### Final Environmental Impact Statement

# **SOUTH LAKE UNION**Height and Density Alternatives





Prepared by: City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development

# FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT for the

## SOUTH LAKE UNION HEIGHT AND DENSITY ALTERNATIVES

City of Seattle
Department of Planning & Development

This Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) considering **South Lake Union Height & Density** alternatives has been prepared in compliance with the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) of 1971 (Chapter 43.21C, Revised Code of Washington); the SEPA Rules (Chapter 197-11, Washington Administrative Code); and rules adopted by the City of Seattle implementing SEPA – Seattle's Environmental Policies and Procedures Code (Chapter 25.05, Seattle Municipal Code). Preparation of this EIS is the responsibility of the City of Seattle. As Lead Agency, the City is responsible for SEPA compliance and based on the scoping process has directed the areas of research and analysis that were undertaken in preparation of this EIS. This document is not an authorization for an action, nor does it constitute a decision or a recommendation for an action. In its final form – as a Final EIS – it will accompany the *Proposed Action* and will be considered in making final decisions concerning proposed options for **South Lake Union Height & Density**.

Date of Draft EIS Issuance	February 24, 2011
Date of Draft EIS Public Meeting:	March 28, 2011
Date Comments were Due on the Draft EIS	April 11, 2011
Date of Final EIS Issuance	April 5. 2012

April 5, 2012

Dear Affected Agencies, Organizations and Interested Parties:

Enclosed is the Final Environmental Impact Statement (Final EIS) for proposed South Lake Union Height & Density Alternatives in the South Lake Union neighborhood.

Three site alternatives representing varying height and density configurations, as well as geographic locations, are evaluated in this EIS, together with a No Action Alternative. The site alternatives include:

- Alternative 1 Greatest potential increase in height and density;
- Alternative 2 Moderate potential increase in height and density;
- Alternative 3 Least amount of potential increase in height and density; and
- Alternative 4 No Action current zoning.

The Final EIS responds to comments offered by the public during the Draft EIS comment period and includes some modification and revisions to the analysis provided in the Draft EIS as appropriate.

The appeal period associated with this Final EIS is: April 5, 2012 through April 18, 2012.

Thank you for your interest in the South Lake Union Height and Density FEIS.

Sincerely,

Diane M. Sugimura

Director

#### **FACT SHEET**

#### **Name of Proposal**

South Lake Union Height and Density Alternatives

#### **Proponent**

City of Seattle

#### Location

The area represented by this Final EIS is the South Lake Union neighborhood of downtown Seattle. This is approximately a 340-acre area that is generally bounded by Denny Way on the south, Aurora Avenue N. on the west, Eastlake Avenue E. on the east and Galer Street and E. Nelson Place on the north.

#### **Proposed Alternatives**

This Final EIS considers four alternatives to height and density in the South Lake Union neighborhood. Alternatives 1, 2 and 3 represent a range of potential height increases that could be achieved through incentive zoning and are collectively referred to as action alternatives. Alternative 4 would retain the existing zoning designations with no incentives for height increases and is referred to as the no-action alternative.

- Alternative 1 This alternative would allow the greatest increases
  in height and density relative to the other alternatives. Height and
  density increases apply both to proposed commercial and
  residential development. In general, greatest building height
  would be located along the south boundary of the neighborhood.
- Alternative 2 This alternative would allow moderate increases in height and density relative to the three action alternatives. In general, greatest building heights would be located in the southwest portion of the neighborhood.
- **Alternative 3** This alternative would allow the least amount of height and density increases relative to the three action alternatives. In general, greatest building heights would be allowed in the southwest portion of the neighborhood.
- **Alternative 4** This alternative would retain existing zoning designations and associated development standards within the neighborhood.

#### **Lead Agency**

City of Seattle
Department of Planning and Development

#### **SEPA Responsible Official**

Diane Sugimura, Director
City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development
700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000
P.O. Box 34019
Seattle, WA 98124-4019

#### **EIS Contact Person**

James Holmes, Senior Urban Planner
City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development
700 Fifth Ave., Suite 1900 Telephone: 206.684.8372
P.O. Box 34019 E-mail: Jim.Holmes@seattle.gov
Seattle, WA 98124-4019

#### **Final Action**

Adoption of code amendments that would provide incentive zoning provisions to allow increased height and density in the South Lake Union neighborhood

#### **Required Approvals and/or Permits**

Approval of amendments by the Seattle City Council.

