

## Appendix A: Scoping Summary Report

---



# Plan to Protect Seattle's Waterways Scoping Summary Report

## Report Purpose

Seattle Public Utilities is preparing an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) to identify how the Plan to Protect Seattle's Waterways (Plan) could impact people and the environment. Per the City of Seattle's SEPA Policy and Procedures (SMC 25.05), Seattle Public Utilities issued a Determination of Significance (DS) and scoping notice on May 20, 2013 to initiate scoping, the first step in the environmental review process.

Scoping was conducted from May 20 - June 20, 2013, and this report summarizes the process. The first section of the report explains the need for the Plan, provides an overview of the environmental review process, and introduces the Plan alternatives. The second section describes the opportunities Seattle Public Utilities provided the public, agencies, and tribes to learn about and comment on the Plan alternatives. The third section summarizes the comments Seattle Public Utilities received during scoping and describes how Seattle Public Utilities will address these comments.

# Plan Overview

About two-thirds of Seattle is served by a combined sewer system, which was designed to carry sewage from inside homes and stormwater runoff from streets, rooftops, and parking lots in a single pipe—a “combined sewer.” During dry weather, all raw sewage flows to the treatment plant. When it rains, the pipes can become overloaded with polluted stormwater. This mixture of stormwater (about 90%) and raw sewage may overflow into lakes, creeks, and Puget Sound.

The Plan to Protect Seattle’s Waterways will outline Seattle Public Utilities’ strategy to control sewage overflows and meet state and federal regulations.

Specifically, the Plan will:

- Identify areas of Seattle where projects are needed to reduce sewage overflows.
- Evaluate alternatives for reducing sewage overflows in these areas.
- Identify areas where additional projects to control and treat polluted stormwater runoff will improve water quality.
- Recommend a schedule for designing and constructing projects.
- Estimate program costs and associated impacts on Seattle Public Utilities' customer bills.
- Consider input from the public, stakeholders, agencies, and tribes.

## Why does Seattle Need the Plan?

Thirty-five of the 87 outfalls Seattle Public Utilities manages are uncontrolled, meaning that sewage overflows occur more than once per year on average. Seattle’s combined sewers overflowed into local waterways 355 times in 2012 releasing 154 million gallons of untreated raw sewage and stormwater into local waterways.

The mixture of stormwater and sewage can affect fish, wildlife, and water quality in the areas where overflows occur. Consequently, the federal Clean Water Act and state regulations require that we take action and reduce overflows to an average of no more than one overflow per outfall per year. The Plan to Protect Seattle’s Waterways will outline Seattle Public Utilities’ strategy for complying with these requirements.

## Location of Sewage Overflow Outfalls Managed by Seattle Public Utilities



*35 of the 87 outfalls Seattle Public Utilities Manages are uncontrolled, meaning that overflows occur more than once per year on average.*

## Environmental Review Process

The Plan to Protect Seattle's Waterways will be a planning level document that identifies the general location, type, size, and number of projects Seattle Public Utilities proposes to build throughout the city to reduce sewage overflows. Seattle Public Utilities is preparing an EIS under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) to analyze the environmental impacts of the Plan.

Because the Plan describes an entire program, rather than individual projects, Seattle Public Utilities is preparing a programmatic EIS to analyze the potential effects of the Plan on people and the environment. The EIS will examine how combinations of projects may collectively impact the environment. It differs from a project-specific EIS, which focuses on the site-specific impacts of individual projects. The programmatic EIS will not include site-specific evaluations or select project sites. It will describe the alternatives' impacts comparatively, within potentially affected neighborhoods and within the entire City service area.

Scoping is the first step in the environmental review process. The purpose of scoping is to determine the range, or "scope" of issues to study in the environmental review document, as required by SEPA.

Seattle Public Utilities will conduct a project-specific environmental review process for each project during Plan implementation.

## Plan Alternatives

Seattle Public Utilities is evaluating two alternatives in the EIS. The Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative (also referred to as the Long-Term Control Plan or LTCP Alternative) focuses solely on the combined sewer system and reducing sewage overflows to an average of no more than one overflow per outfall per year. Seattle Public Utilities is evaluating four options within the Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: the Neighborhood Storage Option, Shared Storage Option, West Ship Canal Tunnel Option, and Ship Canal Tunnel Option. The Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative (also referred to as the Integrated Plan Alternative) uses an integrated approach to reduce both sewage overflows and polluted stormwater runoff. Both alternatives would allow Seattle Public Utilities to meet its obligation to prepare a Long-Term Control Plan, a required element of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's Combined Sewer Overflow Control Policy. Consistent with SEPA, Seattle Public Utilities is also evaluating a "no action" alternative.

A complete description of the Plan alternatives is available in the *Protecting Seattle's Waterways: Community Guide to the Plan (Issue 2 Spring 2013)*, available [online](#) and in Appendix A.

# Early Stakeholder Outreach

Seattle Public Utilities conducted outreach prior to the start of the public comment period to introduce the Plan to community organizations, businesses, and agencies and encourage participation in the scoping process. Seattle Public Utilities conducted briefings with 13 community organizations and environmental groups. A list of organizations that received a briefing is provided in Appendix B.

Seattle Public Utilities prepared a *Community Guide to the Plan to Protect Seattle's Waterways* and a six-minute video to provide details about the Plan purpose and alternatives, environmental review process, and ways to provide comment. The video and Community Guide are available at [www.seattle.gov/CSO](http://www.seattle.gov/CSO).

# Agency and Tribal Coordination and Outreach

Seattle Public Utilities is working cooperatively with the following agencies in developing the Plan and EIS:

- King County
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- U.S. Department of Justice
- Washington Department of Ecology

Seattle Public Utilities is committed to government-to-government consultation with Native American tribes on projects that may affect tribal rights and resources. Federally-recognized tribal governments invited to participate in the development of the EIS include:

- Muckleshoot Indian Tribe
- Snoqualmie Tribe
- Suquamish Tribe

Seattle Public Utilities is coordinating with the non-federally recognized Duwamish tribe as an interested party. Seattle Public Utilities will continue to engage with affected tribes throughout the development of the Plan.

# Public Scoping Meeting Overview


Seattle Public Utilities hosted a public scoping meeting on June 3, 2013 to provide an opportunity for the public to learn about the Plan and environmental review process and to invite comment on the scope of the EIS relating to the plan alternatives and issues to be evaluated. Five people attended the meeting which was held from 4:30 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. in Seattle City Hall's Bertha Knight Landes Room at 600 4th Avenue, Seattle.

## Meeting Format

Participants signed in as they arrived and Seattle Public Utilities staff informed them of the meeting format. Each participant received a copy of the *Community Guide to the Plan to Protect Seattle's Waterways* and a comment form.

The meeting was conducted as an open house where participants could review information and displays and discuss the material with Seattle Public Utilities staff and members of the consultant team. Display boards contained information describing a sewer overflow, how Seattle Public Utilities is addressing sewage overflows throughout Seattle, the purpose of the Plan, information about the environmental review process and the plan alternatives, and a project timeline defining the decision process and upcoming public involvement opportunities.

Participants were encouraged to provide comments via comment forms. Following the public scoping meeting and at any time during the scoping comment period from May 20 – June 20, 2013, the public also could provide written comments by mail or email. Seattle Public Utilities also accepted public comment via an online survey, which was available at [www.seattle.gov/CSO](http://www.seattle.gov/CSO). Display boards are available online at [www.seattle.gov/CSO](http://www.seattle.gov/CSO).



*Community Guide to the Plan*



## Public Notification


Seattle Public Utilities advertised the public scoping meeting through a variety of methods including:

- The SEPA Determination of Significance (DS) and Scoping Notice was published in the *Daily Journal of Commerce* on May 20, 2013. The notice included statements in Chinese, Spanish, Tagalog, and Vietnamese with a phone number for non-English speaking community members to call for interpretation services and to obtain information about the scoping meeting.
- The SEPA DS and Scoping Notice was sent to the Washington Department of Ecology SEPA Public Information Center on May 20, 2013 and entered in the SEPA Register.
- The SEPA DS and Scoping Notice was included in the May 20, 2013 Seattle Department of Planning and Development (DPD) Land Use Information Bulletin.
- The SEPA DS and Scoping Notice was mailed to agencies with jurisdiction and expertise, tribes, and the public.

