# Sweetened Beverage Tax Community Advisory Board (CAB) Meeting Notes

	Date:	May 20, 2022	
MEETING	Time:	9:00 am – 12:30 pm (*special meeting to start discussing and	
SUMMARY	Location:	designing budget recommendations) Virtual meeting	
MEMBERS PRESENT:	Barbara Baquero, Barbara Rockey, Christina Wong, Dan Torres, Jaimée Marsh, Jen Moss, Kristin Sukys, Munira Mohamed, Rebecca Finkel		
MEMBERS ABSENT:	Bilan Aden, Tanika Thompson		
GUESTS:	Office of Sustainability & Environment: Bridget Igoe, Alyssa Patrick		
	Human Services Department: Seán Walsh		
	Department of Education and Early Learning: Leilani Dela Cruz, Tiffany Lee		
	Department of Neigh	porhoods: Daniel Sims, Lisa Chen	
	City Budget Office: Gr	egory Shiring	

# Key decision/actions points:

While the CAB made **no** decisions about its budget recommendations (as intended), the leading sentiments to help inform June's budget recommendations meeting were the following:

- Funding is limited and is only appropriate for one-time investments or small, ongoing investments (*see <u>SBT Financial Plan</u> for details*).
- Rather than spread the SBT Fund too thin, the CAB is interested in going deeper with current investments, while also looking for opportunities to invest in innovative ideas within or related to those investments. Recommend crosswalking our ideas (*see notes on pp 5-8 for details*) with current investments.
- The community grant programs (Food Equity Fund, Prenatal-to-Three grant programs) are a key way SBT invests in community-led innovations.

City staff A. Patrick also noted she will follow up with City economists to address some of the questions the CAB had about SBT revenue and inflation (see pg 3).

# **Meeting Notes**

Jen Moss and Dan Torres facilitated the first part of the meeting.

# Welcome and Introductions

- CAB members introduced themselves.
- City staff introduced themselves.

# The CAB reviewed its meeting agreements.

# **Food Access Program Updates**

Presented by Seán Walsh from the Human Services Department (HSD)

S. Walsh provided a brief overview of food access programs supported by SBT. <u>Click here</u> for the presentation materials.

# Discussion

*CAB Question:* Given inflation and food prices, what is the gap in how many food bank clients can be served?

• *Response:* Specifying the gap has been challenge due to the lag in data. Anecdotally, the need over the past couple of months has been acute, but we don't have hard numbers yet. WSDA has reported similar trends. Cost of food is higher in 2022 than in 2021 and the impact is being felt across communities and the hunger relief sector.

*CAB Comment:* For additional context about needs, there is also a loss of federal programs, like the expanded child tax credit. Looking ahead, June 30 is the end of the national waiver authority for USDA to expand child nutrition programs (congregate summer meals). All of this is going to put pressure on families if kids can't get meals in community. We also know that 40% of households on SNAP that struggle the most have seen a loss of the 15% increase to their benefits. Those emergency SNAP allotments are in danger of going away if the national emergency is ended. We expect the emergency order to be extended through July.

*CAB Comment:* When it comes to supporting community-led work and innovations to meet these everchanging needs and be resilient during these times of emergency/crisis – I wonder what support or skill building we can provide? How can we empower organizations but also clients/program participants?

# Child Health and Development Programs Update

Presented by Tiffany Lee from the Department of Education and Early Learning (DEEL)

T. Lee gave a brief overview of the Developmental Bridge program and Child Care Health program supported by SBT. <u>Click here</u> for the presentation materials.

# **Discussion**

*CAB Question:* Re: the Prenatal-to-Three Grants Program – how is DEEL thinking about sustaining these grantees?

*Response:* We are talking with grantees about what their needs are beyond the contract year, not with any guarantee that would get re-funded, but to get a sense of capacity and sustainability needs.

CAB Question: Re: the Developmental Bridge Program – what are the gaps?

