

## Meeting Notes

2/16/10 – 1:30 SMT 1940

Meeting with a group assembled by the Master Builders Association

### Attending:

Jeff Reibman, Urban Forestry Commission – Position 8

Garrett Huffman, Master Builders Association

Brittany Ard, Ard Consulting

Dan Duffus, Solei Development

Randy Bannecker, Seattle King County Association of Realtors.

David Namura, Puget Sound Energy

### Topics of discussion:

- Current commission membership; Jeff and the group reviewed some of the positions and discussed the members currently seated.
  - Landscape architects (by training) highly represented
  - Jeff feels several members understand development issues
    - Chair Elizabetha Stachison used to work at The Dwelling Company
    - Jeff's resume discussed
    - Peg S. works on development projects at SvR
- Emerald City Task Force, feedback from members
  - Parks and Utilities not well represented
  - Goal to create incentives transformed into only penalties
- How to have a voice in the Commission
  - Some discussion among commission members on how to invite others and who to invite, Jeff favors focusing on expert testimony rather than on interest groups as invited speakers
    - Mathew Gardner (on retainer to MBA as economist) would be a good candidate.
  - Public comment period always available.
  - Jeff R available to raise development issues
- Are the goals by zone appropriate?
  - “Multifamily has improved and single family has slipped in the last development cycle so why is multifamily being punished” – Britany Ard
  - Why are parks land goals actually lower than current?
    - Need to reduce maintenance costs?
  - Industrial zones not a huge issue for MBA, Suzy Burke likely to be vocal in that area.
- Realtors are interested in education
  - Correct selection
  - Maintenance techniques

- Hazard identification
- Important issues for the utilities
  - ROW access is a big issue.
    - Prohibition on utilities in planting strips is a huge cost issue when forced to work in the ROW instead, especially in concrete
    - Increased maintenance cost from poor selection / placement.
    -
- Desired outcomes for developers
  - Clearly codified rules rather than uncertain review processes
  - Reasonable financial alternatives including a tree fund to be paid into when it makes more sense to cut than save a tree.
  - Predictability is key
  - Understand the role of other decision makers in project viability
    - Insurers
    - lenders
  - Focus on getting the right trees the right places rather than saving every tree just because it happened to already be there.
  - Multifamily and NC zones are most critical.
    - Many members are working in SF zones but those projects have more flexibility generally.