As a resident in the affected section, I firmly oppose this addition of parking on the water side of Alki. With no turn arounds on Alki, the narrower roads are going to cause cars to block more traffic when trying to turn around. The additional space allows free flow of traffic when cars are pulling into/out of driveways. Also the fire hydrants are all on the water side of the street and these sometimes are blocked during the busiest weekends already and allowing parking in large sections will make this problem worse.

64 Please do not increase total parking spaces on this part of Alki

It’s a shame that this is only going to be filled out by people who already know about the proposal and not by everyone who uses this amazing park. This is amazing and should have happened years ago. It should match the existing restrictions nearby so that it doesn’t become misused, although some overnight spots should be available for divers.

66 This is much needed! Thank you!

67 I am against it. There is enough traffic and problems in the summer on Alki without adding more. How about enforcing existing traffic laws on Alki instead?

68 Eliminate parking from this area entirely. Encourage people to use other modes. Water side parking is an eye sore and a climate set back.

As a resident of Alki, I would definitely prefer not to add additional parking spaces on the street. We already experience loud music, revving vehicles, and cars going by at high speeds. Adding more spaces for people to park and party on the side of the street will not alleviate these nuisance cars and is not in the best interest of public safety in the neighborhood. Currently on busy days, there are already cars that park in the no parking section of Alki, blocking fire hydrants and cross walks. There is almost never any parking enforcement to ticket or tow for these safety violations.

69 IF parking ends up being added on Alki, it should be limited to daytime hours (no overnight parking) and parking enforcement needs to be patrolling regularly.

I strongly oppose the addition of waterside parking spaces between the 1200 and 1700 blocks on Alki Ave. That would increase congestion in our neighborhood and significantly diminish our water views. Together those two impacts would make living here less desirable and would reduce our property values. Please give serious consideration to our concerns. Thank you.

71 It’s past time to charge for parking at Alki and other major parks in Seattle.

I’m not in favor of the change. There is lots of activity, some of it unsavory and even criminal, around Don Armeni park and at the beach area. The stretch between them from 1200 to 1700 Alki SW is relatively peaceful (though there are still loud and speeding cars and motorcycles). Moving parking spots from the active areas to the 1200-1700 stretch would bring noise, confusion, and pollution to a quieter area. It would also complicate and slow the already congested summer traffic on Alki as people try to pull in and out of parallel parking spots on both sides, with little opportunity to safely pass when necessary on a street now narrowed by parking on both sides. But if this change does go through, overnight parking in the new spaces on the west side of Alki should absolutely be banned.

Absolutely NO parking on the west side of Alki Ave!! Make all existing parking on Alki paid parking to pay for the sins of the few who cost us because they can’t manage to be decent and allow residents a peaceful existence. NO PARKING between 1200 - 1700 Alki Ave SW!

74 Do not add the parking, please. We just got people comfortable w/o it. Additionally, adding parking would decrease space, increase congestion, increase risk for pedestrians and bikers. Thanks :)

On street parking on Alki Ave SW between 1200 and 1700 blocks is a horrible idea. It will be used as a staging area for groups of vehicles to gather on co-ordinate their illegal loud driving on Alki Avenue residents. This is probably the worst idea I have ever heard of.

Living in that area it is vital to restrict night parking as we have street racing and loud parties. I suggest you finish the diagonal parking transition and see how the summer parking is. We ask for the parking reconfiguration to reduce crime, racing, drinking and drug use. Adding more parking seems to just move the problem. It would be best to reduce bad behavior and allow the police to gain in numbers before you add more parking.

76

I am completely against this. Do not create new parking spaces! And I support the removal of the diagonal spaces. I live in the 1200 block of Alki Ave SW. Last Sunday at 3:00am a car parked in front of our building. Half a dozen people exited the car and hung out there for OVER AN HOUR until the sun begin coming up. Theyd been up all night obviously. They were yelling, arguing, standing in the middle of the street for prolonged periods, music coming from the car. They were still there hanging out til well after 4am and disturbing anyone in the nearby buildings that had no choice but to listen to their noise. No cops or anything else ever came to move them along. Nor did I bother calling the police b/c I have no confidence it would result in anything. This type of behavior is common along Alki and everyone knows it. Do not encourage it by creating more parking. I have no confidence "daytime parking only" will be enforced. And the people that will break that rule couldn't care less about it, b/c they know it won't be enforced. People have committed murder on Alki and gotten away with it! Whose gonna worry about parking enforcement??

78 Increasing parking is a good thing. Strict enforcement of park hours and no overnight parking. We don't want to increase the public nuisance and crime that the modification of the diagonal parking is intended to reduce I dont like public space being used to store private property (vehicles). If you add parking, it should be paid. I also don't like the view of the ocean being obstructed by a bunch of cars. If you have the space use it for other purposes: gardens/greenery, outside exercise equipment, secure bike storage, public artwork, etc. Public space should be designed around providing the most benefit to the widest
79 swath of people, rather than just always building for car owners.

The conversion of angled to parallel parking I have no issues with.

The additional parking along Alki avenue provides me with multiple concerns. There is a crosswalk located in front of 1238 Alki with a bus stop on the West side of that crosswalk. We use that crosswalk with our grandchildren constantly. And it is common for cars coming around the corner to not respond in time to people in the crosswalk. You need to be very very careful when crossing there. (This is a crosswalk that could use the addition of flashing lights).

This particular location has had multiple accidents due to the curve in the road. Including cars in the water, light poles knocked down, and roll over accidents. In the summer drivers tend to be distracted, intoxicated etc. and this curve in the road ends up being the deciding factor in creating accidents.

I would advocate for retaining the bus stop even though it's not being used at the moment, which would help retain visibility for the crosswalk. I would advocate for not having parking on the east side of the crosswalk also to retain visibility.

And finally shifting the problem with late night parties (and the problems that go with that) to a new location is not solving the root cause which is policing and enforcement of current laws.

If you are experimenting with removing the angle parking, to see if it solves the late night party issues, please do it one step at a time. Remove the angle parking wait a year and see if it solved the problem If it doesn't then
80 reinstate the angle parking instead of creating new parking spaces at a higher cost.

Thanks for the opportunity to comment on this proposal. I have concerns with adding parking on the water side of Alki. I have lived here for over twenty years and have witnessed the aftermath of several accidents at the curve in the 1220-1300 block. These have resulted in cars in the water and several deaths and damage to trees and vegetation. Decreasing visibility even more with parking would increase the lack of safety. Crossing the street, even in the crosswalk is currently unsafe and another area where safety would decrease by increased parking causing decreased visibility.

The increased parking subsequent to the building of the 1250 condo buildings already has limited visibility.

Though parking is allowed on the water side for July 4, New Year's Eve and other events, traffic leaves at the same time, with caution and SPD monitoring. Random parking and leaving at varying times could become chaotic.

Thanks for your consideration.

Jill

81 C. Marotta

<p>Absolutely not. (1) We already get at least two major car crashes in front of our building every summer—this change will increase the chance of accident occurrence as well as the amount of people and property damages per accident. (2) Because of the curve in Alki it is already difficult to see oncoming traffic in both left and right directions, Having to tell moving from parked traffic will make deciding safe vehicle exiting even more difficult. (3) if this passes I expect to appeal for lower property taxes, as it will damage all Alki buildings views (4) Even with 20’ of no parking near cross walks it will decrease pedestrian safety—one can’t count on cars stopping at cross walks now.(5) In the event parking is reestablished on Alki, definitely night time parking should be prohibited as it finally is on Harbor Avenue. We already have to deal with racing motorcycles most summer nights, we don’t need to add homeless vehicles to the racing problem.</p>
<p>No paid parking. Restrict overnight parking. Way too much crime at harbor avenue and jack block park.</p>
<p>83 Yes more spaces are needed. More HANDICAP spaces are needed as well.</p>
<p>84 Keep the beach area closed at night please. Something still needed to be done to deterr racing. Cars just go around speed humps.</p>
<p>This has zero benefits and only challenges in my view. It will cause narrowing of the road, danger to pedestrians, make it such that bicyclists and runners that use that stretch will no longer feel safe. People who park there will just hang out and come in the way.</p> <p>That stretch is already noisy and unsafe with rash driving, anti social elements harassing girls and women, smoking weed and drinking.</p> <p>What about the nuisance to all the residents living along that stretch, most of whom are elderly and retirees?</p>
<p>85 Why do the proposers of this idea think it has any benefits!?</p>
<p>86 I already responded to the survey, but thought of something else. If they add parking, Alki should be changed to one way street.</p>
<p>I do not approve of this change. The additional parking will bring more people, loud music coming from parked car radios, drinking (from alcohol stored in cars), peeing in the water because restrooms are too far away, etc. How do I know? Because this is what happens in the summer months when large groups of people park illegally across from our condo where there currently is no parking allowed. Alki is already a nightmare in the summer with street racing, motorcycles, cars deliberately backfiring, loud people on scooters yelling at each other and more until well past midnight. Some nights it's hard to watch/hear TV or even get to sleep. Would you</p>
<p>87 want this in your neighborhood?</p>
<p>88 My vote is no. It will bring more congestion and noise but a compromise could be daytime parking only. Please no overnight parking.</p>
<p>89 Restrict overnight parking. Restriction should begin at 10:30 pm.I hope the parallel parking will reduce night noise,hooliganism,and law breaking.It is a residential area as well as a park for law abiding citizens.</p>
<p>90 I do not support this change. We already have enough traffic and noise on Alki Avenue.</p>
<p>91 The \$175,000 would be better spent on increased policing to prevent street racing, speeding and noisy cars.</p>
<p>92 Opposed to adding water side parking and please restrict overnight parking.</p>
<p>93 I do not think that additional parking is nessisary, please keep these areas pedestrian friendly!</p>
<p>Yes: restrict overnight parking. I don't live there, but there needs to be some relief for people who live there who naturally must fear gunshots into their windows (as has happened according to news reports) or walking their dogs early morning or going for a walk. Many elderly folks in that area.</p>
<p>The overnight restrictions make it difficult for law abiding citizens to use the water taxi overnight. I used to be able to park on Alki catch a game and meet up with friends downtown, and then pick up my car the next day.</p>
<p>95 Can't do that with the overnight restrictions. As for adding more parking you should. Bus service is abysmal to that area.</p>
<p>96 512-947-3292 I do not want parking on the water side of Alki. The streets are already congested and this would make it worse. Further, it would bring additional challenges to emergency vehicles</p>
<p>512 947 9949 I do not want parking added to the water side on Alki Ave SW. This area has become too crowded and congested with vehicles,and also attracts loud motorcycles and low riders to cruise, park, and rev up engines. There are safety concerns in adding parking for pedestrians as well as traffic flow. This could also add hardships for emergency vehicles</p>
<p>97</p>
<p>98 this is a horrible change, increasing parking spots will increase activity, not discourage it, increase vehicular traffic, noise and speeding, we do not need more parking, we actually need less parking</p>
<p>99 Yes, Add parking</p>

100	we don't want more parking, this will be a more of a nuisance to residents and reduce property values
101	There should be no overnight parking anywhere along Alki. Parties in cars with associated drinking, loud music, and littering should be policed. Pedestrian safety must be a priority.
102	The elimination of parking was surprising given demand. So adding some back would be helpful.
103	<p>overnight parking is already not being enforced, so saying the added parking is just for daytime is a scam, instead of adding parking, add more landscape buffers to narrow the street and discourage speeding. There is no need for more parking, people are not speeding down Alki Ave because they are looking for parking, they are just here to joy ride and rev their engines, they don't want to park</p> <p>This would add a lot of congestion to an area that already has significant speeding, car accidents, hot rodding, and lack of good visibility around the big curve (around the 1200 block). Would also like to keep the possibility of re-opening the bus stops that have been closed.</p>
104	
105	No new spaces on Alki, total waste of \$\$.
106	<p>I support the change because it will slow down the cars traveling on Alki Ave. The only concern I have is that speedier cyclists should really be riding on the street, especially when the trail is congested, and it will be more congested with all the parking. But, cyclists aren't going to want to have to navigate around car doors opening, etc. So how do you get the cyclists to slow down while riding on the path? Yes -- restrict overnight parking.</p> <p>Do not add parking on the water side of Alki Ave. There is already too much noise and safety issues with all of the scooter, foot and bicycle traffic up and down Alki not to mention speeding and cars not staying in their lane. Overnight parking must be restricted if parking is reestablished.</p>
107	
108	Don't make those changes. It will cause more congestion and eliminate the beautiful scenery coming around that corner.
109	<p>SDOT says there'll be a net gain of 140 spaces with all of this – the 35 diagonal spaces will be replaced with 25 parallel spaces, and 150 spaces would be added to Alki Avenue. DO IT! ADD THE PARKING for day hours only.</p> <p>NO OVERNIGHT PARKING allowed to keep the homeless from clogging up the street access that they should not have exclusive access to just because they are homeless.</p>
110	<p>I am totally against allowing parking on the water side of the street. This will cause more congestion, obstruct the views (I live in one of the condos where this will be in front). It just adds congestion and makes things less safe for pedestrians and for cars leaving their building parking. This reduces the openness and the views in this neighborhood. Overnight parking should definitely be banned as we have had significant issues with squatter RV vehicles that are really rolling meth labs. This is one of the worst ideas I have heard in regards to parking and traffic management for this neighborhood. This proposal should NOT be implemented.</p> <p>Let me get this straight. Residents who live across from existing parking want it removed to eliminate "loitering and illegal activities." To support this, SDOT recommends shifting the "loitering and illegal activities" to the front of my house (1500 block). I OBJECT!!!! SDOT and the SPD ignore the street racing, motorcycle high speed wheelies and negligent passing on every nice summer night (Thurs-Sunday), the startling backfires of unregulated mufflers, and drunken drivers (who rear ended and destroyed my legally parked car, my neighbor's car. and the light pole in front our condo). Now you want to add more congestion with parked cars. It continues to amaze me how incompetent SDOT is, especially in West Seattle. They allowed the bridge to decay IN SPITE OF WARNINGS from their engineers, closed the bridge for over 900 days, then publicly patted themselves on the back for the great job they did to get it fixed. NO NEW PARKING TO APPEASE HARBOR AVE RESIDENTS! THEY BOUGHT THEIR PROPERTY KNOWING THE PARKING SITUATION. NO OVERNIGHT PARKING NO MATTER WHAT!</p>
111	
112	No more parking spaces, all spaces should be marked NO parking after 11 PM- 5 AM and specifically enforced
113	<p>Alki is already the most dangerous part of Seattle during summer. To basically invite more people to come down to party in front of people's houses, leave their garbage and block off access to Emergency vehicles completely makes no sense. The speed bumps have had little impact. To further congest an already congested area is asking for trouble. There was a reason this was blocked off years ago go. Don't bring it back!!</p> <p>Besides no new parking, county should install noise-triggered-camera to catch those loud motorcycles and automobiles.</p> <p>This is a TERRIBLE idea! The Alki Avenue SW Parking Adjustment is merely moving the problems that were experienced at Duwamish Head farther down towards the beach. The history of car racing and cars jumping the embankment in the vicinity of the 1200 block should be enough to discourage parking in that area unless parked cars are supposed to be the buffer that gets hit by a speeding auto! And if any parking is allowed, it needs to be parking that costs money like downtown. And no overnight parking should be permitted!</p> <p>All you care about is banning the access to rich areas from blacks. you take our streets and parking away. this is the only place to sit and view the city. enforce the laws, add police. stop closing our damned parks and taking access away! ruston water front puts alki to shame for access. open our 1 pier back to fishing at night too</p>
114	
115	

Great! Make the change and add a Net 140 parking spots.
I live in North Admiral, not Alki Beach but 1 mile from this proposed area of improvement.
100% need to restrict overnight parking. And honestly this needs to be 2 or 3 hour max parking or otherwise paid parking if its going to work.
This could be coupled with residential permit parking like in other parts of the city, which would reduce the negative push-back you're inevitably getting in this survey from waterfront residents pissed off in cars parked across the street in front of their view.
Good luck. Had no idea this plan was in the works, I'm a loyal bicyclist and hate myself every time I have to drive my car and honestly feel excited to encourage a public works strategy that increases public parking options
116 for once. Thanks for all the work that you do.
Changing the parking at Alki (right in front of my condo) is merely moving the problem from the current overlook of the city where frankly there should be lots of parking to our area where street racing is already a very big
117 concern and the curved road is narrow. Why do this????? You need to stop with the idiotic ideas.
118 Less parking and more transit options please. Absolutely restrict overnight parking.
The parking space is needed during the day. Please allow it .
119 However overnight parking should not be allowed.
120 Adding parking along Alki Ave will increase late-night partying and noise there. How will the daytime-only restrictions be enforced?
It's idiotic that SDOT is spending a single moment of its time, and our tax dollars, on any parking space removal. LEAVE THE DIAGONAL SPACES IN PLACE. If there are "loitering and illegal activities" occurring in the area, it's
not the parking spaces' fault. SEND MORE ENFORCEMENT and MAKE ARRESTS so the behavior subsides. Removing a few necessary parking spaces will not deter the behavior. Your organization is constantly blaming
121 parking / roads for our city problems, rather than focusing on the problems themselves. STOP WASTING OUR MONEY. Leave the spaces!!
122 I like the plan
123 I don't think there are benefits to re-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW. Stop F*ing with Alki, SDOT.
124 We need more parking on Alki, so I am supportive.
Jack block park is notorious for crime and illegal activity. It should be shut down for parking at night.
125
I lived at Alki behind Harry's Beach (at the time Tullys coffee) there were times I had to park 3 to 4 blocks away. PAID Parking would be ridiculous for those who live there to park, pay, then keep checking to see if they can
126 park closer to where they chose to live. Wow.
127 Do it!
I'm glad you're replacing the back-in diagonal parking with parallel parking. I'm a frequent walker in this area and currently see the backed in cars with people sitting in them, back to the view and just hanging out. I hope
128 the changes will encourage attract people who want to get out of their cars and enjoy the beautiful sights. I also support additional daytime parallel parking spaces on the water side of Alki Ave.
129 I definitely think restricted parking should be on Harbor Avenue overnight. There is constant traffic all night both on foot and in cars that have no respect for the immediate Neighbourhood.
130 I am for re-establishing parking. Many from around the region enjoy visiting alki and it helps keep ot accessible
In the building at 1526 Alki, we generally oppose parking along that side of the street on Alki to lessen congestion in that area. Also there is so little enforcement that prohibiting overnight parking would be ineffective. As
131 HOA president of Bayshore, we oppose the change.

Please consider reviewing the reader comments from the West Seattle Blog, found here: <https://westseattleblog.com/2025/05/parking-add-more-street-spaces-to-alki-avenue-after-diagonal-spaces-are-removed-new-sdot-proposal-and-survey/#comments>

Hopefully, everybody with something to say was also able to complete this survey, but I doubt it.

132 For me, it's nearly impossible to take my family to Alki via our car with the lack of available parking. Let the Alki residents worry about it, I guess. Thank you.

Not supportive of adding parking here as it only moves the loitering down and makes it challenging for emergency vehicles .

133 Yes, absolutely restrict overnight parking!

134 Convert the parking spaces to trees and green space. Fund greater bus service to the area to get people in that area. Parking encourages more traffic and pollution.

Do NOT add parking along Alki Avenue! It will only add to congestion, litter, and noise issues. If much to my dismay parking is added it should be paid, and the funds raised going to park seawall and garden maintenance

135 and hours should be limited to daytime only.

I think this is a mistake! This will spread the noise and bad behavior all along Alki and impact 1000s of more families that live in the condos and homes between the 1200 - 1700 blocks. Already, there are groups that park there late at night and play loud music, drink and pee in our front and back yards due to a lack of restrooms. There is nothing we can do about it now, adding the parking spaces will just make this behavior OK with no enforcement of noise and hours.

At least at the current parking area there are hours that can be enforced for noise and bad behavior. Spreading that out along all of Alki will make it unmanageable!

NO to overnight parking!!!! If you can provide the property owners with parking permits, that would be great.

136

137 Horrible idea! All night Noise and chaos affecting home owners across the street. It's an invitation for accidents along this area. It's a nice place for families to walk now without car parking.

Yes, restrict overnight parking. Adding more parking to Alki Ave. is bad. The bike trail gets overwhelmed by pedestrians and no one "enforces" the bike trail use. Cyclist must move to Alki Ave to get through the area when

138 there is good weather. The proposed parking makes cycling more dangerous.

This option for additional parking will be disastrous for an already congested, noisy, unsafe for crossing pedestrians area of Alki avenue. It will add significant noise via loud car radios, loud crowds, loud engine revving in parked cars (happens even now with passing cars) in what is supposed to be a relatively quiet residential community. Imagine trying to sleep at night with all this noise constantly going on particularly in the Summer

139 months. PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS TO OUR COMMUNITY!

I am not in favor of more parking on Alki. As a cyclist, we already struggle with pedestrians walking in the bike lane with no regard for the fact the walking path is separated. In order to avoid this issue, we need to bike on the street, which currently has a bit of a buffer in the 1200-1700 blocks. If parking is added, it makes this a much more congested area for cars/ bikes and benefits drivers vs. those of us biking on/ to Alki.

140 The city should buy one of the many lots being made into hi-rise condos and create a paid parking lot vs. creating more street parking for those who insist on driving to Alki.

I do not agree with this recommendation. The available space has little to no area to safely carve out parking. In addition parts of this area are reserved for emergency vehicles and could impact a life safety event. Also, parking for deliveries is already a concern as those vehicles sometimes need that excess space on the west side for deliveries (packages , furniture , moving). Finally, exiting from existing residential buildings is already a problem to make turns out of the driveway and into the street. It's almost impossible to turn 90 degrees to enter the street without entering into the southbound lane of Alki. Putting parking on the west side will increase the chances of collisions and accidents as it will create less space for drivers to navigate out of their driveways as they are already blocked visually from seeing northbound cars due to the packed parking up to their

141 driveways on the existing curbside.

a) Keep the street free of parking on west side of Alki. Open space beneficial for all citizens' well-being.

b) No benefit. Decreased safety for foot and bike traffic.

c) Add a shuttle. Add parking further south on Harbor Ave and under the WS Bridge with restricted overnight parking.

142 Talk to Bainbridge Island's ferry terminal, Harbor Ave cycle shop, or M2S kayak shack about adding traditional bike rental business similar to Bainbridge Island's ferry terminal.

143 I see little benefit and feel it will stress resources to monitor/resolve parking issues.
144 The problem is loitering in the parking spots on Alki ! This leads to drinking and drugging and eventual murder that I witnessed myself- less parking on Alki and harbor !
Dear Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT): I'm against adding parking to the water side along the 1200-1700 Alki Ave SW. It's curious that you choose to call this "re-establishing parking." The areas in question are marked for no parking and as long as many of the buildings have stood the no-parking sections across the street have stood. By repurposing them as parking creates a dangerously tighter driving lane, they'll add to the congestion, and reduce pedestrian safety. Residents of this area already fear for their lives when attempting to walk across the street to the Alki Beach Trail. Guests to the area often are not focusing on their driving - they're looking at the water and there have been many instances where drivers completely ignore pedestrians in cross walks and blaze along heading to the beach. Many of the pedestrians are using walking aids. Combining slower walkers, dog walkers, fast driving, inattentive driving, and congested parking with people, kids, dogs jumping out of tightly parked cars introduces more danger to the public.
145
146 Provide more car alternative and off boardwalk parking. Continue to pedestrianize the waterfront. Aside from accessibility spaces, it does not need parking to be popular.
No more parking at Alki! There is too much already. We need to meter what we have to control demand. Please use this extra space for street trees, or more pedestrian space, or anything else that provides a net gain for
147 the environment and for the safety of non motorized users.
A terrible suggestion- we're already too congested and this would only increase the problem! Parking can't even be properly managed now- how would you propose increasing monitoring? And what about pedestrians?
There is already too much racing and speeding- add more people to the equation and the situation becomes deadly!!!! And now there isn't even a chance for emergency vehicles to get through. Come spend a weekend
148 down here and you'll totally understand the stupidity of this parking suggestion!
149 No benefit. Only opportunities for more crowds, noise, safety for the residents. No overnight parking. Already issues with diagonal area and park at night. Focus on noise, speed and racing.
The term "re-establishing" parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW is a mischaracterization. Parking has not been allowed there for more than 25 years. As a resident at 1388 Alki Ave SW, parking along the water side brings more car traffic, more drug dealing traffic, and more mischief making traffic. We should continue with no parking at any time along the water side of Alki Avenue SW. The whole point of reducing parking at Duwamish Head is to reduce drug dealing traffic and car racing along Alki. Allowing additional parking along Alki completely defeats that purpose, and brings more congestion, drug traffic and car racing participation. NO PARKING should be allowed on the water side of Alki Ave SW.
151 I believe the parking should go back to the way it was. Pull in to to park. It's a great place to take pictures and enjoy the view. It was easy in and out parking , without stopping traffic flow. Thank you, R. Jacobs
152 These changes are all good and you need to continue to restrict overnight parking.
Re-establish parking on water side of Alki Ave SW YES! Restricting hours overnight OK. Set 4 hour parking limit OK. Re-establish parking on water side of Beach View Drive at Constellation Marine Reserve. SDOT lied when they stated No Legal Parking Spaces would be removed... so I supported Healthy Street plan under the NO Legal Parking spaces removed... then SDOT removed most parking spaces. I belong to a citizen group Alki for All that collect 2400 signatures supporting the retention of those parking spaces. SDOT ignored that petition and removed the parking spaces. These spaces were used for school busses that brought thousands of students to the Marine Reserve for environmental education classes - this spring the school use was greatly reduced due to parking loss. As the initiator of the concept of Marine Reserves on Seattle Parks beaches and an educator at the Seattle Aquarium for 28 years I'm sickened by the actions of SDOT. I'm hopefully you will take a different course and be honest in your dealings with the public.
153 Leo Shaw

We strongly oppose any proposal to allow parking on the 1500 to 1700 blocks of Alki Ave SW.

As residents, we already endure a constant stream of traffic, noise, and loud cruising during the summer. Allowing parking here would only make things worse—more congestion, more safety concerns, and more disruption in a neighborhood where people live, raise families, and simply want peace in their own homes.

This isn't just about inconvenience—it's about safety and security. Adding more parked vehicles increases the risk of reduced visibility, blocked access for emergency responders, and overnight parking violations. We already see RVs parked for extended periods down by the beach, even with signage. We also know about ongoing enforcement staffing shortages, which means the City may not be able to properly manage or monitor any new parking allowances.

Instead of encouraging more cars, we should be doing everything possible to encourage visitors to take public transportation. The Water Taxi, the free Water Taxi shuttle, and Metro buses already serve Alki and are supported by taxpayer dollars. Promoting these options reduces traffic, improves safety, and respects the fact that this is not just a tourist spot—it's a residential community.

We welcome people to enjoy Alki, but not at the cost of our safety, peace, or quality of life. Please do not allow parking on the 1500 to 1700 blocks of Alki Ave SW. It would be a harmful decision for those of us who call this place home.

This addition of 140 net gain parking is CRAZY! It's far too congested on sunny days and all throughout the summer.

GET THE BUS REINSTATED, more parking only adds to the current issues of Alki being used as a party street, drivers do not care about pedestrians, it's takes forever to get down alki or Harbor Ave. On a drive day and or weekends. It's TOOO Congested as it is!

Also, Its going to be dangerous around the bend where the infinity shore condo bldg is, visibility here is already dangerous. We've had accidents in this area because of poor visibility due to the existing parallel parking and now you want to add more. NO, Please NO!

155

How does swapping angled for parallel parking eliminate or reduce crime or loitering ?? The park is still there , the pier is still there !! Do you believe this actually accomplishes driving down crime ? This action has no merit , what's it's doing is pushing the congestion to an even narrower section of Alki Avenue and exposing those residents to increased noise, camping , loitering and crime as you make it even more convenient for opportunists to take advantage of our property. You'll also be restricting access for emergency services and the daily deliveries that are already severely challenged.

The Alki councilman should be focusing on doubling or tripling the transit services from Don Armani to Alki Beach. We have 1 transit bus that takes an idiotic route up thru CA Avenue to Admiral and to 63rd Avenue. It's a ridiculous route to expect tourists to use. You're actually punishing riders to endure this instead of creating a straight route back/forth from DA Park to Alki beach. PLEASE INCREASE THE NUMBER AND FREQUENCY OF TRANSIT BUSES DURING THE SUMMER PERIOD. You can then focus police on the endpoints to enforce the law instead of stretching out the problem all along Alki Avenue where we don't need any more confrontations than we have now.

157 yes I have lived on alki ave for 65 years and we need more parking and no parking at night

convert the diagonal parking, but please do not add anymore parking, this is a waste of money and not addressing the lack of enforcement of existing rules. There is already lack of enforcement in overnight parking and parking at the Don Armeni boat ramp, not to mention speeding and other traffic violations - running stop signs, not stopping for pedestrians, DUI. DO NOT ADD MORE PARKING.

159 Seems fine, no strong opinion.

This is a HORRIBLE idea!! It will increase the gatherings along the streets with loud music, increased traffic congestion with people attempting to parallel park and increased danger at the crosswalks. The walking and bike lanes will become dangerous also with people cutting across from their cars to the water without looking both ways. Street side bikers will have a much greater risk of being hit by cars coming and going into parking spaces and car doors being opened.

On sunny days there are already many people that park on the street illegally and there are not enough police to patrol the area to stop the noise, drinking, drugs and fighting that happens now. We had a hit and run accident in front of our building recently and could not get a police response despite having the license plate that was left behind. There are frequent side swipe accidents on the existing side of the street parking already.

Please do NOT increase street parking! A parking lot paid or otherwise is a much better plan!

160

161 Not good. It will just move the loud trash party to where the residents live.

Parking on the waterside of Alki Ave SW is a terrible idea. The unobstructed drive is a world class highlight for visitors and residents alike. The disruption of flow, waiting for cars to park, avoiding open car doors, dogs, picnic supplies and humans, creates a distraction and impatience (even road rage) that just extends the unsafe conditions that exist in the already approved waterside parking.

162 David Braun

The time has come for paid parking in Alki. By providing all this free parking the City is going against published transportation and environmental goals. We are also out of step with every other major city. Please manage this parking and it won't be a free for all.

164 No additional parking needed. Yes, we should restrict overnight parking.

I think that this is a bad idea, that the council member and others have not thought out. Traffic is already very congested, and this will make it worse. We have had several accidents along the straight away, and this will make it harder to get emergency vehicles in there to help. I would suggest bringing the bus line back to this area. That would help immensely! With so many people coming down to visit the area-this is the only option that makes sense. We don't need more cars coming and parking in this busy, already congested area! And not to mention safety issues, loitering and illegal activities happen when there are more "hidden" spaces from parked cars. Also a littering/garbage issue. I live down here and the people who come in for the day and park, quite often just leave their litter on the sidewalk next to their cars-unacceptable!

165

Because you can't or won't control illegal behavior at the current diagonal parking location you have decided to reduce/change that spot and move the problem down the road affecting even more people.....all for the purpose of "doing something, anything." Do not add yet more troubles to Alki Ave SW!!! Enforce the laws that are being broken at the current location or close it for good BUT don't move the problem down the street.....

166

Adding parking all the way from 1200 to 1700 Alki Ave SW, to compensate for the small loss of parking at Duwamish Head would be a huge overcompensation. And this would destroy a historic grand scenic boulevard. If SDOT does go forward with this plan it is absolutely essential that 1.) ALL bus stops along the water side of Alki Ave be maintained - including those temporarily closed, and 2.) Overnight Parking must be restricted 11PM - 5AM, to avoid the disastrous situation previously experienced on Harbor Ave SW.

167

Add free and timed parking, restrict overnight. Parallel spots will cause traffic to slow compared to diagonal spots, which is fine for this street.

168

I live along the part of Alki Avenue that will be affected by additional parking. If more parking is added (which will result in admitted more usage and vehicles), then the City and SDOT should include as part of the project the long promised, available and funded speed/noise detection meters and cameras for the area. Safety should be the first concern of this project and to proceed without actually addressing the glaring speed, noise and danger that exists is irresponsible. Thanks. -Mike McKasy

169

170 We are against this proposal. Alki has speeders all the time and in particular motorcycles. Narrowing the street by allowing parking will make the street and walkways even more dangerous. Teelas at 1550 Alki

We DO NOT want or need added parking. You are just invited more problems where there is already lack of enforcement. More cars for more people to come, get drunk and trash the place and leave garbage. We already have no view from the restaurants because of cars parked on both sides and no one wants to sit outside the restaurants to see only cars and the views are totally blocked. If you add parking you are making it worse.