#### **Authors and Principal Contributors to this EIS**

This **South Lake Union Height and Density** EIS has been prepared under the direction of the City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development. Research and analysis associated with this EIS were provided by the following consulting firms:

- **EA|Blumen** lead EIS consultant; document preparation; environmental analysis land use relationship to plans/policies & regulations, energy (greenhouse gas emissions), housing, and public services
- **NBBJ** aesthetics, light/glare, shadow, viewshed
- Fehr & Peers transportation, circulation, parking; greenhouse gas emissions
- **Shannon & Wilson** earth, plants/animals, environmental health
- ENVIRON International Corp. air quality, noise
- **BOLA Architecture & Planning, Inc.** historic/resources
- Cultural Resources Consultants archaeology
- Coughlin Porter Lundeen utilities
- **RWDI** wind

#### **Location of Background Data**

#### City of Seattle, Department of Planning and Development

Attn: James Holmes Telephone: 206.684.8372

700 Fifth Ave., Suite 1900

P.O. Box 34019

Seattle, WA 98124-4019

#### **EA|Blumen**

Attn: Terry McCann Telephone: 425.284.5401

720 Sixth Street S., Suite 100 Kirkland, Washington 98033

#### NBBJ – aesthetics

Attn: John Savo Telephone: 206.223.5555

223 Yale Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98109

#### <u>Fehr & Peers – transportation, circulation, parking</u>

Attn: Tom Noguchi Telephone: 425.820.0100

11410 NE 122nd Way, Suite 320 Kirkland, WA 98034-6927

#### **Date of Issuance of the Draft EIS**

February 24, 2011

#### **Date Draft EIS Comments Were Due**

April 11, 2011

#### **Date of Draft EIS Open House and Public Hearing**

An open house and public hearing regarding the Draft EIS was held on March 28, 2011

#### **Date of Issuance of the Final EIS**

April 5, 2011

#### **Availability of this Final EIS**

Copies of this Final EIS have been distributed to agencies, organizations and individuals noted on the Distribution List (Appendix A). Notice of Availability of the Final EIS has been provided to organizations and individuals that requested to become parties of record.

The Final EIS can be reviewed at the following public libraries:

- Seattle Public Library Central Library (1000 Fourth Avenue)
- Seattle Public Library Queen Anne Branch (400 W Garfield Street)
- Seattle Public Library Capitol Hill Branch (425 Harvard Ave. E.)

A limited number of complimentary copies of this Final EIS are available – while the supply lasts – either as a CD or hardcopy from the Seattle Department of Planning and Development Public Resource Center, which is located in Suite 2000, 700 Fifth Avenue, in Downtown Seattle. Additional copies may be purchased at the Public Resource Center for the cost of reproduction.

This Final EIS and the appendices are also available online at: <a href="http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/Planning/South-Lake Union/Overview/default.asp">http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/Planning/South-Lake Union/Overview/default.asp</a>

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

FAC	T SHEET	i
1.	ENVIRONMENTAL SUMMARY	1-1
1.1	Proposal	1-1
1.2	Location	
1.3	Objectives of the Proposal	1-2
1.4	Alternatives	1-3
1.5	Summary of Potential Impacts and Mitigation Strategies	1-8
1.6	Mitigation Strategies	1-30
1.7	Significant Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	1-62
1.8	Major Issues to be Resolved	1-63
2.	DESCRIPTION of the PROPOSAL and ALTERNATIVE	S 2-1
2.1	Introduction	2-1
2.2	Planning Context	
2.3	Proposed Action and Alternatives	
2.4	Environmental Review	
2.5	Benefits and Disadvantages of Delaying the	2 33
2.5	Proposed Action	2-35
3.	CLARIFICATIONS, CORRECTIONS and EXPANDED	
	DISCUSSION	3-1
3.1	Draft EIS 3.4 Plants and Animals Clarifications or Correctio	ns3-1
3.2	Draft EIS 3.8 Land Use Clarifications or Corrections	3-2
3.3	Draft EIS 3.9 Housing Clarifications or Corrections	3-11
3.4	Draft EIS 3.10 Aesthetics Clarifications or Corrections	
3.5	Draft EIS 3.13 Transportation Clarifications or Corrections.	3-108
3.6	Draft EIS 3.14 Public Services Clarifications or Corrections.	3-203
3.7	Draft EIS 3.15 Utilities Clarifications or Corrections	3-211
4.	COMMENTS and RESPONSES	4-1
4.1	Public Comments	4-1
4.2	Responses to Comments	4-8
5.	PUBLIC HEARING RESPONSES	5-1
5.1	Public Hearing	5-1

