



**Aaron Clark** (Position 1 – Wildlife Biologist) • **Alicia Kellogg** (Position 2 – UrbanEcologist)  
• **LaniChang** (Position 3 - Natural Resource Agency or University) • **Drue Epping** (Position 5 –  
Arborist) • **Tristan Fields** (Position 6 – Landscape Architect) • **David Baker** (Position 8 –  
Development) • **Nathan Collins** (Position 9 – Financial Analyst) • **Timothy Randazzo**  
(Position 10 – Get Engaged) • **Melanie Ocasio** (Position 11 – Environmental Justice) • **Andrea  
Starbird** (Position 12 Public Health) • **Lia Hall** (Position 13 – Community/Neighborhood)

*The Urban Forestry Commission was established to advise the Mayor and City Council concerning the establishment of policy and regulations governing the protection, management, and conservation of trees and vegetation in the City of Seattle.*

**Draft meeting notes**

June 11, 2025, 3:00 – 5:00 p.m.

Via Webex meeting and in-person at the  
Seattle Municipal Tower, Room 1872 (18th floor)  
700 5th Avenue, Seattle

(206) 207-1700

Meeting number: 2503 580 2233

Meeting password: 1234

**Attending**

Commissioners

Aaron Clark  
Lani Chang  
Drue Epping  
Tristan Fields  
Alicia Kellogg  
Nathan Collins  
Timothy Randazzo  
Andrea Starbird  
Lia Hall

Absent

David Baker  
Melani Ocasio

Public

Steve Zemke  
June BlueSpruce  
Sandy Shettler  
Mike Oxman  
Susan Fedore  
Gerry Pollet  
Joshua Morris  
David Follis  
Kevin Brown  
Jeff Callahan  
Tracy Burman

## Staff

Lauren Urgenson – OSE

Sharon Lerman - OSE

Brennon Staley - OPCD

Christy Carr - SDCI

Consultant/Facilitator: Amy Burtaine

NOTE: Meeting notes are not exhaustive. For more details, listen to the digital recording of the meeting at: <https://www.seattle.gov/urbanforestrycommission/meetingdocuments>

## **Call to order:**

Amy Burtaine called the meeting to order, conducted a roll call of the commissioners and reviewed the agenda.

## **Public Comment:**

**Gerry Pollet**, State Representative, 46th District (North/Northeast Seattle) spoke about how state law requires urban tree canopy protection as part of climate resiliency in city planning (per the Growth Management Act) and stated that the current interim zoning proposal in Seattle fails to meet these legal and climate mandates. He added that mature tree preservation is essential for mitigating climate impacts, especially in overburdened communities and stated that the proposed “tree protection area” may actually encourage tree loss by allowing removal when development infringes on it. Pollet urged the commission to push for stronger, more flexible tree protections that support both housing and environmental goals.

**Joshua Morris**, Conservation Director of Birds Connect Seattle, emphasized the organization’s strong commitment to preserving mature trees, expanding tree canopy, and promoting tree equity in Seattle. He urged the Urban Forestry Commission to act swiftly in engaging with the city’s Comprehensive Plan and Phase 1 zoning legislation, noting the upcoming June 25 deadline for City Council amendments. Morris encouraged the commission to schedule additional meetings if necessary and offered support from external organizations like Birds Connect to assist in their efforts.

**Sandy Shettler** expressed strong concern about CB 120993, a neighborhood residential zoning bill currently before the Seattle City Council. She emphasized that the bill affects the land use zone where most of Seattle’s existing trees are located and would allow over 95% of residential lots to be paved, significantly threatening the urban tree canopy. She noted that tree requirement calculations are reduced by 65%, with no minimum spacing for trees or dedicated green space, unlike codes in other cities. Highlighting Seattle’s ranking as 5th in the nation for urban heat islands, she urged the Urban Forestry Commission to act urgently—specifically to schedule an additional work group and public meeting before the June 25 amendment deadline—to review the bill and advise the Council. She framed the issue as a public health and climate resilience crisis.

### **Adoption of Meeting Notes from April 9 and May 14**

Meeting notes were reviewed and adopted, with small edit offered by a member of the public via chat as the edit pertained to information he had spoken about at a previous meeting.

Tristan Fields asked about the issue of approving meeting minutes for meetings where a commissioner was not present. Commissioners who were not at a given meeting can choose to abstain from the vote to adopt the notes.