171

172 no additional parking, no no no

173	Parking along here is fine. Only downside would be loss of the water view for drivers - but drivers should be watching the road anyway
174	Alki Avenue is a residential area and parking on the water side in the area would add to the noise and car problems already here. I am against parking on the water side of Alki. Additionally, if parking is allowed, overnight parking should definitely be restricted.
175	More free parking encourages people to loiter and hog spots. Parking should be paid. Definitely NO overnight parking. We don't need homeless buses and trailers hanging out for days for free. One trailer near Marination already hogs the spots there all the time.
176	I'm disappointed that angled parking is being converted to parallel parking. We need parking in that area, the majority of the people who use it properly are being penalized by the removal of parking spaces. It's an expensive 'solution' that is not going to solve the public safety concerns of a few wealthy people who live near there. I could list a handful of similar 'public safety concerns' that are not being addressed in other lower income neighborhoods around West Seattle. People engaging in the bad behavior are just going to park down there in the parallel spots.
177	I like the addition of more space. I think it's a travesty that Alki Point is stealing 60+ spaces from the public. So yes, adding new spaces through these changes is a must! Overnight parking along Alki should either be outright restricted, or extremely limited.
178	This would be a bad decision, it would increase congestion, decrease pedestrian safety Decrease safety of drivers trying to park, a huge negative would be the ability of emergency vehicles to get by already congested streets. There are many people who ride electric scooters in the street, which is already a danger and would be worse. In any event, there should not be allowed After 6 PM.
179	I live on the 1200 block of Alki Ave and am very concerned that allowing parking on the proposed section of Alki Ave will result in more usage and safety concerns for the area. The City still has not added the approved speed and noise cameras to help alleviate problems in the area and the added parking will only make the current situation worse.
180	Good plan. Need more free parking. Restrict overnight like Harbor Avenue SW. No negatives.
181	DO NOT REMOVE DIAGONAL SPACES - DO NOT ADD PARKING ALONG ALKI - this will solve nothing, loitering and illegal activities are because of lack of patrol and enforcement, not because of the diagonal spaces. If you remove these spaces, they will just go to the pier or boat ramp parking lot. Just change some diagonal parking to handicap and leave it. Atleast the diagonal spaces will limit cars to passenger vehicles, if you add parallel parking you are inviting more RV's and camper vans and more cars to ruin the scenery and atmosphere. Adding more parking is inviting hundreds of more people to come to Alki - this is a RESIDENTIAL AREA - we understand people like to come here, that is fine, but you don't need to encourage more people and traffic that the city refuses to patrol and enforce.
182	Parking should be opened back up and returned to what it was prior to 1990. This city spends way to much time overthinking and spending taxpayers money on the constant failures of most all roadway involved projects these days. SDOT needs some real professionals that tell the truth, not what their hire-ups want to hear. you want to solve issues at Alki and other area parks and roadway involved incidents just start enforcing Current Laws to All.....but this city government is afraid in doing so.
183	Charge for the parking
184	DO NOT CHANGE ANYTHING - the "loitering and illegal activities" is enabled by lack of patrol and enforcement, and you want to add 150 more spaces and more people that the police will not patrol and enforce? really dumb
185	We need all of this, yes add the parking, yes limit parking hours. It says here parking from 11:00 PM to 5:00 AM. I'm hoping this is an error. Parks have recently changed park summer hours to be year around and park closures at 10:30 PM.It only makes sense to coordinate parking hours to be the same. Also, please place the parking signs in close proximity to each other like they are on Harbor from the bridge to Saltys .

Good afternoon.

I am not in favor of these changes. Especially allowing parking in the 1200 to 1700 area will only add to the current congestion. We really need to encourage use of the water taxi.

As for the Duwamish Head, the layout lends itself to angle parking, but I understand the issue re loitering. Maybe the answer is to just police the area better? And better yet, limit parking to 5 am to 10 pm.

Thank you.

Allan Peach

186

187 I would rather have parking removed from the street and used for something useful like space for people walking, biking, or taking transit.

188 No additional parking on water side of Alki Ave. - or at least not so many spaces. Yes, prohibit overnight parking.

1. I am against the addition of more parking on Alki Ave SW between 1200 and 1700. This would encourage inappropriate daytime camping.

2. No parking overnight should be added if you do decide to add parking on the water side of the street to prevent the proliferation of long term campers -- which was the case on Harbor Ave before the no overnight parking was added.

I am against this proposal! I am concerned about the noise and safety results of parking on Alki south of Anchor Park, a negative invitation to encourage people bent of engaging in illegal activity and acting in loud, violent behavior with access to intoxicating materials easily accessed in cars when parked nearby. The removable of the head-in parking spots at Duwamish Head is not sufficient a reason to allow parallel parking further down the waterside of Alki toward the sandy beach area. Road racers and others who often frequent Alki will be even more emboldened to harass this neighborhood community with their anti-social behavior. The new speed bumps have not deterred negative driving as had been hoped. I have lived here for 28 years and have seen the area becoming more and more out of control safety-wise. I am disappointed that this change is even under consideration again since at countless Alki Community Association meetings, the overwhelming number of our neighbors' responses has always been against increased parking and especially on Alki's water side.

190

This is not a solution, it is just moving the issues from Duwamish Head to Alki Ave. We need more law enforcement to keep cars from parking overnight, and the dangerous racing along Alki Ave. Someone is going to get killed. Install traffic cameras and keep law enforcement out to stop the racing at night.

This will not solve the parking problems and instead creates additional gridlock and congestion on an already busy street. The waterside does not have enough room, and in the event of an emergency this further restricts police, fire and ambulance without the extra space to pass.

It will limit view for residents and limit enjoyment for people using the Alki Trail. However, public access is extremely important, so the added parking probably takes priority over those disadvantages. YES to restrictions on parking overnight.

It does not make sense to parallel park on a curve at Duwamish Head. That is using up more space and fits less cars. Keep the parking angled and allow the front end of cars to face the city line like it was. Citizens pay taxes and contribute to the economy- we should be able to park for a bit, enjoy the view, and carry on down beach drive. Even at night. Make a few parallel parking spots for disabled vehicles to accommodate. Access to Alki should not be this complicated. Public safety concerns need to be handled by in person officials AKA law enforcement- not a parking sign that states a cut off time to go away. Tired of old school entitled "west Seattle community members" complaining about "outsiders" coming into their presence. Illegal activities are happening in the daytime anyways- what's the point of closing everything early?

Thank you for removing the angled parking to discourage late night partying, trash, noise and illegal activity. However, moving that behavior down the road by adding parking spaces does not solve the issue. I am opposed to re-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Ave SW in the 1200-1700 blocks. This would lead to safety concerns as follows: decreased visibility of pedestrians in crosswalks; increased illegal parking, partying, and noise primarily from non-West Seattle residents; decreased ability for emergency vehicles to access local streets; increased congestion, trash, and destruction of natural beauty of the beach and walking paths. In any event, all overnight parking should be restricted to daytime hours and strictly enforced.

	<p>The addition of speed bumps to Alki Ave slowed traffic down, but illegal passing and high-speed motorcycle racing is still a problem. Adding parking is not a good idea since the additional music alcohol in parked cars will negatively affect all the residents. Also, overnighters will move in, crime will soar. We don't need more parking, we need more patrols and enforcement. This solution just moves crime around. Please treat the residents with more respect. This is our home.</p>
196	
197	<p>The 1200 SW block of Alki Avenue is already quite narrow. Allowing new parking on the water side will only create additional safety concerns; especially cars unloading with children and pets. It won't help the fire department move it's fire trucks quickly through an area that is already busy and congested especially during the summer months. And, yes restrict overnight parking otherwise, the area will become a camping ground.</p>
198	<p>Please don't add parking there. Let's leave some areas of Alki where parked cars are not crowding the pedestrians walking Alki. Also, it married use of the street for bicyclists (the ones who ride with traffic, not the ones who use the recreational trail.</p>
199	<p>When this was tried before, The change brought drug exchanges.</p>
200	<p>Please DO NOT add more parking on the beach side of Alki. It will increase congestion, decrease pedestrian safety, increase the car racing between speed bumps, make it harder for emergency vehicles to get through, add more stress to regulating parking, and give more opportunity/location for loitering and illegal activities. We eliminated parking on the beach side of Alki over 25 years ago for all the above reasons. We are eliminating the angled parking at the Duwamish Head for these reasons, allowing parking on Alki beach side will simply move the loitering and illegal activity down the beach.</p>
	<p>Access to this beautiful environment is important BUT you also can destroy it when the plan is not fully vetted and you are not solving the root issues that currently exist.</p> <p>WHY add 140 net increase in parking spots and an est. 200-500 more people when we already see issues with the current #'s of visitors in this stretch of waterfront.</p> <p>WHY isn't the city solving for the congestion, driving violations and safety concerns of visitors and residents. More parking on Alki is not going to create a more enjoyable environment!</p> <p>You wait in long lines to get into the area, driving down Harbor and Alki is out of control already during summer and early fall, most weekends traffic moves at a snails pace from noon - 8pm. It can take up to 30min or more to get in/out of the area!</p> <p>Drivers don't care if they make illegal U-turns, drive too fast, drive drunk and or under the influence, or block driveways and or are distracted (hanging out of their windows, texting, etc). And we've seen accidents along Alki each year, cars rolling over, into the water, hitting oncoming cars, knocking telephone poles down, etc. More cars will only increase those issues.</p> <p>You have pop-up vendors who block the walking paths at the beach and now we have the potential of more vendor stalls down the whole stretch of Alki, what's the cities plan to control this?</p> <p>Excess trash (food/beverage/alcohol containers) on the weekend is disgusting at the beachside, and we now have the potential for more all along the Alki promenade, without a plan to ensure the city is staffed to support all this additional use.</p> <p>WHY isn't the city enforcing existing traffic laws to make the environment safer and enjoyable.</p> <p>I don't see value in adding more parking. I just see issues compounding.</p>
201	<p>WHY not reinstate the bus service to increase access to the beach park and minimize car traffic.</p>
202	<p>Please don't add more parking on Alki. Instead, we should be installing parking meters to limit demand for the existing spaces. That would allow us to devote the space that would be used for parking for better uses. An obvious example would be street trees, which be much more environmentally responsible and would have the extra benefit of narrowing the street, ideally leading to lower speeds.</p>
203	<p>There's not one West Seattle or Alki resident who has requested additional parking in this area. It creates an additional bottleneck of traffic in area where guests to Alki do not park</p>
204	<p>Overnight parking should be restricted.</p>

205	The street along Alki Ave sw between 1200-1700 is not wide enough. The issue with summer traffic is created because there's double sided street parking near the beach and no room for cars to pass when vehicles are parking. This will create even worst traffic issue.
206	Adding additional parking near the waterside of Alki just pushes the problem further down Alki. There's no need/demand for additional waterside parking even with the new changes
207	Parking on the waterside of Alki Ave SW is a terrible idea. The unobstructed drive is a world class highlight for visitors and residents. The disruption of flow, waiting for cars to park; avoiding open doors, dogs, picnic supplies and humans; creates distraction and impatience (even road rage). New parking just extends the unsafe conditions that exist in the already approved areas of waterside parking. David and Maysie Braun. West Seattle
208	This area already draws more vehicles from non-local residents so that on good weather days, it can't handle the cars, traffic, and crowds it already has. I prefer the idea that this area remain parking free to discourage the already rampant street racing and other un-safe activities that people who don't live there have to deal with already.
209	No! There is already a stop and go situation all the way down alki. This are permits drivers to pass slow cars, garbage trucks , and this straight part of road is always used to speed up by unsafe drivers and you are placing another item for them to hit , one which have devastating effects on a crowd of people walking on that side . This is terrible idea, I can't even list all reasons why!
210	If police dept would enforce noise ordinances and speed than it may be feasible....but they don't! A large population lives right there, elderly, slower crossing. It's setting up for disaster
211	Waterside Street Parking Alki Ave SW Parking on the waterside is the worst idea I have ever heard of. The unobstructed view on the Alki Avenue SW Drive is a world class highlight for visitors and area residents alike. The disruption of traffic flow, waiting for cars to park; avoiding open doors, dogs, picnic supplies and humans; creates driver distraction and impatience (even road rage). New waterside parking will just extend the unsafe conditions that exist in the already approved parking areas. PLEASE DO NOT RUIN ALKI AVE. SW.
212	Changing the parking does not address the real problem, which is inconsiderate disruption caused by reckless driving, the excessive, loud noise of car and motorcycle engines, and very loud vehicle music sound systems. Pass, post, and enforce ordinances addressing these disruptive behaviors and the parking problem goes away. Adding more parking along the avenue just spreads out the current problems. All parking along Alki should be eliminated from 11pm-5am. Wasting taxpayer dollars on re-striping without addressing the disruption makes matters worse. We deserve protection from the inconsiderate behavior of a micro minority of trouble makers. Please address the real problem.
213	I feel adding parking will do the following; Increase street congestion and Decrease pedestrian safety
214	Meter the parking
215	So take away the angle parking and push it to the west??? Put the problem down the road. This solves nothing.
216	We already deal with the illegal driving along Alki during the summer months. Taking away the angle parking on Harbor Ave. and redirecting it down the road (1200 - 1700 Alki) accomplishes nothing. Giving permission for "bad" activity to move down the road. The level of bad behavior is already awful. Do not give permission for more. There should not be parking on the water side of Alki between 1200 and 1700 blocks. Your changes to Duwamish Head should not be put on the area; that should have been considered when making the proposed changes.
217	I think it will bring more noise, crime, people violating curfew, drinking and gang activity. Not a good idea and no overnight parking. More calls to the police department and they are already short staffed. Plus it will be an eyesore to look at all the cars parked there. It will do the opposite of addressing safety concerns and make the area more unsafe. There is too much violence on Alki already.
218	My wife and I are strongly against the change. It will increase street congestion, decrease pedestrian safety, and make it more difficult for emergency vehicles to get through during peak times.
219	Tis added parking idea is a ridiculous idea based on added congestion to the neighborhood. Please stop before creating havoc! Leave all as is. Thank you, Carl Stevens
220	You should not remove this existing parking. If you must then, yes, add parallel parking and restrict overnight. But again. The existing parking should just remain there.
221	Adding parking on the water side of Alki is a terrible idea. There is already way too much noise and traffic and this will increase the problem. It will be very difficult for emergency vehicles to get through. Overnight parking will be extremely dangerous as that is when the majority of racing, speeding, and loud noise occurs.

As an attempt to address such issues as loitering, late night parties, excessive noise, and violence, converting the angled parking to parallel with ADA-designated parking makes sense.

With regard to "re-establishing" daytime parking on the west/water side, I'm not sure what that means. I have lived on Alki for over 20 years and there has never been parking on this stretch of Alki on the water side. It sounds like SDOT is "re-locating" some of the parking removed by the angled-parking conversion in order to address one problem, and, at the same time, inviting the creation of a similar, additional problem further down the street. I am not in favor of this, at all. These are not just "streets" for people to play in. This is a neighborhood where many people live.

Why not make sure that removing the angle parking actually solves the late night party issues, before creating yet another outdoor venue for late-night partying?

Alki Beach is supposed to be the "jewel of the Pacific Northwest." Why are we encouraging more cars, more parking, and more congestion in the middle of our "jewel." In this day and age when our society is supposed to be looking for ways to minimize environmental impact, why are we not promoting and making it easier for people to use public transportation? More people, for example, could and should be encouraged to take advantage of the water taxi and its accompanying free shuttle. Or, perhaps, a parking lot could be established in the industrial area of Harbor Ave with a shuttle service to and from the beach. Let's use more forward creative thinking that considers the impact of both the environment, and the residents who actually live where these ideas are being proposed.

222 Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback.

The beauty of Alki is the openness looking out on the Sound as you walk, run, bike, skate along the great pathways. Having additional parking on the west side of these paths will cause more congestion & more potential 223 for collisions as people exit their cars with kids, strollers, etc. It will reduce that amazing feeling of beauty and openness for everyone. It's why they come to Alki.

224 Please do not allow parking on the water side of Alki beginning in the 1200 block. Definitely restrict overnight parking if it is decided to add parking.

225 I vote no.

226 I don't like the change. I believe will make the road narrower and less safe. Overnight parking should not be allowed.

This added parking would be a disaster. Increased traffic and noise would be detrimental to Alki Ave which has already become a drag racing haven! The recently added speed bumps have created launch pads for 227 dangerous drivers instead of curtailing the speed racers. More parking would bring more drivers! Let's try to restore the beauty of Alki not destroy it with noise pollution and fumes!

I feel this is a bad idea. As a resident impacted by this proposal, we currently experience people parked on our side of the street that hang out in and around their cars making a lot of noise with music and shouting. People also walk up our driveway and pee in our backyard. Dogs poop in our front yard. We are subjected to cars and motor cycles racing, doing wheelies with racing engines, and making extremely loud popping noises at all hours that startle people and pets. The fact that law enforcement is incapable of addressing the current issues is a guarantee that any potential problems introduced with this new proposal will also not be addressed.

228 It's incredible that noise ordinances are not enforced. Please vote down this proposal. It will further diminish our quality of life. Thank you.

Honestly this feels like a major safety issue. I already can't turn into my home on the 1500 block of Alki Ave without cars passing me on both the left and the right. There have been a minimum of 3 accidents in front of my building in the past couple years, one completely taking out the light pole in front of the building and another car ending up in the sound. Adding another row of parked cars will just increase traffic as cars trying to turn into their homes back up cars the entire way down Alki Ave since cars won't be able to go around them. It will also just expand the existing chaos of Alki beach all the way down the street.

As for overnight, the city just blocked overnight parking on harbour ave to put a stop to the rv's that would permanently park there. This would just invite them to park on Alki instead.

Instead of inviting more chaos to Alki Beach, the city should be adding patrols and additional measures to make the area safer.

229

Stop playing whack-a-mole, SDOT. Your "Vision Zero" is an utter failure and constantly disrupting citizens' lives while egregiously burning our tax budgets. This is yet another band-aid on the wrong bruise. Diagonal spaces should not have been removed! Put them back and apply budgeting to MORE POLICE ENFORCEMENT at the painfully obvious times when troublesome drivers and bad behaviors occur. This isn't complex. Stop making it so.
231 Overnight parking is not appropriate here. I'm all for daytime parking. Let everyone enjoy the waterfront.
232 We should not re-establish parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW. Cyclists regularly use the road due to congestion on the path, and omitting parking ensures there is sufficient space for drivers to safely pass cyclists.
233 No benefits!
234 I am against re-establishing daytime on-street parking on the west/water side on Alki Ave. there is too much traffic on Alki Ave. fewer cars
Adding parallel parking on the water side of Alki Ave SW, between the 1200 and 1700 blocks, is a dangerous idea. On nice days there is heavy traffic in that area. If cars were parked on both sides of the street emergency vehicles would be unable to access that area, putting both residents, and visitors at risk. As it is, the speeding, and deafening noise from motorcycles and cars with altered mufflers is an unhealthy AND hazardous situation. Please do NOT add to the congestion and hazards of this stretch of Alki. Current parking on the building side of Alki, around the 1300 block, has resulted in many parked cars being hit by speeding and or impaired drivers - at all times of the day and night. I've personally seen at least 7 cars totaled in that section of the road. Additional parking would put more people at risk of personal injury and/or property damage.
235 And overnight parking should NEVER be allowed on any part of Alki Ave or Harvard Ave.
236 Restrict overnight parking. Just feel \$175k could be better used on some other project.
237 if this change gives increased public access to public spaces, I'm all for it!
238 Great! More access is a good idea. The parking never should have been removed. Restrict overnight parking and add meters with 8 hr restrictions.
239 I recall that it was late 60's early 70's that the police had the city remove all parallel parking to control crime and partying hidden behind all the parked cars, history repeating itself, do we never learn anything!
I don't think parallel is a meaningful solution or change. I'm a senior & enjoying the view of Elliot Bay from my vehicle when it was a "nose in" arrangement helped me get through the pandemic. I believe leaving a parallel parking spot is only marginally safer than backing out. Reducing capacity is at odds providing enjoyment to the public.
I am exasperated that SDOT is making Seattle a decidedly Senior-Unfriendly city. I say this not only as a senior myself but as a senior caregiver for decades before. I have stopped shopping downtown completely because of impediments of bike lanes & reduction of nearby parking to stores. I've taken my business elsewhere. Not online. We don't all look like we stepped off an REI catalogue cover, sorry, Home Page.
240 Where the bike path and pedestrian path merge the space created by removing diagonal parking should be repurposed into a bike lane. On street parking should be removed by the boat launch to continue the new separate bike lane on the street all the way to jack block park. The shared path between salty's and the park is particularly dangerous. If parking is to be added on alki ave, it should be balanced with parking removals for safety improvements.
241
As a resident in this area I have multiple concerns: (1) Fire safety - in the past the fire department has said parking would be problematic for emergency ladder access; (2) Noise - already a problem the city has not been able to address satisfactorily; (3) Traffic accidents and racing - this strip has a history of frequent accidents, something likely to increase with more cars in the area. If there is no change in current parking, there is not a need for a nighttime parking ban on Alki as RV parking has not been a major issue so far. Regards, Dean Shuey, 1502 Alki Ave. SW #601.
242

Where diagonal parking is to be removed, use the extra space there to establish a separated bike lane. Right now that sidewalk is multi-use, when there is a separate bike line for most of the path to the west.

I'm excited about narrowing Alki Ave SW to the west, which will reduce dangerous driving behavior like illegal passing, but I absolutely do not want to see additional waterfront space used for parking. Instead, widen the pedestrian and/or bike paths to occupy more of the right of way. If that's too expensive, install curb stops to narrow the street instead. There is already plenty of parking available, as long as drivers are willing to walk just a couple of minutes.

It was an enormous struggle to remove waterfront parking along Beach Dr SW for the Alki Stay Healthy street, and now that it's done it was obviously the right choice. Adding parking along Alki Ave SW will simply mean we'll have to spend time and energy in the future undoing that mistake. Please don't do so.

243 Thanks.

Parking along the water side of Alki Ave SW between 1200 and 1700 block would be adding to accidents and limiting the access for the fire department to the condos in that area. It would also add to congestion and unsavory people to gather in an area that is currently nice and not congested. I'm against adding parking in this area. It's nice to have a gap between the congested areas of Duwamish head and Alki beach.

You should restrict overnight parking due to the safety aspect of people drinking and parting on Duwamish head area

245 The Alki new parking area will have additional safety concern due to the narrow the existing street. People is having several serious accidents around that area. People is speeding which is a concern

I do not support additional parking to be added. We should strive for a more safe and walkable area and as such we should encourage walkability.

Where diagonal parking is to be removed, use the extra space there to establish a separated bike lane. Right now that sidewalk is multi-use, when there is a separate bike line for most of the path to the west. I do not want to see additional waterfront space used for parking. Instead, widen the pedestrian and/or bike paths to occupy more of the right of way. If that's too expensive, install curb stops to narrow the street instead. There is already plenty of parking available, as long as drivers are willing to walk just a couple of minutes. It was an enormous struggle to remove waterfront parking along Beach Dr SW for the Alki Stay Healthy street, and now that it's done it was obviously the right choice.

246 Thank you

Please do not add more parking to Alki Ave, the last thing we need along this beautiful waterfront is more car storage. I'm all for narrowing the street in that 1200-1700 area if speed is a problem, but let's use that extra space for people, bikes, picnic tables, anything besides car storage.

Good Morning,

I am responding to the request for comments re: the parking changes proposed for Alki Ave. Consider this a strong OBJECTION.

Essentially, the plan addresses an existing problem by creating a much worse situation and exacerbating a longer unaddressed issue just a few yards away.

As I understand it, residents on Harbor Ave. want to change parking rules by their buildings to eliminate "loitering and illegal activities." SDOT's plan is to exacerbate an existing problem on Alki Ave. (1200-1700 stretch) by adding horizontal parking spaces to an already heavily congested street. The current weekend traffic already poses a challenge to police and emergency vehicles without creating additional hazards.

My fellow homeowners and I on this stretch have been bombarded for years by the obnoxious street racers, loud mufflers, weaving cruisers, and drunk/drugged drivers creating damage to property and people throughout every summer weekend. Narrowing the available space is guaranteed to create more of the same while simply shifting the illegal activity a few blocks down the street. This is not a solution. We don't need another meaningless gesture akin to the useful road bumps installed last year that simply triple the number of times racers gun their engines along the same stretch of Alki Ave.

Reject this plan. Change the parking plan on Harbor if necessary, but DO NOT make Alki Avenue a more dangerous road for its residents and visitors. NO NEW PARKING ON ALKI. NO OVERNIGHT PARKING.

248 Thank you.

I'm reaching out to express my strong opposition to the proposal to extend street parking to both sides of Alki Ave SW from the 1200 - 1700 block.

Traffic Congestion: During the summer months, the stretch of Alki Avenue without waterside parking is critical to the flow of vehicles helping alleviate traffic jams by allowing cars to continuously move as drivers make left hand turns into their homes, down side streets, or even just to legally turnaround in the event of any major backups. The bottleneck in traffic is created by those areas on Alki Ave with two sided street parking, and at times it gets so bad that backups extend over a mile from the beach area to Duwamish Head. The additional parking would only make this worse and further gridlock the only street to my home.

Emergency Services: Without the ability to bypass congestion caused by the additional two sided street parking along Alki, emergency services could be delayed or unable to get down this section of the street on the busiest summer days with high volumes of traffic.

Additional Street Parking Unnecessary: The loss in parking is marginal at 10 spots and there's still plenty of other locations to park. Over 355 days a year, there's ample parking on Alki Avenue in the existing spaces. There is not any West Seattle resident who lives here and pays taxes who is asking for more street parking along Alki Avenue.

249 I am supportive of the reduction in Duwamish Head parking and maintaining no overnight parking.

250 I strongly say no! I didn't buy a condo for over \$1 million dollars to have my view blocked and cause more traffic congestion.

We don't actually need more parking. We do however need the path where people walk and bike to be expanded. It gets super high use and the conflicts between people biking and people walking aren't necessary on such a wide street.

Increasing parking capacity will increase car travel demand. What are the anticipated impacts on Vehicle Miles Travelled? Is this plan consistent with the City's Climate Action Plans? Is this plan consistent with the mode shift goals laid out in both the Seattle Transportation Plan and Climate Plans?

I am strongly opposed to the proposal to add more parking on the water side of Alki Ave. This is taking an existing public safety issue and spreading it across a larger geographic area, which has the potential to make the situation even worse. If Seattle Police are unable to effectively manage the public safety issues when they're mostly condensed in a single area - how are they planning to address them once they're spread down the full length of Alki Ave.? The notion of adding more than 100 parking spaces as a means of controlling crowds and reckless behavior is contradictory and irresponsible.

I'm disappointed that Councilmember Saka has brought this forward, as it's clearly not in the best interest of the residents of Alki he is supposed to represent. I respectfully ask for the Council to reconsider, as it has the strong likelihood of exacerbating the very problem it claims to solve.

I have serious safety concerns- cars drag race along that stretch and there have been many accidents already with cars parked on other side being hit by racing cars. My car has been hit twice parked on that stretch already. Fire truck access to residences there would also be hampered. I do not support the proposed changes

Removing the diagonal parking on Harbor Avenue is fine. However, changing the parking on Alki Ave. to diagonal parking in the suggested area (1200 to 1700) is borderline stupidity. You're eliminating one area that is notorious for raucous noise, dangerous fights, drug use, and gunfire/violent behavior and transplanting those risks to an area which is even more populated. That area already has more juvenile car racing & noise (especially on weekends) when the bars close and the drunks leave with their "alcohol muscles". Enough is enough! Give us a break and leave Alki Ave (1200 thru 1700) alone and as-is!

The addition of the Alki spaces is a mistake. It just moves the problem around the point to inconvenience more residents. The street is quite narrow anyway, making it hard for emergency vehicles to pass during the summer. Crime will increase along this stretch of Alki as the Harbor Avenue problems just migrate. This should have been presented in the original plan. Don't do this!

I live on Alki Ave SW where the additional parking is proposed. Bringing more cars to the area increases safety concerns, especially with more drivers exceeding the speed limit. The risk outweighs any benefit of additional parking. Please consider pay to park, installing speed cameras and more speed bumps regardless, as the street is currently hazardous. Also the speed limits for scooters and e-bikes should be posted and enforced.

Why was parking removed in the first place?

Absolutely no overnight parking on the west side. Consider parking zone stickers for residents.

Thank you.

Dianne Murphy

257 Diannemurphy03@gmail.com

I am supportive of adjusting Duwamish Head parking and maintaining overnight parking restrictions.

I am not supportive of Alki Avenue SW Parking adjustments. If this is a public safety issue and requires reducing parking at Duwamish Head, opening 140 parking spots in a row along Alki Avenue moves the problem. The 258 additional parking is not needed in this area and creates more traffic issues along with additional public safety concerns.

This is NOT a welcome proposal. It will only add to the existing traffic problems on Alki Avenue. During the day there are continual daytime traffic delays and back ups which will only be exacerbated by additional drivers stopping traffic while attempting to park on the waterside.

The evening and nighttime situation is already problematic with vehicles who have chosen Alki to be the local drag race track and passing each other in the oncoming traffic lane. The local law enforcement is sadly lacking in policing this dangerous and noisy practice on a nightly basis which is even worse on weekends. Parking should absolutely be eliminated overnight!

I am hard pressed to figure out who this proposal will benefit. Certainly it will only be a detriment to the residents and voters of the area who pay hefty property taxes to enjoy their homes and views.

259

Adding parking along Alki Ave is the worst possible solution. It will only bring more frustrated drivers into an area full of pedestrians and cyclists. This is the opposite of what Vision Zero aims to achieve. Visitor parking 260 should be in a garage far away from pedestrians with public buses to popular destinations.

The unobstructed views along Alki Avenue are a gift to the community creating the most beautiful drive in Seattle(similar to the views from the old Viaduct). This should be preserved for drivers and visitors. There's plenty of parking 355+ days a year.

261 This proposal pushes the illegal activity from one area to another while ruining the view. No on overnight parking and no on additional Alki parking.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed plan to increase parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW.

Alki Avenue's waterfront is not merely a thoroughfare; it is a vital public amenity, a natural habitat, and a cornerstone of West Seattle's identity. Increasing parking along this stretch would have several detrimental impacts:

Diminished Public Access and Aesthetic Value: The water side of Alki is prized for its unobstructed views of Puget Sound and Olympic Mountains. Adding more rows of parked cars would significantly obstruct these views, creating a visual barrier between the community and the natural beauty of the waterfront.

Environmental Impact: Increased vehicle traffic and idling cars contribute to air and noise pollution, negatively impacting both human health and the local environment.

Prioritizing Cars over People and Active Transportation: Alki is a hub for walking, running, and cycling. The city should be prioritizing investments in public transit, safe pedestrian infrastructure, and enhanced cycling routes, rather than expanding car-centric infrastructure in such a sensitive area.

Loss of Green Space: Even if current green spaces are minimal, any expansion of parking could preclude future opportunities for creating or enhancing public green spaces, seating areas, or natural buffers along the waterfront.

Safety Concerns: More parked cars and increased vehicle maneuvers (parking, unparking) in close proximity to a heavily used pedestrian and cycling path inherently introduce greater safety risks for vulnerable road users.

First Responder Access: Increased parking and the inevitable rise in traffic congestion would severely impede the ability of emergency vehicles (fire, police, ambulance) to quickly access the waterfront area in times of need.

Sincerely,

Jeff K Hill
Concerned Citizen / Alki Resident

262	The section of the park where you are considering adding new parking doesn't have a very wide trail (it's often crowded) or very many park features. Was there any consideration given to turning this area over to park
263	space like was done at Constellation Park?
264	We don't need another parking lot in our beautiful city. No overnight parking, no additional Alki parking.
265	Adding parking on Alki Ave will make the waterfront feel more and more distant and unreachable. Instead it should be improved so it truly feels like one big waterfront park. Adding parking will just result in parking becoming full.
266	I am not in favor of these changes. I worry about pedestrian and cyclist safety, especially navigating bike lanes, parking cars, car doors. This is a very special part of our city for families to enjoy walking and biking together - increased parking means increased congestion. If you must put in parking - it should have the same overnight restrictions.
267	Parking lots attract trouble. This seems like the opposite of a solution to "loitering and illegal activities." Why not expand the park instead? Or improve bike and pedestrian facilities?
	Shouldn't this require an environmental impact statement? The missing link in the Burke-Gilman has been delayed for decades for environmental reasons but something that will encourage more driving and result in more salmon-killing tire particles near the water can be installed within a year? Something is wrong here.