APPENDICES		
	Distribution ListAppe	endix A
	AestheticsAppe	endix D
	TransportationApp	endix E
	Additional Flight Path AnalysisApp	endix F
LIST OF	TABLES	
1-1	Alternatives Overview	
1-2	Summary of Impacts	1-9
1-3	PM Peak Hour Trip Generation with and without Mitigation	n 1-57
1-4	Mitigated Action Alternative: Demand-to-Capacity Ratios	of
	Study Corridors	1-58
1-5	South Lake Union Peak Hour Transit Mitigation	1-59
2-1	City of Seattle Growth Targets	2-8
2-2	Development Capacity	2-9
2-3	Alternatives Overview	2-17
3.3-1	Multi-Family Apartment Buildings within the South	
	Lake Union Neighborhood	3-11
3.5-ES1	Summary of Impacts to the Transportation System	3-108
3.5-ES2	PM Peak Hour Trip Generation by Alternative	3-109
3.5-ES3	PM Peak Hour Trip Generation by Mitigated Alternative	3-111
3.5-1	King County Metro Routes in South Lake Union	3-120
3.5-2	Levels of Service	
3.5-3	Study Corridors	3-137
3.5-4	Existing Condition Demand-to-Capacity Ratios of Study	
	Corridors	3-143
3.5-5	South Lake Union Transit AM Peak Hour Load Factors	3-147
3.5-6	South Lake Union Transit PM Peak Hour Load Factors	3-147
3.5-7	Sample Mode-Split of South Lake Union CTR Participants	3-149
3.5-8	Trip Generation by Alternative	3-163
3.5-9	No Action Alternative: Demand-to-Capacity Ratios of	
	Study Corridors	3-167
3.5-10	No Action Alternative: 2031 South Lake Union Transit	
	AM Peak Hour Load Factors	3-170
3.5-11	No Action Alternative: 2031 South Lake Union Transit	
	AM Peak Hour Headways	3-172
3.5-12	No Action Alternative: Estimated Additional Off-Street	
	Parking Supply	3-173
3.5-13	Demand-To-Capacity Ratios of Study Corridors	3-177
3.5-14	Action and No Action Comparison: 2031 South Lake	
	Union Transit Route AM Load Factors	3-182
3.5-15	No Action and Action Alternatives Comparison: Estimated	
	Additional Parking Supply	3-184

3.5-16	PM Peak Hour Trip Generation with and without	
	Mitigation	.3-199
3.5-17	Mitigated Action Alternatives: Demand-To-Capacity Ratios Of Study Corridors	.3-200
3.5-18	South Lake Union Peak Hour Transit Mitigation	
3.6-1	Seattle School District Total Enrollment – 2004-2009	
3.6-2	Attendance Area School Enrollment – 2009-2010	
3.6-3	Projected Seattle School District Functional Capacity and Enrollment – 2015	
3.6-4	Projected Student Generation for the South Lake Union Neighborhood	
3.6-5	Projected Student Generation and Forecasted Functional	200
3.0-3	Capacity – Action Alternatives	3-209
3.6-6	Projected Student Generation and Forecasted Functional	5-203
3.0-0	Capacity – No Action Alternative	.3-210
4-1	Public Comments Received During the Comment Period	
4-2	Responses to Public Comments Received During the	
	Comment Period	4-11
5-1	Public Comments Received During the Comment Period	5-3
LIST OF	FIGURES	
1-1	South Lake Union Neighborhood	1-2
2-1	Vicinity Map	2-3
2-2	Neighborhood Plan	2-4
2-3	Focus Areas	2-5
2-4	Draft EIS Lake Union Seaport Airport Flight Path	2-10
2-4(A)	Draft EIS Lake Union Seaport Airport Flight Path	2-11
2-4(B)	Draft EIS Lake Union Seaport Airport Flight Path	2-12
2-5	Existing Zoning Designations	2-14
2-6	Alternative 1 UPDATED WITH REVISED FLIGHT PATH	2-20
2-7	Alternative 2 UPDATED WITH REVISED FLIGHT PATH	2-23
2-8	Alternative 3 UPDATED WITH REVISED FLIGHT PATH	2-26
2-9	Alternative 4 UPDATED WITH REVISED FLIGHT PATH	2-29
2-10	Upper Level Setback Requirements	2-31
3.2-1	Revised Lake Union Airport Seaport Flight Path	3-7
3.2-2	Revised Lake Union Airport Seaport Flight Path	
3.4-1	Lake Union Seaport Airport Flight Path	
3.4-2	Birds-eye View – Alternative 1	3-20
3.4-3	Gasworks Park View – Alternative 1	
3.4-3	Gasworks Park View – Alternative 1	
3.4-5	Gasworks Park View – Alternative 2	3-23
3.4-6	Birds-eye View – Alternative 3	3-24