## Display Ad Placements

Publications	Circulation	Placement Date
Ballard News Tribune	6,000	5/24/13 5/31/13
City Living	24,000	5/17/13
Queen Anne News & Magnolia News	12,000	5/22/13 5/29/13
West Seattle Herald	8,000	5/24/13 5/31/13

Website	Estimated Impressions	Placement Date
CityLivingSeattle.com	3,000	5/20/13 – 6/20/13
EastlakeAve.com	5,000	
FremontUniverse.com	5,000	
MadisonParkTimes.com	3,000	
MagnoliaVoice.com	25,000	
MyBallard.com	250,000	
MyWallingford.com	3,000	
Wallyhood.org	11,000	
WestSeattleBlog.com	600,000	
WestSeattleHerald.com	55,000	



Blog Ad

- The SEPA DS and Scoping Notice and Community Guide to the Plan were e-mailed to organizations that received early outreach briefings.
- Meeting advertisements were placed in five print publications and nine community blogs and online newspapers editions. The print advertisements included statements in Chinese, Korean, Somali, Spanish, Tagalog, and Vietnamese with a phone number for non-English speaking community members to call for interpretation services and information about the scoping meetings.
- The SEPA DS and Scoping Notice was linked to Seattle Public Utilities' Protecting Seattle's Waterways and Long-Term Control Plan websites starting May 20, 2013.
- An article about the Plan was placed in the Seattle Public Utilities' summer 2013 *Curb Waste* newsletter, which is mailed to approximately 330,000 Seattle residents.
- A meeting announcement was posted to the City's online public outreach and engagement calendar.

Samples of meeting notifications are provided in Appendix C.

## Comment Summary

### Comment Sources

Seattle Public Utilities received comments from the following sources:

- 26 completed online surveys
- 4 comment letters and emails

The following agencies, tribes, organizations, and individuals provided written comments by e-mail and letter:

- Ballard Stormwater Consortium
- Muckleshoot Indian Tribe
- Pacific Fishermen Shipyard and Electric Facilities Manager
- Seattle Parks and Recreation

Copies of the comment emails and letters are provided in Appendix D.

## Comment Highlights

Following are highlights of comments submitted during the scoping comment period.

### Environmental Elements

The online survey asked respondents to list the three environmental, community, or neighborhood issues that are most important to them. Water quality, protecting existing parks and open spaces or creating new parks and open spaces, and social justice, equity, and fairness were the most commonly cited issues. Responses included:

Issue	# of Responses
Water quality	15
Protecting existing parks and open spaces/ creating new parks and open spaces	9
Social justice, equity, and fairness	7
Pedestrian and bicycle safety	6
Transportation and traffic impacts	6
Long-term sustainability/reducing waste	4
Public safety	4
Air quality	3
Parking impacts	3
Schools	2
Local food production/access to fresh food	2
Economic development	1
Operational impacts	1
Climate change	1
Community cohesion	1
Improved quality of life	1
Construction impacts	1

Following is a summary of additional comments submitted via the online survey and in comment letters that relate to the environmental elements Seattle Public Utilities will address in the EIS. Comments are organized by environmental element.

### Recreation – Park Use and Access

Seattle Parks and Recreation submitted a comment letter outlining specific concerns relating to the impacts of the Plan to Protect Seattle’s Waterways on parkland. Comments included:

- *“Parks understands SPU’s challenges in finding appropriate site(s) for large utility infrastructure projects which benefit the long term health of the City. That said, parks and public open space should be considered as a site for a CSO facility after **all** other alternatives have been exhausted.”*
- *“Shoreline parks are not ‘free’ land within which to site utilities. Shoreline parks are a precious commodity; a finite resource. Free and open access to the shores of Lake Washington and Puget Sound is a necessary component of sustainable urban living in*

*Seattle. The ability of a shoreline Park to provide a variety of active and passive recreational opportunities is paramount. Siting a utility in a park limits the park's adaptability for future needs, both of people and the environment."*

- *"Parks' central concern is that the placement of a CSO facility within Parks' property forever constrains the use of this area, regardless of whether or not it is under paved and/or un-programmed areas. Parks will be forever precluded from changing the use of the site to provide a different park amenity and/or recreational opportunity due to the underlying utility facility. Should stormwater regulations become more stringent in the future and SPU need to expand these facilities, even more Park property could be needed."*
- *"Note that Initiative 42 (Ordinance No. 118477) discourages the conversion of park lands within the City of Seattle to non-park usage."*

### **Water Quality**

The Ballard Stormwater Consortium requested that Seattle Public Utilities describe the policies and plans the City of Seattle is implementing to minimize stormwater and drainage problems in Department of Planning and Development decisions and approvals, transit decisions, and neighborhood planning and projects.

### **Geology and Soils**

The Ballard Stormwater Consortium expressed concerns about potential soil and groundwater contamination from roadside rain garden projects, citing a study of a roadside rain garden project in Redmond, Washington. The Consortium requested that Seattle Public Utilities fully evaluate infiltration-based low impact development facilities in the Draft EIS.

The Ballard Stormwater Consortium also requested that Seattle Public Utilities discuss the potential for settling and soil compression and how it would affect various facilities over time. This comment applies to all alternatives.

### **Climate Change**

The Ballard Stormwater Consortium requested that Seattle Public Utilities analyze the effect of climate change on sewage overflow control volumes and the performance of sewage overflow reduction strategies, including:

- Describe the impact of projections of warmer and wetter winters with more frequent, stronger winter storm events.
- Discuss potential changes in vegetation.

- Describe the potential impact of drier, hotter summers on soils and how this climate change affects the performance of natural drainage projects and sewage overflow control volumes.
- Clearly describe how sewage overflow control models account for the projected increase in storm events. Describe the assumptions used in modeling and that are incorporated into the analysis documented in the programmatic EIS.

## **Comments Related to a Specific Sewage Overflow Control Strategy**

### **Storage**

The Ballard Stormwater Consortium commented that storage should be the primary tool for controlling sewage overflows. The Consortium is especially interested in the West Ship Canal and Ship Canal tunnel options, but also supports careful analysis of all storage options to determine which option would provide the lowest cost per gallon of storage. They also encourage coordination between King County and Seattle Public Utilities.

Other comments relating to storage included:

- *“Honestly, I wonder about the effectiveness of additional storage. However, the stormwater reduction methods sound creative and will hopefully help the problem.” – Wallingford resident*
- *“Concern regarding all storage tanks: would these cause odor issues?” – Downtown resident*

### **Natural Drainage Systems**

Comments in support of natural drainage projects included:

- *“Natural drainage gets the most bang for the public buck - reduces runoff before it's a problem, increases beauty, wildlife habitat, and calms traffic. Bring it to everyone, not just the well-off in north Seattle.” – Delridge resident*
- *“Please consider adding non-residential properties to those eligible for rain garden, cistern, etc. rebates.” – Whittier Heights resident*
- *“I'd like to see more natural drainage plans implemented along the Alki shoreline.” Alki/Admiral resident*

Comments expressing concern about natural drainage projects included:

- *Please do not ram this down our throats, requiring us to seek legal representation in order to remain whole. If a mid-block curb bulb removes our street parking, and only our parking, we lose home value.” – Roxhill/Westwood/White Center resident*

The Ballard Stormwater Consortium commented that it does not support large-scale implementation of roadside rain gardens in Ballard, citing geology, the perceived higher cost of installation and maintenance compared to storage, and concerns about soil toxicity and groundwater contamination. The Consortium expressed concern that Seattle Public Utilities is relying too much on natural drainage strategies.

The Consortium requested that Seattle Public Utilities discuss the following issues in the Plan and Draft EIS:

- Provide a full analysis of how soil and groundwater conditions affect performance of natural drainage projects prior to the installation of new projects.
- Describe how Seattle Public Utilities will consider community preferences when implementing natural drainage projects.
- Describe how natural drainage projects will be designed to ensure drainage within 24-hours of a storm event.
- Discuss the potential impacts of standing water on children’s safety and public health and how Seattle Public Utilities will respond in the event standing water becomes an issue in a project.
- Describe tests Seattle Public Utilities has conducted to determine how porous pavement compacts underlying native soils.
- Describe commitments to maintain porous pavement per manufacturer’s specifications.

### **Comments Related to a Specific Alternative**

The online survey asked respondents to comment on the individual alternatives and options and to describe changes Seattle Public Utilities should consider making to the alternatives.

#### **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: Neighborhood Storage Option**

Comments relating to the Neighborhood Storage Option focused primarily on questions about where tanks would be built, impacts during construction, and opportunities for neighborhood improvements associated with the projects. Others called for more natural drainage projects to be included in the Neighborhood Storage Option.