	<p>This change is a bad idea for several reasons:</p> <p>1.- All modern cities are moving away from creating more parking spaces in public land for private vehicles and instead they are creating more public and active transportation alternatives. At a minimum, adding new parking spaces along Alki Ave SW is unnecessary and antiquated. Example: The city just opened the waterfront park in Seattle downtown: instead of increasing the parking spaces, big walkable areas were created, a new bike lane, etc. Why do we want to do exactly the opposite in Alki Beach?</p> <p>2.-Residents in this area already have parking on the land side of Alki Ave. Business are located far away at the South end of Alki and will not benefit from additional parking.</p> <p>3.- Alki is notorious for rough behavior and crime. The city just cut down the hours of Alki Park because it doesn't have resources to control the crowds. Additional parking will attract more people and create a bigger problem.</p> <p>4.-There is already an underutilized car park and at the end of harbor avenue (Southwest Spokane Street Park & Ride) that can provide car access to Alki visitors. People can park and then walk/roll/bike along the water. We even have a free bus (Route 775) that rides along Alki for those who can't or don't want to walk. How about increasing frequencies?</p> <p>5.- Just a few months ago we woke up with a dead body on the street, exactly at the area of the diagonal parking. Any day you can find people smoking weed and the area is full of trash in the mornings. Cars parked the wrong way all the time. If this area is problematic and the city doesn't have the resources to control it, the solution is not spreading the bad guys along Alki Ave, this will be even more difficult to police. We need enforcing the current rules (anticruising, no speeding, and no drugs and alcohol in the park), no more parking spots.</p>
268	<p>I don't feel this area will benefit from more parking. During a time we are slowly removing parking and trying to cut down or car usage this only further encourages it. Please reconsider not spending money and time on</p>
269	<p>this project.</p>
270	<p>Why not make a bigger bike lane? More side walk? More parking will just increase traffic on a peaceful water front area this is not a helpful idea</p>
271	<p>Do not add more parking/private vehicle storage to Alki. Make more space for all people walking, biking, and rolling to enjoy the waterfront.</p>
272	<p>As a city, we should be removing car parking, not adding it. We have a goal of reducing car trips, don't we? Why don't we act like it? Adding 140 spaces would a terrible move toward accelerating climate change and enabling car dependence.</p>
273	<p>This change is a bad idea for several reasons:</p> <p>1.- All modern cities are moving away from creating more parking spaces in public land for private vehicles and instead they are creating more public and active transportation alternatives. At a minimum, adding new parking spaces along Alki Ave SW is unnecessary and antiquated. Example: The city just opened the waterfront park in Seattle downtown: instead of increasing the parking spaces, big walkable areas were created, a new bike lane, etc. Why do we want to do exactly the opposite in Alki Beach?</p> <p>2.-Residents in this area already have parking on the land side of Alki Ave. Business are located far away at the South end of Alki and will not benefit from additional parking.</p> <p>3.- Alki is notorious for rough behavior and crime. The city just cut down the hours of Alki Park because it doesn't have resources to control the crowds. Additional parking will attract more people and create a bigger problem.</p> <p>4.-There is already an underutilized car park and at the end of harbor avenue (Southwest Spokane Street Park & Ride) that can provide car access to Alki visitors. People can park and then walk/roll/bike along the water. We even have a free bus (Route 775) that rides along Alki for those who can't or don't want to walk. How about increasing frequencies?</p> <p>5.- Just a few months ago we woke up with a dead body on the street, exactly at the area of the diagonal parking. Any day you can find people smoking weed and the area is full of trash in the mornings. Cars parked the wrong way all the time. If this area is problematic and the city doesn't have the resources to control it, the solution is not spreading the bad guys along Alki Ave, this will be even more difficult to police. We need enforcing the current rules (anticruising, no speeding, and no drugs and alcohol in the park), no more parking spots.</p>
274	<p>I have lived in West Seattle for many decades. Alki is the birthplace of Seattle and needs to be protected for its unique character and extreme beauty. No place in the city is there an unobstructed view of the Olympic Mountains, blue waters, brilliant sunsets and a place to show off this splendor to visitors and residents of the Northwest. It should be the pride of the area and protected like a park for the many future generations to follow. Not to waste as a parking lot.</p> <p>I am MUCH apposed to adding the parking along 1200-1700 Alki Avenue SW due to the 1)decreased pedestrian safety with the all the walkers, runners, bikers, parents and grandparents out with strollers and children riding scooters, out for the enjoyment along the path. 2) there is no place for police or emergency vehicles to pass with single lane of 2 way traffic. 3) where are the resources to monitor this parking and give tickets or resolve issues. 4) Please think longer term and not for the whim of one short term politician's request. Take the water taxi or shuttle service to get around Alki and not add to the mega traffic that exists today.</p>
275	<p>Ask the fire department how they feel about this. When the traffic is bumper to bumper in the summertime the emergency vehicles need room to come through. I'm not in favor of allowing parking between 1200 and 1700 blocks. Thank you.</p>

	<p>It seems to me that adding available parking along Alki is counter-productive to the longer term vision of lessening traffic and congestion on Alki...and in Seattle in general. In addition, spending public money during times</p>
276	<p>of budget duress to add parking that may only address the increased traffic during the Summer is foolish. I think we all can find higher priority needs on Alki and Seattle.</p>
	<p>I would much rather this space be made into a bike lane. It's too dangerous walking along the alki trail with e-bikes and they should be given space to separate them from pedestrians.</p>
277	<p>Volumes are really high in the summer and adding parking won't fix it</p>
	<p>Do not add more parking anywhere along Alki, we beg you. We should be doing everything in our power to reduce the amount of vehicles along that avenue, parked or in motion. Use the space as a dedicated bus lane, or</p>
278	<p>embellish the existing bike path, turn it into more green space, absolutely anything other than more space for cars.</p>
	<p>Instead Seattle should run an Alki direct shuttle bus from the SoDo light rail station. The neighborhood and neighbors don't want more cars. More permit required parking for residents, more transit for other Seattleites to</p>
279	<p>come to the beach stress free.</p>
	<p>Absolutely do not add more parking and take even more biking, walking, and other recreation from Alki. The sidewalks and bike lanes are already full and cannot give more space. Instead add more transit to Alki, so many</p>
280	<p>times I would like to go but there are few transit options and driving there is terrible (and would be made worse under this plan). Honestly Alki would be so much more pleasant with less encouragement of cars.</p>
281	<p>More parking means more cars, more traffic, more pollution, more dangerous driving. It's going to be a great way to kill people!</p>
	<p>I do not support adding on-street parking on the water side of Alki Ave SW. Please do not ruin the waterfront by filling it with parked cars and inviting even more traffic.</p>
282	<p>Yes, you should restrict overnight parking. On-street parking should not be used for permanent vehicle storage.</p>
283	<p>I recall in the nineties that the City and the builders of the new condos on Alki would provide parking for only they would not have parking across the street on the water side.</p>
	<p>Terrible!</p>
	<p>It will negatively impact the bird population that is abundant in this area as it has a low 'human access' due to no parking. The City allows loud cars and motorcycles to have extreme negative impact on the residents along this stretch of Alki and now you are going to add vehicle and camper parking, vehicle access and associated noise from those activities for 18 hours a day.</p>
	<p>Need true, strong, measurable and enforced vehicle noise control and hours limited from 8:00 to 8:00 to even consider accepting such a change.</p>
284	<p>The City will be negatively impacting our home values which result in class action litigations for damages.</p>
	<p>If the problem we're trying to address is violence from motorists, increasing motorist access to Alki is counterproductive. This effort would be better spent on improving transit access to Alki and better protection of the</p>
285	<p>multiuse path with bollards or other protective elements.</p>
	<p>I am confused. Isn't the city's main public safety strategy at parks this summer limiting access to parking lots? Why would we build what amounts to a whole new parking lot then? This will attract crime and create issues</p>
286	<p>for the neighborhood</p>
	<p>I am strongly opposed to this change. Increasing vehicle access to this treasured waterfront will make the experience worse for all parties. Emissions from idling vehicles will increase, as will noise pollution. I would much</p>
287	<p>rather see the extra street space converted to expand the pedestrian and bicycle boulevard, and make the street narrower to slow vehicle speeds and increase safety.</p>
	<p>This is a terrible idea that will further entrench car ownership as a necessity to access Seattle's natural beauty. Cars are not scalable, significantly limit community connection, and yield significant public space to serve a</p>
288	<p>wealthy few. This space should be utilized for walk/roll/ride.</p>
	<p>I live on Alki Ave SW on the 1500 block. I think this is a terrible idea. Traffic on Alki Ave gets very congested in the summer. The stretch between 1200 and 1700 does not have side streets or a median turning lane. By not having parking on the water side, this provides the extra space for emergency vehicles to circumvent traffic. If there is parking on both sides, traffic through that stretch of the road will come to a standstill wherever there</p>
289	<p>is any issue, as there is no way to bypass it. We need more police patrols and law enforcement on Alki Ave, not more congestion that will put residents at risk.</p>
	<p>I am against re adding parking to alki Avenue</p>
	<p>Either add some trees, make it a bus only lane (and run a regular bus service) or make it a bike lane.</p>
	<p>Really? More car storage at a beach? How about encouraging more active transportation.</p>
290	

291	<p>Adding parking to the west side of Alki Ave SW would have tremendous negative impact on property values for homes there. Additionally, it will create even greater traffic and congestion due to parking motions and put the heavy foot traffic at greater risk. Overnight parking should never be allowed in this area</p>
292	<p>Having lived on the this stretch of Alki Avenue SW for over a year I can honestly say that adding parking to the water side would pose a serious safety risk for my family and local residents. During certain times the traffic on Alki Avenue SW is such that no emergency vehicles would be able to get to our location without the extra lane width because this stretch has no side streets that serve it. I am truly afraid that parking on the water side would risk the lives of those of us who live on this stretch of Alki Avenue SW. The answer to the concerns raised at Duwamish Head is not to add more parking and choke us off from emergency services but to step up police patrols and to hold those who believe rules don't apply to them accountable. My family and I are strongly against adding any kind of parking to the water side of Alki Avenue SW between the 1200 and 1700 blocks.</p>
293	<p>This is bad for pedestrians and cyclists. We don't need more car parking. Keep the space open for people not vehicles!</p> <p>I am completely against adding more parking on Alki. Instead of more parking bus service should be added and the space prioritized for for pedestrian use and active transportation. Alki has WAY too many cars already and too many problems caused by too many cars and lack of enforcement of laws. Speeding, illegally loud exhausts, racing, menacing, and DUI are all *constant* to the point that I avoid biking there on Friday and Saturday evenings.</p>
294	<p>This area should be used for park space rather than parking space. Improving public transit and pedestrian/bike accommodations would be a better use of associated funds.</p>
295	<p>I don't support re-establishing on-street parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW. This is one of the most scenic stretches of public shoreline in the city, and we should be using that space for people, not for more car storage. If anything, street space along the waterfront should be converted into parks, walking paths, and protected bike lanes that invite residents and visitors to enjoy the area safely and comfortably. Why after years of showing we don't need this this parking would we bring it back?</p> <p>The idea that we can solve public safety concerns, like loitering or illegal activity near parking lots, by simply shifting the problem to a new location doesn't make sense. We're not addressing the root issues by creating more parking elsewhere.</p> <p>Alki already struggles with speeding, reckless driving, and congestion during the summer months. Adding more parking will only encourage more driving and make those problems worse. Instead of continuing to prioritize vehicle access and storage on public land, we should be investing in infrastructure that makes the waterfront more accessible to people walking, biking, rolling, and taking transit. That's how we create a safer, more welcoming, and more sustainable public space for everyone.</p>
296	<p>I feel this is literally the worst thing that you could possibly do. Adding increased parking is asking for additional traffic, more cars, and less safety for the people trying to enjoy the park and coastline.</p> <p>It's a concept called Induced Demand - and much like the Field of Dreams - if you build it, they will come.</p> <p>In droves. Loads of cars overloading the already packed street, searching for one of the mythic few spots added - each car carrying one person each. Idling in the lot. Taking up additional space. No one from the other side of the street can view the beach anymore because a car is blocking it. People deciding to run over curbs to try and get out of a traffic jam because someone is busy trying to squeeze the last of a parallel park. More residents and visitors are getting clipped, hit, or just plain run over because someone got fed up yielding to a crosswalk. More cars, more annoying sounds, less people want to patronize the businesses or can enjoy the park.</p> <p>If you want to manage demand effectively, do it literally anywhere else. Keep it the hell away from the coastline, and expand the PARK, not the parking.</p> <p>Here's a freebie - if demand for access to the park and coast is so important to you, institute a satellite parking lot and a rotating 15 minute free/reduced ORCA fare shuttle with stops at Duwamish Head, and along Alki beach park. Everyone is happy with the result. Hell, get some rental bikes to drop off in droves there too. I guarantee it'll have better results.</p>
297	<p>This is a bad idea. Seattle should not be prioritizing parking and the increased presence of cars. The space should be used for mass transit or widening the trail or even be redesigned as park space.</p>
298	<p>I don't think it's a good idea. I like the openness that reduced parking creates there especially with the water being right there. I think Transit to alki from other locations in West Seattle should be improved instead</p>

300	<p>This is exactly what you should NOT be doing. This vacant space should be reallocated to additional space for people walking, biking and using mobility aids. Parking will add congestion, pollution and reduce the quality of the waterfront area. Instead, plant more trees, and more trail, literally anything other than add parking.</p>
301	<p>We should not be adding parking to one of the busiest pedestrian and cycling thruways connecting Alki and Lincoln park to the rest of the city. Transit lanes or an extended sidewalk / MUP would be better. Many cyclists use the road here when the sidewalk gets crowded. Adding more parking with reduce safety for everyone and increase conflict among path users when the roads, already hostile to bikes, will become more dangerous and force more cyclists into the pedestrian space. The focus on investment here should be making the space more accommodating to people not storing cars. We should be investing in more transit if access is a concern but as of now my household only ever bikes to Alki from white center and while I wish is were safer for us, what we don't need is more cars down in our parks.</p>
302	<p>1. I agree that Duwamish Head should be changed to parallel parking; done deal. 2. Parking on water side of Alki as proposed: NO! A) So many car crashes, particularly at the corner near the 1200-1400 blocks - several per year including cars in the water; light poles knocked down into yards; cars across sidewalks not always missing pedestrians, etc. Additional cars along this area will likely give the impression there is more space available (there is not) and cause more property damage, loud and disruptive behavior, etc. And it simply moves the problem from Duwamish down the road. All for giving families and any/all law abiding people the opportunity to enjoy Alki, but not particularly hot on the idea of providing parking for more street-racing rallies, drinking fests, and gun fights. Perhaps add a parking lot somewhere and a shuttle service during peak holidays/weekends? PLUS: City removed a bunch of existing parking on Alki/Rocky Beach based on complaints of street racing and desire to make Rocky Beach park more user friendly. Under this theory, the entire stretch under question here should become local access. If no vehicular access creates a better City park in that area, why would adding more parking and vehicles make it better in a different location? ALSO, while we're at it: Enforce the no dogs on beach - the City would literally make thousands of dollars per day by simply enforcing that law!! 3. Yes, restrict overnight parking EXCEPT for local residents, who should be given (no charge) permits to park near their homes, just as the City does in many congested neighborhoods. AND please, please, please, add law enforcement/patrols. The same people break the speeding and noise laws for hours and hours on the nights they're "out". It's VERY obvious who is breaking the laws. Enforce them!</p>
303	<p>I don't know if you all have noticed but people in cars are angry and crazy. This proposal would stretch out the summertime traffic jam another mile.</p> <p>This stretch of Alki feels exceptionally safe for walking and biking, because there is little interface with cars. Introducing car parking is just asking for trouble, conflict, traffic and decreased safety.</p> <p>If we reduced parking instead, there would be less fighting along alki Ave. People aren't getting in a fight if then they have to make their escape hiking along alki Ave.</p>
304	<p>I do not support this chance. We should explore other ways to reduce traffic and speeding cars without building more parking spaces.</p>
305	<p>Seems like more parking will just bring more traffic to the area. The space could be better used.</p> <p>This is an insane response to the excess cars that flood Alki every summer. We need more transit, bike lanes, and bike parking infrastructure, not more car storage. Doing nothing with this space would be better than adding parking.</p>
307	<p>More parking does not fix the issue of too many cars looking for parking at Alki. It only induces more people to drive. If you want more people to enjoy Alki, make transit, biking and walking a better way to get to the area.</p>
308	<p>We need more greenspace and walkable areas in Alki, combined with more transportation to get people there not more parking. We need to make it harder to cruise & drag race around Alii not easier.</p>
309	<p>We shouldn't add parking back at all. Lets keep more space for people walking and biking.</p>
310	<p>Parking at the beach is ridiculous. Cars on busy summer days looking for parking cause gridlock in area. A few more spots won't fix that. Less parking more transit</p>
311	<p>Very negative. The area absolutely should not receive more parking. Encourage other routes and expand pedestrian and transit access instead.</p>
312	<p>Adding parking is dumb. Alki is full of pedestrians and bikes and adding more cars will make it less safe. If there's space for parking, use it for pedestrians, bikes, trees, outdoor cafes, anything but more cars.</p>
313	<p>I think this is dumb, bad policy. This is the kind of policy that leads to climate change accelerating. This is the kind of policy that turns a beautiful beach into a loud, polluted, congested blight. This should be expanded park space not, not expanded car idling and car parking space.</p>
314	<p>The last thing we need on Alki is more cars. We should expand the pedestrian/cycling paths into the street to provide more room for people, not for cars.</p>

Generally I am negative on adding more parking. More parking adds more cars which increases congestion, reduces pedestrian and bike safety, and degrades beach/retail experience. Reestablishing water side parking may
315 bring some safety challenges as people back up into the travel lane with reduced visibility. Restricting overnight parking seems like overkill and a result of not metering or timing the spots.

Please do not make these changes as they will not help. This will cause more crowding and more cars in this congested area. More space is needed for people to walk and roll. More parking just invites more cars to idle and slow crawl through the area. Please do not add the parking on the water side!

I just biked through the area last weekend and immediately regretted it because the space that should be for bikes is overwhelmed with walkers and strollers. The space with cars is dangerous and slow moving, making it
316 also impossible for bikes.

317 This is a poor use of public fund and public space. Please invest this money into something productive, like more greenery or park space or literally anything else.

I think it is a terrible idea. I have witnessed more than a dozen accidents in front of our building in the last decade. Adding parking on the waterside will increase the number of accidents by narrowing lanes. People are
318 distracted driving in Alki for a number of reasons and narrowing the driving lane will increase accidents. Emergency vehicles will have a huge challenge with a single narrow lane. Traffic jams will be much worse as people turn left into their homes and cars cannot safely go around. The speed bumps seem to have reduced accidents and racing but reducing the driving lanes would be going in the wrong direction.

Highly Opposed to this idea. Lived in WS all my long life. Seen a lot of mishaps over the years.

This area was designated a protected scenic view for all to have an unobstructed view of the beautiful Olympic Mountains, the blue waters teaming with wild life including the whale pods breaching and eagles flying overhead. This strip between 1200-1700 should be a protected park to show the birthplace of Seattle for many generations.

Not a parking lot for a few visitors or the condos to consume.

It is the most traveled scenic site in the summer to watch spectacular sunsets.

Don't let short sighted politicians with myopic viewpoints destroy this area for a minor few versus the beautiful protected area available to all.

Parking on both sides of the streets just restricted lanes used by emergency vehicles to get to accidents and heart attack victims.

it is too busy with pedestrians walking with families, including elderly grandparents and children in stroller using the bike and walking paths. Creates a more dangerous congested area. Parked cars would block the safety of crossing the street. Also not enough speed bumps to discourage speeding.

Council Member Saka,

I am strongly opposed to the proposal to extend street parking to both sides of Alki Ave SW from the 1200 - 1700 block. I've been a homeowner for 12 years on the 1200 block of Alki Ave SW.

Crime & Safety: The problem at Duwamish Head is a policing issue and not a parking one. Reducing parking at the location could help, but moving parking spots to other areas on Alki does not solve for the problem of reducing crime and may spread it out.

Policing: Seattle Police do not regularly patrol the area and reckless driving remains the number one issue for this neighborhood. Along with the illegal activity and loitering, this could all be solved by stepping up patrols in the area. Every night there's drunk/impaired drivers, cars speeding at high rates, drag racing, modified mufflers and sound systems blasting noise down the street, and other traffic violations that are unenforced. This continues to get worse each year.

Accidents: Along the 1200-1700 block of Alki Avenue SW, my wife and I have witnessed several accidents and their aftermaths. Adding two sided street parking further condenses the street to just one small lane each way, exacerbating the safety concerns and increasing the potential for more accidents.

Traffic Congestion: The stretch of Alki Avenue without waterside parking is critical to the flow of vehicles as drivers make left hand turns into their homes and turn down side streets. The bottleneck is worse where there is two sided street parking, and at times it gets so bad that backups extend over a mile from the beach area to Duwamish Head. The additional parking would only make this worse.

Emergency Services: Without the ability to bypass congestion caused by the additional two sided street parking along Alki, emergency services could be delayed or unable to get down this section of the street on the busiest summer days with high volumes of traffic.

John Boose
320 1210 Alki Ave SW, Unit 601

This will undoubtedly increase existing issues associated with high traffic in the alki area. The water side of alki is one of the most beautiful views in Seattle and lining the street with idling vehicles will horrendously diminish that. Parking doesn't always have to be perfectly convenient. Encourage other modes of transportation. Alki has its problems, and this plan will only increase them.

322 This will add additional traffic and car related pollution and should require a review of the potential negative environmental consequences.

323 It's not necessary. We should be reducing the amount of parking in this area overall.

324 I'd rather the city decrease the amount of parking here in total. More cars opening doors along this busy pedestrian and bike path is dangerous. There is plenty of parking for visitors to Alki.

Instead of adding parking, repurpose the extra right of way to increase space for people walking and biking, and add street trees. Narrowing the street and adding stop signs will calm the street and reduce speeding / street racing, making the street safer and more pleasant.

If you must add parking (you shouldn't), ensure pedestrian crossings are physically daylighted, and controlled with stop signs (not just yield signs, which drivers regularly ignore). The parking shouldn't be free, charge a market hourly rate. Also, if parking is added, but restricted at night, please design it in a way that ensures the extra wide right of way doesn't enable speeding/racing. Traffic calming is imperative.

325

this sucks. that space could be way better used for expanding the sidewalk or bike path, or for a bus lane (why the hell isn't there regular bus service along Alki?)

326 for a street like Alki with a history of cruising and street racing that the city is constantly trying to discourage, why are you building more car infrastructure?

327	We do not need additional parking, we need space for people, not cars
328	Alki does not need more space dedicated to cars. Use the space to expand public space for people to actually enjoy, like widening the walking/biking/rolling trail or adding more park space. This space should absolutely be prioritized for people walking and rolling. The city should be doing everything it can to encourage people to get to Alki in modes other than private vehicles, such as buses or the West Seattle Water Taxi.
329	Add more park space, not more parking
330	I am opposed to "Alki Avenue SW Parking Adjustment". We don't need more parking, we need more park space, we need a wider trail, and we need more bus service on this incredibly dense corridor full of residents and visitors. This will do nothing for crime and public safety. Please solve the transportation problems we actually have instead of going by the whims of a councilmember who does not live on Harbor Avenue and doesn't have any transportation expertise.
331	Signed, Harbor Avenue resident
332	This change would increase street congestion and decrease pedestrian safety will make it harder for emergency vehicles. Will cause more accidents as people are stowing down and looking to park. As homeowner who lives along Alki Ave this will make things worse on Alki during the summer months. Yes definitely restrict over night parking as you do on Harbor Ave SW
333	I do not think any parking should replace the parking that is being removed. Instead the space being freed up by removing the parking should be repurposed for people biking, walking, and rolling. I think an overall reduction in parking will go a long way towards improving safety for people using the park.
334	This is a terrible idea - there are no turn arounds today for a large section of Alki and removing the unused lane will make it impossible for anyone to turn around without utilizing private driveways. This already happens today but is not egregiously bad because of the width of the road.
335	Terrible. Alki should be for people not parking. Add parking under the bridge. We already have free shuttle buses running. Please add plants not pavement.
336	I am strongly opposed to the addition of new parking spaces in an area that would be much better served by a transit lane, or additional multi-use path space. We should seek to reduce greenhouse gas emissions along environmentally critical areas like Alki, not induce a demand that increases them.
337	Alki doesn't need more parking. Making the area more pedestrian and transit friendly will have a higher ROI for local businesses and make the area more appealing to spend time in. Build park space & public space, not parking spaces please.
338	The Duwamish Head Parking Adjustment sounds good, but unnecessary. The Alki Adjustment seems unnecessary - more people should be taking the walkaboard ferry, buses, and bikes to the area and we should deprioritize cars. Overnight parking should be removed in most commercial areas, so yes, remove overnight parking.
339	Not a supporter. What is the need of parking in this section when there isn't any businesses or beach access. Yes we should restrict over night parking on the water side of Alki.
340	This space would be much better used as a bike lane. If parking brings guns and violence to Duwamish Head, it will bring guns and violence here. Regardless, there should be no overnight restrictions.
341	Alki is already deeply uncomfortable with the number of drivers and traffic that it has today. Adding more parking will only encourage more drivers to come to Alki. The city should invest in more park space, trails, protected bike infrastructure, or transit improvements to the area rather than making even more parking on an already congested area that will draw yet more traffic.
342	Nowhere in the city should be increasing availability of parking. Instead, focus on creating safe bike routes and reliable public transit that folks will use instead of driving
343	Please show us the SEPA Checklist that demonstrates giving over more of our public space to cars is a good thing for the environment.
344	We spend YEARS of back and forth over adding a single bike lane, tens of thousands of dollars on "impact studies", and you just tack on 140 new spots for automobiles with no additional consideration? This is fundamentally contrary to what Seattle needs. No new car parking should be added.
345	I am outraged regarding this plan. What will more parking achieve? More cars? More issues? Are you so concerned for climate and orcas that you would dump more brake dust into the Sound? I cannot believe SDOT would back such a plan
346	Please do not allow parking between 1200 and 1700 on Alki Ave. There is already so much racing, loud reckless driving, accidents and dangerous behavior that I am ready to move out. This will be nail in the coffin as far as I'm concerned.
347	Instead of additional parking with need speed cameras to help reduce the dangerous racing happening down Alki Ave.
	Focus on reducing the existing crime and don't add more parking on Alki. https://westseattleblog.com/2025/06/west-seattle-crime-watch-early-morning-gunfire-at-alki/

	<p>Please, no. Will just move the car problems from one place to another.</p> <p>Parking along there will bring more congestion, making it unsafe for pedestrians and bicyclists.</p>
348	Emergency response would be more difficult
	<p>This stretch is wonderful for cycling, and adding more parking will substantially decrease that due the risk of getting doored by inattentive drivers. Additionally this seems to worsen the problems with crime and</p> <p>349 misbehavior in the park, as well as local traffic, directly contrary to the motivation for the original parking changes in the first place. As a local resident I do not support this proposal.</p>
350	Don't need more parking
	<p>I think that both of these changes are not good decisions and it is unclear what the reasoning is in identifying issues and their solutions.</p> <p>For Duwamish Head, how will reducing parking help with safety concerns? There will be 10 fewer spots so there might be fewer people but there will still be people, how is this preventing loitering and illegal activities? If anything this is a park that people want to go to and you are now making it harder for people to access the park. If you want to add ADA parking then you only need to convert a few spots. If you want to prevent loitering then this is not a solution to that problem.</p> <p>For the changes to Alki Ave, you've identified that the park/beach area is in high demand but a huge part of that is because it's generally a nice place to go for people. The biggest drawback currently is the constant stream of cars separating the beach and restaurants, if you are at a restaurant you might not see the beach through the traffic. Adding more parking to the already congested avenue, even if it is north of the beach and commercial area, will only add to the congestion and make the alki experience worse. There are academic studies that have found that increased parking only causes increased congestion. In the case of Alki some of the reasons are: you are adding parallel parking spots which take time to enter and exit from so there would be 100 more cases of people slowing down traffic and increasing congestion; people think that they will be able to find a spot which would increase the case of people driving alone rather than car pooling, increasing congestion; there may be people who decide to drive to alki assuming they can park that might have otherwise not</p> <p>351 which would increase congestion. If we want to decrease congestion than we should be encouraging less driving and not more, and move cars away from the beach not towards it.</p>
	<p>I do not support this significant net increase in parking. There is already a ton of valuable public space dedicated to cars. More parking for individual cars means more car trips, more traffic and pollution. Please pursue</p> <p>352 options that support people walking, biking, and using transit.</p>
353	I don't think we should re-establish daytime on-street parking. You got rid of it, and it is lovely! You could do anything there - don't bring back the cars.
	<p>354 I have some concerns, I don't understand why we would enable more car traffic along the beach, or have more parked cars that obstruct the view. It seems to me that this will also increase chances of collisions.</p> <p>Increase street congestion. Stress resources to resolve parking and noise issues. Decrease pedestrian safety. Must restrict overnight parking. Police presence already stressed on Alki. More traffic will add to lack of police</p> <p>355 presence.</p>
	<p>I don't understand how adding more parking contributes to the stated goals of improving public safety. I would prefer to see improvements that support public safety in the form of protected bike lines moving pedestrians</p> <p>356 as far away from cars as possible.</p>

	<p>They are removing back in parking at Duwamish Head because of loitering and illegal activities in this area. In the late 1990's HO Associations petitioned SDOT and Parks to remove beach side parking between the 1200 and 1700 blocks for the same reasons of loitering, street racing, raucous partying and other illegal activity. This proposal simply moves those problems right back to the 1200 thru 1700 blocks. We all know there is far less police coverage along Alki today than there was back in 1997.</p> <p>Removing diagonal parking at DHead is a great solution, moving the problems there into the 1200-1700 blocks is a non-solution to the problem, rather an increase in this activity as it adds more parking to conduct illegal activity.</p> <p>While we welcomed the installation of road humps along Alki and Harbor, it has not done a lot to mitigate racing and racing engines between the humps.</p> <p>We don't need more parking on Alki.</p> <p>Nancy Adams 357 Resident at 1388 Alki Ave SW since 1997</p>
	<p>I expect that you know that "parking demand" is induced, not naturally extant. Why would you make Alki even worse to enjoy by inducing demand for drivers and bringing in more vehicles, noise pollution, and air pollution?</p> <p>358 If you care about accessibility, talk with Metro. If you don't care about accessibility but you do care about Alki, do nothing.</p>
	<p>I am very opposed to adding parallel parking to the scenic and pedestrian heavy walking path along Allison between 1200-1700 Alki Ave. Parking on both sides of street leave in very narrow stretch for traffic. Provides significant congestion which is dangerous for pedestrians. People cannot efficiently park and create traffic backup accentuating the congestion. no emergency vehicles will have room to get by during to summer to get to emergency accident or cars going into water or a heart attack at a condo full of people over 65.</p> <p>This is the only unobstructed view of the Olympic Mountains, blue water, beautiful sunsets over the birthplace of Seattle where a multitude of cars drive at sunset to see this spectacular view. The should be protected park for benefit of current and future generations. Should not be a parking lot and the idea is so myopic and short sighted and a bad idea.</p> <p>359</p>
	<p>Please be sure decision makers who propose new waterside parking spend time on Alki Ave SW.</p> <p>They need to spend sufficient time watching the interactions and conflicts between parked and parking cars, doors opening to the bike/pedestrian path, speeding e-scooters, pedal sureys filled with laughter and multigenerational family members, skaters, etc, etc.</p> <p>Extending the danger zone with more cars is just a bad idea.</p> <p>Overnight parking should continue to be monitored. Residents should be encouraged to report abusers to Find-it,Fix-it.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>360 David Braun, District 1 resident</p>
	<p>I am against any parking on the Alki Ave SW at any time of the day. This is a residential area with kids living on the street. Outside of the challenges with increased localized traffic, noise and pollution; it takes away privacy and safety from the kids and exposes them to things including crime that are best kept away- closer to the commercial areas. Plus, the property values were based on unobstructed views and this is going to block views.</p> <p>361 This doesn't seem to benefit any of the residents.</p> <p>362 Please don't increase parking. This area needs more pedestrian, transit, and bike facilities. Not more car infrastructure.</p>
	<p>I don't know how removing angled parking will reduce illegal activities. In my opinion, all of Alki should have angled parking, as more spots can be added, and parking is always tough to find on Alki. I don't believe that</p> <p>363 changing how people park will have any effect on illegal activities. Adding more parking should be the priority, but generally, this seems like another poorly thought-out plan from a city that doesn't care about its residents.</p>

364	<p>The proposal does not address the gun violence concerns that Rob Saka is using as basis for changing diagonal parking to parallel parking. The assertion by CM Saka that a modest difference in car parking quantity or alignment has anything to do with gun violence is completely absurd. The proposed parking on west side of Alki Ave SW does not just "help offset the reduced parking at Duwamish Head" -- it totally swamps it by a 15 to 1 ratio. It is not proportional. However, it could have a traffic safety benefit. Narrowing the southbound lane can reduce vehicle speeds and reduce the temptation to pass or race in the lane. The same objective could be met by increasing the width of the planting area, bike path and pedestrian path, which would benefit the park and visitors.</p>
365	<p>This would be a huge challenge to put parking across the street on Alki Ave. Just last night there were 100 stunt cars in Don Armeni Boat Ramp and the police were called in to close the park to the public. Making more parking spaces will increase this behavior making it unbearable to home owners who live on Alki. The city of Seattle doesn't even have enough police presence and this would make things even worse for everyone.</p>
366	<p>NO OVERNIGHT PARKING! That would be a disaster for this area. It's also true that the congestion, especially on holidays like July 4th and New Years, would be impossible. It's already backed up for miles along Alki Avenue and Harbor Avenues on these dates and any non-rainy summer evening. This would be choking the street down to one very narrow lane in each direction and will not work. We have already experienced deaths, severe injuries and severe property damage due to cars racing and speeding down Alki and Harbor Avenues. Adding more parked cars will just increase property damage and more injuries to innocent residents. The recent speed bumps have shown zero improvement. To add cars parked along Alki Avenue 1200 to 1700 blocks will be another disaster. Please - this is not the solution - you are just transferring the problem.</p>
367	<p>Do not reestablish this parking. We should not be adding more car parking anywhere in the city, and especially not along Alki, where we've had issues with aggressive behavior and cars going way too fast. I live near here and would strongly support anything to reduce the number of cars in this area, and to increase the desirability of walking, biking, and public transit.</p>
	<p>Alki doesn't need more parking. We don't need more loud, heat island generating machines that will make the place more unpleasant for visitors.</p>
368	<p>Also, recently OPCD has faced stiff opposition to the comprehensive plan from people claiming to act on behalf of the orcas. This change seems like it puts more cars, and pollutants, in a prime spot to run off into the water. I suspect this kind of change should be subject to extensive environmental review and objections.</p>
369	<p>Alki is a local treasure and adding more car parking and traffic would only serve to diminish the wonderful natural feature. We should be encouraging more alternative transportation by augmenting our bike infrastructure, light rail, and bus routes. The proposed change will make Alki worse.</p>
370	<p>We purchased a home on Alki in 1989 when we retired. At that time there was an anti cruising law enforced. We loved walking on Alki and seeing families enjoying the beach and park. In recent years we have witnessed the downgrading of our community. Gunshots, extremely loud cars, and motorcycles performing stunts, keep us awake at night. I was within minutes of being hit by a car veering into the water. Please, do not add parking om SW Alki to invite more people who choose loud partying, using out back yard as a restroom, and blatantly ignoring civil responsibility. We greatly appreciate the increased police presence and recognition of the need for a safer community.</p>
371	<p>I do not see the connection between changing the Duwamish Head parking from angled to parallel will address loitering and crime concerns. Where the space is adequate, all the parking along Alki should be converted to angled. Angle parking provides quicker in/out flow and the additional spaces will also help with traffic, especially during busy summer months.</p>
372	<p>Where diagonal parking is to be removed, use the extra space there to establish a separated bike lane. Right now that sidewalk is multi-use, when there is a separate bike line for most of the path to the west.</p> <p>I'm excited about narrowing Alki Ave SW to the west, which will reduce dangerous driving behavior like illegal passing, but I absolutely do not want to see additional waterfront space used for parking. Instead, widen the pedestrian and/or bike paths to occupy more of the right of way. If that's too expensive, install curb stops to narrow the street instead. There is already plenty of parking available, as long as drivers are willing to walk just a couple of minutes.</p> <p>It was an enormous struggle to remove waterfront parking along Beach Dr SW for the Alki Stay Healthy street, and now that it's done it was obviously the right choice. Adding parking along Alki Ave SW will simply mean we'll have to spend time and energy in the future undoing that mistake. Please don't do so.</p>

Alki Avenue SW Parking Adjustment: This change would have negative impacts on the neighborhood. Alki Avenue does not need more street parking just because there is less parking than there is demand- there will ALWAYS be less parking than there is demand. It is an incredibly beautiful location that should be available for everyone. The area proposed for the addition of parking should instead be given to everyone as wider walking and biking space. The existing sidewalk is narrow and pushes people into the shared use path, which creates unnecessary conflicts. There is not enough space on this road to accommodate absolutely everyone's interests, so the City should consider how these changes fit into the City's Comprehensive Plan and Climate goals. Which helps lower car dependency, lowers VMT, lowers emissions, and increases walking and biking mode share more- converting space into parking for 140 cars, or converting that space into additional needed area for people biking and walking?