• *Response:* Developmental Bridge has shown it can meet current needs. However, there are barriers in terms of access. We are working on building awareness of these supports in communities, especially in communities most impacted. There are also cultural components of screening and intervention that can be barriers; sometimes there is resistance to getting treatment. We've talked about having community liaisons to assist with this.

# Food Equity Fund Program Update

Presented by Daniel Sims from the Department of Neighborhoods (DON)

D. Sims gave a brief presentation about the Food Equity Fund, which released first grant applications in 2021 and 2022. <u>Click here</u> for the presentation materials.

Discussion highlights:

- Displacement is a common challenge for reaching communities the Food Equity Fund intends to serve. BIPOC communities are fractured and not as concentrated in Seattle as they once were. People are still coming into Seattle to visit anchor institutions like clinics, churches, community organizations, but they are living elsewhere in King County (Kent, Auburn, Tukwila, etc.)
- Displacement and gentrification are raising interesting policy considerations for the Food Equity Fund. Are there ways to take a regional approach in this work? Food access and food justice work doesn't stop at geopolitical boundaries and city limits.

# Budget and Revenue Update

Presented by Greg Shiring from the City Budget Office

G. Shiring presented the SBT Financial Plan (<u>click here</u> for details) and walked the CAB through the details on how to read the balance sheet.

Key points:

- Overall, SBT Fund is healthy
- Lower than budgeted spending in 2019-2021, but these funds carried forward into 2022 and spend is picking up.
- Projected \$3.5 million in ending unreserved fund balance in <u>one-time</u> funds
- Inflation, policy changes from Mayor or Council could be a factor

CAB Questions:

- If the tax rate is tied to ounces, does that mean the revenue fund won't keep up with inflation?
- Does the Revenue Stabilization Reserve need to be adjusted to inflation?

A. Patrick will follow up with the City economists, who calculate the projections, to address these questions.

# **Community Engagement Discussion**

The CAB reviewed its <u>budget principles</u>.

R. Finkel, on behalf of the CAB community engagement committee, presented findings from recent engagements related to food access and early learning and child health and development.

<u>Click here</u> to access the slides.

CAB discussed the following prompts:

- What stands out to you?
- What's missing?
- How to keep big picture/root causes in mind and bring them into the policies and programs funded by SBT?

Full CAB discussion was captured on Jamboard (screenshot included below). Some highlights:

• High mobility and displacement. How can resources serve families no longer living in Seattle/keep them in Seattle?

- Re: food access culturally relevant and medical needs what's the gap in services?
- Do we have the ability to address structural issues (building space, cost, etc)?
- Would like to know what/how solutions have been implemented well. What are the good models we can promote?
- Would like to hear more about housing policy, programs, and resources.
- Even where there are good resources, how do community members access resources that are available? Transportation, geographic isolation, areas that are underserviced are challenges that compound our ability to offer or deliver services.
- Need to consider how orgs/programs are structured and what systems they use. Is there a breakdown somewhere to outcomes? Ex: quality of food that gets to food banks/distribution site
- SBT ordinance has a specific focus, but could/should CAB weigh in on other issues and determinants of food access? Is there an opportunity to do more braiding and blending across advisory boards? More collaboration and strategic planning across these groups?
  - Yes, but can't lose sight of SBT being protected funds and intended for specific issue areas (food access, prenatal-to-three).
- We need to center everything in a framework of race and social justice. Recognizing intent vs impact community be included in creation of programs. What is wanted as well as needed?

# **Budget Recommendation Prioritization**

After reflecting on community needs and program updates, the CAB did a brainstorm activity to start seeding ideas for its 2023 budget recommendations. Brainstorm topics were:

- Gaps between community needs/priorities and SBT-funded programs
- Ideas to address needs and gaps that could be fulfilled with one-time funds
- Other recommendation ideas beyond specific funding ideas?