#### ***Neighborhood Impacts, Cost***

Several comments expressed concerns about the cost and neighborhood impacts associated with building ten individual storage tanks, both during and after construction:

- *“Disruption due to noise and construction, damaging wildlife habitat, interfering with marine traffic.” – Ballard resident*

- *“Costs, disruption of neighborhoods due to large construction projects.” – West Seattle Junction/Genesee Hill resident*
- *“Location of the storage tanks, access for cleaning and maintenance.” – Fautleroy/Seaview resident*
- *“How expensive is it? How disruptive to the neighborhood? Is it unsightly?”—Capitol Hill resident*
- *“Lots of construction, most of the money gets buried instead of being used for multifunctional green infrastructure.” – Delridge resident*
- *“Building storage infrastructure seems expensive and invasive.” – Fautleroy/Seaview resident*
- *“Location of storage tanks. Installation could be very disruptive. Appearance of tanks at end of project.” – Beacon Hill resident*
- *“Construction of large storage tanks could disrupt the neighborhood.” – Greenwood/Phinney Ridge resident*

### **Support for Natural Drainage Projects**

Several respondents asked Seattle Public Utilities to include more natural drainage projects in the Neighborhood Storage Option:

- *“Too many disruptive tanks in our neighborhoods and parks. Not nearly enough natural drainage projects being applied. Even if a tank is still needed, natural option components could improve operation and reduce variability.” – Pioneer Square/International District resident*
- *“Only one neighborhood is slated for natural drainage. Amend the plan to include natural drainage for all neighborhoods, especially those with salmon-bearing creeks.” – Delridge resident*
- *“Could we have more natural drainage projects – would these reduce the need for storage? Why so few natural drainage projects? Why not more projects around multifamily housing instead of the current push to have everything concrete.” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“More green, less gray infrastructure.” –Delridge resident*
- *“Adding natural drainage considerations to more of the projects, particularly the smaller capacity need ones - adjacent to natural areas, Delridge, Duwamish, Leschi, Montlake - where there might be possibilities for large gains.”—Pioneer Square/International District resident*

One comment expressed a preference for storage over natural drainage projects:

- *“Prefer this option to GSI ditches.” – Roxhill/Westwood/White Center*

### **Neighborhood Improvements**

Respondents would like Seattle Public Utilities to look for opportunities to include neighborhood improvements in underground storage projects:

- *“Are there opportunities to make other improvements associated with the storage, like street or park improvements on top? Can the natural drainage improvements also make our public spaces (streets and parks) more inviting for walking, biking and meeting neighbors?” – Sunset Hills/Loyal Heights resident*
- *“Is SPU working with Seattle Parks to create rain gardens/cisterns at the community centers in that area?” – Ballard resident*
- *“More trees. Especially in areas where we don't have enough Parks. I like rain gardens, and I think we need to consider all options, but it seems like trees, parks and wetlands are our best natural resources for the job.” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“Make them attractive sites, pocket parks.” – Roxhill/Westwood/White Center resident*
- *“If storage tanks are selected, please make the site a neighborhood amenity – parks, playground, plaza, etc.” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*

### **Agency Coordination**

Respondents encouraged coordination between Seattle Public Utilities and King County:

- *“I would rather see SPU and the County work together. Having each entity create their own solutions is likely to cause confusion, conflict, and high costs.” – Ballard resident*
- *“Options seem limited. Are the city and county coordinating on their respective storage plans?” – Ballard resident*

### **Adaptability to Projected Population Growth**

A few comments raised questions about how Seattle Public Utilities is factoring in projected population growth as it determines storage volume needs.

- *“Will the proposed storage tanks be able to scale up in storage capacity along with inevitable population growth?” – Downtown Seattle resident*
- *“Does the storage put us into a corner in the future – no room to expand as Seattle/region grows?” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*
- *“Is that enough? It seems like there are lots of neighborhoods that don't have storage – like south and west Seattle.” – Capitol Hill resident*



## **Equity**

Respondents also raised concerns about social equity:

- *“Poorer neighborhoods get facilities. More affluent get underground storage. Consider social justice issues and historical injustices and disproportional impacts.” – Georgetown resident*
- *“No dumping in these critical areas of recreation. Service equity in heavily used south end.” – Alki/Admiral resident*

## **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: Shared Storage Option**

Several comments were positive about the potential cost savings and efficiency of collaboration between King County and Seattle Public Utilities which is a feature of the Shared Storage Option. Other key themes were similar to the Neighborhood Storage Option, including a desire from some respondents for more natural drainage projects and concerns about cost and equity considerations. In addition, one commenter expressed concerns about impacts on parking. One person commented against this option.

## **King County/Seattle Public Utilities Coordination**

Comments in favor of King County/Seattle Public Utilities collaboration included:

- *“I like the idea of coordinating with King County.” – Sunset Hill/Loyal Heights resident*
- *“I’m glad Seattle and King County are partnering – it should be a joint venture.” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*
- *“I like having SPU and the County work together.” – Ballard resident*
- *“This seems, at first glance, more efficient.” – Beacon Hill resident*

## **Equity**

A few comments expressed concerns relating to cost and equity considerations of the proposed King County treatment plant in SODO.

- *“I’m concerned that Georgetown will get the CSO treatment plant, and add another apparent ‘blight’ to the already-impacted neighborhood. Why can’t the treatment plant be a large pipe to convey to the already-existing treatment facilities we have?” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*
- *“Duwamish area seems unfairly impacted.” – Georgetown resident*

### **Support for Natural Drainage Projects**

As with the Neighborhood Storage Option, several comments called on Seattle Public Utilities to incorporate more natural drainage projects into this option.

- *“Still mostly burying the problem (and our investment) in single purpose solutions.” – Delridge resident*
- *“Add more natural drainage projects.” Capitol Hill resident*
- *“Similarly, it seems that there are a lot of CSO outfalls in west and south Seattle, and not many storage facilities for those areas. It would also be good to see King County contribute to natural drainage solutions.” – Capitol Hill resident*

### **Neighborhood Impacts, Cost**

Comments relating to cost and neighborhood impacts included:

- *“Are the bigger ones [tanks] more expensive?” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“Requires building new treatment plant. Sounds costly. Why not just reduce the need for more treatment by reducing runoff to begin with?” – Delridge resident*
- *“SODO seems kind of congested now.” – Fauntleroy/Seaview resident*
- *“More green infrastructure instead of gray.” – Delridge resident*

### **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: West Ship Canal Tunnel Option**

Most of the comments about the West Ship Canal Tunnel Option related to cost, potential impacts on fish habitat, and neighborhood impacts during construction. As with the Neighborhood Storage and Shared Storage options, commenters questioned why Ballard is the only neighborhood slated for natural drainage projects. One person commented against this option.

#### **Cost**

Several respondents perceived tunnel construction as a more expensive and potentially risky strategy than underground storage tanks:

- *“I worry about the cost of this project and the disruptions of construction and the possible loss of usable land or habitat. This appears likely to be the most expensive option.” – Ballard resident*
- *“How do the costs of these projects compare? That is a big factor.” – Wallingford resident*
- *“Tunnels are very expensive, and might cause sinkholes.” – Fauntleroy/Seaview resident*
- *“Cost of drilling a tunnel...Brightwater anyone?” – Beacon Hill resident*

- *“Requires building new treatment plant and a REALLY big tunnel in a dense neighborhood. Sounds even more costly. Why not just reduce the need for more treatment by reducing runoff to begin with?” – Delridge resident*
- *“The pipe seems expensive, but does have a lot of capacity and could be constructed in conjunction with shoreline/park improvements along the Ship Canal, so that would be an added benefit.” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*

### **Natural Resources and Neighborhood Impacts**

Respondents are interested in how tunnel construction compares with storage tank construction in terms of neighborhood impacts, both during and after construction. Respondents also requested additional information about the impact of tunnel construction and operation on fish and aquatic habitat.

- *“What are the impacts to salmon habitat, both for juveniles and adults?” – Sunset Hill/Loyal Heights resident*
- *“Tunnel concentrates impact in one area – does this mean that management of impacts could be more comprehensive? Would this lead to less impacts overall?” – Pioneer Square/International District resident*
- *“Will this be ugly?” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“What if it was on the other side of the canal?” – Fautleroy/Seaview resident*

### **Support for Natural Drainage Projects**

Similar to the Neighborhood Storage and Shared Storage options, some respondents would like Seattle Public Utilities to incorporate more natural drainage projects into the West Ship Canal Tunnel option.