373 Please do not do this, and instead improve Alki for the many people that are accessing this beautiful area in ways that do not clutter the view and harm the environment. Please do not add parking.

I am a resident of Alki Ave and very much opposed to adding parallel parking on the water side. The 1200-1700 blocks are part of a long stretch of Alki Ave that does not have side streets or a middle turn lane. The current extra space on the water side allows vehicles to go around stopped or slow vehicles, and keep traffic flowing. In the summer Alki Ave gets extremely congested, with many drivers purposely driving slowly or stopping to let passengers in and out. Without this extra space, traffic will be even worse, and, most importantly, there will be no way for emergency vehicles to get around the traffic! The changes to parking at Duwamish Head will only result in the loss of a few spaces, it doesn't make sense to completely mess up traffic and put residents (many of whom are elderly) in danger! Also, overnight parking should not be allowed (except for residents with

374 permits), as that will increase crime which is already a big problem on Alki Ave. Thank you

Spreading the illegal and/or disturbing activities at Duwamish head along the entire stretch of Alki Avenue is very problematic, creating an un-policed nightmare. More loud, fast cars and motorcycles, more bicyclists hitting opening doors of parked cars because there is no room on the bike path, more drinking/etc. parties blocking the narrow sidewalk where families like to stroll... and we know from past experience, when cars parked there illegally, that they are not going to be leaving at 11 PM. More problems for law-enforcement, which is, for the most part, nonexistent at this time.

375

376 No more parking spaces!! Instead, eliminate diagonal and parallel parking and make more space for pedestrians and bikes. No need to restrict parking times if there aren't spaces to park in. :)

I don't approve of this change. You are going to be reducing congestion at Duwamish Head at the expense of spreading congestion in front of my home in the 1500 block. We continue to have noise pollution from hot rodding and racing vehicles multiple nights of the week with nothing done about it and now you are proposing to increase congestion here. No thank you! Please instead do something about the constant racing - people

377 have been killed and injured.

I live at 1350 Alki Ave SW. Water side parking would greatly increase noise and traffic congestion in the 1200 - 1700 block. Safety is a concern as people speed and pass dangerously in this stretch. A head on traffic Collision happened in front of our residence last year when a vehicle passed on the left travelling 80 mph. Parallel parking in this stretch would result in many head on collisions as impatient drivers pass cars attempting to

378 parallel park. If installed overnight parking must be restricted.

As a resident of 1350 Alki Avenue, I am thankful for the opportunity to provide my input. I advocate for prioritization of our collective safety and well-being, while maintaining quality of life for both residents and visitors.

1. Duwamish head parking conversion to parallel parking - will this reduce the number of parking spots? Will it discourage people from hanging out in the area? Right now, it is the only spot that is easily accessible to visitors who want to stop and take photos of the Seattle skyline. I would like to keep this convenience for our visitors. 2. Alki Ave SW between 1200-1700 - Please do not establish daytime parking spots here. This area is already dangerous, with multiple cars that have ended up in the water within the last few years due to reckless driving (I witnessed them and am still a bit traumatized). It will also significantly decrease the quality of life for people living in this neighborhood. I highly recommend that our city focuses on more pedestrian-friendly solutions, including encouraging use of the 773/775 buses that are already in existence. I am happy to provide

379 additional input, volunteer for solutions, etc. to keep our neighborhoods beautiful and safe.

380 Should be used for bike/bus lane not parking.

Please do not add more parking and more cars to the waterfront. We have a precious public resource that should be used in the public interest, not for storing private vehicles. Seattle has a goal of reducing VMTs, and

381 adding parking makes this worse.

	<p>I live in the affected area. I am very opposed to this. Here are my reasons:</p> <p>People parking in this area will create more congestion and noise. During nice weather people already revv their car and motorcycle motors and play really loud music constantly (as sport). This is especially difficult when traffic backs up - dropping a lane will make this worse and cars stopping when someone is parking will make it intolerable.</p> <p>People hang out in their cars on the beach at night drinking, playing loud music, and yelling a lot. We hear it often in nice weather and it will be that much worse right in front of us.</p> <p>On nice days when the pedestrian and bike pathways are packed with pedestrians, skate boarders, roller skaters, scooters, etc (as they should be) bicyclists use the street which can be treacherous. If the extra lane is gone that's going to be even more dangerous.</p> <p>I get that we are lucky to live on the beach and Alki is a regional park BUT impacts on residents should not be magnified - rather they should be mitigated as much as possible. What's being proposed is the opposite, it will make a nice day and evening even more disturbing and stressful for residents. I guarantee you there is no peace sitting on our decks in the summer - day or night - and it's getting to the point where shutting all windows is the norm.</p> <p>Also, what happened to the cameras we were going to get given Alki Aves designation as a "racing street". I would much rather see SDOT follow thru on those promises than adding more congestion for us to deal with. And where do we turn when noise levels on nice days are way above City code - anywhere?</p> <p>Will there be any meetings to discuss this before it's finalized or is it a done-deal?</p>
382	
	<p>This is about the dumbest idea I can imagine. Another example of just shifting a problem from one place to another. This should not be done, it will make a dangerous street even more dangerous. How about enforcing the laws against loitering and illegal activities at the existing Duwamish Head angled parking. This proposed change will just push that activity in front of all of the buildings along Alki Ave SW. Truly a stupid idea. Please do not</p>
383	<p>do this.</p>
	<p>Adding curbside parallel parking to Alki Ave SW will create dooring hazards for people biking on the Alki Trail. I strongly oppose adding parking directly adjacent to the curb. Instead, add a buffer space on street between</p>
384	<p>the parking and the trail, then remove the existing buffer space on the trail edge to create a 14ish foot trail that is safe from parked car doors.</p>
385	<p>It would be a nightmare for the residents. Street would narrow making it impossible to turn around. It would increase congestion. This has been tried before.</p>
	<p>What are the HOURS for DAYTIME ONSTREET PARKING ? This has not been defined in the statement and it needs to be clarified what this means. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TIME FRAME FOR THE PROPOSED PARKING SPACE</p>
386	<p>USAGE.</p>
	<p>This is a horrible idea!!! The last thing we need along the best Park in Seattle is more parked cars!</p>
	<p>The congestion is already bad and this would make it worse! This would also make Alki Ave more dangerous for pedestrians walking on the Alki Trail! Really bad for the inhabitants that live on Alki Ave.....DO NOT DO THIS</p>
387	<p>PLEASE!!!!!!!!!!!!</p>
	<p>This is a huge mistake. Alki Avenue residents are plagued enough with traffic, speeding, noise, unruly visitors and other safety issues the city isn't adequately addressing. I'm glad the angled parking is going away but</p>
	<p>adding more parking west of it does not solve the "congregating/loitering/illegal activity" issues. Our police have more important work than making sure the parking spots are clear from 11p.m. to 5a.m. And the people</p>
388	<p>who would park here are not patronizing local businesses. Please do not add parking in this area. We have enough on our hands as it is.</p>
389	<p>That width of the Blvd does not allow ease of parking on that side. Very narrow and restrict ease of exiting our building</p>
	<p>I live in the 1700 Alki block. I object to adding on-street parking. Traffic is already too heavy in the summer and adding parallel parking, with cars slowing to park and exit, will slow access to my home and to the beach even</p>
	<p>more. If you add it, pls restrict overnight parking so I don't have homeless campers in front of my house, like there was on Harbor Ave. Instead consider adding parking lots near the water taxi (take over the lot next door to</p>
390	<p>Salty's managed by Diamond Parking?)</p>

	<p>Very alarming plan! I live in the 1500 block on Alki Avenue. It is extremely noisy from afternoon to 2:00AM. The City does not enforce any sound ordinance and the cruisers try to make vehicles as loud as possible. It's a very busy street in any decent weather. People speed here all the time. Delivery drivers and emergency vehicles have to use the waterside. If it becomes unavailable they will block one of the 2 remaining lanes.</p>
391	<p>This is a dangerous plan and one that will make nighttime noise impossible to live here.</p>
	<p>Bad. Bikes and peds will get doored. Yes, no overnight parking.</p>
	<p>Create a new buffer space on the street between the parked cars and the trail and removes the signs in the existing painted area, they could effectively widen the Alki Trail to a more appropriate 13–14 feet while also</p>
392	<p>retaining 10.5-foot general traffic lanes and two parking lanes. Such a design could also be extended all the way to Alki Beach.</p>
	<p>Please consider adjustments to make the bike path/trail wider and safer from vehicle doors outlined in this great blog post: https://www.seattlebikeblog.com/2025/06/02/city-plans-to-add-150-parking-spaces-next-to-too-skinny-alki-trail-an-easy-way-to-widen-the-trail-as-part-of-the-project/ Thx!</p>
393	
	<p>It seems like this will cause problems with the Alki trail. I'm a pedestrian and recreational bike rider, and putting parking right up against a trail like this could cause conflicts with a "door zone" and potentially reduce</p>
394	<p>visibility of pedestrians crossing the street for drivers. I think SDOT should look more closely at their options. Seattle Bike Blog had some good alternatives in a recent article.</p>
	<p>I am a daily bike commuter along this trail. In addition to the potential for having car doors open into the path, there are frequently lime scooters and bikes parked at awkward angles that require quite a bit of maneuvering. This could cause a choke point in these areas that makes recreation and commuting by non-car means more dangerous and difficult. Any changes to parking should be made with research into the impacts</p>
395	<p>and recognition of the many trail users will be affected (and are generally more vulnerable than those in cars). Thank you.</p>
	<p>Re-establishing parking on Alki Ave SW will increase congestion and overcrowding. This will lead to more conflicts and increased violence at Alki. Shootings are a too common occurrence already (the most recent being</p>
396	<p>6/1/25). I am against adding more parking to Alki. Please keep the waterfront park safe for walkers and runners. Instead of parking add a designated bike lane to increase safety for pedestrians.</p>
	<p>Widen the trail instead of adding more parking. The trail is already sub-standard width. Parked cars will open their doors onto the trail putting people on the trail into the "door zone".</p>
397	<p>We should not be encouraging more people to drive. With all the extra space, we could build nicer transit stops as well.</p>
	<p>In addition to adding parallel parking, aren't the traffic lanes pretty wide here? Couldn't we make them narrower to provide more buffer space from the trail beside the road, so bikers don't have to fear getting doored by</p>
398	<p>people getting out of the cars parking here? Also, the overnight restrictions seem to have just moved a lot of the people down Beach View Drive.</p>
399	<p>The bike path is already not wide enough, and allowing car doors to open onto the path would introduce more risk to cyclists and other path users.</p>
	<p>Free parking subsidizes car owners (who tend to be wealthier) and car usage (which is more costly for city infrastructure, the environment, and people's health) and is very costly and space inefficient relative to how many people it serves. Please try charging for existing parking before deciding you need to build more. And please charge for any additional parking you choose to build. "Free" parking is never really free. In this case it's the</p>
400	<p>public paying for the parking so that the people who use it don't have to.</p>
	<p>From my limited understanding this change seems hostile to heels n' wheels users of the Alki Trail like me. It doesn't seem like safety implications have been considered at all, and the rationale of accommodating summer parking demand feels like it was invented to justify the change.</p>
401	<p>I'm deeply opposed to this parking adjustment and would prefer SDOT to instead focus on expanding non-vehicular access and traffic calming measures.</p>
	<p>I strongly oppose this change. The new parking spots would cause door hazards for any cyclists or other quickly moving users of the adjacent trail. The trail is already far too narrow for the heavy use it gets. You must add</p>
402	<p>other improvements to offset this increased danger.</p>
	<p>As someone that often walks and bikes in this section, this section is by far the best part of Alki trail. I don't have to dodge people opening their car doors or people using the bike path to load and unload etc. unlike the rest of Alki Trail. I haven't had any issues yet but I have had close calls. Please do not extend parking to this section - I'd would have liked to propose remove parking from more sections but I know that's not a realistic</p>
403	<p>option but at least let's keep this section as is.</p>
	<p>I think adding parking will slow down traffic there, but increased width of bike lane needs to go with that change as you suggest. And better marking on bike lane versus walking lane. Too many walkers on bike lane! I</p>
404	<p>often ride on road at that point due to congestion on existing bike lane and no cars at that point. I'm indifferent to overnight parking restrictions, but if it's allowed, they must keep campers out!!!</p>

405	This should be converted into a wider bike lane instead. We should not be giving more space to cars.
406	Parking isn't the best use of a public street. Make it a better walking/biking path!
407	Let's focus on the most vulnerable users of this area FIRST, and get them what they need to enjoy this limited public waterfront area. From our pilot on NE 42nd Street last summer, by narrowing the travel lanes, vehicle speeds were reduced to much safer levels for pedestrians. Prioritize pedestrians!
408	Parking should be metered, and the walking/biking trail widened and protected. We need to think strategically about the overall transportation and experience at the beach. We cannot continue to prioritize cars at the expense of everything else.
409	I do not feel positive about this change. It will pose hazards to pedestrians and cyclists on the trail. Overnight parking should be restricted.
410	Overnight parking should indeed be restricted unless a resident permit can be issued, as in other areas of Seattle. I can see problems when people stop to back in. Motorists are impatient, and that causes people parking to pull in front first to avoid holding others up. The biggest issue is trash from people parking, be it for lunchtime breaks or hangouts at night. I pick up trash twice a week in that area, and it's already a lot, considering it is next to the water and can easily pollute the bay.
411	Without an additional buffet, this adds a dangerous conflict between car doors and the bike lane. The bike lane is already too narrow as proposed, this makes that even worse Seems like it would encroach on what is typically a crowded bike path.
412	I'd prefer to keep parking as is but change to metered parking, that should add some revenue and discourage casual use.
413	This would increase risks of door impacts as where there are cars parked next to the trail, cars doors are opened into the trail and people exiting their vehicles do not pay attention and walk into the trail without consideration of those that may be on the trail.
414	As a resident of 1600 Alki Ave SW I am vehemently opposed to this. We already have mass vandalism, trash, insanity down here. I literally moved down the street further last year to be able to still enjoy Alki but be further from the craze and unsafe areas and people. This is a horrible idea. It will decrease our property values. Our views. Increase this areas problems and safety. 100000% hell no to this idea.
415	I think overnights parking should be restricted. And no parking should be changed outside of that. The home owners who now have unobstructed views will now have obstruction.
416	This is a very ILL conceived plan. As a regular user of the trail, I am totally opposed. There needs to be a buffer between trail users and the cars. The opening of car doors; unloading of vehicle's, will present a very real hazard. Trail users will not be able to adjust for the sudden opening of a car doors and will be forced to navigate around goods from unloading/loading vehicles. The welfare & use of the trail by bikers, strollers, skate boards, roller skaters, scooters.....servers the communities use more than parking for 150 more cars. This is a really bad idea.
417	Overnight parking should also be restricted. Alki Avenue SW is already fraught with speeders, loud mufflers, blaring music, cruisers. Don't make the situation worse. This is a horrible idea that will reduce heavily used bike and pedestrian lanes. If you want to create access to alki for people from outside communities, increase public transit options instead of making public spaces unsafe for non car travelers. Do not do this.
418	We will have more noise in our area !! Not in favor of this at all !! We purchased our condo here because there was no parking across the street to block the water view !! We have issues with speeding as well still - please DO NOT change the existing parking !! Safety will be an ongoing issue on Alki - NO parking change - concerned home owner ! Thank you !!
419	Converting angle parking to parallel parking, as proposed by Rob Saka, is designed to reduce street parking and hopefully reduce the loitering and reckless motorcycle/muffler ignorant racing along Alki. Adding more parking from the 1200 to 1700 blocks on the water side is a horrible idea. In fact it is not really an idea; it is an absurdity. DO NOT ADD STREET PARKING ALONG ALKI. INCREASE POLICE PATROLS, add speed and noise cameras.
420	This proposal does not reduce parking, it merely moves it westward. Jon & Joan Christoffersen 1226 Alki Ave, Seattle 98116 Terrible. Focus on mass transit, pedestrian, wheeled, and end the focus on more cars.
421	Add / increase a shuttle from WSea bridge to Alki Statue of Liberty. Create parking far away. Walk or shuttle. There should be NO MORE public parking on city streets! The future is no car dependency and more bicycle and transit infrastructure! Use the space for bigger pedestrian and bicycle paths, or Greenways. Building car infrastructure doesn't solve car problems, it compounds problems because it incentives driving and parking spots are an enormous waste of public space!
422	It reduces pedestrian and bike space in an already too narrow trail section. Perking on the water side is ugly, causing visual clutter and subjects bikes to risk of getting doored. No opinion on overnight parking.

	<p>I feel that allowing parking on the west side of Alki Avenue SW will just add to more people coming and parking late at night, play loud music, drink alcohol and leave the garbage for us to clean next morning. We already have groups of cars parking there at night when they get kicked out of the parks and we don't get any help from police officers to kick them out. I know there is plenty of parking during the day and by opening the west</p> <p>423 side for parking you will be encouraging more noisy cars, speeding cars, and organized groups to come to Alki and hangout till late hours at night, so you are doing the opposite of creating a safe environment.</p>
	<p>While changing diagonal parking to parallel, please reallocate the extra space for a curb stop protected walking/rolling lane. The existing mixed path is very chaotic at busy times between cyclists, families of pedestrians of all ages, and Lime bike/scooter users of all ages. Extra space and separation between some of these types of users would be so helpful to avoid conflict.</p> <p>I am really happy to hear about narrowing Alki Ave here, but I do not support adding 140 waterfront parking spaces in this space. This is a popular destination that is already constrained for space with frequent conflicts between different users. If the city is considering making it easier for any user of this space to visit, it should not be the most negatively impactful, most space inefficient mode of transportation! Please consider narrowing this lane with curb stops, similar to the Alki Point Healthy Street, to give people more space to move around.</p> <p>I just walked this length yesterday and observed excessive car space and very crowded people space. The sidewalk is too narrow for people to pass each other, and most people walking here are in a group, have dogs or children, and need more space. This encourages people to walk in the bike path, which then creates more conflict. There are a ton of inexperienced Lime bike/scooter users here competing for the same space and making bad decisions like veering out of the bike path across the grass into the sidewalk to pass people. Please narrow the road and give the extra space to people walking and biking which is sorely needed.</p>
424	<p>Another idea would be to allocate some of this space for food trucks and seating which are also currently competing for space on the sidewalk and trail by the beach.</p>
	<p>Adding parking will increase traffic and pollution in this area.</p> <p>Also parallel parking next to the trail without a buffer will add dangerous condition to the trail as people opening the door could hurt cyclists on the trail. If any accident happens here due to this change I'm sure the</p> <p>425 liability of SDOT will be engaged.</p>
426	<p>This change is going to negatively affect all trail users. The water front trail is already crowded and this will force people into a smaller space. This also poses a safety hazard for pedestrians and cyclists who may run into car doors that may suddenly open into their path, either directly harming them or causing them to veer into trail users.</p>
427	<p>Please do not add parking. Please use the space for additional bike and pedestrian infrastructure.</p>
	<p>1. I live in west Seattle. And I have no idea how to park at the angled parking space right now, at duwammish head. Couldn't they be normal straight parking spots vs parallel to preserve the number of parking spots.</p> <p>2. Alki is a crown jewel of Seattle. We don't need more cars, what we need is a focus on health, safety, and enjoyment of people who live here as well as people who visit. I do not support adding more cars or parking to the 1200-1700 block. This area is prone to lots of accidents, and adding more parking increases the risk, does nothing to improve safety.</p> <p>3. My recommendation to Mr. Rob Saka and our city council is to please focus on long-term, sustainable solutions to our traffic and safety issues. We need more speed bumps, cameras, and enforcement. I would like our corner of west Seattle to remain beautiful and always, safe.</p>
428	<p>Thank you.</p>
	<p>I strongly oppose this idea, the parking on the point is littered with crime, drunk use, and shootings, this would only make that problem worse. Limiting the parking would be a welcomed change for Alki. I strongly believe adding additional parking from the 1200 block to 1700 block this is a negative change for the Alki neighborhood residence and its visitors. If this new parking was approved there would have to be a written agreement with</p> <p>429 SPD or local law enforcement to reduce crime and be able to enforce the law as our law enforcement tries to enforce.</p>
430	<p>I don't find more parking needed. Frankly every time I'm at Alki the walking paths are to busy so there isn't enough room and there is some ass hat speeding in his race car down the road. This should be a peaceful area not a car heaven</p>
431	<p>We need better bus service to Alki, there's plenty of parking.</p>
432	<p>I do not agree with this change. We need to make it safer for pedestrians and bicyclists. There is no need to add extra parking spaces.</p>

	I have concerns that adding parking alongside the trail without other improvements creates a dangerous door zone for what should be a comfortable all ages and abilities facility.
	On-street parking is a good idea for traffic calming, please establish a door zone buffer through physical barriers (curb stops, flex posts, armadillos?) to simultaneously provide a safe space for people getting out of cars to step, and to avoid car doors opening into unsuspecting people cycling on the trail. Marking a fog line on the street will provide clear delineation for motorists as well to establish a clear drive lane.
433	I have no opinion about overnight parking.
	The changes at Duwamish head I can understand, however allow parking between 1200-1700 block of Alki Ave will not solve anything in fact it will allow a bigger area for people to loiter in a bigger area. It's not worth the expense to re-establish the parking that's going to bring trouble to a bigger area. Besides by changing Duwamish head parking is not losing much parking compared to what would be established in the new area and not
434	worth the expense. I vote against it.
435	I am against it. It would make the bike lane too dangerous because it's already not wide enough and car doors would now open into it.
	I would be opposed to installing parking spots adjacent to the already narrow walking and biking/wheels trail. This could create an unsafe situation for users of the trail (getting hit by doors opening on to the trail) as there
436	is no buffer area. This adjustment needs to go back to the drawing board.
437	Opposed to more parking spaces. Invest in even more public transportation, bike infrastructure to solve this problem. Cars don't need more advantages: cities are already built exclusively to suit them
	This is terrible for bikers and pedestrians, also not satisfying the far fewer drivers that can use the parking spaces. Parking should be removed to drive traffic up, it's a data-driven, proven, model. Make the trail wider, keep
438	parking on the other side only.
	I purposely purchased my condo in the 1210 building so I did not have on street parking on the northwest side of the street. There is already too much traffic coming in and out of there. Please do not extend the parking down the street. There is plenty of parking available in the DE park lot. There is not enough monitoring of the traffic as it is. I would very much appreciate you taking into consideration the concerns of the residents.
439	Regards- Staci Hartmann
	Adding additional parking to Alki Ave will decrease the safety of trail users. The trail is already too small at 10 feet wide instead of the more standard 12-14 ft for a two way bike path. Folks opening doors will put them in contact with the trail users. Getting doored is extremely painful and dangerous and the city should not add additional parking to Alki Ave. if anything, the bike lane should be first widened to the standard width to ensure
440	the safety of users of the trail.
	Please don't install parking in the 1200-1700 block. This region has retirees and older people whose health and well being will be affected. There will be cars and motorcycles parked till late in the night with most people smoking weed and drinking in their cars. Or already happens in the places where parking is currently open.
	It puts pedestrians, older people, children, cyclists, people enjoying a walk or a run at risk. People park cars and hang out on the path where it's clearly marked for cyclists and skaters making it dangerous for them.
441	I hope there are enough reasons here to not install parking in the 1200-1700 block.
	As a small business owner on Alki Beach, I'm grateful to Rob Saka. A lot has been done throughout the city to benefit bicyclists, despite inconvenience of those who drive. It's great for once to have the city add parking spaces instead of eliminate them. Many parking spaces were eliminated downtown. Combined with extended paid street parking, it helped kill the attraction of going downtown to meet friends for happy hour or an early
442	dinner.
	I am requesting No parking be allowed across the street from the transfer station at 1400 Alki ave - we are very concerned since this will bring more traffic -noise and safety issues to where we presently live - i hope you
443	read and listen that this is not a viable solution to this problem that now exists we need more police patrolling this area !!
	Ugh, don't clutter up Alki with a bunch of cars just sitting there taking up space. It makes the waterfront look gross. Why should people get to store their cars there for free? If there is parking allowed, it should be away
444	from the beach so that people can walk down, and it should cost a fortune.
445	The luxury parking is an unnecessary expense. Parked cars will obstruct the water view making it a less desirable place to be. Less parked cars will make detecting suspicious activities easier.
	horrible idea that is not surprisingly coming from console member Rob Saka. Does the added risk worth the couple spots added ? it's already very dangerous to bike in that area and now we have to worry about car doors
446	too???

447	Read this please: https://www.seattlebikeblog.com/2025/06/02/city-plans-to-add-150-parking-spaces-next-to-too-skinny-alki-trail-an-easy-way-to-widen-the-trail-as-part-of-the-project/
448	Please NO more available parking down Alki. Will create issues
449	yes! Definitely restrict overnight parking and do NOT add parking on the waterside between 1200-1700 blocks
450	Reduce parking at the overlook to stop illegal activity and move it down Alki Ave? What a stupid decision Don't do this without a better design. Fine to restrict parking overnight like harbor Ave. but this trail is already too skinny and has a big risk for trail users of being doored by parked vehicles. I have seen this first hand. Widen the trail and prevent dooring with a better design. Widen the trail. WIDEN THE TRAIL. Remove the no parking signs and widen the trail, it will be safer and allow for a buffer between bikes and car doors. Widen the
451	trail please.
452	No, I do not want more parking. Traffic calming measures and more space to safely enjoy the area, yes, but more parking will absolutely dampen the experience of the Alki waterfront area. This change is horrible and we object strongly. This will increase alcohol use, and vacancy and partying on the sunset view corridor that was a beautiful tradition in our neighborhood to obscure this wonderful West Seattle tradition is shortsighted and ill conceived.
453	At the very least you should absolutely restrict over night parking the same way you do at Duwamish head to decrease the inevitable increased loitering and evening partying on the view corridor. Waterfront land is so incredibly valuable, whether for its development potential or its potential for public enjoyment. That so much of this beautiful waterfront land is used for a road that brings noise, air pollution, and danger---where we could otherwise expand the park---is a shame already. To use so much of the public right of way here for additional parking would be an even greater shame. We could have landscaping, seating, space
454	for walking and rolling, or any number of other things. Let's not make it parking. For the Alki Avenue SE Parking Adjustment - I think this is a bad idea and I am whole heartedly against it. We should absolutely not re establish parking here. Why do we think more cars and traffic against the pristine view of the ocean is a great idea? Having an area that is free of this brings a sense of calm that you don't see in other areas around Alki Ave. This is one of the last areas where this exists in the city, and we can't ruin it! Additionally, the cars parking in this area do not serve the beach, it's simply too far for families to walk to. It brings the nuisance that we all see everyday at the boat launch park, and doesn't serve those going to the beach. Won't the loitering just happen here now? This by no means fixes the problem. I can say confidently with my neighbors that we DO NOT support this effort, please do not add parking the Alki Ave SW.
455	 I am against the changes. It will lead to further congestion on the road and it adds more parking than was taken away from the duwish head. If the parking is re-established then it should be restricted from overnight
456	parking to limit the activities that take place in that area. There have been encampment issues on that road and also several shootings, one of which is still an unsolved murder. I am very concerned about adding parking on Alki Ave SW. Currently cars speed and drive erratically on this stretch of road and adding parking will create a hazard to both traffic & pedestrians. If you must allow parking on this stretch of road overnight parking must be prohibited to keep campers etc from moving in. The problems became worse when the barriers were added to the center of the road last year. Hopefully a different alternative can be adopted to keep residents safe!
457	
458	Removing the angled parking is the dumbest idea, taking away efficient spaces from a beautiful overlook. I frequently take visitors here and they absolutely love it. If anything, we need more, optimized parking.
459	https://www.seattlebikeblog.com/2025/06/02/city-plans-to-add-150-parking-spaces-next-to-too-skinny-alki-trail-an-easy-way-to-widen-the-trail-as-part-of-the-project/

1. As a woman, I dont feel safe walking through the parking areas in the side of Alki closest to the ferry - this area brings in a lot of drinking/socializing/loud cars and groups that arent necessarily bringing a healthy environment. Im afraid that adding parking near the 1200 will extend that type of parking action - i dont want to walk out of my home feeling uncomfortable everyday.

2. Quiet/green spaces - adding more cars to this area creates more noise and disrupts the peace of the shoreline. Whats magical about Alki is that you can get the fun and the nature.

3. Public transport - why are we adding more parking when we could have more transit options instead? Arent we only solving for adding space for a few dozen more cars? Couldnt we have more/better bus options instead? Or later running ferry times?

4. I live in the sw corridor and there are always open spots in this area? Its about a mile from the beach - a 30 minute walk. Again, if people are parking here, theyre not going to enjoy nature, theyre going to drink/hang at the other lot??? Its not solving for what youre trying to fix

5. Absolutely restrict overnight parking! Again, please keep loiterers and drinkers out of the oceanfront area.

460 Im really upset by this

461 Could we just add a ferry dock closer to the alki commercial area? And add later ferry times??? Why are we adding more cars to this area? That sounds like a horrible idea

I do not think this change is in the best interest of the community. Reducing the concentration of parking won't make this area safer and only makes extends the un peaceful area. How is adding more area of parallel parking safer?

Adding parking is tangential to what local government stands for, why wouldn't we just add more bus capacity or shuttle stops or ferry times? More ferry times would be most sensible.

This won't make things safer, it will just add cost, effort and add cars to our beautiful coastline.

462

I am opposed to this change. It will diminish the safety and utility of the pedestrian path, particularly for bikers who will be subject to being "doored" with parked car doors opening onto the path. Scrap the added parking, 463 and widen the path which is already too narrow to begin with. Instead of adding parking, how about increasing bus frequency on Alki to help people get there without needing to park?