3.4-8	Birds-eye View – Alternative 4	3-26
3.4-9	Gasworks Park View – Alternative 4	3-27
3.4-10	Street-Level View: Eighth looking South – Alternative 1	3-33
3.4-11	Street-Level View: Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 1	3-34
3.4-12	Street-Level View: Mercer Street – Alternative 1	3-36
3.4-13	Street-Level View: Eighth looking South – Alternative 2	3-39
3.4-14	Street-Level View: Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 2	3-40
3.4-15	Street-Level View: Mercer Street – Alternative 2	3-41
3.4-16	Street-Level View: Eighth Avenue N – Alternative 3	3-44
3.4-17	Street-Level View: Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 3	3-45
3.4-18	Street-Level View: Mercer Street – Alternative3	3-46
3.4-19	Street-Level View: Eighth Avenue N – Alternative 4	3-48
3.4-20	Street-Level View: Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 4	3-49
3.4-21	Street-Level View: Mercer Street – Alternative 4	3-50
3.4-22	Viewshed Locations	3-53
3.4-23	Volunteer Park – Alternative 1	
3.4-24	Bhy Kracke Park – Alternative 1	
3.4-25	Westlake Avenue N – Alternative 1	3-60
3.4-26	Westlake Avenue N – Alternative 1	
3.4-27	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 1	3-62
3.4-28	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 1	
3.4-29	Mercer Street Off-ramp – Alternative 1	3-64
3.4-30	I-5 – Alternative 1	
3.4-31	Volunteer Park – Alternative 2	3-67
3.4-32	Bhy KrackePark – Alternative 2	3-68
3.4-33	Westlake Avenue N – Alternative 2	3-69
3.4-34	Westlake Avenue N – Alternative 2	3-70
3.4-35	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 2	3-71
3.4-36	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 2	3-72
3.4-37	Mercer Street Off-ramp – Alternative 2	3-73
3.4-38	I-5 – Alternative 2	3-74
3.4-39	Volunteer Park – Alternative 3	3-75
3.4-40	Bhy Kracke Park – Alternative 3	3-76
3.4-41	Westlake Avenue N - Alternative 3	3-77
3.4-42	Westlake Avenue N – Alternative 3	3-78
3.4-43	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 3	3-79
3.4-44	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 3	3-80
3.4-45	Mercer Street Off-ramp – Alternative 3	3-81
3.4-46	I-5 – Alternative 3	3-82
3.4-47	Volunteer Park – Alternative 4	3-83
3.4-48	Bhy Kracke Park – Alternative 4	3-84
3.4-49	Westlake Avenue N – Alternative 4	3-85
3.4-50	Westlake Avenue N - Alternative 1	3-86

3.4-51	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 4	3-87
3.4-52	Fairview Avenue N – Alternative 4	3-88
3.4-53	Mercer Street Off-ramp – Alternative 4	3-89
3.4-54	I-5 – Alternative 4	3-90
3.5-1	South Lake Union Neighborhood Map	3-112
3.5-2	Pedestrian Facilities – Existing Conditions	
3.5-3	Bicycle Facilities – Existing Conditions	3-117
3.5-4	Transit Facilities – Existing Conditions	3-118
3.5-5	Roadway Functional Class – Existing Conditions	3-121
3.5-6	Off-Street Parking Supply and Occupancy (2006)	3-125
3.5-7	Off-Street Parking Supply and Occupancy (2006)	3-127
3.5-8	On-Street Parking Facilities – Existing Conditions	3-129
3.5-9	On-Street Parking Supply and Occupancy (2010)	3-130
3.5-10	Major Truck Streets – Existing Conditions	3-131
3.5-11	Study Corridors – Existing Conditions	3-138
3.5-12	Demand to Capacity Ratios – Existing Conditions	3-145
3.5-13	Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Improvements	3-154
3.5-14	Roadway Improvement Not Assumed Under	
	Future Conditions	3-155
3.5-15	Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements Not Assumed Unde	r
	Future Conditions	3-155
3.5-16	Comparison of Traditional and Enhanced Trip Generation	
	Methods	3-159
3.5-17	Neighborhood Boundaries Used for Trip Generation	3-160
3.5-18	External Vehicle Trip Distribution	3-164
3.5-19	Demand-to-Capacity Ratios – No Action Alternative	3-169
3.5-20	Demand-to-Capacity Ratios – Alternative 1	3-179
3.5-21	Demand-to-Capacity Ratios – Alternative 2	3-180
3.5-22	Demand-to-Capacity Ratios – Alternative 3	3-181
3.5-23	Mitigation Measures	
3 6-1	Seattle Public School Locations	3-204