### **UFC Commissioner and Liaison updates**

**Drue Epping**, Arborist #5, shared their experience attending a Tree Equity workshop hosted by Washington DNR and American Forests in Tukwila. The event featured participation from many cities and highlighted local efforts to enhance urban canopy. Epping was particularly impressed by the Mayor of Tukwila's strong public commitment to tree equity, as well as the Commissioner of Public Lands' vocal support for tree equity initiatives across the state and King County. They noted the event's alignment with Seattle's goals and encouraged others to attend future workshops.

**Timothy Randazzo.**, representing Position 10 Get Engaged and speaking from the perspective of the Hispanic community, highlighted a peaceful protest happening at Cal Anderson Park concerning ICE activity in Seattle. They emphasized the importance of recognizing how urban forestry work is connected to immigrant communities, noting that many landscaping and tree care businesses are owned and staffed by local Hispanic workers. Timothy urged commissioners and the public to consider the human impact of policies on these communities, especially regarding equity in canopy access and green jobs. They stressed the need to support policies that protect and uplift these workers and families, underscoring the importance of their safety and comfort in doing their jobs.

### **Liaison Laura Urgenson Updates:**

Lauren thanked Timothy R. for his valuable contributions as the Urban Forestry Commission's Get Engaged member and noted his upcoming departure in September to pursue a Master's at Oxford. Timothy plans to bring his commission experience to a broader, international context. Lauren invited commissioners to help interview the next Get Engaged candidate, explaining the YMCA-run program connects youth leaders with city commissions. Timothy highlighted the program's role in building advocacy skills, mentorship, and community among young commissioners. Lia Hall asked about Timothy's coordination with other Get Engaged members, which he confirmed involves sharing updates and learning about different commissions. Lia noted Timothy's impact spreading awareness about tree canopy across commissions.

### **Scheduling conversation: Possible return to occasional in-person meetings (frequency and logistics)**

Amy asked the commissioners to discuss and revisit the idea of holding quarterly in-person meetings. She acknowledged that the most likely location for in-person meetings would be SMT but that in-person meetings could also potentially rotate locations at local libraries. Tristan Fields

and Alicia Kellogg expressed support for meeting in person two to four times a year, with Alicia noting the importance of accessible locations. Drue Epping also supported regular meetings and suggested additional smaller “working meetings” focused on specific issues like middle housing.

Lauren Urgenson explained the commission’s role as a formal city board requiring quorum and open public meetings to make official decisions, which can be challenging on short notice. She noted the importance of a clear work plan to prioritize efforts and ensure adequate staff support within allocated hours. Lauren also clarified that smaller committee meetings without quorum can occur more flexibly but may have limited staff resources. She emphasized that commissioners can always speak as private citizens outside official commission actions. The conversation highlighted the balance between effective commission work, staff capacity, and the need for planning and communication.

She highlighted that:

- The UFC has already submitted two comprehensive letters on the city’s comprehensive plan after extensive work.
- Commissioners make decisions collectively as a board, requiring quorum and public meetings, and individual members cannot officially speak on behalf of the UFC without approval.
- The board depends on staff support (limited to 25 hours/month) for meeting facilitation and decision-making; planning around staff capacity is crucial.
- Without quorum, smaller committee meetings can happen more informally, but full board decisions require public meetings.
- Developing a clear work plan aligned with priorities and upcoming city feedback opportunities is essential to function effectively.
- Commissioners, as city residents, are able to individually engage with city council and share ideas outside their official board role. When engaging as individual residents Commissioners must be clear and upfront they are not speaking or acting on behalf of the UFC.

The conversation also touched on managing meetings with fewer members and balancing staff resource constraints.

Lia Hall explained that the Urban Forestry Commission’s decision to reduce regular meetings from twice a month to once was partly to reserve alternate Wednesdays for subcommittee or working group meetings, especially for work plan-related items. She suggested forming a subgroup to review the commission’s previous two letters on the Comprehensive Plan. Lia emphasized that while individuals can and should comment to City Council, formal input from the full UFC carries more weight. She also reiterated her support for holding 2–4 in-person meetings annually, ideally in more accessible locations outside of downtown. Finally, she expressed willingness to participate in a short-turnaround subgroup.

Lauren Urgenson explained that under Washington’s Open Public Meetings Act, any group of fewer than six commissioners (i.e., less than a quorum of the current eleven) can meet informally without triggering public meeting requirements. Lauren emphasized that formal Urban

Forestry Commission actions (like approving letters or recommendations) require a quorum and a public meeting to ensure all commissioners can participate and make informed decisions. She stressed the importance of inclusive, well-planned processes so all commissioners have time to consider and understand UFC decisions they are agreeing to. She also acknowledged time constraints some commissioners face and the importance of intentional planning of the UFC's time. Subgroups also need planned time and an intentional approach to bring smaller group discussions and decisions back to the larger group.

### **Commissioner Skill Share & Background Introductions**

As part of onboarding and relationship-building, Amy facilitated a skill share among Urban Forestry Commissioners, inviting them to share their backgrounds, expertise, and what they bring to the commission.

- **Andrea Starbird (#12, Public Health position)** shared her experience as a former community-based birth doula, highlighting her skills in helping people navigate high-stress, high-anxiety environments and translating complex technical information clearly. She now works as a consulting arborist and has significant experience navigating Seattle's regulatory systems related to trees, providing insights to both residents and professionals.
- **Tristan Fields (#6, Landscape Architecture position)** discussed her background with a degree in ecology and a master's in landscape architecture. She has over eight years of experience working as both a landscape architect and consulting arborist for engineering firms. Her focus is on the intersection of development, arboriculture, and design. She also mentioned her work with the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers, and her interest in bringing tree valuation and economic assessment tools to support policy decisions in Seattle.
- **Alicia Kellogg (#2, Urban Ecologist position)**  
Alicia works as the Duwamish Basin Steward for King County and is a licensed landscape architect. With a focus on salmon recovery, she emphasizes the ecological value of riparian areas in urban forestry. She is passionate about increasing public awareness of how small waterways support vital species like salmon.
- **Drue Epping (#5, Arborist position)**  
Drue has a diverse background in arboriculture, from greenhouse and nursery work to tree climbing and municipal forestry. Having worked in both public and private sectors, she offers valuable perspective on how policies and practices affect tree professionals and residents alike.
- **Timothy R. (#10, Get Engaged position)**  
Timothy brings a strong equity lens to urban forestry, shaped by early work analyzing tree canopy access in underserved communities. At King County, he supports green building and sustainability initiatives, focusing on social equity in capital projects. He's also passionate about youth engagement and environmental education.
- **Aaron Clark (At-large commissioner)**  
Aaron holds a PhD in wildlife ecology and has worked in restoration and green infrastructure for over a decade. He currently leads a land return program with a Native

nonprofit and brings experience in real estate development, philanthropy, and community-based conservation.

- **Lia Hall (#13, At-large position)**

Lia is a small business owner with over a decade of experience managing design-focused projects involving permitting, development, and stakeholder coordination. Her upbringing rooted in gardening and cultural traditions informs her values around land stewardship and community care.

- **Lani Chang (At-large commissioner)**

Lani has worked in the U.S. Forest Service leading participatory science and grant programs. Her focus is on integrating community voices into land management decisions, with a background in program coordination, project management, and inclusive natural resource planning.

## **One Seattle Plan update**

***Presented by, Brennon Stanley, Office of Planning and Community Development***

- **Project Overview:** Brennon Staley from the Office of Planning and Community Development updated the commissioners on the city's comprehensive plan update, known as the *One Seattle Plan*. It's a 20-year growth and development vision for Seattle, guiding city departments and zoning policies.
- **Process Timeline:** The plan started in 2022 with multiple phases of public engagement and environmental review. A draft plan was released in early 2024, followed by updates and further engagement in late 2024. The final plan was completed in 2025 and is now in the city council's legislative process.
- **Plan Goals:** The plan addresses Seattle's housing shortage by proposing zoning changes to allow more diverse housing types in neighborhood residential areas, which make up about two-thirds of the city. The goal is to reduce housing costs, combat homelessness, promote equity, and limit urban sprawl and greenhouse gas emissions by encouraging denser housing near transit and urban centers.
- **Zoning Changes:** The proposal includes allowing duplexes, triplexes, four-plexes, townhomes, and stacked flats in neighborhood residential zones, and expanding apartment and condo allowances in new and existing urban centers and transit corridors.
- **Legislative Status:** The council has adopted some interim zoning changes effective June 30. The permanent neighborhood residential zoning changes are expected to be finalized by September 2025, with further legislation on urban centers and transit corridors anticipated in 2026.
- **Next Steps:** Commissioners were invited to submit questions for Brennan, who will respond in writing due to time constraints in the meeting.

## **Introduction to Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI) Tree Work**

***Presented by Christy Carr, SDCI Strategic Advisor***

- **About SDCI:**  
SDCI is a large department (~400+ staff) responsible for regulating and enforcing building and land use codes in Seattle, covering permits, inspections, code compliance,

and more. Their work spans from mechanical, structural, and electrical reviews to environmental and land use issues.

- **Christy's Role:**  
Leads the environmental team within SDCI's Land Use and Engineering Services Division, including focusing on tree-related permits and compliance.
- **Tree-Related Work:**
  - Reviews permits for compliance with multiple codes: Tree Protection Code, Environmental Critical Areas (ECA) code, Zoning Code, Shoreline Code.
  - Ensures tree protection, planting, and replacement requirements are met through permit inspections.
  - Investigates illegal tree activity through code enforcement.
  - Tree regulations are integrated into overall permit reviews (no separate tree permit).
  - Public notices are issued for most tree work and available via Seattle Services Portal.
- **Statistics:**  
In 2024, SDCI issued over 8,000 building permits, conducted 45,000 building inspections and 38,000 site inspections, with 357 tree-related code complaints investigated.
- **Resources for Public and UFC:**
  - SDCI's Trees and Codes website provides codes, rules, and guidance documents including director's rules and plain-language tips.
  - Tree Tracking Program offers a public map showing tree-related permits and activities.
- **Next Steps:**  
Commissioners were encouraged to submit questions anytime. Christy and Lauren are available to provide more detailed information or follow-up.

## Public Comment

**June BlueSpruce**, with a master's in public health, highlighted the health benefits of trees in her Rainier Valley neighborhood, especially as extreme heat becomes more common. She urged the Urban Forestry Commission to strengthen tree preservation rules, recommending Seattle follow Portland's model of reserving significant portions of lots for tree preservation and planting. She also called for exempting already up-zoned, low-canopy areas from further upzoning and restoring a stricter definition of tree protection during construction to prevent developers from circumventing tree-saving efforts. She emphasized the need for timely, science-based recommendations before the council's amendment deadline.

**Michael Oxman**, an ISA certified arborist for 37 years, emphasized that Seattle's environmental policies fail to connect climate action with urban forestry. He referenced recent editorials and news highlighting Seattle's weak tree protections, noting a persistent view among some city staff and developers that trees are obstacles to development. Michael encouraged the group to organize community meetings—public or informal—to advance the conversation and strengthen urban forestry efforts.

**Steve Zemke** highlighted the complexity of the issues around tree protection and urged the commission to avoid oversimplifying public opinions, as many groups approach the topic from different angles. He encouraged staying informed by reviewing the comprehensive plan ordinance (Ordinance 120993) and attending upcoming meetings, including one on Friday discussing the legislation. A key concern Steve raised is that under current and proposed tree ordinances, developers have a lot of control over tree removal decisions, especially for smaller (Tier 1 and 2) and many larger (Tier 3) trees, with limited oversight or feedback from SDCI. He pointed out that SDCI's guidelines (Director's Tip 242-AI) essentially leave it to developers to decide whether to save trees, which undermines the city's stated goal of protecting trees for health and climate resilience. He emphasized that the city should have more authority to protect trees for health and climate resilience. Steve urged the commission to read key documents like the tree ordinance, development tips, urban forest management plan, and canopy study to better engage in informed discussions and hold the city accountable.

### **End of Public Comment**

#### Additional comments made in the meeting after the public comment period:

Sharon Lerman (OSE) clarified the timeline around the Comprehensive Plan and zoning legislation. While public commenters referenced a June 25 deadline, Brennan's earlier presentation indicated that City Council deliberations will continue through September. Staff will confirm and share an accurate timeline with UFC commissioners so they can plan appropriate engagement.

Lia Hall asked if other commissioners are interested in forming a small group (under quorum) to discuss the Comprehensive Plan, particularly if there are any changes since the UFC last made recommendations. Several commissioners showed interest, and Lauren (staff) confirmed that sub-quorum meetings are allowed under Open Public Meetings Act.

Sharon Lerman noted that while informal discussions are fine, formal working groups should be set up with clear purpose and process. This will be discussed further during the upcoming UFC retreat as part of commissioner onboarding.

Drue Epping asked for clarification on what the group would be discussing: specific policies in the Comprehensive Plan (e.g., a numbered policy) or more general concerns like setbacks and development impacts on trees? She noted that clarity would help determine whether the conversation fits best under Comprehensive Plan policy input or code change recommendations.

#### **Next Steps Identified**

- Staff will clarify the official timeline for Council deliberations.
- Interested commissioners may convene an informal small group to explore the issues further.
- Sharon and Lauren will help provide structure if that develops into a formal working group.

- Discussions will continue at the upcoming UFC retreat to ensure processes and goals are aligned.

### **Adjourn:**

The meeting was adjourned at 4:58

### **Meeting Chat:**

Timothy R. (he/they) - Position 10 Get Engaged 06/11/2025, 3:09 PM

apologies for being late, work meeting was running over

Amy Burtaine (she/her)

06/11/2025, 3:11 PM

Representative Pollet your 3 minutes have passed. May we offer the floor to the next person

Lia Hall #13

Unverified

06/11/2025, 3:13 PM

Thank you for speaking Rep. Pollet

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 3:14 PM

Bylaws of UFC provide for 2 sessions of public comment.

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 3:14 PM

Thank you Rep Pollet! Your message is powerful.

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 3:16 PM

Today's news story on National Public Radio describes lack of public policy to increase tree canopy area.

No, please don't close public session.

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 3:17 PM

I don't regard my comments in the chat as 'disrespective' of commissioners time.

Steve Zemke

06/11/2025, 3:18 PM

add to last meeting end of notes Steve Zemke said add 6 new members, not 6 total

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 3:20 PM

I do have a concern about this truncated comment period. It is customary to shorten the time allowed for each comment, and to extend the comment period. There were only three other commenters who could have spoken, and their comments might have bearing on what will be discussed today. By the end of the meeting, their comments may be moot. I hope that you will reconsider this undemocratic and troubling policy that was not discussed at any previous meeting.

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 3:20 PM

Yes that is true!

Steve Zemke

06/11/2025, 3:22 PM

Appreciate detailed notes. Thanks.

Gerry Pollet

06/11/2025, 3:22 PM

Rep Pollet memo To Council referred to in my comments re GMA and middle housing legislation requiring action on tree canopy, preservation including as part of climate resiliency element.

<https://drive.google.com/file/d/1c9QO75rOmhhrgkZ751z2pSjhed0sZ177/view?usp=sharing>

June BlueSpruce (she/her)

06/11/2025, 3:29 PM

Timothy, thank you so much for pointing out the interconnections between tree canopy and immigration policy.

June BlueSpruce (she/her)

06/11/2025, 3:31 PM

And best of luck in your Masters degree program!

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 3:31 PM

We will miss you--your energy has made a huge difference. And thank you for the support for dedicated tree areas in the December 2024 letter!

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 3:33 PM

Comment on Pollett's statement on 'Tree Protection Area' (TPA), included as a new component of the July, 2023 Tree Protection Ordinance (TPO). The arborist is capable of determining the 'viability' of a tree that is located abutting soil disturbance on a construction site. The definition of TPA has been reduced to a measurement of trunk diameter using a tape measure by any person, regardless of their education, training, experience in arboriculture, or ability to assess impacts of root loss or other subtle qualities occurring from disturbance of growing conditions on site. As such, the TPA provision in the TPO results in guaranteed approval of plans to remove the tree. Gerry's call for urgent action is warranted to reverse this harmful mistake in legislation that has resulted in removal of many trees, and will also result in many more trees in the future being removed without due consideration, unless it is reversed now. This law was passed when the UFC Arborist position was vacant.

Steve Zemke

06/11/2025, 3:36 PM

Agree with Sandy that UFC should set up a separate meeting before end of month to comment on the draft ordinance relating to land use, implementing a major update of Neighborhood Residential zones and modifying development standards in other zones to comply with various state laws. There is a need to consider possible amendments that would help to save more existing trees and planting new trees with the rezoning changes in the neighborhood residential zones in this legislation.

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 3:50 PM

Would citizens be allowed to attend subgroup meetings?

Steve Zemke

06/11/2025, 3:53 PM

The schedule on legislation is set by the Council and time lines can be very short and legislation has change. Comments now are relevant on current legislation which is not the same as proposals last year. The proposed text of the rezoning legislation was just released as well as the latest proposed draft of the Comprehensive. Plan. Impacts of Seattle trees are being changed by this legislation. This is major legislation.

Amy Burtaine (she/her)

06/11/2025, 3:55 PM

What skills do you have to offer that will be useful to this group

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 3:57 PM

An example of how quickly laws can change is that in May 2023, 80 amendments to the TPO proposal were introduced within 1 day of the Council Land Use Committee vote on the Tree Protection Ordinance.

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 4:01 PM

More info on appraisal of financial value of trees. [Treedr.com](https://www.treedr.com) - [Tree Damage Appraisal](#)

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 4:09 PM

Last weeks Seattle forum on relationship between health of the urban forest and Orca & Salmon populations living in polluted waters.

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 4:09 PM

Aaron, your work reminds me of this book about preserving 50% of the natural world by 2050

<https://www.amazon.com/Rescuing-Planet-Protecting-Half-Earth/dp/052565481X>

Based on work of EO Wilson--status check on how it's going.

Lani Chang (she/her)

06/11/2025, 4:11 PM

Hi all! Sorry to just be hoping on now - had a delayed flight

Amy Burtaine (she/her)

06/11/2025, 4:13 PM

What skills, interests, experiences you have that could be useful to this group

Amy Burtaine (she/her)

06/11/2025, 4:16 PM

Just a reminder, our remaining agenda items are: Comprehensive Plan Process and Timeline (Brennon Staley, OPCD)

Intro to Seattle Urban Forestry II (Christy Carr, SDCI)

Then public comment

Alicia Kellogg #2 Urban Ecologist

06/11/2025, 4:20 PM

I'm here, just off-camera

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 4:21 PM

Video of 2010 meeting of Seattle Urban Forestry Commission.

<https://youtu.be/Azu8uk1uSi0>

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 4:24 PM

<https://www.npr.org/2025/06/11/nx-s1-5340711/climate-urban-housing-trees>

Great article about developing housing while retaining trees---featuring Josh Morris, recent co-chair of this commission!

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 4:29 PM

Brennon is referring to Council Bill 120993 which critically needs input from experts. The tree requirements in this bill are a 65% reduction from current standards. We are asking that Council adopt standards used by Portland which facilitate tree preservation without inhibiting new housing and greater density

Michael Oxman

06/11/2025, 4:29 PM

Another video of the UFC, this time from August 4th 2010.

## [Seattle Urban Forestry Commission 8-4-2010](#)

Drue Epping (Arborist #5)

06/11/2025, 4:31 PM

Thanks, Brennon!

Aaron Clark

06/11/2025, 4:47 PM

thanks @Christy

Lia Hall #13

06/11/2025, 4:48 PM

Apologies for dropping this in the chat as it seems there will be no time to revisit this in the meeting today.

I hear the concern regarding the need to establish a work plan with this new body, a concern that a meeting put together on short notice would potentially not be inclusive enough and that if we are to include a quorum we would need to announce the meeting to the public 24 hours in advance and would need OSE staff support. Given the developments on the Comp Plan with revisions to the Neighborhood Residential zoning and the impact it will have on our Urban Canopy, I would like to gauge interest from other commissioners around discussing any changes we would like to make to our previous recommendations.

Aaron Clark

06/11/2025, 4:50 PM

Lia, I'm interested in participating.

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 4:58 PM

That is an internal but fairly firm deadline for the Council to have enough time to get their amendments vetted by Council Central Staff and the Legal Dept. I can share the table from the Select Committee on the Comprehensive Plan.

Steve Zemke

06/11/2025, 4:58 PM

City council sets deadlines to receive amendments which are before final votes!

Jeff Callahan

06/11/2025, 5:00 PM

How do I communicate my concerns to you. I'm a homeowner and it addresses the removal of trees and the safeguards when developers are pushing ahead?

Alicia Kellogg #2 Urban Ecologist

06/11/2025, 5:00 PM

I need to head out all, thanks! Lia let's be in touch

Sandy Shettler

06/11/2025, 5:02 PM

Yes, it is tree requirements and required open space which right now can be paved. I am glad to send this to commissioners.