- *“Ack, an even bigger waste of resources on a gray infrastructure mega project. Are there going to be any green options in this bunch?” – Delridge resident*
- *“Requires building new treatment plant and a big tunnel in a dense neighborhood. Sounds even more costly. Why not just reduce the need for more treatment by reducing runoff to begin with?” – Delridge resident*
- *“Green infrastructure, not last century solutions. No more gray infrastructure mega projects. Green please.” – Delridge resident*
- *“Why only one Natural Drainage Solution?” – Downtown resident*

### **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: Ship Canal Tunnel Option**

Comments about the Ship Canal Tunnel Option were similar to the West Ship Canal Tunnel option and discussed cost, neighborhood impacts, and interest in more natural drainage projects. Two commenters indicated a preference for this option over the West Ship Canal Tunnel Option. One person commented against this option.

#### **Cost**

Several comments expressed concern about the potential costs associated with tunnel construction:

- *“I worry about the cost of this project and the disruptions of construction and the possible loss of usable land or habitat. This appears likely to be the most expensive option.” – Ballard resident*
- *“Is this too expensive?” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“Looks very expensive.” – Fauntleroy/Seaview resident*
- *“Cost again. Of the two tunnel options so far, this one seems more logical to me.” – Beacon Hill resident*

#### **Neighborhood Impacts and Benefits**

Respondents expressed concerns about potential for leaks and asked questions about how flows would be transferred to the tunnel:

- *“This option seems like it would benefit more neighborhoods with the single tunnel than the other tunnel option.” – Downtown resident*
- *“What safeguards exist to ensure no leaks from a very large storage tunnel?” – Wallingford resident*
- *“If the Ship Canal Tunnel does the whole job how are you going to get water from Ballard to the tunnel or is the one new siphon currently under construction sufficient to get the water to the other side or would another have to be built.” – Larry Ward, Pacific Fisherman Shipyard and Electric*
- *“Is the King County system robust enough to handle these flow transfers?” – Alki/Admiral resident*

## **Equity**

One comment raised concerns about the fairness of the strategies Seattle Public Utilities is proposing for different neighborhoods:

- *“Again, I think it's inequitable that the north end neighborhoods would get a pipe, and mostly will have zero impact in their neighborhoods on their overflows/stormwater runoff, while the Duwamish neighborhoods will have a CSO treatment plant built near where people live and work. What would it take to build more capacity at West Point and add pipes and storage along the way so that all neighborhoods could use this as a resource, rather than putting more impacts on Georgetown?” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*

## **Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative**

Several respondents supported the Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative because of its focus on reducing stormwater runoff and improving water quality.

### **Comments in Support of the Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative**

Comments in support of the Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative included:

- *“Addressing stormwater runoff is critical.” – Sunset Hills/Loyal Heights resident*
- *“I like this option, as it uses a variety of strategies to reduce stormwater runoff. I worry that it won't reduce runoff sufficiently and that it may be hard to get residents and business to buy in to the changes. It may also be very expensive as the solutions are very distributed, lowering the possibility of cost savings from a smaller number of constructions sites. It is appealing, in that it reduces stormwater flow to the sewage treatment plants.” – Ballard resident*
- *“Strong support for efforts that will help clean up the Thornton Creek Watershed area.” – Lake City/Olympic Hills/Victory Heights resident*
- *“This integration of efforts should have been the case long ago.” – Wallingford resident*
- *“This option, if it can meet the requirements, seems like the lowest impact. Lowest cost. Higher personal responsibility (to homeowners) – would require some serious public outreach. Also, include all Beacon Hill that's West of Beacon Avenue in Duwamish (definitely our watershed).” – Beacon Hill resident*
- *“Well, this is a start. I like the sound of reducing stormwater pollution at the source, but I'd like to see more explicit commitment to green infrastructure, not just doing a little and then falling back on traditional measures.” – Delridge resident*

- *“Much less concerns here. Integrated approach appears more productive and likely to reduce impacts. Also appears that there may be more options for natural integration.” Pioneer Square/International District resident*
- *“I think it is great that stormwater is being included in this integrated plan, and that the plans would be localized to neighborhoods.” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*
- *“This seems like by far the best option, fixing the larger problem all at once. Maximizes natural drainage solutions and recognizes public health.” – Delridge resident*

### **Requests for Additional Information**

While many people commented in support of the Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction alternative, respondents also requested more detailed information about the specific projects that would be included in the alternative.

- *“What happens with areas not highlighted?” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“What is the integrated approach? The above alternative option seems a little vague. Simply outlining a few types of projects that would fit into this integrated approach/alternative options. More greenspace? More trees? More drains? How would you lessen pollution?” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“More commitment. Don't let this be a greenwash solution where we see photo ops at rain gardens, but still most of the money goes to the same old same old.” – Delridge resident*
- *“Would this approach be considering the massive forests in these neighborhoods in the outflow and runoff reductions. Seems that at this scale the forests have a large opportunity to provide major benefits.” – Pioneer Square/International District resident*

### **Need for Public Education**

Respondents also identified a need for public education to encourage involvement of private property owners.

- *“I hope the neighborhoods could be mobilized to join in with these projects.” – Capitol Hill resident*
- *“Educate the public about ways to reduce pollution. Verify that your data accurately measures pollution sources in the south end and give the south end service equity.” – Alki/Admiral resident*
- *“Develop a jobs program out of this initiative to train people (veterans, young adults, etc.) to build and care for rain gardens and other green infrastructure.” – Broadview/Bitter Lake resident*

- *“How can we direct home and business owners to avoid impermeable surfaces, and running gutters down the sewer drains? Do we need a legal statute?” – Beacon Hill resident*

### **Neighborhood Impacts**

The following comments related to neighborhood impacts:

- *“Please preserve neighborhood character and parking as well as access for all ages and abilities. Raingarden ditches need to be beautiful, not eyesores and should not negatively impact street parking.” – Roxhill/Westwood/White Center resident*
- *“Adding more water to the hills could increase landslides. Increasing groundwater makes the sand/clay areas more slippery.” – Fauntleroy/Seaview resident*
- *“Be sure property owners are fully compensated for costs of GSI [green stormwater infrastructure].” – Fauntleroy/Seaview resident*

### **Comments Common to All Alternatives**

The following comments related to all alternatives:

- *“Discuss the potential impacts of an earthquake on the alternative strategies. Does the ability to withstand an earthquake differ significantly by alternative? Does one type of strategy appear more robust than another?” – Ballard Stormwater Consortium*
- *“Describe the effect of these CSO control projects on the West Point sewage treatment plant. How much volume is West Point projected to handle? Does it have the capacity to do so? Will it need to be expanded?” – Ballard Stormwater Consortium*
- *“I hope this alternative (and all alternatives) work with DPD to implement mandatory stormwater reduction in all new construction.” – Ballard resident*

### **Construction Impacts**

As noted in the previous sections, several comments addressed concerns about construction-period impacts. One commenter was specifically concerned about construction-period impacts of all alternatives in the Ballard industrial area. The commenter was especially concerned about impacts to Shilshole Avenue which is the primary truck route in the area. The commenter also expressed concern about the combined effects of the Plan and other large projects on freight mobility, such as the Mercer Corridor and Alaskan Way Viaduct Replacement projects. The commenter requested more detail on the type of construction activities that would be associated with the Plan.

## Cost and Funding for Operation and Maintenance

A few comments raised questions about the cost of the Plan and how it will be financed. One commenter suggested that Plan implementation should be financed through bonds rather than utility rate increases. Other comments raised concerns about impacts of utility rate increases on low-income residents, noting that rate increases would have a disproportionate impact on low-income rate payers.

- *“Regardless of the alternatives you choose, you should use a bond measure to fund it. This is a capital project creating a long-term capital investment. There is no excuse on the City’s part to increase the Utility rate to pay for this. This should be a property tax funded over 30 years. Increasing utility rates would hit poor folks disproportionately.” – Larry Ward, Pacific Fisherman Shipyard and Electric*
- *“Describe how these projects will be funded and the assumptions that have been used in modeling expenses over time.” – Ballard Stormwater Consortium*

Comments also raised questions about how Seattle Public Utilities will finance long-term operations and maintenance. One commenter suggested that bond financing would provide a dedicated funding source for maintenance, and that it would be more a stable source of funding than utility rates. Another commenter specifically requested that the Draft EIS and Plan include a discussion about how Seattle Public Utilities will plan for and fund on-going maintenance of natural drainage projects.

## Decision Process and Community Involvement

The following comments address the decision-process for the development of the Plan and how public comment will be considered:

- *“We would like to know what those are [SPU’s preferred alternative] so that we can make reasonable comments on the EIS at least when it is time for the public comment on EIS. That means know what alternatives were considered and the pros and cons of each. What are the physical limitations you have to design around and any alternatives to alter those limitations that were considered.” – Larry Ward, Pacific Fisherman Shipyard and Electric*
- *“Describe SPU’s guiding principles and the criteria that will be used in deciding among the alternatives.” – Ballard Stormwater Consortium*
- *“Describe methods for incorporating public input in the selection of the alternative for our neighborhoods.” – Ballard Stormwater Consortium*



- *“What is the sense of timing for when these projects would be designed and built? In what order would they be phased? Does SPU have enough money to do all these projects?” – Downtown resident*

## **Other**

Additional comments included:

- *“Discuss demographic projections and the impact of growing population and housing density on sewage loadings, the CSO problem and stormwater management. Discuss how projected increases in population and population density will affect sewage and stormwater flows. Clearly describe whether and how these projections have been incorporated into the CSO models that generate the CSO control volumes.” – Ballard Stormwater Consortium*
- *“Discuss factors that may result in an increase in impervious surfaces including transit, high density housing and other factors. Describe how the increase in impervious pavement will affect stormwater flows. Clearly describe whether and how these projections have been incorporated into the CSO models that generate the CSO control volumes.” – Ballard Stormwater Consortium*

## **Summary of Tribal Input**

The Muckleshoot Indian Tribe Fisheries Division noted that many sewage overflow outfalls are located in areas where Muckleshoot Tribal members fish or otherwise affect the Tribe’s treaty-protected fisheries resources. They expressed interest in working closely with Seattle Public Utilities and King County. The Tribe is especially interested in working with Seattle Public Utilities as it develops the pollutant load analysis for the Plan and individual projects to understand impacts on salmon and human health and issues related to fish consumption.

In addition, the Tribe requested that Seattle Public Utilities discuss the following issues in the Plan and Draft EIS:

- Explain why the No Action Alternative is out of compliance with the Clean Water Act and state regulations.
- Discuss previous sewage overflow control efforts and why they did not meet Clean Water Act and state regulations for sewage overflow control.
- Explain the two action alternatives in sufficient detail to distinguish one another and facilitate environmental review. Provide more information about how storage needs will be met.

- Identify stormwater pollution reduction projects so reviewers understand where Seattle Public Utilities proposes to build projects.
- Explain why Pipers Creek is being considered as part of the long term plan if there is only one controlled outfall in this area.
- Identify the projects that Seattle Public Utilities proposes to delay past 2025 and the potential environmental impacts to water quality, sediment quality, fisheries resources, and Tribal fishing activities caused by the delay.
- Consider impacts to all salmon species in the study area, not just threatened or endangered species, as these resources are protected under the Tribe's treaties.
- For each alternative, discuss opportunities to remove sewage overflow outfalls and restore habitat.

## Next Steps

The scoping comments will be used as appropriate to shape the environmental analyses included in the Draft EIS and the development of the Draft Plan. Following is a summary of the anticipated approach to incorporating the scoping comments received, organized according to the topics outlined above. Comments relating to environmental issues will be considered as part of the EIS process; comments relating to specific components of the Plan, costs, and other engineering factors will be considered in those respective processes.

## Environmental Elements

### Recreation – Park Use and Access

The EIS will include a discussion of impacts to Seattle's parks and recreational facilities in the Recreation sections of Chapter 5, Construction Impacts and Mitigation, and Chapter 6, Operation Impacts and Mitigation. The EIS will outline the process to be used for site selection of projects in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives.

### Water Quality

Impacts to surface water quality during construction and operation will be considered in the Surface Water sections of Chapter 5, Construction Impacts, and Chapter 6, Operational Impacts. Impacts to groundwater quality and quantity will be discussed in the Earth and Groundwater sections of Chapters 5 and 6. Short term and long term effects to surface water resources will be described in the Surface Water section of Chapters 5 and 6. Criteria used to site facilities, including the considerations for installation of natural drainage systems, will be described in the Draft Plan and will be summarized in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives. Relevant City plans and policies relating to stormwater and sewage overflows will be described in Chapter 1, Introduction and Background.

## **Geology and Soils**

Soils and geological conditions relating to the siting of facilities, including natural drainage systems, will be discussed in the Earth and Groundwater section of Chapter 4, Plan Area Environment. Potential impacts to geology and soils during construction and operation will be discussed in the Earth and Groundwater sections of Chapters 5 and 6.

## **Climate Change**

Factors affecting climate change in the Pacific Northwest will be discussed in Chapter 4, Plan Area Environment under Energy and Climate Change. The Draft Plan will describe how these factors were incorporated into the development of the alternatives, and the EIS will include a summary of this information in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives. A discussion of how the alternatives will affect adaptation to climate changes will be included in Chapter 6, Operation Impacts and Mitigation.

## **Comments Related to a Specific Sewage Overflow Control Strategy**

Seattle Public Utilities will consider public, agency, Tribal, and stakeholder preferences during evaluation of the alternatives, but this issue will not be specifically addressed within the EIS.

## **Comments Related to a Specific Alternative**

### **No Action Alternative**

The EIS will clearly describe the No Action Alternative and the SEPA requirements for this alternative. Previous sewage overflow reduction planning efforts will be discussed in Chapter 1, Introduction and Background.

### **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: Neighborhood Storage Option**

The EIS will discuss construction impacts associated with the Neighborhood Storage Option in Chapter 5, Construction Impacts and Mitigation. Impacts discussed will include transportation, noise, air quality, and land use, and other elements required for consideration by SEPA. A discussion of the overall cost of this option will be included in the Plan, but is not an element required by SEPA and as such will not be discussed in the EIS.

Seattle Public Utilities will consider public, agency, Tribal, and stakeholder preferences during evaluation of the alternatives, but this issue will not be included in the EIS.

Discussion of joint cooperative efforts between Seattle Public Utilities and King County will be included in Chapter 1, Introduction and Background, and Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives.

Joint use opportunities, including the potential for co-location of sewage overflow facilities with other types of public amenities, will be discussed in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives and in the respective Mitigation sections of Chapters 5 and 6.

The ability of the storage facilities to adapt to climate change will be included in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives as well as in Chapter 6, Operational Impacts and Mitigation, in the Climate Change section.

Potential impacts to neighborhoods, quality of life and aesthetics, will be discussed in Chapters 5 and 6 in the Land Use and Visual Quality section.

Issues of equity and socioeconomics/environmental justice will be discussed in Chapter 4, Affected Environment, Chapter 5, Construction Impacts and Mitigation, and Chapter 6, Operational Impacts and Mitigation, in the Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice section.

### **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: Shared Storage Option**

Discussion of joint cooperative efforts between Seattle Public Utilities and King County will be included in Chapter 1, Introduction and Background, and Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives.

As noted for the Neighborhood Option, issues relating to equity and socioeconomics/environmental justice will be discussed in Chapter 4, Plan Environment, Chapter 5, Construction Impacts and Mitigation, and Chapter 6, Operational Impacts and Mitigation, in the Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice section.

Seattle Public Utilities will consider public, agency, Tribal, and stakeholder preferences during evaluation of the alternatives, but this issue will not be included in the EIS.

The EIS will discuss construction impacts associated with the Shared Storage Option in Chapter 5, Construction Impacts. Impacts discussed will include transportation, noise, air quality, and land use, among other elements required for consideration by SEPA. A discussion of the overall cost of this option will be included in the Plan, but is not an element required by SEPA and as such will not be discussed in the EIS.

### **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: West Ship Canal Tunnel Option**

As noted above, Seattle Public Utilities will evaluate the cost of each alternative as part of the Plan. Cost information will be included in the Plan, but will not be discussed in the EIS.

Impacts to natural resources, including numerous species of salmon throughout their life cycle, will be discussed in Chapters 5 and 6 of the EIS, in the Biological Resources section.

Potential impacts to neighborhoods, quality of life and aesthetics, will be discussed in Chapters 5 and 6 in the Land Use and Visual Quality section.

Impacts to neighborhoods associated with all of the options will be comparatively assessed in the EIS in Chapters 5 and 6. A cumulative impact discussion will summarize the range of tradeoffs associated with the options and the alternatives.

### **Sewage Overflow Reduction Alternative: Ship Canal Tunnel Option**

As previously described, cost will not be included in the EIS, but will be addressed in the Plan.

Long-term operational considerations, including safety and reliability, will be discussed in Chapter 6, Operational Impacts and Mitigation, in the Environmental Health section.

Equity and environmental justice considerations will be evaluated in the Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice sections of Chapters 5 and 6. The factors contributing to the development of the alternatives and the options within those alternatives, including selection of the neighborhoods and basins for facilities, will be discussed in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives.

### **Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative**

The Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative will include a general description of proposed projects by type and area in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives. Detailed project descriptions will not be available for the EIS, but will be provided when project-level environmental evaluations are conducted. Additional information about tiered EIS evaluations, and a discussion of programmatic EISs under SEPA, will be included in Chapter 1, Introduction and Background.

The public outreach process conducted to date, and efforts planned for the future, will be described in Chapter 1

Impacts to parking will be described in Chapters 5 and 6 in the Transportation section.

The potential for landslides and other earth-related impacts will be described in Chapters 5 and 6 in the Earth and Groundwater section.

### **Comments Common to All Alternatives**

The EIS will include program-level descriptions of the alternatives, at a level appropriate to evaluate comprehensive impacts, including cumulative impacts. Potential for habitat restoration will be discussed in the Mitigation section in Chapters 5 and 6 in the Biological Resources section.

The potential for landslides, seismic issues, and other earth-related impacts will be described in Chapters 5 and 6 in the Earth and Groundwater section.

The EIS will include a comparative summary of construction impacts within the potentially affected neighborhoods, to help identify the neighborhoods most impacted by the various options and alternatives.

The potential for low-income ratepayers to be affected by the Plan will be discussed in Chapter 6, Operational Impacts and Mitigation, in the Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice section. Long term funding and other financial considerations will be described in the Plan.

The decision process for the Plan will be described in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives. Criteria used to evaluate the alternatives will be summarized, and the methods for incorporating public input into the process will be described. Chapter 3 will include a schedule for implementation of the Plan. Additional detail will be available in the Plan.

Factors used in developing the alternatives, including assumptions used for modeling climate change, population projections, and future land use, will be summarized in Chapter 3, Plan Alternatives. Additional detail will be available in the Plan.

## **Tribal Input**

The EIS will discuss impacts to all species of locally present salmon at various life stages in Chapters 5 and 6, in the Biological Resources section. Potential impacts to treaty-protected fisheries resources will be discussed in this section. The EIS will also summarize historic, ongoing and anticipated future coordination with the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe and other Tribal governments as the Plan moves forward.

The EIS will include a more detailed discussion of the No Action Alternative, which will be included in Chapter 3. Chapter 1 will summarize sewage overflow control efforts to date. Chapter 3 will include a summary level discussion of the alternatives, at a level appropriate for programmatic review, including general types of stormwater projects to be included in the Integrated Plan. Additional project level evaluations will be conducted when sites have been selected. More detailed descriptions of stormwater pollution reduction projects will be included in the Plan. Generalized criteria for prioritizing the neighborhoods for inclusion in the Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative will be included in Chapter 3.

Impacts to the receiving water environment and natural resources associated with implementation of the Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative, including impacts associated with deferral of projects, will be discussed in Chapter 5, within the respective elements of the environment.

# Future Evaluations

Seattle Public Utilities will develop, evaluate, and analyze the Plan alternatives from now through early 2014. The Draft EIS, which will provide a detailed analysis of the plan alternatives, potential impacts and mitigation measures to reduce impacts, and the Draft Plan are scheduled to be issued for public review in mid-2014. Seattle Public Utilities will offer a public comment period and a public hearing upon release of the Draft EIS, in accordance with SEPA regulations. The Final EIS will be released in late 2014. The Mayor and Seattle City Council are scheduled to consider the Draft Plan in early 2015. Following Council adoption, Seattle Public Utilities will submit the Plan for approval by the Washington Department of Ecology and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in mid-2015.

The final, approved Plan will include a capital improvement program with a schedule for implementation of all sewage overflow reduction projects to meet Washington Department of Ecology requirements. If the Sewage and Stormwater Pollution Reduction Alternative is selected by the City Council, the implementation schedule also will include stormwater projects. After completion of the Plan and EIS, Seattle Public Utilities will proceed with implementation of the capital improvement program.

Seattle Public Utilities will work with all affected neighborhoods to select specific sites where facilities will be built starting in 2016. As part of each project siting process, Seattle Public Utilities will perform a project-specific environmental review as appropriate. The project level environmental review will identify project-specific impacts and mitigation measures and will help inform decisions regarding site and project-level details.

## Appendix B: Distribution List

---





**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
		✓	Washington State Dept of Ecology	SEPA Unit	P.O. Box 47703		Olympia	WA	98504-7703
	✓		Allyson Brooks, PhD		WA State Dept of Archaeology and Historic Preservation	P.O. Box 48343	Olympia	WA	98504-8343
	✓		Larry Fisher	WDFW Area Habitat Biologist	1775 12th Ave NW	Suite 201	Issaquah	WA	98027
	✓		SEPA Coordinator	Habitat Management Division	WA State Dept of Fish.	P.O. Box 43155	Olympia	WA	98504
	✓			SEPA Center	WA State Dept of Natural Res.	P.O. Box 47015	Olympia	WA	98504-7015
	✓			SEPA Review	WA State Dept of Public Health	P.O. Box 47820	Olympia	WA	98504-7820
	✓		Kelly Cooper	Environmental Health Div.	WA State Dept of Health	P.O. Box 47820	Olympia	WA	98504-7820
	✓		Ramin Pazooki	WSDOT NW Region	15700 Dayton Avenue N		Seattle	WA	98133
	✓			Planning Division	WA State Dept of Transportation	P.O. Box 330310	Seattle	WA	98133-9710
	✓				US Ad Council Historic Preservation	Old Post Office Bldg - 1100 Pennsylvania Ave NW	Washington	DC	20004
	✓			WA Division Area Engineer	Federal Highway Administration	711 Capitol Way, Suite 501	Olympia	WA	98501-0943
	✓			Transportation Program Specialist	Federal Transit Administration	915 2nd Ave. Suite 3142	Seattle	WA	98174-1002
	✓			SEPA Review	National Marine Fisheries Services	510 Desmond Drive SE	Lacey	WA	98503
	✓			Regulatory	US Army Corps of Engineers	P.O. Box C-3755	Seattle	WA	98124-3755
	✓		Alisa Ralph	Seattle District	US Army Corps of Engineers	4735 E. Marginal Way S.	Seattle	WA	98134-2384
	✓		NEPA Review Unit	US Environmental Protection Agency	1200 Sixth Avenue	ETPA 088	Seattle	WA	98101
	✓			Washington Fish & Wildlife Office	US Fish & Wildlife Service	510 Desmond Dr. SE Suite 102	Lacey	WA	98503-1263
	✓		Jim Muck	USFWS & NOAA	US Fish & Wildlife Service	7600 Sandpoint Way	Seattle	WA	98115
✓				Cascade Water Alliance	520 112th Ave NE	Suite 400	Bellevue	WA	98004
✓			Paul Meyer	Manager, Environmental Permitting	Port of Seattle	P.O. Box 1209	Seattle	WA	98111
✓				SEPA Review	Puget Sound Clean Air Agency	1904 Third Ave Suite 105	Seattle	WA	98101-3417
✓			Rhonda Kaetzel	Environmental Health Svcs	Public Health - Seattle KC	401 5th Avenue, 11th Floor	Seattle	WA	98104-1818
✓				Roads & Engineering	KC Dept of Transportation	201 S Jackson St - MS KCS 0313	Seattle	WA	98104
✓			Environmental Planning-OAP	Wastewater Treatment Div.	KC Dept of Natural Resources	201 S Jackson St - MS KCS NR 0505	Seattle	WA	98104
✓				Parks Environmental Review	KC Dept of Natural Resources	201 S. Jackson St	Seattle	WA	98104-3856
✓				Land Use Services Division	KC Department of Permitting and Environmental Review	35030 SE Douglas St. Ste 210	Snoqualmie	WA	98065-9266
✓			Gary Kriedt	Environmental Planning	KC Dept of Transportation	201 S. Jackson St - MS KSC TR 0431	Seattle	WA	98104-3856
✓			Charlie Sundberg	Preservation Planner	KC Historic Preservation	201 S. Jackson St. KSC-NR-0700	Seattle	WA	98104
✓					KC Regional Water Quality Committee	201 S Jackson St	Seattle	WA	98104
	✓				Suquamish Tribe	P.O. Box 498	Suquamish	WA	98392
	✓			SEPA Review	Tulalip Tribes of WA	6406 Marine Drive	Tulalip	WA	98271

**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
	✓				United Indians of All Tribes	P.O. Box 99100	Seattle	WA	98199
	✓		The Honorable Cecile Hansen	Chair	Duwamish Tribe	4705 W. Marginal Way SW	Seattle	WA	98106
	✓		Karen Walter	Fisheries Division Habitat Program	Muckleshoot Tribe	39015 172nd Ave SE	Auburn	WA	98092
	✓		Laura Murphy	Tribe Preservation Program	Muckleshoot Tribe	39015 172nd Ave SE	Auburn	WA	98092
	✓		The Honorable Virginia Cross	Chair, Muckleshoot Tribal Council	Muckleshoot Tribe	39015 172nd Ave SE	Auburn	WA	98092
	✓		The Honorable Mike Evans	Chair, Snohomish Tribe	11014 19th Ave SE	Suite #8 PMB #101	Edmonds	WA	98208
	✓		Steven Mullen-Moses	Director of Archaeology & Historic Preservation	Snoqualmie Tribe	P.O. Box 969	Snoqualmie	WA	98065
	✓			SEPA Review	Snoqualmie Tribe	P.O. Box 969	Snoqualmie	WA	98065
	✓			Chair, Snoqualmie Tribe of Indians	Snoqualmie Tribe	P.O. Box 969	Snoqualmie	WA	98065
	✓		Earngy Sandstrom	Chair	Snoqualmoo Tribe	2613 Pacific St	Bellingham	WA	98226
	✓			SEPA Review	Suquamish Tribe	18490 Suquamish Way	Suquamish	WA	98392
	✓		The Honorable Leonard Forsman	Chair, Suquamish Tribal Council	Suquamish Tribe	P.O. Box 498	Suquamish	WA	98392
	✓		The Honorable Melvin Sheldon	Chair, Tulalip Board of Directors	Tulalip Tribes of WA	6406 Marine Drive	Tulalip	WA	98271
	✓			Governmental Publications	UW Library	P.O. Box 353900	Seattle	WA	98195-2900
✓			Cass Mabbott	Ballard Branch	Seattle Public Library	5614 22nd Ave NW	Seattle	WA	98107-3119
✓			Wei Cai	Beacon Hill Branch	Seattle Public Library	2821 Beacon Ave S	Seattle	WA	98144-5813
✓			Rekha Kuver	Broadview Branch	Seattle Public Library	12755 Greenwood Ave N	Seattle	WA	98133-7901
✓			Dave Valencia	Capitol Hill Branch	Seattle Public Library	425 Harvard East	Seattle	WA	98102-4908
✓			Steve Del Vecchio	Columbia Branch	Seattle Public Library	4721 Rainier Ave S	Seattle	WA	98118-1657
✓			Steve Del Vecchio	Delridge Branch	Seattle Public Library	5423 Delridge Way S	Seattle	WA	98106-1479
✓			Valerie Garrett-Turner	Dougllass-Truth Branch	Seattle Public Library	2300 E Yesler Way	Seattle	WA	98122-6061
✓			Cass Mabbott	Fremont Branch	Seattle Public Library	731 N 35th St	Seattle	WA	98103-8802
✓			Francesca Wainwright	Green Lake Branch	Seattle Public Library	7364 E Green Lake Drive N	Seattle	WA	98115-5352
✓			Francesca Wainwright	Greenwood Branch	Seattle Public Library	8016 Greenwood Av N	Seattle	WA	98103-4229
✓			Sibyl de Haan	High Point Branch	Seattle Public Library	3411 SW Raymond St	Seattle	WA	98126-2953
✓			Wei Cai	International District/Chinatown Branch	Seattle Public Library	713 Eighth Avenue S	Seattle	WA	98104-3060
✓			Andy Bates	Lake City Branch	Seattle Public Library	12501 28th Ave NE	Seattle	WA	98125-4319
✓			Dave Valencia	Madrona-Sally Goodmark Branch	Seattle Public Library	1134 33rd Avenue	Seattle	WA	98122-5120
✓			Lisa Scharnhorst	Magnolia Branch	Seattle Public Library	2801 34th Ave W	Seattle	WA	98199-2602
✓			Valerie Garrett-Turner	Montlake Branch	Seattle Public Library	2401 24th Ave E	Seattle	WA	98112-2642
✓			Daria Cal	New Holly Branch	Seattle Public Library	7058 32nd Ave S	Seattle	WA	98118-6401

**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
✓			Marion Scichilone	Northeast Branch	Seattle Public Library	6801 35th Ave NE	Seattle	WA	98115-7333
✓			Rekha Kuver	Northgate Branch Library	Seattle Public Library	10548 Fifth Avenue NE	Seattle	WA	98125
✓			Lisa Scharnhorst	Queen Anne Branch	Seattle Public Library	400 W. Garfield	Seattle	WA	98119-3038
✓			Daria Cal	Rainier Beach Branch	Seattle Public Library	9125 Rainier Ave S	Seattle	WA	98118-5026
✓			Jane Appling	South Park Branch	Seattle Public Library	8604 Eighth Ave S	Seattle	WA	98108-4713
✓			Jane Appling	Southwest Branch	Seattle Public Library	9010 35th Ave SW	Seattle	WA	98126-3821
✓			Andy Bates	University Branch	Seattle Public Library	5009 Roosevelt Way NE	Seattle	WA	98105-3610
✓			Marion Scichilone	Wallingford Branch	Seattle Public Library	1501 North 45th Street	Seattle	WA	98103-6708
✓			Sibyl de Haan	West Seattle Branch	Seattle Public Library	2306 42nd Ave. S.W.	Seattle	WA	98116-2535
	✓		Steve Cohn		Ballard Dist Council	5604 22nd Ave NW	Seattle	WA	98107
✓			David Folweiler	President	Groundswell Northwest	1725 NW 64th St	Seattle	WA	98107
	✓			Annie Davis	Ballard Chamber of Commerce	2208 NW Market St. Suite 100	Seattle	WA	98107
	✓			Caryle Teel, President	Ballard Rotary	P.O. Box 70472	Seattle	WA	98107
	✓		Jenny Heins	Sustainable Ballard	2442 NW Market St.	PMB 286	Seattle	WA	98107
	✓		Lois Spiegel	President	Sunset Hill Community Association	3003 NW 66th St.	Seattle	WA	98117
✓					Central District Council	2301 S. Jackson St #208	Seattle	WA	98144
✓					Central Neighborhood Council	1170 Harrison St N #201	Seattle	WA	98109
✓			Rob Martin		Columbia City Business Assoc	3827A So Edmunds St.	Seattle	WA	98118
✓			Pablo Lambinico		DNDA, Westwood Neighborhood	8820 31st Ave SW	Seattle	WA	98126
✓			Catherine Stanford		Downtown Dist Council	1904 3rd Ave Suite 828	Seattle	WA	98101
	✓		Paul Storms		East District Council	1834 Parkside Drive E	Seattle	WA	98112
	✓		Suzie Burke		Lk Union Dist Council	3401 Evanston Ave N. Suite A	Seattle	WA	98103
	✓				Leschi Community Council	3450 E. Alder St	Seattle	WA	98122
	✓		Janis Traven		Magnolia/Queen Ann Dist Council	3247 Magnolia Blvd	Seattle	WA	98199
✓			Phil Shack		North Dist Council	12509 42nd Ave NE	Seattle	WA	98125
✓			Irene Wall		North Dist Council	207 N. 60th St	Seattle	WA	98103
✓			Jerry Owens		Northwest Dist Council	8546 Burke Ave N	Seattle	WA	98103
✓			Jill Arnow	Executive Director	Queen Anne Chamber	2212 Queen Anne Ave N.	Seattle	WA	98109
✓			Erica Karlovits		SW Dist Council	4538-C 41st SW	Seattle	WA	98116
✓			Charles Redmond		SW District Council	3903 SW Monroe St	Seattle	WA	98136
✓			Nancy Bolin		View Ridge Community Council	4241 NE 75th St	Seattle	WA	98115
✓			Lynn Ferguson		Windemere N. Community Council	6422 NE 60th Street	Seattle	WA	98115
	✓			Thornton Creek Alliance	P.O. Box 25690		Seattle	WA	98165-1190
	✓		Thornton Creek Watershed	Oversight Council	ATT: Cheryl Klinker	12036 35th Ave NE	Seattle	WA	98125
	✓		Jennifer Ott	Friends of Seattle's Olmsted Parks	P.O. Box 9884		Seattle	WA	98109-0884
	✓		Thatcher Bailey	Seattle Parks Foundation	105 S. Main St. #235		Seattle	WA	98104
	✓		John Barber, Chairman	Friends of Street Ends	3421 E. Superior St.		Seattle	WA	98122-6557