464 Could we add a shuttle service from other areas instead? Less cars!!!!

Please make sure this doesn't worsen the conditions of the trail, especially for biking. This change can present an opportunity to improve that experience and slow traffic more generally in the area but might invite more 465 car doors into the path of bikers. Consider all modes of transportation when making this change!

More parking and conjugation to an area of Alki avenue that doesn't have infrastructure to support this. No public restrooms, no businesses, and more than a mile to the beach from some areas of this proposal.

Please do not do this.

Can you please at least call a meeting?

466 Seattle government asking for more parking is not a good deal.

467 I am opposed to adding more parking in this way, especially at the expense of trail safety and aesthetics (parked cars at the waterfront diminishes the view).

	Do not reduce the safety for trail users just to add parking. The increased risk of dooring cyclists and pedestrians alike is not worth this.
468	Improve the trail by widening. Add parking by calming the road. Make the trail actually excellent.
469	Re-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Avenue will increase congestion along that major thoroughfare and attract even more people to Alki, when crowds are already unmanageable on good weather days. I am strongly opposed to this. If it proceeds, overnight parking should absolutely be restricted, because this also significantly risks attracting crime to this area of Alki as well.
470	This is so bad! You should be making the street safer for everyone by introducing traffic calming elements, not more parking ... Use the extra space for people walking, biking, rolling, etc. not vehicles. It's people, not cars you should be prioritizing ... Such a massive step backwards really. How is anybody thinking this is a good idea? Please, please, don't do this ...
471	Are you going to build more bathrooms? Im confused, our beach is maxed out???
	I think the planned changes to Alki Avenue are not well thought out. I largely agree with the criticisms and alternative solutions presented at Seattle Bike Blog: https://www.seattlebikeblog.com/2025/06/02/city-plans-to-add-150-parking-spaces-next-to-too-skinny-alki-trail-an-easy-way-to-widen-the-trail-as-part-of-the-project/
472	I largely agree with overnight parking restrictions in general.
	I can see how this would benefit summer visitors who come by car. But it would be a terrible failure if cars are permitted to park too close to the bike path and fling car doors into passing cyclists. This must be remedied in order to garner my support.
	Just one person sent to the hospital by a car door collision will make this project look really stupid.
	Thanks.
473	Peri Hartman
	Reestablishing parking on these 5 blocks will have the additional benefit of slowing down traffic. Currently this area sees a lot of speeding as the open lane makes drivers think they can speed. Restricting overnight parking
474	like 12-5 could help prevent RV parking.
475	If car doors are allowed to open onto the trail, that is an unacceptable outcome. There needs to be space between the curb and edge of the allowed parking areas for doors to open and close in a way that doesn't make the trail smaller.
476	I strongly OPPOSE adding more parking. Such a design would create new hazards to trail users who are already squeezed into a sub-standard 10-foot-wide space (12 to 14 feet is more appropriate for a heavily-used two-way trail). Trail users often ride in the painted buffer area, weaving around the street signs placed there, because the trail is not wide enough for comfortable passing. Under this design, riders in that space would also be at risk from car doors.
477	I feel that e-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW is unnecessary, and will only encourage more traffic. In addition it will take away space from people!
478	It does not make sense to prioritize adding parking spots over improvements to the crowded trail. If parking is added, there is still ample space to also expand the trail from the current 10 feet + 4 feet buffer to 14 feet + 4 feet buffer in the same construction project. This still leaves enough space for an 8 feet parking lane and 10.5 feet driving lane in both directions.
	How exactly does changing from angled to parallel parking reduce "loitering and illegal activities"?
479	There are no benefits to adding new parking along Alki. There are already way too many cars on Alki, so adding parking which will only increase the number of drivers in the area is not brilliant. If anything, you should make Alki accessible to local traffic only and open the street to bikes/peds. Much like you have already done for Alki Ave and Beach Dr at Alki Point.
480	Overnight parking restrictions need to remain in place AND BE ENFORCED. I am concerned that parallel parking will allow for larger vehicles such as motorhomes to park rather than smaller cars. Also I am concerned parallel parking will be more dangerous to bicyclists, pedestrians and runners as car doors will open out into the walkway/trail. The concerns about illegal activities should be addressed with more police. Allowing more cars and RV's will not help with illegal activities.
481	Yes to more parking and overnight parking. Yes to police presence during night hours. Those of us that can't afford a condo on the beach should be able to have a convenient way to visit.

	More parking is rarely the answer. Cars bring noise and pollution and look unseemly on the street, reducing pedestrian view distance. Increased car traffic poses pedestrian safety risks. The solution is to improve and increase transit access by introducing additional/more frequent bus and shuttle service.
482	No to additional parking spaces.
	Parking should be allowed, but there need to be buffer from the trail to reduce incidents with doors.
483	Overnight parking should be restricted
	The parking should not be added. It increase conflict with users of the shared path by people entering and exiting their vehicles, an unsafe experience for all involved.
484	Ideally the path which is very busy in the summer will be expanded to make room for the variety of people who use it.
	I hate that this city has gone bike delusional crazy. We have redrawn the entire city for a few young bicyclists and lost all our parking. This lack of parking hurts my small business. And forces me to walk blocks to my home
485	daily. Also SDOT works at night. Don't you have to adhere to the Boise ordinance too?? It's 11:00pm and it's construction paving loud
486	YES, bring more free parking to Alki Avenue SW! No time limits.
	I'm opposed to the change, living in Alki the past 3 years and every summer the traffic through Alki Ave gets worse. More parking spaces will cause more congestion. I'd love for everyone to enjoy Alki as much as my family
487	does but more parking will only add to the chaos that is already happening here.
488	This would degrade the existing walking/cycling path. I oppose this change.
	My household is against adding parking. 1) It's a safety hazard. Cars zoom by at 40+mph routinely. More parked cars would potentially lower visibility of peds/animals/bikes/strollers attempting to cross. Drivers frequently blow by crosswalks with mandatory stopping. 2) Adding more parking will not ease traffic. Just like adding lanes to a highway adds more cars to the road and thus more traffic, the same is true for adding parking. 3) Yes limit overnight parking esp. campers and other rec vehicles that take up space all summer and block the views of residents who pay a premium to live in this community.
	Our solution is simple. There is a ton of empty space under the WSB and the Don Armeni lot. Utilize these spaces and offer free shuttles that run every 5-10 minutes throughout the summer, less often during spring/fall to
489	meet the demand. Less cars, less air pollution, less noise (which continues to go unchecked).
	Additional parking with restricted hours is badly needed along Alki Ave. I enjoy walks and biking along alki avenue and having a convenient place for daytime parking is of utmost importance. I try to avoid parking in the neighborhoods where home owners/renters need the on street parking. Accessible parking along the main thoroughfare (Alki and Harbor Ave) makes the most sense. Hours should be restricted with no parking between
490	11pm and 5am.
491	Please bring back parking along Alki, condo owners don't own the road and the community needs more parking.
	Used to be angled parking in the 1970-80's a variety of shops, a deli, ice cream store, a real grocery store with necessary items, ect. Does anyone remember this????? Get the undesirable people s place for their meet ups
492	and it would be a community again. Sometimes the past can really be the present.LISTEN UP CITY OFFICIALS PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE.
493	I don't think any changes are needed. If anything, put things back the way they were before 2020 covid and do more enforcement with the cameras or on site police officers
494	Make even more parking please. No overnight parking
	With the introduction of hundreds of bikes and scooters, people have many other mobility options along the Alki/Harbor Avenue corridor. And most of the time, the street parking just west of Duwamish head goes unused. This is a "solution" to a problem that doesn't really exist. And to implement this, you are sacrificing the last nice stretch of the bike path without endless parking. For the hundreds, if not thousands of us that use the bike path, this clear stretch is a relief. It makes Alki feel pristine. A more natural area, instead of just being a continuous parking lot from Jack Block Park to the Alki lighthouse. A 3 mile long parking lot. I respect council
495	member Saka but I do not support this proposal.
496	No benefits. Please do not add more parking. Instead, use city funds to improve transit and bike infrastructure.
	I think it will bring even more cars to an area that needs fewer. It's already crowded with standstill traffic and cars driving dangerously because they get road rage when it's slow from parking stops, pedestrian crossings,
497	etc.

Stupid, the angled parking provides more spaces (though back in only is a problem, since people are terrible about it and cause traffic issues.) If you're trying to address lack of spaces, add more of this style of parking if
498 you're redesigning. Changing parking isn't going to fix any safety issues, police patrolling will. Humans adapt and will simply find a slightly different way of handling the same illegal activities.

499 I do not want more cars/congestion along this stretch of Alki.

500 this design adds parking at the expense of the safety of trail users due to dooring hazards on the narrow trail. Please add a buffer between the trail and the parking areas.

Putting parking on the water side of Alki creates "dooring" hazards for trail users, to say nothing of the visibility problems common when bike traffic is hidden behind parking and people cross from behind and between cars. Maybe you've heard of the small child in NYC that was injured running across a "parking-protected" bike lane, hit by a rider that couldn't possibly have seen them. Here in Seattle I was injured badly enough to need surgery when someone ran out from behind a parked car in front of me while I was biking to my friend's place to play music. Don't kid yourself that this only happens with excessively fast riders -- I certainly wasn't going fast. And here near the beach is exactly the kind of place where you're going to have lots of kids AND generally people unfamiliar with the area. Not a place where you want to create visibility issues. Maybe it would be
501 different if this was the 1980s and cars weren't so tall, but it's 2025.

As a cyclist, pedestrian, transit rider, and occasional car driver who enjoys Alki Beach, I am concerned about the plan to re-establish car parking on the water side of Alki Avenue because it would increase the risk of injury
502 and decrease the usable space for cyclists and pedestrians using the Alki Trail. If you do re-establish car parking there, please do so in a way that does not produce opportunities for cyclists to get "doored" by parked cars.

I approve of more available parking. The benefit is clearly meeting an increased demand for parking during the summer and large Alki events (like the polar plunge).

503 I don't have a strong opinion on restricting overnight parking, but if that increases crime at night, it should definitely be limited.

Hello. I'm not happy with this change. If the intention is to add more parking spots so people can park in summer, adding parking spots from 1200-1700 won't change the problem. Most people want to come for the beach and the restaurants/ shops around the beach. People are in reality not going to prefer to park at 1200, to walk to the beach and back. Instead, if more parking were to be provided around the beach area / especially on weekends. That would be more of a real solution.

Secondly, we've been living in Alki for the last 15 years. It's been changing over the years. Especially since the pandemic. Attracting a very different kind of crowd to the beach. We deal with LOUD sounds, drag racing all summer long. We get woken up from sleep at 2 am with revving engines outside our block. Making more provisions, only attracts more of those people to come to the area and hang out. Many times through the year, we've had groups of teenagers park across 1300 block, and blast fireworks and play music off loud speakers at 2am. Making permanent parking is a field day for people like that. PLEASE don't do it. It's the only last stretch of happiness we have left. Please please don't. Parking is a real issue but around the beach area at weekends.

One way is to try it for just the weekend, that you can park at 1200-1700 block. And see how noise and other disturbances skyrocket. But to actually stop the change if residents are feeling disturbed. This is a residential
504 area, and it doesn't feel like it. I pray, this doesn't happen.

I strongly support the changes. My grandparents had a house on Alki on the 1700 block. Parking was allowed. There were more steps accessing the water along the grassy strip. At some point beach access and parking was restricted. It only frustrates the public.

The closing of the street by the lighthouse is cruel to the public by cutting off access to those who have a sentimental connection to that street.

Back- in parking, at the viewpoint, puts your back to the view! Turn the cars back around. (Cut the trees at the Admiral View Point too, so you can see the view.)

505 Restrict overnight parking or you will be creating a problematic campground of illegal activity.

Firstly as a resident of Seattle, I am frustrated at the powers that be and SDOT who yet again, are taking away even more parking from Alki. Alki is one of the treasures of Seattle and should be shared with locals as well as visitors from far and wide. Alki Beach is a public park after all. But by continually taking more and more parking away, you make it more difficult for people to access the beach and the businesses along Alki. I fail to see how changing Duwamish head parking from angled to parallel will change people's behavior such as the ones you stated above, loitering and illicit activities. These activities will continue regardless of angled or parallel parking so why eliminate 10 precious parking spots? Leave them as angled parking!

Secondly, I absolutely think you should re-establish parking on the ocean side of Alki Avenue where safe to do so as well as leaving Duwamish head with current angled parking. Parking on Alki is a scarce commodity anymore, particularly given all the new condos being erected with little to no parking so the residents of these condos take up spaces that could be used for visitors to enjoy our beautiful beach and support local businesses.

507 YES! Install the additional parking!

It's 2025, we're older and wiser, and we should not be adding surface parking anywhere in the country.

In addition, it's an added cost for the city to manage. It hasn't been built yet and there are questions about how we will need to manage it, as evidenced by this question. The city is struggling to manage the traffic issues on Alki already!

509 don't add parking at all. keep the area clear for people

I feel these changes are terrible for those of us who bought property on Alki. You've already eliminated the one bus that served Alki. There is very little police presence or enforcement of any traffic/parking laws on Alki. We have several break-ins each year, and that is without people being able to park right across from our property. It's a residential neighborhood between the water taxi and the beach area. We don't need more congestion without additional police presence and enforcement 24/7. No overnight parking should ever be allowed either. You will turn our neighborhood into a homeless camp of broken down campers.

511 I don't understand why there are no proposed public meetings on this proposed change? I think it's in the community's best interest to have formal in person discourse on the subject.

512 We don't need more parking at Alki. What we need is better transit options to enjoy the area.

It is interesting that there is one block now that has the angled parking and the police are unable to manage the partying, parking after hours, music, noise, and other issues that arise from that parking area. This area affects maybe a couple hundred families. So, instead of working to manage the behavior issues in this small area, the plan is now to spread it out over a mile and ensure the behavior issues will now affect thousands of families (as most of the large condos are along this stretch between the 1200 to 1700 blocks).

Why would anyone want to do this? This creates almost a mile of parking that the partying will move to and with the police unable to manage a block, how the heck can they possibly manage a mile?

How do I feel? Very negative regarding this change.

Challenges: Increased partying, after hours noise, increased gathering areas for the "car clubs" that cause extreme noise in loud cars, loud music, loud voices, and excessive trash left behind. Already, cars gather on that side at night and then rev the heck out of their cars and speed towards the beach to the next speed hump. Making that OK by providing a gathering space is not a good plan.

Restrict overnight parking? ABSOLUTELY!

513

I think part of this project should include consideration for the existing Alki trail and the users of that trail. Cars parking along the trail can be dangerous with doors opening into the trail and people existing their vehicles onto the trail. A generous buffer should be in place and the trail should be widened for the safety of everyone. It would also be a good idea to implement some safety measures at cross walks so pedestrians are more safe too such as extending the side walk out to decrease the cross walks length and increase visibility of pedestrians and for pedestrians.

<p>This proposal will absolutely decrease public safety on Alki and the re-establishment of more parking should absolutely be denied. The public safety issue arises from the fact that you can bring 100 cars together and find contiguous parking in the same spot. This allows cell phone meet ups that quickly get out of hand. Is SDOT unaware of the 100 car illegal driving rally that overwhelmed of the police this weekend at Don Armeni Park? There is virtually no park around Duwamish Head and where the parking was removed years ago for safety reasons. SPD can not police this area and the result will be shootings and unsafe driving activity. Instead of bringing parking spots back, consider working more closely with King County to provide more access via the 774 bus - Vancouver's English Bay operates on this model and is extensively used by the people of Vancouver. SDOT needs to understand the basic problem is this area is perceived of as a race track and car parking for large groups should be discouraged, reduced and broken up. Why would you even think this was a good idea after</p> <p>515 Parks reduced hours, speed bumps and cameras are going in. CPTED is the only way to handle this issue and inviting more cars back to park is NOT the answer.</p>	
<p>By re-establishing parking, what prior commitments with the community does this break? Why was parking eliminated in the first place? Angled parking eliminated north of Don Armeni due to safety concerns. Won't the same safety concerns be introduced by adding parking as proposed? What are you doing preemptively to address those concerns? Is this proposal pushing a design flaw of the original change a couple blocks down street?</p>	
<p>516 Overnight parking should definitely be forbidden.</p>	
<p>517 Keep the Duwamish Head angled parking to preserve those spots and also add a long some of Alki Ave, daytime only.</p>	
<p>Your design would create new hazards to trail users who are already squeezed into a sub-standard 10-foot-wide space (12 to 14 feet is more appropriate for a heavily-used two-way trail). Trail users often ride in the painted buffer area, weaving around the street signs placed there, because the trail is not wide enough for comfortable passing. Under this design, riders in that space would also be at risk from car doors. I strongly oppose adding curbside parking to the road as it is for this reason (it would also degrade views of Elliott Bay, but that's a different concern).</p> <p>In its place, I propose the following: This section of the Alki Trail has a painted line on the street side marking off about four or so feet of space where the city has placed signposts, mostly NO PARKING signs that would need to be removed, anyway. If the city creates a new buffer space on the street between the parked cars and the trail and removes the signs in the existing painted area, they could effectively widen the Alki Trail to a more appropriate 13–14 feet while also retaining 10.5-foot general traffic lanes and two parking lanes. Such a design could also be extended all the way to Alki Beach.</p> <p>By the way, you are giving anyone opposed to the change very little time to respond (funny how improving bike conditions requires seemingly endless public outreach but making bike conditions worse barely requires any</p> <p>518 outreach at all). Shame, SDOT, shame.</p>	
<p>519 More parking sounds like the right idea, where it can be added back safely. Yes, please restrict overnight parking in the interest of public safety and mob control.</p>	
<p>520 Please don't undo all the work done to make this area more pedestrian friendly!</p>	
<p>I think this is a dangerous addition to Alki. If passage car doors open onto the trail this will likely result in injuries as this is an area used by tourists and inexperienced cyclists/scooters/children.</p>	
<p>521 Saka is a crazy and seems to wants to see people get hit by cars and car doors!</p>	
<p>Thank you so much for offering this survey! I appreciate all the work that SDOT is doing.</p> <p>I'm a little confused on how getting rid of the angled parking, but adding 100+ more spots discourages folks from gathering. I recognize that this was a request put in by Councilmember Saka and not an original idea by SDOT.</p> <p>I worry some about the changes in visibility for the cyclists in our community. This is the part of Duwamish Head without an established bike lane. The additional parking will increase crowding on sidewalks where bike/pedestrians/scooters all share a single path.</p> <p>I appreciate you informing us this was Councilmember Saka's idea and I will contact him with my concerns as well.</p>	
<p>522 Thank you again for all the work SDOT does! I appreciate you</p>	

	<p>I think this is a wonderful idea. As a longtime resident of West Seattle, I am excited for this to bring positive change. I love to park near the Luna park pier and take my kids to the sandy tide pools for play and exploring and this will open up a significant amount of nearby parking to ensure an easy walk. The street seems to have ample room for the parking spots and I never understood the reason for the no parking. Is the main reason to</p> <p>523 preserve the view from the condos? If so that seems like a slightly more cluttered view for a few condo residents is a small price to pay to bring the beauty of the area to the general public by making it more accessible.</p>
524	<p>In what way will angled vs parallel parking affect safety? In addition this cedes more space in what is supposed to be a pedestrian focused area to cars from people who don't live here. Do not support.</p> <p>I fail to see how changing the parking configuration at Duwamish Head will reduce crime. loitering by itself is not a bad thing; if you want less crime you should encourage all different types of people to want to spend time at the location. diversity encourages pro-social behavior, which reduces actual crime.</p> <p>I strongly oppose parking on the west side of Alki Avenue SW. this parking will interfere with the bike path, causing dangerous situations where doors could open unexpectedly in the designated path for cyclists causing them to crash.</p> <p>in fact the bike trail is too narrow. there is not enough space for all the pedestrian and bike traffic on it. it should be widened where possible. there is also opportunity to build wider sidewalks and bus stops.</p> <p>please make Duwamish Head and Alki Beach places where people want to walk, roll, and bike, not places where people experience more danger from cars or where road design (wide lanes) encourages cars to loudly</p> <p>525 speed past. the coast is so much more pleasant the fewer cars there are.</p>
526	<p>I am in favor of this change. Restrict overnight parking allow it during the day.</p>
	<p>You need to get the crazy illegal behavior under control before worrying about how do you bring even more people down to Alki. The street racing, reckless driving, loud exhaust noise all need to be addressed before</p> <p>527 adding more to it. We have lived through it for over ten years and it's not a safe family environment, it's a big loud unsafe party along a beautiful part of Seattle that needs to be protected for all.</p> <p>I would support moving the 4' buffer from sidewalk level to street level. The multi-use path is already too small for this busy of a path. Adding 4' to the street would still provide plenty of space for parking and lanes of</p> <p>528 traffic. Parking is fine, just move it away from the curb for the safety of all users of Alki.</p>
529	<p>Alki Ave SW needs traffic calming, but it doesn't need more on-street parking. I would rather see the street treated like the Alki Point Healthy Street.</p>
530	<p>We should not be prioritizing more parking over creating a more walkable and bikeable space that fosters safety for those outside of cars. Parking should be minimized and focused on those that do not have the ability to move outside of a car.</p>
531	<p>I agreed with removing the angled parking and replacing it with parallel parking. However, I strongly disagree with adding more parking on the water side of the 1200 to 1700 Alki Ave . As is we already have many problem</p> <p>in this specific area. Rob, I voted for you to ease our problems in Alki, not to increase it. Thank you</p>
532	<p>Yes please create more parking parallel and also add more buses and routes make it more walkable for people that park there. It should be accessible to all. Restrict illegal camping and dumping of junk too</p>
533	<p>Parking is needed. Bring it back.</p>
	<p>Adding parking is a pretty good way to make the very wide street more narrow. Simultaneously, it will create a new hazard for the bike path. During summers, the portion of the path with parking is a minefield of doors swinging into the path of bikes and people leaping out of their cars. There needs to be additional buffer included with this project. Perhaps moving the parking out from the curb to create a door and loading zone, for example.</p>
534	<p>Additionally, I hope the increased space at Duwamish Head is used for more room for photo takers and path users. That section regularly fills up during the day, summer especially, though it gets busy in the winter too.</p>

The plan, as currently proposed, will further impinge on the already narrow bike path. This will make riding conditions more cramped and dangerous along this section. This objective could still be accomplished safely if the road is repainted and bike lane widened. See this article for more specific diagrams: <https://www.seattlebikeblog.com/2025/06/02/city-plans-to-add-150-parking-spaces-next-to-too-skinny-alki-trail-an-easy-way-to-widen-the-trail-as-part-of-the-project/#more-509923>

535 Anyhow, I would like to see the bike path widened and the lanes of the road narrowed, as it will make the space safer, more navigable by both bike and pedestrian, and still offers the ability to meet the stated parking goal.

536 Please develop a plan to analyze alternative uses for this space with and alongside expanded sidewalks, bikeways, bike lanes.

Adding parking is a mistake. We should be moving away from a car dependent culture and this is part of the problem, not a solution. adding parking brings more cars due to "induced demand". It makes it worse for the Alki residents, people that visit, and even car drivers themselves.

537 Consider to fund a dedicated bus more frequently and even reduce the amount of parking that exists.

538 I do not like this change. I live a few miles away from Alki and am a regular walker/runner along this stretch. It is unpleasant once reaching the area that has water side parking due to loud idling cars and people opening doors into the path and stepping into the path without looking for oncoming cyclists and runners/walkers. You should be reducing water-side parking from the whole beach, not adding more of it. This is a shameful change that should not happen!

539 Please don't expand parking on Alki. Do changes more like the Alki Point Healthy Street area and add simple concrete curb stops to expand the area for walking and biking. Alki needs to be for active mobility, not cars.

540 I feel that 140 additional parking spaces at the waterfront is excessive. Traffic calming is necessary but more parking will only exacerbate the problem. I would much rather see more buses that take people to and from the waterfront and better seperation of bike lanes, this would help with overall car traffic down in that area

541 I think these changes will create serious, safety issues, both for trail users, and for people who walk or drive to the beach and need to cross the trail. Increasing parking along the path will create serious, visibility concerns, and will inevitably lead to more conflicts between vehicles, people crossing the path on foot and path users. If the city wants to do this, it should pull the parking a few feet back from the curb edge to leave a buffer strip that will increase visibility and reduce the likelihood of drivers opening their doors directly into path users.

542 I am very happy that the parking spots taken away will return! As a West Seattle Seal Sitter I NEED parking in order to respond to seal pups quickly.

I don't have a view property in Alki so I wouldn't be affected by view obstruction. But I think the idea of removing 10 parking spaces and then adding 150 spaces is terrible. It would just encourage more people to drive to Alki and would do nothing to improve safety. Instead of adding these spaces the city needs to work with KC Metro to see what can be done about adding shuttle bus service both directions on Harbor/Alki Avenues. Westbound shuttles would allow people to use underutilized parking on Harbor Avenue and ride directly to their destination on Alki without a huge detour. There is a lot of congestion on the Alki Trail and sidewalk. Runners don't really have any place to run when the trail is crowded. Adding parking just squeezes the people on wheels, with strollers and wheelchairs, people running and walking against a curb where people are opening car doors and unloading their kids from the passenger side. We don't need more of this than we already have in Alki.

Maybe putting in the new parking on the 1200-1700 blocks of Alki will calm traffic, but I'm concerned about the constant door opening into the shared use path there. Please reconsider.

543 I also don't see the point of converting angle parking to parallel. If there are issues with public safety, address those directly.

544 Hello, I am an Alki resident of 2 years (coming from Miami Beach so a lot of experience with high volume beach areas) and think adding additional parking spaces would be a good solution in solving the traffic/parking problems. HOWEVER, there should be no overnight parking at any of the sights due to safety concerns. Letting cars park overnight just opens the door unsafe activities. Also, with the the added parallel parking there should also be higher security measures such as street cameras, parking attendee or security after sunset. Unfortunately, I don't often go down to Alki avenue anymore after sunset during the summer months due to the unsafe activities that have transpired but I hope these changes can make it accessible and inviting to the Seattle community and visitors to enjoy the businesses and beach. Thank you!

This hasty parking adjustment will make conditions worse. Car doors will open directly into the already crowded trail, posing a hazard to people walking or rolling along the pathway.

The city should be following policy and international best practice by widening the Alki trail to make way for the already extremely high demand for trail space.

545 This is a great opportunity to reimagine the right-of-way to narrow excessive crosswalk distances, widen the sidewalk, widen the trail, install bus bulbs, and still accommodate street parking. Overnight restrictions on parking won't help anything if they aren't enforced. If we have enforcement of existing rules/laws, we wouldn't need any additional mitigation.

Parking spaces that are not in use overnight won't provide traffic calming to discourage street racing overnight. Widening the bike/pedestrian area to take the same area with a hard curb would help more.

546 Adding more transit would be a more effective way than extra parking to allow more people to visit Alki

I do not agree with these changes as it would create hazards to the trail (doors opening onto the trail). I suggest that the city further protect the multi-use trail and create a buffer on the trail from car doors.

To simply add these parking spaces on Alki Ave. SW without making other improvements, means parked car doors would open onto the Alki Trail. Such a design would create new hazards to trail users who are already squeezed into a sub-standard 10-foot-wide space (12 to 14 feet is more appropriate for a heavily-used two-way trail). Trail users often ride in the painted buffer area, weaving around the street signs placed there, because the trail is not wide enough for comfortable passing. It would also degrade views of Elliott Bay, but that's a different concern.

A SOLUTION: If the city creates a new buffer space on the street between the parked cars and the trail and removes the signs in the existing painted area, they could effectively widen the Alki Trail to a more appropriate 13–14 feet while also retaining 10.5-foot general traffic lanes and two parking lanes. Such a design could also be extended all the way to Alki Beach.

Adding parking directly next to the pedestrian and bike path on the west side of Alki Avenue SW will reduce safety. This area needs to promote access by walking and rolling, adding parking will not eliminate parking concerns. Adding better access and connectivity through other modes will improve the experience for all.

549 This change should not be made.

550 I think this is a positive change ask Alki should be accessible and available for everyone in Seattle.

I think this change is a net negative to both bike/pedestrian and vehicle traffic. It will cause an unnecessary risk for people not in cars to be injured. It will also encourage people to drive to alki instead of bus or bike. This number of parking spaces really doesn't solve anything and really just adds more issues to the neighborhood.

The road is wide enough for parallel parking and more parking would be a benefit in the summer time. It may be a lot of commotion for the residents that live there so there should be restricted overnight parking. I live on Harbor Ave SW and I'm glad overnight parking is restricted.

Please do not add more parking until the city has shown that they can control the excessive noise from illegal exhaust systems on cars and motorcycles, speeding, reckless driving and public drinking and drug use that is already out of control

I'm sick of CM Rob Saka trying to micromanage SDOT at the expense of public safety. Keep open car doors far away from our children and senior citizens on bikes. Make the bike path wider and safer or don't do anything at all.

It's nice to see the car-haters at SDOT actually do something that might make it easier for people in cars to get somewhere. Also a nice change from the usual design practices that punish every single driver for the bad actions of a few, like we're a bunch of grade schoolers who need to be scolded by Ms. SDOT the third grade recess monitor.

so, to sum up: this actually seems like a good idea.

And

I'm surprised, because the Vision Zero nonsense usually leads SDOT to make the exact wrong decision.

Way to Go!

Now, for the negative side, we've got the usual Seattle two step of developing a plan, pretending to seek community input, and then just doing whatever you had already decided to do anyhow. That's not great, but at least in this case the impact will be positive.

556 Not happy about the change. Widen the pedestrian and bike lane to encourage people to use other kinds of transportation.

Adding parking is a horrible idea. It makes the area less safe for anyone outside a car. If you can't park at all, not just overnight, the illegal activities folks are so concerned about won't be a serious problem either. I am fine with a few ADA spots. Nothing else is needed. Most people can access the area on foot or by bike.

558 Good change, Yes more parking and NO overnight parking!

559 Don't add parallel parking spots where car doors can open into the trail area. If the concern is loitering with cars, remove the parking spots altogether and add public transit options instead.

Increased parking will just generate induced demand. The City should consider increasing and improving alternative, resilient modes of transportation to popular locations like Alki. Increase bus frequency and make the bike lanes safer.

Parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW creates a hazard for trail users when doors of the cars are opened. This is an especially egregious safety concern as it is the passenger side of the car, and passengers may not be on the lookout for cyclists or other trail users' safety. The trail is already narrow for the number of pedestrians, cyclists and other human powered locomotion that utilizes the infrastructure. Adding places for cars does not actually get more people where they need to be, it just gets more cars and deprioritizes cycling as a mode of transportation. Instead, if you widen the trail, you will have more use of the area and more attendance at local businesses. Adding parking is not a good use of the community's financial resources.

562 Car doors should not open into the trail on Alki as this creates additional hazards for trail users in a space that is already too narrow.

As a west seattle resident, I am concerned that the trail there is too narrow. Parked cars are likely to open doors and block the trail. As someone who cycles this frequently, getting doored by a car is a major concern.

563 We don't need more parking. We need to improve the bike and pedestrian infrastructure on this heavily used trail.

This change will create a dangerous situation for people using the trail as car doors will open into the buffer area where people are walking or biking because the trail is a substandard width. I oppose adding parking and also new parking should be paid parking not free.

The extra parking is needed at best for 10-20 days on summer weekends/holidays. It's wasteful to expand parking for just a few days of the year. As a resident impacted by this, I do not support the change to the parking on Alki.

565 NOT an improvement. Even more dangerous for bikers. Residents on Alki will not be able to get out of their driveways considering the unsafe driving and speeding that happens already. Emergency vehicles will be unable to get through for assistance. I agree the back end parking needs to be reconfigured, but bringing cars all the way down Alki makes a bigger mess. At the very least, yes, restrict overnight parking, but a row of signs on the waterfront is such an ugly eyesore! Please rethink this!!!

	<p>I'm in favor of the Duwamish Head parking adjustment.</p> <p>I favor adding exactly the amount of parking to Alki Ave to offset what is removed from Duwamish head but no more. Any net increase in the amount of parking would increase congestion and should be avoided. Restricting over night parking on Alki Ave sounds like a good idea.</p>
567	<p>I oppose establishing parking on the water side of 1200-1700 Alki. Additional car traffic should be discouraged since it increases pollution, congestion and safety concerns for pedestrians, bicyclists and emergency services.</p>
568	<p>As someone who frequently uses that stretch of Alki Avenue and remembers what it was like before the parking was removed, I have no desire to return to that past. Waterfronts are meant to be experienced—not just from behind the wheel.</p> <p>Now, people rollerskate, walk with their children, and linger in conversation along the street. In contrast, I've witnessed dangerous drag racing in the past, when the road was wide enough to invite it—people came close to being hit. Tragically, a man even lost his life near Mee Kwa Mooks Park after being struck by a drag racer about a year ago.</p>
569	<p>In short, please do not reinstate on-street parking. I live in Admiral and have always been able to find parking since the initial change.</p>
	<p>If the plan is to remove angled parking, I would like to see this space used to create a separated bike lane. The 10 foot multi-use path is already quite crowded for accommodating bikes, walkers, runners. Creating a physically separated bike lane would still contribute to desired traffic calming and also encourage alternate forms of transportation to, from, and through Alki.</p> <p>Also, there is already plenty of street parking available on Alki, as long as drivers are willing to walk just a couple of minutes.</p> <p>The effort to remove waterfront parking along Beach Dr SW for the Alki Stay Healthy street was significant, and the feedback there has been overwhelmingly positive. Adding parking along Alki Ave SW will have the opposite effect, increasing car congestion and reducing the aesthetic quality of the area. This feels like a step backward.</p>
570	
	<p>I am disappointed by this news of adding more parking. I live in Alaska Junction, and the biggest barrier for me getting to Alki (and the reason I may drive) is the bus times are infrequent and have many more stops. I think a model like the Waterfront Shuttle downtown could work well in West Seattle -- Main shuttle stops in Morgan Junction, Alaska Junction, and Admiral, straight to Alki, and back. I'd pay a fee if needed, but the Waterfront Shuttle is free and popular because of it. We do not need to make more space for cars -- we need to make transit more attractive. Many people who live in West Seattle are just a tad too far from Alki to walk, a shuttle would solve this while not encouraging people to drive. Alki already has congestion and speeding, we don't need more.</p>
571	
	<p>Alki Ave should have more multi-modal space. Parking access should not be a priority along the entirety of one of the city's most beautiful areas. We should be encouraging more walking and rolling access there and should expand the too small multimodal trail that can be quite congested.</p> <p>I strongly support the proposed changes to make Duwamish Head a parallel parking area and to offer daytime parking along Alki Avenue.</p> <p>Our community struggles with criminal activity between dusk and dawn and this is one way to reduce that threat.</p> <p>I also support limiting the parking along Alki Avenue to daytime only, just as it is along some of Harbor Ave now. That seems to work well in making sure everyone who wants to enjoy the area can park their car and do so. But at the same time, preventing folks from using the area for illegal long-term RV parking,</p>
572	
573	<p>Thank you for listening to the concerns of this community and taking action!!</p>

574	Adding additional parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW is going to increase pedestrian/bike/car conflicts in an area with high pedestrian and cyclist density, but with a ped/bike lane that is just barely wide enough
575	I am concerned about parked cars adjacent to the narrow shared trail. The additional space could be better used to widen the trail or provide more space for people walking and biking. Some parking could still be added, but there should be additional width for the trail as well.
576	Having a door open right into the a bikelane is designing for failure. People simply don't check for bikes before acting...particularly when they are tired or distracted. Please design your system for success and safety...this is an opportunity to save a life potentially. Please don't ignore it.
577	Putting more parking along Alki Avenue decreases the safety of those using the bike path by encouraging crossings that will be difficult to anticipate. It also will greatly increase traffic - parallel parking restricts the flow of traffic immensely.
578	Please re-establish the parking on the water side with no overnight parking.
579	I feel negatively about this change because it effectively narrows the width of the adjacent path because of a necessary clear zone for people getting into/out of their parked cars. The trail is already crowded, especially on busy weekends when parking turnover would likely be high in this stretch, meaning more conflicts.
580	All this will do is spread out the car side partying further along Alki. Please fix the problems with open drinking and drug use in cars parked on Alki already before adding more unregulated loud music and partying in cars parked on Alki already before expanding it. Those of us that live near those proposed parking spaces deserve better from our leaders on this. It puts this behavior in our front yards that wasn't there before.
581	Why hasnt there been a public hearing on this proposal? This is problematic for bikers, residents and those visiting the area. Can we please get public input?!
582	DO NOT ADD THIS PARKING, PLEASE!! We do not need more parking, we just need better transit service. This parking will make the bike trail more dangerous and harder to use. It will also create severe congestion along Alki Avenue, which is already a problem. Do not add parking, just bring back the bus!!
583	Please do not add parking to Alki Avenue SW in the 1200-1700 blocks. The street is too narrow already, and with all the cars in the summer the EMTs and Fire trucks would not be able to get through. Please restrict parking as you do on Harbor Ave SW.
584	BTW- I don't recall a time when daytime on-street parking was allowed in the 1200-1700 block on the west side. As a cyclist commuter/only transportation, I ride in the street during peak summer times to avoid the path, which can be very busy and dangerous for me. Restricting parking can help alleviate the dangers I face with drivers who are distracted when pulling out of these particular parking spaces or otherwise willfully ignorant of sharing the road with other living beings. People should be encouraged to use alternative forms of transportation beyond driving, and restricting parking can help in this effort.
585	This is a horrible suggestion: the Alki Path is already way too narrow with pedestrians disregarding the separated bicycle/walking designation. Allowing loading and unloading into a massively overburdened path will create the potential for lawsuits if/when a cyclist is injured by a motorist opening their door onto the path or stepping into the path with no care, as already happens constantly along this stretch. I can promise lawsuits when this happens.
586	Has there been any consideration of putting in a parking garage somewhere off the Main Street where it doesn't block the views? And what about small shuttles that run up and down the Alki boardwalk area?
587	This proposed parking plan for Alki will harm users of the path, particularly cyclists. It's poorly thought out, as the path is currently too narrow to safely support the volume of users it has. There are two viable paths forward: drop the proposal to add parking, or meaningfully improve the path to improve user safety, rather than sacrifice it.
588	I live in the middle of the potentially added parking spots. Alki has gotten more and more crowded since the constellation point area removed tons of parking spaces, driving more people Northeast. Well, I don't want more car traffic and view blocking I think adding spots there makes sense
589	The Alki area has become dangerous and chaotic. Cars parked on the waterside play extremely loud music and police do not enforce. By allowing more parking it attracts even more lawlessness. You also have the problem that continues with people living in vehicles without proper sanitation parked on the side of the road in Alki.
590	Traffic is already a mess on Alki in the summer and the main problem is people trying to get in and out of street spots. This will slow down traffic even more making it nearly impossible for me to get home (I live on Alki). Please don't do this! Use one of the empty lots near the water taxi for public parking instead.

591	<p>This is a bad idea, poorly executed. Parking along the water side will block views and in the current configuration with no wheel stops will allow cars to impinge on the bike lane while parking. In addition, allowing cars to park directly adjacent to the bike lane will allow car doors to swing open into the bike creating a "door zone" hazard for cyclists. The city knows this is a hazard and is attempting to mitigate it elsewhere with better bike lane design and infrastructure; it is outrageous that SDOT is deliberately introducing this hazard to the Alki bike lane. SDOT needs to go back to square one and consider the actual goals and impacts of this project. If the goal is simply to plunk a bunch of new parking onto Alki then the project is actively counterproductive and a net negative in terms of both safety and lack of alignment with the city's climate goals. If the goal is to induce a measure of traffic calming, then a better design is needed (eg, wider bike lane and sidewalk, inclusion of a buffer space and barriers between parking and bike lane) that takes into account pedestrian and cyclist safety and comfort. It is appalling that SDOT is simply ramming this ill-conceived project through with near-zero design or impact consideration. I'd say go back to the drawing board but there's no evidence a drawing board was involved here in the first place.</p>
592	<p>In response to Rob Saka and SDOT.. what are you thinking?!!!! Seriously? That would be a nightmare! More cars, more noise, more pollution? Where are our needs for noise detection and speeding vehicle detection cameras?! You are not listening to your Alki tax paying citizens! The "Speed Bumps" you put in did nothing! Cars and motorcycles just rev/speed up loudly after each bump. Do not do this! You are doing the opposite of sane judgement for citizens of Alki.</p>
593	<p>I think this change is dumb and short sighted. Instead of increasing parking, I want to see additional investment in the existing Alki Trail. It's current width is substandard. By increasing parking next to it, you create additional dangerous conditions for trail users with car doors opening onto the trail and increased vehicle occupants loitering or loading/unloading cars on or onto the trail, thereby decreasing the area available for individuals using the trail for transportation or recreation. A better use of our limited shoreline area would be to devote more space for people to use and less space for cars to sit unattended. Let's use the area planned for parking to expand the trail and provide traffic calming through planters or planting strips. By expanding the trail, SDOT will also be able to offer more room for scooter/bikeshare storage, which currently is substandard and results in the trail being block by parked scooters/bikeshares.</p>
594	<p>People live on Alki and this parking would make it so much worse than it already is!! The current noise level is scary loud as in you jump when you hear a loud vehicle go by and if you are watching tv you have to pause the program! Maybe we should move out you are thinking and maybe we will have to!!</p>
595	<p>DO NOT ADD MORE PARKING PERIOD...</p>
596	<p>This seems like punishing everyone due to the actions of a few. I know I use the Duwamish Head parking often for dog walks, or personal walks or taking a jog. Treating the symptom will likely not help with the "illegal activities", they'll just move slightly up or down the road. In the end we'll lose parking spots AND have the same activity so it seems like a bit of a lose-lose.</p>
597	<p>The way all of this is being handled sucks! The back in parking idea at the head made it worse not better so let's just add another bad idea to fix this once and for all!!!</p>

	<p>No, this idea is brought with hostility for the City of Seattle. Alki is already listed as a top 5 spot for gun violence, this area has been subject to many high speed crime accidents and violations most of which are not enforced. The Alki Community Council voted 192 to 26 against this proposal in 2006.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">* June 2006 motorcycle hits skateboarder in the area both dead* Sept. 2006 car with 6 people goes over the seawall 2 dead* May 2009 sports car goes over the seawall* July 2023 car hits local resident turning into her building pushing her car over the seawall, serious injuries* March 2024 car goes over the seawall* Nov. 2024 car goes over the seawall <p>Perhaps the city should install a barrier between the bike path and Alki to prevent vehicles from encroaching on the pedestrian strip.</p> <p>If this area is allowed to have mass vehicle parking it is sure to become a "nuisance" and given the history of accidents it will cause further human carnage.</p>
598	<p>This should be a hard no and this proposal should be reviewed by the City legal staff.</p>
599	<p>Addressing one safety problem by creating another makes no sense. Without a door zone buffer, cyclists/rollerskaters/etc on the busy, already-too-narrow multi use path will inevitably run into doors and/or people as they exit their cars. People already ride in the buffer zone because the path gets congested.</p>
600	<p>I hate it. You made alki avenue a healthy street and it was so nice, and now there's going to be tons of cars making it so much less pleasant and more dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists. The more cars on the street, the more dangerous it is for people. I don't understand why Rob Saka and SDOT is ruining a lovely street.</p>
601	<p>I am a resident of the area and am not in favor of added parking. There has always been a concern that fire dept vehicles would have trouble maneuvering around traffic in that area. It is the only traffic route off alki between california ave and 59th ave sw. Police and fire should have a say in this proposal. If it is approved I dont think overnight parking is a good idea.</p>
602	<p>Bringing back waterside parking will only encourage more cars and traffic to a two-lane street that can barely handle current capacity. It's already a nightmare driving down 1200-1700 - just imagine having to deal with thirty more cars trying to get in and out of parallel parking spaces. We need expanded transit capacity to the beach, not more traffic and parking.</p>
603	<p>Why would you do this?? That street is awesome! There is plenty of parking. Give us some space to be away from cars. They are the real public safety concern</p>
604	<p>I strongly oppose adding parking to Alki Avenue. I'm a cyclist, and I enjoy biking to Alki through that section. It's already a fairly chaotic bit of trail, with lots of folks walking and behaving erratically. Adding parking along that strip means there would be lots of people walking to and from cars and getting into and out of cars, which would make the chaos much worse</p>
605	<p>good change as it allows for more parking. restrict overnight parking to reduce nighttime noise</p>
606	<p>I am strongly opposed. This parking change would create a significant new hazard for Alki Trail users, including people riding bikes, scooters, and the six-person rental bikes that are popular along the beach park. It is already challenging to pass safely on the trail, and maintaining a buffer zone between cars and trail users is essential to mitigate the risk of being "doored". Adding parking will also obscure sightlines and increase the likelihood of a pedestrian walking onto the trail from between parked cars and being hit by a rider. This change would be a clear legal liability for the city.</p>

	Very much oppose this. We have little if any police and do have vandalism already. This would be worse!
607	Traffic congestion would increase as would accidents
608	This is not sufficiently planned, and represents additional danger to trail users and car users due to car passengers getting into/out of their vehicles. I would expect to see increased collisions between cyclists and car doors or individuals moving into the trail area while accessing their cars.
	I maintain a plot of plants along Alki Ave and question if you are clearly realizing some of the avenue is narrower than some other parts of the avenue. Fire trucks and fast-moving police vehicles need to be able to go through, so please be wise and measure carefully to make sure the parking is only on the WIDE parts of Alki Ave. And be aware that numerous fatal accidents have occurred on the areas where the road curves.
609	Also, YES! restricting overnight parking is hugely important because RVs and Campers seem to think they can pull in for days on end, as per how Harbor Avenue became so messed up!
610	I am apposed to the additional parking on Alki
	I disagree with this change; waterfront areas should be better utilized for recreation; parking demand should be decreased instead of providing more parking; otherwise we'll induce more demand for car traffic in the area. We should instead be providing more public transit and other mobility options to encourage people to visit that space using alternate modes of transportation. This would decrease
611	traffic and make it a quieter, safer space for everyone
612	Please keep traffic calming measures on Alki Ave, and restrict overnight parking as you do on Harbor Ave SW.
	I am apposed to the additional parking because I feel it will increase the bad behavior in this section of the park. Currently it has a different vibe then the beach area, more family and exercise oriented,
613	with people enjoying the scenery and less party scene.
	Let me start with "it's about time!" I doubt all the big condo residents agree with me, but they should not have a problem given this provides convenient parking for their visitors year round. Most city streets are public use. This strip should be no exception. The challenges will be no different than south Alki Ave toward the beach has been. .
	I live on Alki and my family has owned a home in the 1700 block since 1948. I've always believed restricting west side of Alki parking made public access to Alki Beach more difficult and put pressure on side streets near the beach. I believe hours should not be restricted along this proposed stretch of Alki as it is not restricted further toward the beach. It has been my experience that overnight parking has not been an issue and should be allowed in the proposed stretch as well.
	I can see why the Duwamish Head parking should retain time limits as it is one of the best places to view the city and time limits will keep the viewpoint regularly open for short stay visitors.
614	Now if we get speed cameras that would further enhance the safety and visitor and residence experience on Alki.
	I don't think parking should be re-established along side the water side of Alki Avenue. The mixed use of the water side pavement has been a great way to ease pedestrian congestion on busy days.
615	Separating bikes, roller skates and skateboarders into a separate bike lane has made the pedestrian experience at the beach so much more enjoyable.
616	Additional parking in the proposed area is a terrible idea. And deciding to do it without community input other than a "survey" that few will see is just as bad
	Please do not add parking to this street. I am so disappointed to hear that Mr. Saka has proposed this solution because it places cyclists in the door zone of car passengers opening their doors. We finally
617	have a great place and he is trying to make it worse and more dangerous.
	I think the amount of parking depicted is way too much. This could also bring in bad actors to the area to take advantage of free parking. For homeowners it's very unattractive to look at cars blocking
618	view . I am opposed to this. If implemented I would like to see as few spots at possible allocated.
619	This is not a good idea.. We need more traffic and safety enforcement on Alki not more parking.

	I'm deeply disappointed by the decision to add daytime on-street parking on the west (water) side of Alki Ave SW, between the 1200 and 1700 blocks. This area does not need more parking — it needs safer, wider sidewalks that allow pedestrians to walk freely and enjoy the waterfront park.
	Expanding parking on that side of the street creates serious safety concerns. It restricts access for emergency vehicles to high-density residential buildings, putting lives at risk. Alki Avenue has already endured years of high-speed cruising, noise, and crime, while the city has done little to address these ongoing issues.
620	Enough is enough. Instead of encouraging more vehicle traffic, we should be investing in making Alki safer and more walkable for everyone. Thank you.
621	I am not a fan of this idea. More parking is not going to help the issues on alki beach. If the spots do end up coming please do not let be for overnight parking.
	I think re-establishing parking on the water side of Alki Ave SW is the last thing that needs to be done for the area. While traffic calming is something that needs to take place, I think the proper solution would be cheap concrete curb stops similar to those on Alki Point Healthy Street, in order to provide more space for walking and other pedestrian activities. I think re-establishing parking would actually
622	make traffic worse, not better.
623	Do not add more parking. Instead, widen the bicycle trail and sidewalks.
624	I think this is very foolish. Tons of bikers and joggers, skaters and everyone uses this trail. It is silly to add a tiny bit of parking to make life worse for everyone on the trail.
625	You're moving the problem from the place I moved from after seeing a dead body in the street to the next place I moved up Alki . Make it make sense.
626	no parking Alki ! Gracious ! Hector
627	Alki has enough issues, we don't want to create more with more parking spots please! Karen M.
628	Parking= loitering and issue and no police to watch the issues. Cindy P.
	We don't need more parking at Alki. People who drive to Alki create dangerous conditions for people who are biking or walking. We need more public transit that encourages people to get to Alki
629	without using a car.
630	Stop. The rest of Alki shouldn't be penalized because the police can't handle chaos where Harbor and Alki connect. Deal with the problem there before you extend the problem.
	Parking on the water side of Alki is generally not needed, with the exception of the Fourth of July. The trail is designated for public and neighborhood use, supporting activities such as running, biking, and walking. Allowing parking in this area may obstruct access to the trail as individuals park and exit their vehicles. There is potential for some individuals to set up camp, which could block or impact the experience for those exercising and using the trail. The presence of vehicles driving in this area may increase the risk of accidents as people drive very fast here. Current law enforcement resources are not adequate for monitoring speed and parking effectively now. Introducing parking could lead to increased congestion, accidents, potentially affecting local wildlife habitats, not to mention decreasing
631	property values. Maintaining a clean and accessible public trail on the water side of Alki is important for preserving its benefits to the community.
632	No additional parking on the water side of Alki.
	I reside on Alki in the area in which additional parking is proposed. I am opposed to these changes. Hanging the angled parking at Duwamish head from angled to parallel parking will not prevent loitering and illegal activities. Only additional police presence, or the removal of all parking in the area would do so. Adding additional parking north of the Head would compound the problem. There are already way too many cars on Alki in the summer, making it difficult for residents to even get to and from their homes. The best way to solve the loitering and illegal activities is to install additional
633	speed bumps in the area proposed for more parking, and reinstate the bus line on Alki so that people can use public transportation to access the area.
	Absolute NO on parking on the west side if Alki Ave between 1200-1700. I am all for people enjoying the trails and views but not by blocking the views of current residents and adding additional traffic issues! Please add back bus service to the bus stops that were closed along that stretch so lots of people can enjoy Alki without adding more traffic and cars! PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION is the answer.
634	Also, parking on other parts of Alki should be paid parking to offset maintenance and police patrols. The noise and crowds are already horrible. Lets not add to the problem! BUSES PLEASE!

	<p>Under no circumstances add this parking! Instead of deterring the dangerous vehicular activity by removing the angle parking at Duamish head, this additional parking will provide another area for it to take place. Before it became a SHS, Alki Point had 1600' of parking space which was key to why it was a big draw for on-going disruptive and dangerous vehicular activity that plagued the area for years. "Social Management", how crowds gather is a key arm of CPTED (crime prevention through environmental design) and it's essential that SDOT incorporate CPTED when into Alki's traffic plans. The Alki Community faces huge problems from cars with modified exhaust systems that require thoughtful solutions rather than short sighted ones that will quickly reveal unintended consequences the community knows all too from experience will happen. These added spaces certainly won't serve Alki residents nor will they help park visitors because it they will be driven away by the dangerous vehicular gatherings. Also consider that the added parking spaces are too far away from retail to serve the public or benefit business. People won't walk a mile to get their ice cream or soda! Check out the "more parking nixed" article in "Westside Seattle" dated 9/26/2006 when the ACC voted 192 to 28 AGAINST adding parking at the 1100 block of Alki Ave. Human nature hasn't changed since 2006 in terms of walking to get services, but social media now aided by cell phones most certainly has! Don't add parking and definitely restrict night time parking if you actually believe it will be enforced. This is</p>
635	just creating a bigger enforcement problem for SPD which they are under staffed to handle now.
636	Opposed to negative impacts on bike and pedestrian travel on the trail. The trail should be widened in the process if this is implemented
	<p>As an Alki resident without off-street parking, decreasing any parking is a problem. Illegal activity isn't going to stop, it's going to shift elsewhere, continuing the problem that is trying to be addressed.</p>
637	What other mitigation strategies are being used?
	<p>I think it's a terrible idea to add hundreds more idling cars to the waterfront along Alki. We should expand access to the waterfront for pedestrians and cyclists while reducing the number of vehicles</p>
638	along the water. The waterfront is for people to enjoy, not for vehicle storage.
	<p>I am good with the change. I live in the 1700 block of Alki Ave SW. What also needs to change is there needs to be more speed bumps along the entirety of Alki Ave. street racing and absurdly loud cars</p>
639	tearing down the street at all hours of the night in the summer is a HUGE issue.
640	NO! It's unsafe. Need a permanent protective barrier between trail and parking. The trail is used by 100s more people at any given time.
	<p>No, no , no this would be horrible for the residents in this area. The increase in noise when noise is already a problem. The garbage left behind would be damaging to the beach and the grass . This is a</p>
641	high end residential area and we care about our surroundings. Do not do this!
	<p>Duwamish Head adjustment Yes. Alki adjustment Strongly No. Narrows the street. Backs up traffic behind left turns in an area with significantly higher density and therefore significantly higher quantity of</p>
642	left turns which reduces safety. Increases noise and potential for nefarious actions. If enacted must restrict overnight parking in Alki adjustment as well.
	<p>As a cyclist who uses the Alki Trail, this is a terrible idea. Car doors would now open into the bike trail, endangering cyclists. The trail is already narrower than recommended. No additional parking should</p>
643	be added unless a substantial buffer zone is also added between the parking and the trail, to protect cyclists.
	<p>I do not think adding working will benefit the beautiful view and walkability of Alki Beach. This will make cycling and cars fight for fewer space along the road. Funds should be directed to address public transit needs in the area.</p>
644	As this addition would only benefit people with cars. Taking away from those who do not. Add more buses and keep the beach car free
	<p>I am Seattle resident who visits Alki avenue regularly. I disagree with Rob Saka's request to add more parking and I believe transit decisions should be forward-thinking and made by the department of transportation. Not reactive decisions made politician.</p>
645	In short, less cars on Alki Ave = safer and better for everyone.

	I'm a resident of 1200-1700 Alki and I'm very upset about the plan to plug in more park spaces for autos along the water side of the stretch in question. This is 1+ miles from the beach - typically the destination of guests to Alki. Spreading out congestion in an already congested area serves to encourage gatherings all along Alki (particularly the 1200-1700 stretch). This is a well documented source of criminal activity. You are encouraging it - placating people who don't live in the area - not Saka's constituents - certainly not the residents. The police don't have the resources to patrol rule breakers after
646	11pm - they haven't in years past, they don't today, and they won't in the future. Not unless a shooting is called in by the residents who must be the eyes for the police. It's exhausting.
	Moving the parking spaces will not alleviate public safety concerns. Evenings and after dark times are extremely unpleasant by the diagonal spots with drugs and drinking occurring frequently with loud music and overall unsafe environment. Limiting parking to PAID lots will enable easier monitoring by SPD and not disperse such activities farther around the area. If passed which I do NOT recommend,
647	overnight parking should not be allowed for camper vans or other work trucks.
	I disagree with increasing parking. I walk/ride the beach from DonArmeni to Alki daily. Beach area is already saturated with people on the weekends. Road rage and dangerous driving (60+mph, flexing motorcycles riding wheelies and passing slower vehicles in packs, and "tuned" cars with screaming popping engines) are daily issues. I have been nearly hit on my bike commute several times by cars
648	trying to pass illegally or blindly pull out of/ into existing parking spots.
	Adding 150 parking spaces to replace the loss of 10 is gratuitous. If you want to solve the parking problems in Alki, which does have serious parking issues, add meters and allow residents free on street parking with permits/stickers. Instead of using the Alki Ave space for parking reconfigure the street so that the heavily used recreational path has enough space for all users, which it currently does not.
649	Start out with temporary barriers. Thanks!
	Duamish Head parking change- I am not a fan, as the best part about this place is parking so both driver and passenger can see the city and bay, especially in inclement weather. Head-in parking should be re-instated. I fail to understand how changing the parking will achieve the stated goal. The problem here is a enforcement issue not a parking issue.
	Alki Ave parking- parallel parking along this stretch should have been re-established long ago. No parking here only benefits the wealthy landowners not the public who uses the park area. Parked
650	vehicles will also effectively narrow the street, so should help to slow traffic speeds as well. Restrictions should be the same as further south on Alki Ave = none.
	This is an absolutely fantastic idea. If it's good enough for Harbor...
651	It will have the added bonus of reducing excessive speeds of what many of up locals refer to as "The Straightaway".
	If parking is added it could cause even more congestion and accidents between people getting out of cars pedestrians and bicycles. However, if the city creates a new buffer space on the street between the parked cars and the trail and removes the signs in the existing painted area, they could effectively widen the Alki Trail to a more appropriate 13-14 feet while also retaining 10.5-foot general traffic
652	lanes and two parking lanes. Such a design could also be extended all the way to Alki Beach.
	Absolutely No more parked cars on Alki. Bikers will be hurt by doors swinging open into their path. Bikers wont have enough room on the sharecon space. Living on Alki has become unpleasant during the summer forcing many of us to close our windows and run air conditioning all summer. Police refuse to enforce the noise and speeding ordinances. The Alki Speedway results in at least 1 car into the water each year and about one totaled car each year at 1400 Alki. More cars will make it impossible to resume enforcement exacerbating safety issues. Since the way this was asked, it is very clear that the City has decided to make this happen regardless of what we, who live here think; we have to deal with these bad decisions on our quality of life. Since its a done deal, please prevent overnight parking. Just look at the urine smell at California/Harbor where overnight parking is allowed and city has done nothing to address the unhealthy/hazmat condition. This has been reported frequently for 2 years, is
653	unhealthy for us walkers. If you seriously want traffic improvement, make the entire length of Alki one-way and improve transit. More parked cars is the wrong decision.

	<p>There is no benefit. The city's own study found that parking availability in this area is a non-issue, with available parking spaces at all hours including at peak use times.</p>
	<p>However, there is significant safety risk. The proposed change encroaches on already-insufficient space designated for trail users. The city has offered no justification for its intention of removing trail access. This plan would create additional physical hazards for trail users as drivers open their car doors into their paths, likely resulting in injury for vulnerable users.</p>
654	<p>However, there is an easy fix here. The two current driving lanes are 20 feet and 13 feet wide, respectively. The standard driving lane width is 10.5 feet. The standard parking lane width is 8 feet. Removing extraneous space from the two existing driving lanes would give the city 12 additional feet of space, more than enough for both an additional 8-foot-wide parking lane and 4 additional feet of trail width.</p>
	<p>I'm sorry; I responded yesterday without thinking this through. My concern now about adding more parking along the northwest side of Alki is the propensity for people to hang out in front of all of our homes partying etc. Even with less parking, there is no monitoring, noise litter, etc. Plus there's no easy way to walk down to the beach as one of the stairways is closed and the other is in bad shape as you near the bottom.</p>
655	<p>I think what would BETTER slow people down besides "two side" parking is some sort of planter median. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
656	<p>I am only in favor of this if it does not affect the ability of Alki Ave SW to be a two lane road. As a local, there is already a lot of traffic on a nice day, making it hard to leave. If this parking impacts traffic by increasing it through diminishing the ability of two cars to drive on it simultaneously, I would be opposed.</p>
657	<p>Voting NO for more parking.</p>
658	<p>I am against it. While there is a 'biking' trail, it is usually full of other pedestrians, strollers, skaters, etc. and has a 15 mph limit. In order to ride a bike faster, you need to be on the road. Adding parking adds more hazards for cyclists who totally legally choose to use the road. So it is more dangerous.</p>
659	<p>I live on Alki Avenue. Improving safety is of upmost concern. I AGREE that the current parking situation at Duwamish head is a mess and needs to be fixed. I DO NOT AGREE that more parking spots are needed by the water at 1200-1700. We need less cars on this road, not more!!! Thank you.</p>
660	<p>I think it's a horrible idea. The bike lane is already too small and adding even MORE cars to Alki is just is just piss poor planning.</p>
	<p>These parking changes will impact local residents. The people responsible for these changes need to spend time in this area in the evenings and late at night, when racers zoom by and frequently hit, parked cars with hit and runs. When these hit and runs are reported to SPD, there's no follow up and no investigation. They don't have the manpower.</p>
	<p>If you do decide to go through with this project, the speed limit definitely won't be obeyed with those small speed bumps. We already see it on Alki Avenue SW down by the lighthouse, and in the proposed area. People just drive around them. It's a threat to those walking and biking in that densely busy area. What is the council plan for that?</p>
661	<p>We also need to ask ourselves why that parking was removed in the first place.</p>
662	<p>How in the world will this solve problems of cruising, loitering, or violence during the summer?? This is laughable. Please expand bus service instead. We do NOT need more congestion, less pedestrian and cyclist safety, and increased car pollution in this area.</p>
663	<p>Keep the waterfront open, prioritizing pedestrians and cyclists. Parked cars are an eyesore, keep their parking opposite side of Alki Avenue, away from the waterfront, or do not offer parking at all on this stretch of road.</p>
664	<p>I think we should do this parking on Alki Avenue SW, because we use the angle parking at Duwamish head and this would help replace the reduction in spots. I also think restricting overnight parking would be good as well!</p>

665	I extremely oppose this change. I believe this will increase traffic in my area and expose me to more crime. This was how it was here prior to 1997. People are more brazen and careless in daylight than ever before. Perhaps creating a parking lot some where and bussing people into this area will allow people to enjoy the park and deter the trouble makers and congestion that comes with this change.
666	Terrible idea. I live a few blocks away, this is not needed and expensive. Please review further.
667	Terrible! So, you have a problem that is concentrated in a 100-yard stretch and you are trying to fix it by spreading it over a mile? If you cannot control the problem at the diagonal parking area, it is laughable to think that you could do any better over a mile. This is just a poor way of covering up for mediocre enforcement of rules and laws. I'm very disappointed in Rob Saka.
668	I think it's better than existing at Duwamish Head. Absolutely restrict overnight parking. The issue with additional parking along the western edge is the increased pedestrian conflicts with those parking, exiting, and entering into the existing trail and/or the street. If it is added, it must be with additional humps, if they don't exist, before the parking begins. I don't think this "off-sets" at the head. It's a bit of a journey from the proposed spaces to the head. It's really extra parking for Alki beach proper.
669	I oppose this change. Adding water side parallel parking will change the 10 ft wide shared trail into a 5 ft wide trail with a 5 ft door hazard zone. This will certainly cause injuries to trail users and I hope SDOT can subrogate the inevitable lawsuit to Rob Saka.
670	In the 1990s when parking was allowed here, the area was rife with undesirable activity, litter, crime. Development of the Alki Trail along Alki Ave and eliminating parking at any time on the Water Side has transformed this street into a grand scenic boulevard, cherished by walkers, runners, skaters, cyclists, drivers and their passengers. And it is now a safe place enjoyed 24 hours a day. Please reject the proposal to re-establish parking of any kind on the water side of 1200 - 1700 Alki Ave SW.
671	No from owner at 1402
672	No way. Too narrow
673	Grateful that the Alki Ave parking will be reestablished to offset the other changes! Parking is a huge challenge on Alki in the summer and while I can walk there I know many people cannot. The only real challenge I think will be listening to the people who think we don't need parking. Parking restrictions should probably be the same as the rest of Alki Ave, not Harbor. Any potential problems with nighttime gatherings should be addressed by the noise ordinance.
674	They are eliminating back-in parking at Duwamish Head because of complaints by Condos across the street from it, about loitering and illegal activity. The proposal to reopen beach side parking here will simply move the loitering and illegal activity right back in front of the homes on Alki Avenue.
675	I see no reason for overnight parking, as it just encourages extended stays in RV's & breeds more illegal activity.
676	I oppose the new on-street parking on Alki Ave SW. As currently planned, the parking would shrink the usable width of an already crowded trail. Any new parking should include a healthy buffer space between the trail and the parked cars to reduce the risk of dooring and pedestrian collisions.
677	My opinion is that re-striping parking is an insufficient response for public safety concerns and loitering at the Duwamish Head. I hope that if parking is added along Alki Avenue that the increased risk from bikers being hit by car doors is somehow mitigated.
678	This is going to increase congestion and decrease bicycle safety. The last thing that Alki needs during the busy summer months is more of this. Please please please, call a community hearing on this subject so the people can voice their opinion. This proposal matters, and in my opinion, misses a big opportunity.
679	More parking is never the answer in today's Seattle. There are better options. Let's not damage one of the most peaceful areas of the city with a car-center approach.
680	Am against more parking because I am against more noise and more garbage. If passed, no overnight parking. The beat up motorhomes that currently park and move around Alki in the summer will likely increase in numbers.
681	Yes, get rid of angle parking. It's a place for drinking alcohol and drugs.