# Summary results from the brainstorm and discussion

While the CAB made **no** decisions about its budget recommendations (as intended), the leading sentiments to help inform June's budget recommendations meeting were the following:

- Funding is limited and is only appropriate for one-time investments or small, ongoing investments (*see <u>SBT Financial Plan</u> for details*).
- Rather than spread the SBT Fund too thin, the CAB is interested in going deeper with current investments, while also looking for opportunities to invest in innovative ideas within or related to those investments. Recommend crosswalking our ideas (*listed in table A and the screenshots that follow*) with current investments.
- The community grant programs (Food Equity Fund, Prenatal-to-Three grant programs) are a key way SBT invests in community-led innovations.

Canabaturaan	Const				
Gaps between	Gaps:	are providers to even ad ecose			
community	• Fund the startup costs of childcare providers to expand access				
needs/priorities and	Emergency preparedness funding and funding specifically for				
SBT-funded programs	infrastructure needs				
		stribution in more community settings			
	outside of food banks/partnerships between food banks and other				
	community partners to increase access				
	Higher costs of culturally appropriate ingredients for school meals				
	• Exploring community "wants" along with "needs" for food access.				
	<ul> <li>Allowing greater choice in food</li> </ul>	loptions			
	Tension Points:				
	How to manage breadth vs. depth and mission creep [in our				
	recommendations]? E.g.:				
	How to address root causes?	SBT doesn't fund all of the needs			
		identified but does address some			
	How to support non-Seattle	core basic needs like food access			
	residents. Cost of housing.	and childcare. We should lean into			
	Infrastructure. Various structural	our strengths			
	barriers caused by eligibility criteria.				
	<ul> <li>Various structural barriers caus</li> <li>Can we discuss and consider w reinvest the funds back into co</li> <li>The importance of food access and community around food q</li> <li>Funding is limited. The funding as far due to inflation</li> <li>What percentage of our budge root causes/long-term goals (g</li> </ul>	hich SBT funds most effectively mmunity? organizations and health professional uality and health. that was already allocated will not go t address immediate need (meals) vs. rants to CBOs)?			
Ideas to address	Theme: Go Deeper with Current Inves				
needs and gaps that	Can we increase the funding of some of the things we are already				
could be fulfilled with	doing? It is hard to start new things with one-time funds.				
one-time funds	<ul> <li>increase funding to organizations that are already funded and have</li> </ul>				
	the most capacity to do food access/child care work since the funding				
		unding in general is hard to come by			
		ons and looking to the list of COVID cuts			
	is a great place to start. (e.g. cr providers)	nild care subsidies and family home			
	•	partnerships between food banks and			
		e co-located food distribution/access			

 Table A: Transcribed sticky notes from the brainstorm (see Jamboard screenshot below as well).

<ul> <li>Can RFP/grant programs be adjusted to meet community needs raised through engagement?</li> <li>Funding to SPS to support school meals ingredients costs/relieve anticipated school meal debt when we lose universal school meals authority</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Increase P-3 grant and FEF grants</li> </ul>	
Theme: Consider New Investments	
Fund grocery vouchers (like the ones earlier in the pandemic) that	can
do more than Fresh Bucks, e.g., buy non-food necessities	can
<ul> <li>Funding BIPOC community assessment tools/apps to navigate food</li> </ul>	1
access program resources in their geographic areas near	
transportation, work, schools.	
TA support for community coalitions	,
Food is Medicine (produce prescription or medically tailored meals	
pilot programs to increase access to medically appropriate food fo	
individuals with chronic conditions. Medicaid waiver could provide	
funding for something like this, also grocery vouchers	
<ul> <li>Infrastructure grant program. MA did this during COVID and outlin</li> </ul>	ed
specific spending that was labeled as infrastructure.	
<ul> <li>One time land use/access funding to BIPOC farmers (but would land</li> </ul>	and
have to be within Seattle limits??)	
• I know we can only make recommendations year by year, but we	
<i>recommendation</i> could agree to distribute the reserve incrementally.	
<i>ideas beyond specific</i> • I think it's important that whatever we decide can point back to th	e
funding ideas? community engagement summary.	
<ul> <li>cross collaboration and learning across city's CAB (housing,</li> </ul>	
transportation, food, social-determinants related)	

Jamboard screenshots:



