## **UFC Questions on Draft Tree Protection Ordinance**

In (rough) priority order:

- 1. Regarding the reclassification to exempt tree decisions from SEPA appeal, please explain the rationale behind this and how this change came about.
- 2. Please explain where funds from the payment-in-lieu program will go? To SDCI in general or to a specific tree planting and maintenance fund? This is not clear in the ordinance language.
  - a. Also, where do the funds generated from penalties go? Are these put into a special fund for tree planting, protection, and care?
- 3. 12" v. 6": Please explain the rationale for using a 12" DSH threshold for tree replacement requirements, site planning, and non-development tree removal rather than the 6" DSH that UFC recommended.
- 4. Tree replacement requirements:
  - a. Should be required for all trees >= 6"
  - b. Should be more than a 1:1 requirement depending on the tree size
  - c. Should be required for removal of hazard trees as well
  - d. Protection of replacement trees for a period of time is not included; strongly recommend including this.
- 5. Please explain further the rationale for not including the permit requirement for tree removal on private property and instead making compliance voluntary (unless caught)?
- 6. Please explain further the rationale for not reducing the number of significant trees that can be removed under non-development purposes. Specifically, we have these questions:
  - Why allow 3 trees per year?
  - Under what scenarios do homeowners generally need to remove so many trees each year?
  - Why would the removal of 3 trees per year be the standard?
- 7. Why is there no requirement for public posting of tree removal on-site and online for 2-weeks prior to tree removal?