680	<p>Has anyone talked to emergency services about this plan ? I am very concerned that for half a mile, there will no access for emergency vehicles on busy summer days where the roads are jam packed. This is less of an issue closer to beach since there are many side roads for entry and egress. I guess emergency vehicles can ride up on the bike lane but that also does not sound like a safe idea with kids , bikes etc on busy days. Unless you can address the situation with the emergency vehicles, this change to parking should not be made.</p>
681	<p>The Don Armeni lot is often very empty during the day, since most of that parking is reserved for boat use. Is there a way to increase parking for vehicles in there instead of on the street? Also, can we explore more transit options for this stretch rather than additional parking? I think adding more parking is fine, but I do understand people's concerns that it may lead to more pedestrians and open car doors impacting safety of the bike path.</p>
682	<p>res on restricting overnight parking:</p> <p>As to parking direction change - point of clarification, the change is absolutely not going to improve parking availability - let's be honest and please stop saying that! That being said, this parallel parking to angle parking, to parallel, back to angle, back to parallel, back to angle at least 3 times that I remember. (I have lived in West Seattle and frequented Beach Drive along Alki Beach for 70 years) Based on that the only thing that will happen is that congestion is going to be off the charts (because most folks struggle with parallel parking); and vehicle occupants on the street side exposed to danger as they throw open the car door and leap out.</p> <p>What can be done? Nothing because there is no way Beach Drive in this area can possibly accommodate the number of vehicles that wish to "go to the beach".</p> <p>In my opinion, there should be No Parking allowed on either side of Beach Drive from Salty's to the Lighthouse.</p> <p>But, after working for the City for 40 years I realize that no matter what comments citizens may provide this is "a done deal". And whatever the City thinks this parking change will achieve it won't - - we've Been There, Done That.</p>
683	<p>Thanks for reading!</p> <p>I'M STRONGLY OPPOSED TO THIS PLAN. Why would the City spread "loitering and illegal activities" over a broader area that is all residential with no adjacent park facilities or any safety precautions for the children and adults who walk (often with poles, walkers, wheelchairs and dogs), stroll babies, bike, ride scooters, skate, or simply enjoy the peace of water watching and wildlife sightings? As a resident in the 1200 block, I already endure the nightly blaring, skidding, squealing, roaring of countless cars, pickups, motorcycles, and scooters that race up and down Alki with virtually NO law enforcement. Some of the roadway is straight (adding to speed), but there is a significant curve at 1250 where, just in the past 3 years, at least 3 vehicles have sped off-road and into the water, damaging electric poles, hydrants, trees, benches and the small amount of lawn. Overnight parking restrictions would do nothing to solve the core 24/7 problems which also include access for services, delivery and emergency vehicles, as well as residents' ingress and egress from their garages.</p>
684	<p>Please, do not add on-street parking. This is one of the most important and cherished pedestrian spaces in the City. We should be prioritizing such a place for people, not vehicles.</p>
685	<p>DO NOT CHANGE ANYTHING - we need enforcement, loiters don't care which direction they park their cars.</p>
686	<p>I am not in favor. This will pose a safety hazard to cyclists on the trail. Many car users do not expect there to be cyclists in the way when they open their car doors. The trail gets very full when the weather is nice and trail users often must ride near the street side, putting them at risk of getting hit.</p>
687	<p>Who's brilliant idea was it to add parking on Alki. It had to be someone who does not live on Alki. It is a hard No. The restricted parking is good.</p>
688	<p>Absurd, adding additional; parking along Alki. The police presence on Alki is pretty much nonexistent, shootings near the business district and they can't seem to handle current issues at Harbor and Alki. Adding parking will only push the problem all along the street.</p>

We are not sure how this parking adjustment is going to curtail loitering and illegal activities, the perpetrators will just move it down in front of our condos. It certainly isn't going to stop anything.

We have lived on Alki in the 1200 block for 15 years. We are begging you not to allow this new parking opportunity!! It has gotten so bad here on Alki since COVID. No one seems to respect the residents as far as speeding, loud cars, radios blaring, backfires and motorcycles. In the evenings we have witnessed cars parking on the water side in front of our house, illegally, turn up their music and start partying so loud that we have to close all the windows and doors and turn on the cc on our televisions in order to watch. And even then it is sooooo loud that the windows can shake. This goes on at all times but especially in the evenings and the weekends...I've had to call the police at times at 2:00 am as it is still going on. Used to be that we could enjoy being by the water and have the windows and doors open to have a nice breeze on those hot nights and maybe even sit out on the deck! Now it is ridiculous that people race through here and are not here to enjoy the views or sunsets.

Since the beach closes down now at 10:30....there is no reason for people to be here. They are just here to cause trouble.

We are happy to share this beautiful place, but there always seems to be a few who ruin it for everyone. Please don't allow parking opposite our home. And if this is a foregone conclusion at least impose an overnight parking restriction after 10:00 and then hand out parking tickets!

Thank you

689

I don't understand how changing the parking orientation will prevent crime but I guess it doesn't hurt anything. Adding 15 spots per spot lost, however is ridiculous and will hurt users of the Alki Trail. Car doors will encroach on the trail, and more people getting out of cars will cause more chaos. On a busy day the trail is extremely crowded and slow, and the number of users far exceeds the number of cars passing by. All these users have to share a substandard-width trail and modest sidewalk. The extra space should be given to the roller skaters, bike riders, joggers and walkers, not to more car parking

690

where it is least needed.

Well, I think the parking should be changed back to parking head on instead of backing up only. This has made it very challenging. People used to be able to park and look at the beautiful cityscape. This change was not necessary and really confusing and a safety hazard.

691 I've always thought the people should be able to park across from the condos and there's plenty of room!

With safety for everyone as the main concern, I think parking should be added away from the curb to form a protected bike lane. Otherwise, cyclists are in serious danger of injury from both street traffic, and driver-side opening doors. Cyclist safety should not be an afterthought; it should be considered equally to pedestrian safety, as while cyclists are on machines and travel faster than pedestrians, cycles

692 colliding with a pedestrian aren't likely to result in death, whereas vehicles colliding with cyclists easily could.

No no do Not approve of parking across the street from 1200 block to 1700 block on Alki Ave - will increase noise - will require more safety patrols - will increase crime - to our homes and condos that live in this area - will increase loitering !! Requesting you Not to do this - will decrease the value of our properties !

693

Please limit parking and widen the Alki trail for pedestrians and bicycles. Adding more parking will create more problems and conflicts with nonvehichles. There's too much subsidized parking and infrastructure for vehicles. If Seattle cared about safety, people, and business then expanding the trail is the clear choice.

694 Please do not add parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW. There should be no overnight parking on Harbor Avenue SW as it draws more crime and people in that area! There will be increased racers, noise, and incidents! This is supposed to be a quiet resting place and this will disrupt the right to quiet enjoyment, which means the right to use and enjoy one's property without undue

695 interference from others, including excessive noise

696	Wouldn't parallel parking create more traffic jams? More traffic jams equate to more chaos/noise/exhaust. I'm really upset by this decision.
697	I'm concerned with too many cars coming and going that pedestrians and bikers may be unsafe. If there is a way increase shuttle service instead that would be better. I don't understand the logic that is being applied to this scenario.
	We are taking away 10 parking spots at duwamish head, and in response we are adding 150 down Alki Ave? We think that the mayhem at the Duwamish head will just vanish and won't get swept down Alki Ave where parking is added? We think that overnight parking will be properly enforced?
	This is a huge mistake. Do not push this through without public comment!!!
698	Alki at peak summer is way past capacity. More parking will only exacerbate issues.
	I'm opposed. The noise and the congestion at Alki will be even worse than it is now if allowed to spread all over Alki Ave. If we implement this additional parking, we absolutely must restrict overnight
699	parking.
	The last thing this city needs is more PUBLIC property used for storage of private vehicles. Cities are for people, not cars. Enhance transit options and frequencies to the area. Enhance pedestrian and bike
700	infrastructure. We do. Not. Need. More. Parking. Full stop.
701	I am not in favor of these changes as they would negatively affect the Alki trail for pedestrians and cyclists.
702	Expansion of the trail should be prioritized over parking in any changes on Alki
	I think this will allow more people to speed through and cause the streets to be narrowed and will make it harder for people to safely cross the street. We have heard that there was parking on this length of the street in the past and it was removed due to increased crime and lack of police enforcement. It appears that the crime is just being moved from Duwamish Head to occupy all of Alki. There
703	absolutely would not allow overnight parking.
704	Please add these badly needed spots to increase access to Alki for people not privileged enough to afford to live there.
	Parking here would be detriment to our community and safety. Bike lanes here would become even more dangerous due to additional congestion and cars impeding on an already small bike lane. I
705	would request we spend money on improved bike infrastructure here. Perhaps widening the already too narrow bike lanes.
	Parking is needed. And overnight parking needs to be restricted to avoid illegal encampments. But the challenge is enforcement of those laws. Can that be done? This parking would also help slow cars
706	down we assume. Alki does have a major shortage of parking in the summer. Will doors open into the bike lanes though?
	Please do not open parking on the water side. This will increase traffic and potential crime as cars and people will loiter. Thus the reason why the parking was removed decades ago. Please do not have
707	history repeat itself. Thank you!
	'Increased parking demand' without acknowledging 'increased biking/rolling/walking/stroller demand' is short sighted. As someone who bikes in the area & takes the water taxi on the weekends, I'm doing my part to reduce traffic and enjoy businesses on Alki. Next to curb parking is a necessity for ADA & feasible for small sections with good signage & paint. But swinging doors and children/dogs jumping out are real hazards to both cyclists and people coming in and out of cars for long stretches of trail. In the summer, I contend with masses of walking pedestrians in the bike lane and often must take the edges to maintain speed (biking 15 mph for 10 miles to Alki is WAY easier than biking 2mph for a quarter mile!). A plan which increases unpredictability and safe width of the trail seems to forget
708	those of us who take up some of the least space in recreating and commuting.
709	This is a bad idea, keep cars and their doors clear of the bike path. This is dangerous and going to create issues
	Please do not add parking!!!! Yes, we should restrict overnight parking. The folks who hang out there are not families or guests, they are loud, obnoxious partiers and there are not enough police to keep
710	that under control for crying out loud.

Absolutely not to the additional parking.

I completely understand that people want to visit Alki—it's a beautiful place with incredible views, and that's exactly why we choose to live here. But proposals like this seem to prioritize visitors at the expense of the residents who call this area home.

Adding more parking will come at a real cost—not just financially, but to the quality of life for those who live here. Expanding parking will cut into the bike lane, and inevitably, the pedestrian lane as well. Once again, residents are being treated as second-class citizens in favor of out-of-town visitors.

The money proposed for this change would be far better spent on improving safety and enforcement in the area. For example, the Don Armeni parking lot is frequently overrun by people racing in loud vehicles, blasting music, smoking, drinking, and taking over the space in ways that are disruptive and unsafe. Ironically, they occupy the very spots this proposal is supposedly trying to create more of.

Why not focus on meaningful improvements like increased patrols, stricter enforcement of noise and speed violations, and adding more speed bumps to discourage reckless driving?

711 This plan does not serve the residents. More parking is not the solution—it's a step backward. If anything, it feels like a disregard for the community that actually lives here.

712 I strongly oppose this change for all the reasons outlined in the letter submitted by Steve Pumphrey. Please do not move forward with this change.

With regards to Alki, this is the stupidest thing imaginable. Their idea is to have more room for bikers, runners, walkers etc - angled parking here will be a total shit show with a few cars jumping the curb every day

Reducing parking in an area that already provides plenty of parking spaces seems entirely counterproductive. To then move those cars to an already busy thoroughfare where car doors will open into the path of trail users on an already smaller than needed pathway seems like a doubly bad idea.

715 Test

It's a bad idea. I live near 1200 Alki. Adding parking to make up for those lost at Duwamish Head is not a solution. It is simply shifting the problem. We currently have partying below the bulkhead when the tide is out and speeding/racing day and night. Additionally, parking would reduce space for bike lanes. If not on the road, more bikes, scooters and pedestrians on the walkways is a greater safety concern. And yes, if there is parking, overnight restrictions are a must have.

Please do not put parking on that section of Alki. Emergency vehicles already have issues getting through; adding more cars makes it worse. Consider: The City wants us out of cars and encourages bus use, yet ironically there is NO bus service on Alki. The water taxi bus is limited in service and capacity. Bus service would enhance beach usage, increase safety for visitors and reduce bad behavior. Why not that instead of more parking?

717

Sirs: I live in a condo across from Don Armeni launch. I would not want to see street side parking re-established. To partially mitigate the loss of perpendicular parking at the cost of the common view asset is not in my opinion reasonable. A handful of parking spots at the cost of the view of all. Unobstructed water views are so few. Don't ruin this one.

718 It's a bad idea....bad for residents, bad for visitors who want to enjoy the beach and bad for the environment. Let's get cars off the street. Give us more water taxi buses.

I do not support more parking along Alki. The parking will just make the adjacent Alki Bike Path more difficult to use. I'd much rather see SDOT improve non-auto access to and use of Alki, such as bringing the bike path up to modern standards (with improved signing and paint markings). I'm also more interested in the City working with King County Metro to bring back the #37 bus route that was discontinued during COVID.

720

721	<p>The parking adjustment is criminal and will result in bike and pedestrian injuries. WIDEN THE TRAIL for bikes and pedestrians. REMOVE THE PARKING., DO NOT CREATE MORE CLIMATE DAMAGING CAR PARKING. Thank you.</p>
722	<p>will this project result in more or fewer parking spaces than now or no change in number of spaces?</p> <p>How did you come to the conclusion that changing the parking from angled to parallel would reduce loitering and illegal activity?</p> <p>I do think that overnight parking should not be allowed</p>
723	<p>The change to the parallel parking at Duwamish Head makes sense, however, the additional parking on Alki Avenue brings nothing but problems. How do you justify taking away improvements made to the street for cyclists to accommodate more cars. This change will just create more issues for those of us that bike/ walk Alki. Unless SDOT is ready to bring the bike/ped paths on Alki up to the standards of those installed in the last couple of years in Seattle, it's a big NO from me on the additional parking.</p>
724	<p>I oppose the plan to open parking on the water side. This section of Alki Trail is popular with walkers, runners and cyclists because it is relatively peaceful and closer to the water compared to the areas where parking is allowed on both sides of Alki Ave. Additional parking on the water side, along with the increased noise and congestion it will bring, will compromise the key attributes that make it a valuable element of the Alki beachfront - further threatening the critical wildlife habitat and diminishing the property values of the residences on the opposite side.</p> <p>densmorehunter@gmail.com</p>
725	<p>Thank you for thinking about people who live in White Center and want to visit Alki. Adding parking helps with equity, otherwise travel is 1+ hrs one way with a transfer</p>
726	<p>I feel that this is being shoved down our throats. Where was the public notification / survey regarding converting the angled parking to parallel parking along Duwamish Head? Why are we moving the problem from Duwamish Head and repeating the Alki melee of the 1990's by spreading it along Alki? Adding additional parking spots will just bring the loud music, drinking, drugs, arguments, fights & shootings up the street. Solve the loitering problem, don't spread it and make it a bigger problem!</p>

I live at 1200 Alki Ave SW and am against the additional parallel parking that is being recommended by SDOT. I am total in agreement with changing the diagonal parking as those neighbors have suffered long enough from the reckless behavior this mass of parking has facilitated.

Many of us that live along this stretch are elderly and the emergency vehicles that need to access this strip are a regular occurrence. If one of the high rises was to catch fire how would these emergency vehicles and fire department be able to do their jobs and rescue people?.

There are no hotels along Alki Ave SW so why would we encourage more parking along this strip that is more of a DRAG strip than an entertainment area. Cars go by here at 100 MPH and we have had several very bad accidents over the past years, Where are the sped cameras?

It is obvious no one from SDOT lives on Alki Ave SW or they would NEVER suggest parking on a Street that has not had parking for over 40 years for many of the same reasons I am making here.

SDOT should spend their time and dollars installing the speed cameras we so desperately need. Sound enforcement is also needed.

Look, the police and Rob Saka have been working diligently to clean up the diagonal parking and the boat launch. This parking idea will create another issue with doors opening when these electric bicycles are whizzing by.

Thanks for listening to my modest rant.

727 SPEED CAMERAS just like we had on the lower bridge during the upper bridge rework.

We voted for Rob Saka because he personally came to our houses on Alki and he promised to make Alki safer for families. While he did follow up on his promise by installing speed bumps, the addition of parking is going in the opposite direction. It's one thing if cars just parked but people have parties and drink and break the law in a lot of ways. Now it seems he is the one championing adding parking spots that no one asked for - I can't believe he of all people would do this. As the representative of West Seattle, he should look out for the benefit of west seattle residents rather than all these extra cars

728 that are almost always from outside of seattle. If this goes through, we won't be voting for Saka for anything in the future.

I'm against both. For the parallel parking, why add an enforcement burden for parking/police and add to the attractive nuisance that already exists at Don Armeni? Police faced a major disruption there just a few days ago. And the Alki parking is just the kind of contiguous space that attracts caravans of drivers of vehicles with illegally modified exhaust systems that terrorize Alki residents and

729 responsible visitors to the area. Why invite the accompanying drug use, reckless driving, and other illegal activities? Would you want that in your neighborhood?

This is great! Some parks and beaches have no parking, even in areas designated for fishing where only a few trailers are parked. It's a no-brainer — much needed. We always wondered why those areas

730 had no parking available. Yes restrict over night parking to limit homeless rv parking.

731 I'm good with the water side parking if overnight restrictions are enacted and enforced.

Your sign says "it is proposed to add parking to the west/waterside of Alki Ave." Who is proposing it? In addition to it being a terrible idea you don't even say whom the proposing party is. How

732 dishonest and shameful.

733 No parking spots at 1200-1300 Alki or anywhere ! Terrible idea Tony

734 If you want more killings down here do this So don't or people will die

	Build it wnd the violence will
735	Come as we are still feeling the effects of the defund the police movement
736	The Duwamish Head parking is being eliminated because of the terrible degradation of life it has caused to so many residents in our area with the illegal activities it enables. And it has placed an impossible burden on our police force, who are charged with keeping the peace and our citizens safe. If you go forward with adding 150 parking spaces that have been avoided for 40 years for a reason, you will be creating a completely unnecessary new source of potential harm to this area, which has suffered long enough. Our municipal servants should not be seeking to make things worse in our community than they already have been- it's shameful that you would even contemplate such a wrong headed plan. There should not be any new parking, period, and the money that would have been spent for such a terrible addition to the streets would be better invested in providing safe transportation options for those who would like to come and enjoy Alki Beach without punishing its residents.
737	The bikers are now in the door zone of the parked cars. With a narrow bike lane, this change really leaves nowhere for bikers to go if a door opens into the lane. Please remove the parking. Its obnoxious that you have to do year of community outreach to install a bike lane, but seem to have no problem endangering it without any community outreach
738	We don't need more parking. Promote and use the current under utilized FREE shuttles that run from Admiral and Alaska Junction (that run all day and are mostly empty). Route 775 & 773 https://kingcounty.gov/en/dept/metro/routes-and-service/schedules-and-maps/775 https://kingcounty.gov/en/dept/metro/routes-and-service/schedules-and-maps/773.html
739	No more parking on Alki!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
740	Additional parking should be added. The area gets packed during certain times of the year and adding additional parking would 1. Allow more people to enjoy the area and 2. Reduce traffic on tight adjacent side roads that are filled with people trying to find parking there instead.
741	I think we should remove as much parking as possible, 24/7, and narrow the street to prevent racing, illegal passing and generally calm/remove traffic. Even better add electric metro shuttles to/from a car park area and Alki in order to remove cars, in general. No, I do not support parking at Alki.
742	I was walking on Alki this morning and saw the link for feedback. Thanks for exploring options to make Alki accessible for more people. However, as I think about it, I think this change might be a step in the wrong direction. We should be moving toward more public transport while making it safer for people , bicycles, etc. One solution is I noticed lots of residents have placed their own no parking signs on the opposite side of the street which misleads people into not able to park when in fact it is public parking. The parking on the opposite side of the street is better as it doesn't interfere with people using the trail.
743	Bad idea. Worse traffic than now. Don't do it.
744	I support the additional parking planned on Alki Ave SW. This will help reduce traffic and make it easier to enjoy the beach. You should restrict overnight parking or allow it by permit. no new parking on water side : instead create a parking lot away from Aldi & add free trolley service
745	restrict overnight parking - don't let RVs move in
746	Más coches equivalen a más muertes https://westseattleblog.com/2024/10/followup-15-months-after-car-into-water-alki-crash-allegedly-speeding-drunk-driver-is-charged/
747	No additional parking. Please!
748	More public transportation less cars.
749	No parking expansion without Alki Multi-use trail expansion too. The trail is already way too skinny for summer volumes of pedestrian and bike traffic and adding more door-zone parking will prove to be extremely hazardous to trail users. Alki should be encouraging MORE people to arrive by bike and transit, not discouraging it by making trail use even more dangerous.

	<p>I think this is an absolutely terrible. I can see no benefits and numerous challenges and adverse consequences. The Alki Trail, which is heavily used by people riding bicycles, electric scooters, quadracycles, skaters and people running. Allowing cars to park right next to the trail with no separation creates a serious safety issue. Opening car doors and people exiting the car and stepping immediately into the pathway on Alki Trail will result in serious, possibly even fatal injuries. Plaintiff's attorneys will be more than anxious to pursue damage claims against anyone involved in these accidents, including the City of Seattle and those that made this negligent decision. There are so many other reasons beyond this very obvious safety issue that there is not enough space in this survey to fully describe them all. These include, spreading the loitering and illegal activities now at the Duwamish Head parking all the way down Alki Ave, increasing the already existing danger to walkers crossing Alki Ave because this will further obscure line of sight of people entering crosswalks, increased congestion, increased danger from speeding cars and motorcycles, seriously degrades the view of Elliott Bay for all residents who live</p>
750	<p>along this section of Alki Ave SW. In summary, please do not do this. It will be a big mistake that the city will soon regret.</p> <p>I feel that this change is decidedly ill-advised and back-asswards. There is a reason that the parking along the scenic stretch of Alki was removed, it was annoying and problematic, and getting rid of them was a Great relief.</p> <p>That section of Alki Beach is a pleasant stretch for people to walk, jog, skate and cycle, etc. and experience Alki Beach while avoiding getting "doored" or being subjected to mega-decibel "music" while passing the cars parked on the water side of the street (as is the case further down Alki Ave SW). There is plenty of room for tourists & locals alike to pull over, pop out of their cars and capture an exceptional and exclusive "selfie" with our illustrious ferries in the background.</p> <p>Please consider the implications of converting the primary route to and from family homes into what would be essentially a parking lot, with 100's of people trying to parallel park, causing even more congestion on Alki.</p>
751	
	<p>Please do not re-establish parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW. Congestion on that stretch is already bad, and adding the parking element will make it more dangerous. Will impede pedestrians,</p>
752	<p>facilitate more drug dealing. Please don't.</p>
	<p>So Saka sez he did NOT initiate this. SDOT must NOT implement this. Is this "proposal" supported by the police department? How about Fire Drpartment that will have no where to park when conducting emergency actions. Dos SFD support this? I can't imagine that SEattle Police or Fire would support it. SPD can't candle the current situation (speeding, crowds, noise, racing, drug use). So they wont be</p>
753	<p>able to handle more. Living on Alki has become intolerable. Please do not make it worse.</p>
	<p>Space for car doors opening will need to be created; getting doored on a bicycle does not require speed, just someone not paying attention to trail usage. Children exiting cars will need the space to</p>
754	<p>realize they are not exiting to a sidewalk and are also in danger. Restrict overnight parking.</p>
	<p>I am in favor of street parking on Alki. It's nice to be able to park and unload the family. Wth kids and/or grandparents you can't bike, jog, roller blade into the area.</p>
755	
756	<p>Living right across from Duwamish head angle parking. Restrictions only works if they're actually enforced, otherwise, it's just inconveniencing visitors while still having safety issues overnight.</p>
	<p>I would like to see the no parking continue. As per usual in these circumstances I don't see that happening. What does need to happen is narrowing the driving lanes and using the signage removal areas to create a wider and safer non-motorized path. The current path is too narrow for safe passing, getting around pedestrians who don't use the sidewalk portion, and staying out of the parked car door</p>
757	<p>swing zone. Keeping people safe in the crosswalks (by narrowing the distance to cross) and those using the trail system is way more important than how many people can park for 3 months of the year.</p>

	<p>I strongly oppose the addition of the parking space west of Duwamish head.</p> <p>I frequently go there for paddle boarding and also ride my bike through that area. It is congested enough as it.</p> <p>The last thing we need is more cars blocking the road, waiting for a parking spot to be vacated.</p> <p>The parked cars would also result in more congestion on the bike/pedestrian path, similar to what Alki beach is on the west end of the beach.</p> <p>Please do not add parking spots.</p>
758	Thank you. Brad Goodwin West Seattle
759	I oppose adding street parking on Alki. We need to priorities Alki for families and locals over people coming from outside of Seattle.
760	Less parking would make it safer. Definitely no overnight parking should be allowed. Thanks.
761	The most important thing to me is a wide, comfortable path on Alki for cycling and walking. I want to feel safe and to for it too feel too crowded, and not be afraid of car doors opening to the path.
762	Alki doesn't need more parking spaces. Please promote the water taxi and free shuttle bus service the already exists and serves Alki (Bus 775 & 773).
763	<p>Today is June 8 2025 and I was just at Alki beach. It is very overcrowded there now. Adding more parking on Alki will make the beach extremely overcrowded and bring on all kind of problems to Alki.</p> <p>Adding more parking is an extremely bad idea and should not happen.</p> <p>Limiting access to the beach is OK.</p>
764	<p>We don't have enough police presence as there is we don't need parking spaces along Alki to promote drug and crime activity. This will increase more serious accidents and create a lot more trash along Alki. Also, there is a free shuttle to take people from Alki to the Admiral and it isn't even being utilized. If people want to spend time Alki they can take the free shuttle from the admiral district.</p>
765	please don't add more parking!
766	please don't add more parking!
767	please don't add more parking!
768	please don't add more parking!
769	please don't add more parking!
770	please don't add more parking!
771	please don't add more parking!
772	please don't add more parking!

773	please don't add more parking!
	please don't add more parking!
774	Yes, restrict overnight parking. We live across from Don Armeni & wherever people can gather around their cars it's noisy at night. We're concerned with the amount of parking you propose to add. It's
775	sounds like way more than you need to replace what's being reduced at Duwamish Head.
	I strongly oppose the Alki Avenue SW Parking Adjustment changes which are not safe for cyclists and other trail users, UNLESS you incorporate plans to widen the trail and add a SAFE buffer zone for doors.
776	If parking is an issue, then improve alternative ways to access Alki Avenue, including transit.
	<p>Please convert the diagonal parking spots at Duwamish Head to parallel spots to help reduce the potential for large group gatherings.</p> <p>I strongly oppose the addition of 150 new parking spaces between 1170 Alki Ave SW and 1714 Alki Ave SW. Removing 10 spaces to add 150 more is a step backward, not forward. The issues in this area—such as shootings, street racing, and excessive noise—stem from the high volume of cars in a space not designed to handle such traffic and density. Adding more parking will only worsen the problem.</p> <p>These spaces were originally removed after careful consideration 19 years ago, as noted here: https://www.westsideseattle.com/robinson-papers/2006/09/26/more-parking-nixed-alki.</p> <p>Additionally, as you are now aware, Councilmember Saka does not support the addition of these 150 spaces.</p> <p>Thank you for considering this feedback, and thank you for your excellent work on the Alki Point Healthy Street. Your plans to complete the healthy street and extend the pedestrian path will make the</p>
777	entire Alki area safer and more accessible for everyone. Please implement those final changes as soon as possible.
778	I am against the expansion due to the fact that the area is a great walking spot, and any concrete in that area (especially) parking would take away from that space. Additional parking in that area also means increased risk of harm, since pedestrians would be much more likely to be struck by vehicles giving the proximity. Thanks! Kalin
779	I am completely opposed to having parking on the west side of Alki Ave SW. As a resident living on Alki, our biggest concern continues to be the dangerous high-speed racing and noise all along Alki and Harbor aves, as well as crime - theft, break-ins, etc. Having additional parking on the west side of the street will only increase the traffic and loitering, and the noise, particularly at night. Since the police department has not addressed or enforced the speeding issue, I'm not confident that the increased parking, noise, and loitering will be addressed either, nor will the parking times be enforced. The other big concern is access for emergency vehicles.
780	It is frequently very difficult to enter/exit the driveway of our building on Alki, due to the large volume of cars driving by, and cars that park too close to the driveway. With additional parking on the west side of the street, this will only make it more crowded and difficult to enter/exit.
	The dual use path on Alki is already of substandard width and adding parking back will reduce the useable width, as trail users will have to avoid the area around car doors.
781	Expanding the path to better serve multimodal users is a much better use of that space.
782	The Alki multi-use trail is already too narrow (10') at this location. Parked car doors will swing into the trail , reducing it further and introducing a new safety hazard to trail users. Add more frequent bus service instead.

	<p>I am an Alki resident and I totally disagree with taking away the angled parking at duwamish Head. There is no greater risk as shown on crime maps and it is depriving many people of the viewpoint that has been enjoyed for decades. I've lived here for 20 plus years and I see our community being negatively impacted by the remedial efforts that are made as knee-jerk reactions to some isolated events. At the very best, it simply pushes the problems to someone else's neighborhood.</p> <p>With that said, if the angled parking is removed then additional parking needs to be installed and the 150 spaces would be a step in the right direction. And I don't care about the views of the condo</p>
783	owners. I have the same issues here at my place on Alki and I don't think they need to have any special treatment.
784	I am opposed to parking on the water side of Alki Ave. I live here, a mile away from the craziness. Leave my neighborhood in peace please!
785	Alki is too expensive for my family to afford. Please add these spaces so we can enjoy the beach too. Also please bring back more transit like the 37. Transit times take over an hour right now.
786	<p>NO. Bad idea. Instead, put a bus back in route to go up and down Harbor and Alki. There is lots of parking under the West Seattle Bridge and all along Harbor. There are closed down bus stops that could easily be reopened. You could have a small bus or tram or trolley just making trips back-and-forth to move people from parking into downtown Alki and Alki Beach.</p> <p>I am a long-time Alki resident and I totally disagree with taking away the angled parking at Duwamish Head but if it has to be done and I don't think it does because it's no worse than any other place in West Seattle, but if it does then there must be parking to replace it.</p> <p>I repeat, I've been on Alki for over 25 years and there's a lot of bad behavior down in the beach area so I guess that means that maybe you should just remove the parking from all along Alki. That will quiet things down. (Sarc)</p> <p>The undesirable activity that happens infrequently at duwamish Head is no different than what's going on in many other areas of the city. So why are you prioritizing the complaints of the condo owners</p>
787	in the uppity neighborhood?
788	Do NOT reestablish parking on the waterside of Alik Ave SW..
789	I do not agree with the parking proposal. Dangerous and will bring additional unwanted noise. Especially in the summer. How about offering a free bus that circulates along Alki with parking under the bridge.
790	The change to the parking is a disaster!! The design does not allow for parallel parking as the lane is too narrow. I live across the street from the new design, There are no cars parked as the new design is confusing! Go back to the diagonal parking! Yes the city needs to add more parking on the water side so more people are able to enjoy this beautiful area during the day time.Restrict overnight parking.
791	<p>There needs to be more parking available near restaurants and no more back in parking. No one wants to sit in there car with lunch, coffee, or a treat facing inland. The view is what people come here for and if you take that away then businesses will suffer. They are already suffering the huge increases in costs of running a business in Seattle. Alki is a destination point for many people to visit and taking away accessibility is wrong. Better to have more security and actually enforce the rules and laws. Start ticketing people for breaking laws instead of trying to just make everyone go away.</p> <p>Love love love. More of this please.</p> <p>Beach drive requires PBL - drivers use beach drive as a freeway. There is never never full parking on both sides of beach drive, as wealthy homeowners have driveways and garages. PBL should be</p>
792	expanded from this safe street to Lincoln park. Please!
793	<p>I feel like this is the most ridiculous move the city can make regarding parking issues down on Alki. As someone who has lived in west Seattle their entire life and have been working on Alki for the past 10 years parking has only gotten worse and worse with each passing year. Putting these barriers cuts away from tourist or beach goers parking, which then cuts away from employees finding parking so they can go to work. We have already put speed bumps in to cut speeding, but to cut away parking?! So stupid, the choice was clearly made by someone who does not actively live in west Seattle, or one of the entitled jerks that park in the spots where the no parking signs are currently posted. Stop taking away from the city, ADD TO IT IN A BENEFICIAL WAY CAUSE THIS ISNT IT!</p>
794	Change it back to head-in parking, rather than the back-in parking that's been there. Head-in parking is much better for this location.

795	I prefer the angled parking. Yes, make a spot or two in the middle or ends ADA, but keep it all angled. We need more parking, not less.
796	I think this makes parking harder when removing back in parking. To enforce overnight restrictions, bollards should have been used to limit access to the spaces.
	<p>I strongly oppose the dangerous proposal to re-establish parking on 1200-1700 Alki. This narrow, congested street is a safety crisis zone. Alki endures relentless speeding, criminal activity, and reckless driving day and night, with residents' vehicles being struck. In one horrific daytime crash, a speeding car smashed into a woman legally turning into a condo lot, shoving her vehicle INTO Puget Sound; she would have drowned if not for bystanders. The speeding car tore through the landscaping and almost collided with the condo building, just missing two residents who were pulling out of the driveway (they would have been a direct hit) by seconds. Alki already faces many safety issues, which parking would exacerbate. Dangerous driving endangers residents and families with children, day and night. We understand that law enforcement is understaffed, and adding more cars, people, and violence (documented shootings and gunshot casings found) is not the solution for the safety of others.</p> <p>Adding on-street parking would further constrict this already narrow roadway, hindering emergency vehicle access, which is critical in this high-traffic area: congestion and increased car traffic fuel crime. More parking would attract more reckless behavior and criminal activity, further burdening an already underserved community.</p> <p>I demand the immediate rejection of this idea, and instead, we need robust public transportation to bring people to Alki. We love families visiting, and if they need more access, we need more public transportation options.</p>
797	
	<p>As a lifetime west Seattle resident this is very frustrating. Not only do people from outside west Seattle come to use these spots during summer so do the locals trying to find parking. The change in parking dynamics all across the city is frustrating. With safety on public transit being a big concern and limited bus access to this area it is downright ridiculous to eliminate any more</p>
798	parking along Alki or near Alki.
	First, I don't understand why you have the survey AFTER the changes have been made. But, please, put the parking back the way it was! Parking is hard enough on Alki, and this reduces it further causing
799	more congestion on the side streets. This was a misguided decision by Saka. Please put it back to angled, not parallel, parking.
	Quit messing with parking on alki!! Plain and simple. I'm a 4th generation west Seattle resident and you are messing everything up in my town. I'm over it!! If this continues I'll start making horrible
800	changes in your neighborhood. Sincerely west Seattle residents
	I'm tired of the over night no parking. Some of us work during the day and only have 11 pm to 5 am to work out. I like doing my walk/run on alki but have to park at the west Seattle bridge to park
801	during my walk. Not very safe to be that far from my car at night. All this no parking because you guys don't know how to fix the homeless problem. I don't know maybe fix the problem.
	<p>Restricting parking at Alki is a deeply misguided idea. For many of us—especially those with mobility challenges—Alki has always been one of the few places in the city where we can enjoy the beauty of nature without having to leave our cars. The drive along the water, the views of the Olympics, the chance to feel connected to the beach—these are not luxuries, they are lifelines.</p> <p>Now, access is being chipped away. It's becoming harder and harder for anyone who can't hike or bike a long distance to enjoy this public space. That's not just inconvenient—it's exclusion.</p> <p>We have just as much right to experience Alki as anyone else. Public spaces should be for everyone, not just the able-bodied or those who live close by. Don't erase our access in the name of</p>
802	"improvement."

803 Parking is already hard. Reducing parking at Duwamish head might not solve the problem of night-time violence. They will find some way to gather along the water and cause trouble. I'd rather keep that parking and make it paid, or close it at night. There has to be another solution that doesn't hurt the people who want to enjoy walking on Alki. This parallel parking idea is going to hurt more than help. What is the point of asking us how we feel about the change if you've already made the decision? As an Alki Beach resident, I don't believe that taking away the angled parking at the Duwamish Head in lieu of fewer parallel spots is a good idea. You are adding parking spaces in an area that is between the beach and Don Armeni Park, essentially close to nothing. I fail to see how this will help alleviate parking congestion and issues at Alki Beach in the summer, and it seems more like you are trying to keep people out.

804 Yes, you should restrict overnight parking.

~~I'm writing to express my growing frustration with the direction of our city's transportation policy under SDOT. There seems to be a persistent and escalating effort to make life harder for drivers in this city. Seattle's streets are not solely for cyclists or pedestrians. They are funded significantly by gas taxes and car tab fees—revenue directly tied to motorists. Yet, those same drivers are being systematically penalized and pushed out of the city's infrastructure.~~

Here are just a few troubling examples:

- Four-lane roads being narrowed to two, worsening congestion and increasing commute times.
- Speed limits dropped to 25 mph even in areas that don't justify such restrictions.
- Free right turns at intersections removed, creating more confusion and risk for both drivers and pedestrians.
- Aggressive traffic-calming measures like speed bumps that feel more like punishments than safety tools.
- Entire streets being restricted or repurposed in ways that ignore the practical needs of working people, families, and small businesses.

One of the most visible and frustrating examples of this is the restricted parking along Alki. This is a public waterfront that should be accessible to everyone, not just those who live within walking distance. Removing parking doesn't promote equity—it creates barriers, especially for older residents, families with young children, and people with mobility issues. The beach is a civic treasure and should not become an exclusive zone for a select few.

Seattle needs a balanced transportation policy that supports all modes of travel—including cars. We are a growing city, not a car-free experiment. It's time we stop vilifying drivers and start investing in a system that acknowledges their role in our city's economic and social life.

805 Please reconsider the policies coming out of SDOT and advocate for real solutions that reflect the needs of all Seattleites—not just the loudest special interests.

806 This is fucking stupid. Changing the parking isn't going to remove loiterers...and how can you be accused of loitering in a park? Isn't that called "using the park"?
Yes, restrict overnight parking.

It will cause even more backups on Harbor Ave with traffic going to alki while people are parallel parking & creating less parking there will force more spots to be taken closer to the water taxi which already has limited parking while nice out.

807 I think it is a bad idea and should continue to be back in parking.

This is ridiculous! Why are we so reactive instead of proactive. If we're worried about people congregating, then why don't we have police enforcing the laws rather than punishing people by taking away parking. This is a beautiful spot for tourists to see the cityscape and by taking this away you are punishing the taxpayers. I did not vote for Rob Saka to be blowing through tax dollars like this. I thought

808 he was going to make changes and enforce laws, he will never get my vote again.

Parking on the water side of Alki increases the already narrow , dangerous lanes on Alki. It's a main street...garbage trucks, bases and frequent deliveries not allowing safe passing of vehicles. Speeding and dangerous racing and impatient drivers have regularly caused injury accidents and damage to parked cars. Absolutely bad idea.

810	Parking is essential. Dont let a few bad humans ruin this for the entire community. Now more people will flood the neighborhoods and park illegally. This does not improve safety at all. As a fisherman there needs to be ample parking during peak season
811	Please increase access by adding these spots. The people against seem to think parking = crime or they are zealot urbanists against any increase in parking. We should increase transit too, but this seems an easy win for families and others to visit the beach easier.
812	Not good. Taking away parking spots for all because spd cant handle illegal activity is not a solution Regarding the proposal to create parking spaces on the waterside of 1200-1700 Alki Ave. SW, I strongly oppose this idea. There is not a parking problem in this area – there is a policing/law enforcement problem.
	As I understand it, the idea to create new parking came about because the diagonal parking on Duwamish Head is being converted to parallel parking, which will result in the loss of 10 parking spaces. The Duwamish Head diagonal parking has long been an area where car racers gather and drinking, drugs, and other dangerous and illegal activities take place. Apparently the City thinks reducing the Duwamish Head parking and opening new parking spaces down the street will cure the illegal activity. Anyone familiar with Alki knows this will not be the case. Illegal activities will continue at Duwamish Head and expand to the new Alki Avenue parking spaces. Stop any plans to add/re-establish waterside 1200-1700 Alki Ave. SW parking and live with the loss of 10 parking spaces from the Duwamish Head change.
813	Alki needs a much stronger police presence and law enforcement in all the problem areas. Adding new parking will just create another problem area, further stretching existing law enforcement personnel. It is a stupid and dangerous idea. Please – don't do it.
814	I am not happy about this change. You are taking away one of the most taken picture views of Seattle so that people that live across the street can take this away. You are basically telling tourists and working people like myself who just want to enjoy Alki that it is only a privilege for the people that can afford to live along Alki Ave. How long until the parking on the other side of Alki is closed because someone complains. Alki is not a private beach!!!
815	This would significantly negatively impact trail usage without an offset to guard against opening car doors.
816	As a disabled, west Seattle homeowner, this is VERY disappointing. I see yet another access location for me to partake and enjoy my neighborhood being taken away from me. Especially as summer arrives and the greater Seattle area will flock to west Seattle. More parking, more handicap parking needs to be created. Not taken away. Not everyone can walk, or even get a wheelchair out of their car solo. Some of us can only drive and sit in our car. Do better!
817	The new parallel parking is literally insane. What about all the space? Make a new park? Leave it? This went from bad to worse and is so reactionary it's embarrassing. Restrict the hours or something, but this isn't the answer.
818	Please delete the feedback I submitted on Tuesday, June 3, 2025. I had submitted feedback that was favorable for the additional parking space is proposed by SDOT because I thought it was something Councilmember Saka supported. I have since learned that that is actually not the case and Councilmember Saka does NOT support this initiative. We certainly need to continue to think creatively about how to serve this area in the best ways possible, and we have to keep in mind all the impacts of any changes. It sounds like we need to do some more thinking and come up with a better proposal. To be clear. I am NOT in favor of adding 150 new parking spaces along Alki Avenue. Thank you. Sincerely, Deborah Hendrickson
819	Absolutely horrible change. The challenges outweigh the benefits due to the time it takes for people to find a parallel spot vs seeing a back in spot. Similarly, eliminating more spots for a highly populated area is not in the best community interest. You should not restrict overnight parking. Building community is not restricting its residents further.
820	This change is alright, as long as sufficient (equal) number of spots are made available in the other zones. It's hard enough to find parking as it is. As far as limiting hours, I'm all for it! It seems like the illegal activities mostly happen at night, so making the area less accessible then would be good.

821	Uhh how about we remove all parking a put in a dedicated bus lane, and have a free bus the run every ten minutes to expanded parking near jack block park. Cars ruin cities.
822	I oppose adding parking. We need to focus efforts on making it safer and more accessible to use alternate forms of transit for pedestrians and cyclists with traffic calming.
823	We don't support the change to add parking along the 1200-1700 block of alki. We are already decided this in 2006. As resident in this stretch, we didn't want parking because of the high amount of noise it attracts from people partying. I have yet to meet a single person that lives in this stretch that thinks it a a good idea even if it means more parking spots for them. Sure parking would be better a few weekends a year but for the rest of the year, the residents of the area will have to suffer the negative consequences.
824	I would disagree with this change. I believe it'll bring various problems in the big picture. Remain to restrict overnight parking and enforce the area with a heavier police presence. Put the money to the SPD not SDOT please!
825	I have been leasing a condo for 10 years and have thoroughly enjoyed this area, up until the past 2 years. An increase of traffic and violent activities in the past several years has made living on Alki beach less enjoyable. Increasing the parking would greatly increase the traffic and unlawful & violent activities. The police have a difficult enough time managing the streets on Alki now & more parking means more people, more traffic, & more unlawful activity. DO NOT ADD MORE PARKING ON ALKI ANY TIME OF THE DAY.
826	No to parking on Alki Avenue SW between 1200 and 1700. Additional noise to the already busy road.
827	This is not the solution. Please think of something more sustainable that doesn't impact the already EXTREMELY limited parking on alki. Slapping a quick fix onto bigger problems is not the answer. Be proactive not reactive.
828	Residents of west seattle should be allowed to park near this area to walk and access the area. Where are you supposed to park then to use the water taxi to downtown? this is all driven by wealthy people that own property along that area and don't want outsiders (even local west seattle people to be able the use the area). Wealthy residents trump use for the general public- just like along beach drive south of alki light house. Its not equal access , its wealthy people being upset and protective
829	All of these changes are stupid and ridiculous. It pays to be a community member with money nowadays. They moved to those apts knowing alki is busy in the evenings and summers. Convert it back and quit restricting everything based off a few richies complaints
830	This is ridiculous!
831	This significantly impacts the ability to visit this area. Taking away public parking on the main arterial is going to cause more congestion in the neighboring streets, where it is already congested. By doing this you are also making these public areas inaccessible to families. The people who bought homes across the street did not buy private water front. They chose to buy across from a public area. Close it down in the late evenings but don't make it inaccessible to families during the day who are coming down to enjoy a walk, not a party at the beach.
832	Residents of Alki Avenue have been putting up with loud, speeding cars with little other than speed bumps slowing them down. Without a police presence giving them tickets, it continues. To open up more parking for these disrespectful people, only amplifies their actions. There are times I can't hear anything except their noise even with all of my windows and doors closed. Why should I be subjected to more of their actions by giving them more parking. This is a NO to a tax paying resident of Alki
833	Also, it closes off the view from people using the avenue
834	Why was parking removed near city view park? I take my parents and friends there. What is the point? Add more parking, not less!!!
835	stop making everything worse - DO NO ADD MORE PARALLEL PARKING -you took away angle parking, now people can parallel park, sit on top of their cars and hang out in the abandoned concrete area that used to be the angle parking, congrats Rob Saka and SDOT, you just gave the loiterers more space the loiter.
836	This has been a look out spot forever. The west Seattle community loves coming to enjoy scenery. If you keep taking away all the parking then eventually no one will come down there. Make it required to have a parking zone permit for over night parking if need be. That makes more sense.
836	This is a bad solution. Don't make access harder for folks.

	Duwamish Head Adj - I knew the angled parking was going away, but I thought the parallel parking would be along the curb of the angled parking, not where it sits now. The angled parking space is now a loss...I would love to understand the thought process behind that design decision. I would consider an adjustment where at least some of the space is utilized. the parking strip seems so close to the road when it does not have to be. And I am ok with the time restrictions.
837	Alki Adj - Striping out parking spots may be helpful.
	<p>Frustrating to see another bandaid solution to some of the safety issues surrounding dangerous driving along Alki. I'm concerned that removing the back in parking will only lead to increased loitering in the more dense neighborhoods, and that this act seems particularly racially targeted to the hispanic communities who are overall respectful and should be able to legally enjoy the area. This change (adjustment 1) will certainly not increase available parking, and will in fact make resident parking more challenging. Is there a plan to improve the usability of this viewpoint? I can see issues arising regardless of the type of parking if this becomes an empty unused area.</p> <p>I would prefer to see more reliable enforcement: as an Alki resident, I see parking enforcement almost every weekday in the morning, and yet, during busy evenings and weekends almost no enforcement can be found. Why are they sent when few cars are parked (in a neighborhood without zoned parking), and yet are MIA when cars are parked blocking crosswalks and bus stops? Seems a terrible use of city funds. I would prefer to see city funds used for raised pedestrian crosswalks with yield lights, improved street lighting, barriers between parking and bike/walking lanes.</p> <p>However, I am in agreement overall for adjustment 2: it seems a wasted space to not have parallel parking where appropriate. It would be nice to see the staircases fixed along that section of Alki, so that</p>
838	use can be spread outside of the main beach area. These could be good spots for kayakers/paddleboarders to launch - creating more room for recreation at the beach.
839	This new parking is ridiculous...it's already difficult to find parking what did you just reduce the number of spots and cut out all these spots. Fix it and stop making it difficult for people who live here!
840	<p>I think we should find more creative approaches to making Alki Beach park more accessible. Making it easier to park and drive there, adding vehicular traffic, is not a good solution. Let's encourage people to take buses, the water taxi, and the local shuttle to access Alki. The current situation with slow noisy traffic is not good for visitors or residents. Further, this is a park area where eagles and herons roost. More loud traffic is not conducive to wildlife. I wish SDOT would work together with the Parks department to develop a plan that creates a more enjoyable experience for the residents of Seattle visiting Alki. In my mind, this involves public transit and reduced vehicle access to Alki. How about a more bold new vision for what Alki can be that's consistent with the unique Seattle ethos.</p>
841	Removing the back in angle parking is frustrating add this will severely limit people being able to park near three viewpoint for photos. Forcing people to parallel park will also increase congestion along the road when there is already a lot of traffic in the area.
842	Please do not add more parking. I was biking on this road this evening where new parking has been added at Duwamish Head and it is much more treacherous as the road is too narrow. Adding more parking on the rest of Alki will provide way less room to ride my bike safely on the road. I don't understand why SDOT didn't make the diagonal spots parallel spot instead of barricading that off entirely and making the street narrower
843	<p>Please keep angled parking so people have a view. It's one way people access and enjoy the park/view. Cars facing away or forward means we cant stop for lunch, with our sweetie or family. I'm technically disabled but I dont usually tell people, sometimes I like to view from my vehicle because getting out and walking just hurts. For others, maybe they feel safe in a car, or the weather just sucks, but having g the option to pull in and have that view is great. Thanks. I dont believe in curfews, or if so, maybe one that starts later and ends sooner. Not everyone is on day shift hours.</p>

	As someone who is not dealing drugs or shooting people down on Alki, I am so saddened by this. I went down to watch the sunset, and was unable to find a spot to park. I get that crime has increased in the area and I am thankful that steps are being taken to reduce crime. At the same time, it is reducing good people's access to Alki. Instead of closing the beaches early and taking away parking, why can't
844	the city provide adequate police presence, so lawful citizens can enjoy all that West Seattle had to offer?
845	Additional parking should NOT be added. Instead of additional parking, the space should be updated like the Alki Point Stay Healthy Street with the concrete curb stops which would allow the space to be better utilized for walking and rolling.
846	Please add more parking. Parking does not equal crime. Overnight parking would be great for using the water taxi over night to visit friends.
847	Please add more parking. If there is a bike conflict riding next to parked cars then we have a conflict for all of Alki ave already...Bikers can use the lane or the wheels only path already here. More parking helps families with young kids who can't afford to live here visit Alki.
848	Don't get rid of angled parking!! Parallel parking reduces the total number of available spaces. Angle parking also makes it much easier to *safely* get recreational gear out of the back of your car (bikes, SUPs, strollers, etc). I can't fathom what illegal activity you could do in an angle-parked car that you can't do in a parallel-parked one....
849	This is terrible, Everyone at sdot should be fired. We have the shittiest roads in the United States and instead of fixing them they fix problems that don't exist. If you hate cars so much you shouldn't work in transportation. If you want everyone to take public transit make it safe. Being exposed to meth and fentanyl is not safe and 100% of metro buses were found to have traces.
	I'm skeptical that closing the angled parking will solve any of the problems it intends to.
850	Very much neutral on adding parallel parking on Harbor Ave, though believe it should be restricted overnight. The additional parallel parking will be welcome during peak beach times. During non-peak hours I don't believe that parking is needed and will negatively impact the "vibe" of driving that stretch of road looking out at the unobstructed water view.
851	Please do not allow this. It's already very loud and chaotic. I have noticed that people party right outside their cars and play loud music pass normal beach hours. Trash and unauthorized food vendors will increase which will increase littering on the pathways and in our waters. Please no overnight park.
852	Re-establishing the parking on the water side of Alki will increase the already large amount of loitering in cars, especially at night, but now it will occur in front of the condos on Alki Ave. It will increase the amount of loud, disruptive cars that drive through after 12PM. Additionally, it will increase the amount of people on Alki beach which will also increase littering on the beach.
853	Absolutely restrict overnight parking ! It would be great if there was a better police presence on Alki during the weekends.... Excessive speeding cars, blaring music people in trucks gunning their engines, making Alka a miserable place on the weekend.
854	Please stop taking away easy parking for those of us residents who frequent the beach and want easy head in parking. This is not an improvement
855	Absolutely do not add additional parking to Alki avenue!!! Additional parking would result in people parking parting drinking playing LOUD music and causing a public nuisance. With the additional area to park and party, very likely people would be urinating and relieving themselves in the trails. Everyone uses causing a public health problem in green belt trail. Can't strongly enough urge the city council. Do not add to the already bad situation on Alki Avenue.

856	<p>Hello SDOT, I believe this terrible idea that would be cruel for home owners that live there on Alki Ave SW. It would possibly benefit the beachgoers that enjoy the beach but not live there. My family currently has a house on Alki Ave SW and we have gone through a history of crime, noise pollution, to unwanted trespassing. We have changed our door locks and insurance more than once because we have been broken into. We had to bar up our windows from our last break-in. They smashed the windows in my detached garage, and kicked in our back door. Even more crazy, last year at our neighbors property. Thieves stole a car from somewhere, drove it to their property, broke into their home and squatted there for weeks. Another incident occurred early last year in spring time, when a DUI hit and run happened in front of our home. A beachgoer rammed their car into my neighbors cars parked on the street, the drunk driver was going so fast that the collision pushed my neighbors car into the car parked in front of their vehicle. Of course all these incidents, the police were not there to protect us. In fact, during all these incidents we all filed a ticket online or we handled it by ourselves. After my neighbor found out about the squatters in their property, he brought his firearm to the property and pointed it at the squatters and told them to run.</p> <p>Adding more street parking in front of my home and Alki Ave homes is inviting more risk and danger for us. Crime is already rampant, doing so will exacerbate problems. Are you adding permanent police presence in the area? Why is there no one patrolling the streets after summer curfew? (I still hear racing, loud music, people yelling at midnight on Alki Ave) What are you doing to help prevent crime in the area after you add 100+ parking spaces? Are you considering charging of parking? This might be a better idea, because the revenue can help pay for increase police presence and security for the area, which is severely lacking.</p>
857	<p>I am concerned about adding parking on Alki. It will negatively impact safety and clog an already congested road. Lines of traffic will be brought to a stop whenever someone parallel parks. Road rage is already an issue which will exacerbated under this proposal.</p>
858	<p>I strongly oppose adding parking on the water side of Alki Avenue SW from the 1200 to 1700 blocks. This misguided plan is unrelated to the changes at Duwamish Head which changed from back in angle to parallel parking. When the speed bumps were added to Alki they provided a new track for racers to determine how fast they could accelerate between them while powering their noisy and backfiring engines. There is no side street access from California to Bonair and reducing the street to 2 lanes of traffic would increase backups when residents need to turn left into their drives, vehicles need to turn around or emergency vehicles need access. This project would only increase congestion. There is NO benefit to adding parking. Thank you C.Swartz 1500 block Alki resident</p>
859	<p>This change will create more problems than it seeks to solve. In particular, it will impede emergency vehicles from getting to the scene of their needs. PLEASE do not increase parking along Alki Avenue SW. Thanks.</p>
860	<p>This is 100% garbage planning. Remove more parking. The reason Alki is such a mess is too many people drive there, and the City makes it too convenient to park. Restore bus service, and get rid of the parking. This is the most brain-dead proposal I have seen from SDOT in a long time (but I know it's courtesy of Councilmember Saka). This is 1950's thinking, and has no place in this century.</p>
861	<p>Do NOT add parking. We need FEWER cars coming to Alki, not MORE. It would only exacerbate backups, noise, unsafe conditions for walkers and cyclists, and more. Instead, please consider instead adding extra runs of the 773 and 775 with stops at the park and ride and points along Alki during high traffic summer months. We are supposed to be a city that embraces transit, so the idea of encouraging more cars in this area is completely counterintuitive. Thank you for your consideration.</p>
862	<p>There needs be more of a buffer zone between car parking at the multi use trail. Car doors and people getting out of cars into the trail will cause conflicts.</p>
863	<p>The reason there is no parking on that side of the street is for emergency vehicles to get through when there is heavy traffic. There is no other access to alki ave from califirnia by Marination to 56 th street down by the beach. You shoild get feedback from the fire dept and 911 emergency.</p>
864	<p>More street parking on Alki Ave will help relieve the parking in neighborhood streets, and that is desperately needed. I agree though that there should be NO overnight parking.</p>

865	I dont think that more parking or more cars will solve the issues of a small neighborhood beach with the added interest. The area is already quite busy and people should carpool and use public transport or ride their bikes as the pathway is intended. The extra parking will kill the view from the road aswell and the speed bumps already make the area a pain for car folks who pay these taxes while streets are in disrepair and bike lanes take over. All traffic needs to mitigated by policing ie share a road!
866	I do not feel good about this change. This situation would add additional noise and litter to alki. Overnight parking has been a issue. The issue is that people over stay and its loud all night long. We love the birds and wildlife here and i believe that additional parking on the water side will effect them greatly. I see no benefit for the community or the people that love to visit.
867	Please don't add more parking on Alki. Instead please add back all the bus service that has went away during Covid. Alternatively, add more buses from the west seattle ferry to the beach going in a loop. that would be much better than adding parking spots that will be used at best a couple months a year.
868	This is an absolute without a doubt stupid idea. I oppose it with every fiber in my body. There is no logic to it no matter how you look at it. If this is done the results will be terrible for everyone. Please don't do this.
869	We are very glad to hear that you have decided to not implement more parking down Alki Ave. More parking is never the right solution. Let's keep this beautiful stretch of road more free of cars, and safer for bicyclists!
870	I am pleased with the change of angled parking to parallel parking along the Duwamish head, this change seems to have has reduced the partying and loitering in that area. I am strongly opposed to allowing parking on the western side of Alki Avenue from the 1200 to 1700 block. That change will just push the partying, loitering and other problems to that part of Alki Avenue. The section of Alki Avenue was not designed for parking, it is too narrow. Additionally adding parking there will ruin both bicycle riders and pedestrians tranquil experience and most likely be a safety issue. As I said before I am strongly opposed to adding parking on that portion of Alki Avenue from.
871	The Duwamish Head Parking Adjustment is a disappointment for my wife and me. This is our favorite meeting spot to go for a walk after work, and the ample available parking made it easy to identify as a place where we can both count on finding parking. The angle parking also made it easier to U-turn and go back the other way at the end of the walk, since we have to go that way to get home. The new parking layout makes both of these things harder. Plus, we now have parallel parking on a narrow curve where there is no room for cars to move out of the main flow of traffic while parking. So, while before there was plenty of room to park without blocking traffic, now anyone who is slow at parallel parking will block traffic. And people (like us) who have to U-turn out of the spot will now either have to execute a more dangerous maneuver by U-turning out of a parallel spot or increase traffic volume by driving down the street and looking for a place to turn around. There are definitely going to be more cars using people's driveways to turn around in this arrangement. It's hard for me to see how this change could solve more problems than it causes.
872	I oppose this change because the bike trail utility is already greatly diminished due to the small width, the prevalence of pedestrians and runners using it instead of the pedestrian trail. This is a very high traffic trail. You wouldn't convert the shoulder of a highway either.

There are many other ways to manage people in and out of Alki other than increasing car traffic, noise and parking. We already have the shuttle bus going from Alki to Seacrest Park. Add another shuttle going the opposite way on Alki Avenue- Or- or at the park-and-ride under the West Seattle Bridge. This would be during the heavy summer months season only. The bus stops are already there, just covered. Thus reducing the cost of having to remove the current no parking signs and installing no overnight parking signs, etc. This would allow more people to access the beach, but not increase traffic, noise, and pollution.

I also am concerned with the Emergency response time. With parking on both side of the street and traffic going both ways there is no room for emergency vehicles to get by. We have many more emergency responses in the summertime when we have more people at the beach.

Absolutely, no overnight parking!!!!!!

I would hate to see any parking in front of the beautiful mosaic artwork at the pump station by the 1400 block on Alki.

I would like to see the money used to repair the two stairways to the beach and the sea wall - again, allowing more access to the beach.

If this plan goes through, I hope that there would be MUCH more traffic enforcement for speeding and noise violations.

873

874 This has been absolutely terrible and the parking needs to be opened up. Who ever did this needs to be recalled or removed

The change is a terrible idea and likely will not change any so call illegal behaviors. I drive down Alki regularly late at night and have never seen any issues. The reflectors take away from the beauty and the added speed bumps are getting excessive. I felt like SDOT is catering to a few people that complain. We want people to visit Alki, not discourage tourism and locals. The parking should go back to

875 something normal, use cameras, regular police presence, and enforcement to deter bad behavior.

No do not do this. How can anyone think the solution to the problems at the angle parking area on Duwamish head is to add an additional 100+ parking spots. That is insane. If this is done the problems will only increase. Use some common sense.

876

There is no demonstrated need for additional parking on Alki Avenue SW. The existing parking supply is generally sufficient, including on weekends, when demand is highest. Introducing additional parking—especially on the waterfront side of the street—would likely introduce a host of problems without providing meaningful benefits to the community. Specifically, adding parking in this area would increase traffic congestion, reduce visibility, and contribute to more litter, noise, and potentially illegal activity. Most importantly, it would also compromise the safety and enjoyment of pedestrians, runners, skaters, cyclists, and others who use the waterfront trail for recreation. This corridor is already a challenging space for safe interaction between vehicles and non-motorized users. Moreover, expanding parking would place additional burdens on city services, including the need for increased enforcement and policing, without addressing any clearly unmet need. In short, the drawbacks—environmental, social, and logistical—of adding parking are overwhelming . Preserving the open, safe, and scenic character of Alki Avenue SW should remain a priority.

877

~~based on both observed usage and broader community priorities, there is no need for additional parking along the waterfront side of Alki Avenue SW. The current supply meets demand—even on busy summer weekends—while the negative consequences of adding parking would be significant.~~

1. Public Safety Risks: Alki Avenue SW is a heavily used mixed-use corridor. Adding parking would reduce visibility for drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians, creating dangerous blind spots. It would further narrow the roadway, complicating access for emergency vehicles and increasing the risk of collisions and injuries.
2. Degradation of the Pedestrian and Recreational Environment: Alki is a treasured space for walking, running, skating, and biking. Expanding parking would degrade the experience and safety of people using the trail and surrounding spaces.
3. Environmental Concerns: The shoreline is ecologically sensitive. More vehicle traffic would increase stormwater runoff, air and noise pollution, and threats to marine habitats—all of which are inconsistent with the city's environmental and climate goals.
4. Strain on City Resources: More parking would require more ongoing enforcement and police presence, increasing public costs without proven benefit.
5. Inconsistency with City Goals: Encouraging more vehicle access contradicts Seattle's goals around reducing car dependency. Investments should instead focus on improving pedestrian safety, expanding public transit access, and supporting active transportation alternatives.
6. Lack of Demonstrated Need: Current parking supply in the area is generally sufficient, even during peak weekend hours. There is no evidence-based justification for expanding parking. Preserving open, accessible shoreline space better serves the public than converting it to vehicle storage.

878 I urge the Council to prioritize the long-term health, safety, and livability of Alki over short-term parking convenience. Let's preserve this unique waterfront for people—not cars.

I am most definitely opposed to adding street parking to the water side of Alki between the 1200 and 1700 blocks. Adding parking spots along this stretch will create significant safety problems with car doors opening and people disembarking directly onto a narrow and busy bike/multi-use trail. I understand the issues at Duwamish Head, and perhaps removing 10 parking spaces there will help, but to turn around and add 150 parking spaces along this stretch after reducing it at Duwamish Head and along Beach Drive as part of the Healthy Street project will definitely increase the litter, noise, partying, and air pollution along this particularly scenic stretch of waterfront. And it will certainly impact traffic flow and increase congestion, making it harder for emergency services to get to where they need to

879 go. Yes, overnight parking should be restricted.

After considerable thought about the proposal to increase parking along Alki Ave SW, I have the following thoughts.

1. Adding 150 parking spaces along Alki will have some similar impacts.

a. The trail is extremely crowded with people on weekends and nice days. They are old, young, toddlers, people with dogs or pushing strollers and they are all maneuvering to avoid riders of bikes, electric bikes and scooters, as well as runners.

b. Adding to the mild chaos will be more people and many will probably take advantage of limited space along the trail near their vehicle to picnic or party adding to trail congestion. At times this will undoubtedly include drinking and loud parties late into the night and added litter.

c. Cyclists will be put at significantly greater risk losing critical space to ride and adding many more vehicles where doors may open in their path.

d. Will more parking spaces simply increase the number of people participating or watching/encouraging dangerous driving in the street?

e. Increased risk of collisions with parked cars. This is already a regular occurrence. A narrower street with more parked cars will undoubtedly increase these accidents.

2. With all of the emergency responses by police and fire along Alki, a narrower road will hamper their ability to respond in a timely manner. Just getting through traffic at all on a busy "beach day" will be a challenge. We need faster response times not slower!

3. Visibility of pedestrians at crosswalks has been an issue for a long time. Vehicles parked for HOURS blocking drivers' views of pedestrians trying to cross Alki. Enforcement is needed!

4. A demand for additional parking should NOT put people at a significantly increased risk of injury. We need to increase SAFETY for people NOT RISK!

880

Hard no. As someone who lives directly on Alki (1500 block) I'm not sure what the pros are here. First off you have failed to address the two largest issues. Speeding and noise. Every day there are people speeding down alki over 55mph. Often times passing cars in the other lane paying no attention to the crosswalks. Secondly there are noise restrictions. Yet no one does anything over loud popping mufflers. They physically hurt peoples ears. Scare children and pets and wake people up in the middle of the night. On top of these issues. You don't enforce anything on alki. Open containers? Clearly drinking liquor out of bottles to get into their car and drive away. No problem if you want to do it at alki. Soooo yeah the LAST thing our area needs is more people driving down on alki. If you want to create an increase then do it through transit. Run shuttles. Reinstate buses. But for you to not address the issues at hand and then try and pass this is embarrassing. Figure out how to create a safer

881 environment first then talk about adding more parking.

882

NO!!!! First off you have done nothing to make alki Ave a safe place during the summer as is. There's far too much traffic and too little enforcement already. The noise is unbearable as is, adding the ability for more people to play music rev engines pop mufflers etc will only make it worse. Find ways to enforce the laws in place first. Then talk about expanding access.