**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
✓			Christa Dumpys	Coordinator, Central	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94645	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Laurie Ames	Coordinator, Central	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94646	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Tim Durkan	Coordinator, Central	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94647	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Stan Lock	Coordinator, Central	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94648	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Jenny Frankl	Coordinator, Delridge	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94649	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Yun Pitre	Coordinator, Delridge	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94646	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Ed Pottharst		Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94647	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Thomas Whittemore	Coordinator, Ballard	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94648	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Karen Ko	Coordinator, Ballard	Department of Neighborhoods	PO Box 94649	Seattle	WA	98124
✓			Bill Pelosa	Councilmember	City of Auburn	25 West Main St.	Auburn	WA	98001
✓			Claudia Balducci	Mayor	City of Bellevue	450 110th Ave NE, PO Box 90012	Bellevue	WA	98009
✓			Paul Bucich	Assistant Director of Engineering	City of Bellevue	450 110th Ave NE, PO Box 90012	Bellevue	WA	98009
✓			Nav Otal	Utilities Director	City of Bellevue	450 110th Ave NE, PO Box 90012	Bellevue	WA	98009
✓			Douglas Jacobsen		Dawson Building	9654 NE 182nd St	Bothell	WA	98011
✓			Erin J. Leonhart	Public Works Director	Dawson Building	9654 NE 182nd St	Bothell	WA	98011
✓			Christopher Hagedorn	Public Works Director	City of Carnation	4621 Tolt Avenue, PO Box 1238	Carnation	WA	98014
✓			Walt Canter	Commissioner	Cedar River Water and Sewer District	18421 SE Petrovitsky Road	Renton	WA	98058
✓			Richard Anderson	Commissioner	Coal Creek Utility District	6801 132nd Place SE	Newcastle	WA	98059
✓			Mark Cassell	Commissioner	Cross Valley Water District	8802 180th St SE	Snohomish	WA	98296
✓			Sheldon Lynne	Deputy Director of Public Works	City Shop	670 1st Avenue NE, PO Box 1307	Issaquah	WA	98027
✓			Greg Reed	Utilities Superintendent	City of Kent	5821 S 240th St	Kent	WA	98032
✓			Bobbi Wallace	Surface and Wastewater Manager	City of Kirkland	123 Fifth Avenue	Kirkland	WA	98033
✓			Neil Jensen	City Engineer	City of Lake Forest Park	17425 Ballinger Way NE	Lake Forest Park	WA	98155
✓			Ron Nowicki	Commissioner	Lakehaven Utility District	31627 1st Avenue S, PO Box 4249	Federal Way	Wa	98063
✓			Patrick Yamashita	City Engineer	City of Mercer Island	9611 SE 36th St	Mercer Island	WA	98040
✓			Vince Koester	Commissioner	Midway Sewer District	PO Box 3487	Kent	WA	98089
✓			Paul Sentena	Commissioner	Northeast Sammamish Sewer and Water District	3600 Sahalee Way NE	Sammamish	WA	98074
✓			Margaret Wiggins	Commissioner	Northshore Utility District	6830 NE 185th St	Kenmore	WA	98028
✓			Lora Petso, Commissioner	Olympic View Water & Sewer	8128 228 <sup>th</sup> Street SW		Edmonds	WA	98026
✓			Scott Thomasson	Utility Engineering Manager	City of Redmond	PO Box 97010	Redmond	WA	98073
✓			Linda De Boldt	Public Works Director	City of Redmond	PO Box 97010	Redmond	WA	98073
✓			Dave Christensen	Utility Engineering Supervisor	City of Renton	1055 S. Grady Way	Renton	WA	98057
✓			Art Wadekamper	Commissioner	Ronald Wastewater District	17505 Linden Ave N	Shoreline	WA	98113
✓			Mary Shustov	Commissioner	Sammamish Plateau Water and Sewer District	1510 228th Avenue SE	Sammamish	WA	98075
✓			Don Henry	Commissioner	Skyway Water and Sewer District	6723 S 124th St.	Seattle	WA	98178

**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
✓			Gary O. Cline	Commissioner	Soos Creek Water and Sewer District	PO Box 58039	Renton	WA	98058
✓			Bill Tracy	Commissioner	Southwest Suburban Sewer District	431 Ambaum Blvd	Burien	WA	98166
✓			Pat Brodin	Operations Manager	City of Tukwila	6200 Southcenter Blvd	Tukwila	WA	98188
✓			Pam Carter	Commissioner	Valley View Sewer District	3460 S 148th, Suite 100	Seattle	WA	98168
✓			Karen Steeb	Commissioner	Woodinville Water District	17238 NE Woodinville-Duvall Road	Woodinville	WA	98072
✓			Ed Cebron	Rates & Finance Subcommittee Chair	Woodinville Water District	17238 NE Woodinville-Duvall Road	Woodinville	WA	98072
✓			Ron Speer	Sewage Disposal Advisory Agreement Sub-Committee Chair	Soos Creek Water and Sewer District	PO Box 58039	Renton	WA	98058
✓			Anindita Mitra		7813 8 <sup>th</sup> Ave. NW		Seattle	WA	98117
✓			Dusty Hoerler		8929 5th Ave NE		Seattle	WA	98115
✓			Bruno Lambert		801 Pine St #24D		Seattle	WA	98101
✓			Julio Moran, Jr.		4401 S. Dawson St.		Seattle	WA	98118
✓			Mary Junttila		6021 S. Ryan St.		Seattle	WA	98178
✓			Robin McKennon Thaler		5042 49 <sup>th</sup> Ave. SW		Seattle	WA	98136
✓			Joan Rosenstock	Floating Home Association	1822 4th Ave N.		Seattle	WA	98109
✓			Rachel Ben-Shmuel	Vulcan			Seattle	WA	98104
✓			Henry McGee	Seattle University School of Law, Futurewise	Seattle University School of Law, Sullivan Hall, Room 437	901 12 <sup>th</sup> Ave., P.O Box 222000	Seattle	WA	98122-1090
✓			Taylor Washburn	Greater Seattle Chamber of Commerce	Foster Pepper PLLC 1111 3rd Ave, Ste 3400		Seattle	WA	98101-3299
✓			Kathy Fletcher		911 Western Ave., Ste 580,		Seattle	WA	98104
✓			Tom von Schrader	SvR Design Company	1205 Second Avenue, Suite 200 Seattle, WA		Seattle	WA	98101
		✓	Mark Henley	Permit Manager	Department of Ecology, Northwest Regional Office	3190 160th Ave. SE	Bellevue	WA	98008
		✓	Robert Grandinetti	USEPA Region 10	309 Bradley Blvd, Suite 115	Mail Code HPO	Richland	WA	99352
		✓	Edward J. Kowalski	Office of Compliance and Enforcement	US Environmental Protection Agency	1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900	Seattle	WA	98101
		✓	Alison Evans	Permit Manager	Department of Ecology, Northwest Regional Office	3190 160th Ave. SE	Bellevue	WA	98008
		✓	Rachel McCrea	Municipal Stormwater Specialist	Department of Ecology, Northwest Regional Office	3190 160th Ave. SE	Bellevue	WA	98008
		✓	Dino Marchalonis	Stormwater Technical Coordinator	US Environmental Protection Agency	1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900	Seattle	WA	98101
	✓		Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section	Environmental and Natural Resources Division, Environmental and Natural Resources Division	US Department of Justice	PO Box 7611	Washington	DC	20044-7611

**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
	✓		Director, Water Enforcement Division	Office of Civil Enforcement	US Environmental Protection Agency	1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Mail Code: 2243-A	Washington	DC	20460
	✓		Director, Office of Compliance and Enforcement	US Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10	1200 6th Ave, Suite 900		Seattle	WA	98101
	✓		Attorney General of Washington	Ecology Division	P.O. Box 40117		Olympia	WA	98504
		2	Laura Wharton	Project Resource Unit Manager	Department of Natural Resources and Parks/Wastewater Treatment Division	201 Jackson St, Rm 512	Seattle	WA	98104
✓			Dave Boyd		6105 36th Ave NW		Seattle	WA	98107
✓			Margaret Kitchell		1410 E Pine St Unit 312		Seattle	WA	98122-8500
✓			John Peterson	Victory Heights Community Council	1914 NE 100th St		Seattle	WA	98125
✓			Jonathan Whiting		9242 Ashworth Ave N. #A202		Seattle	WA	98103
✓			Nate Cormier		4135 21st Ave SW		Seattle	WA	98106
✓			Jamie Rowe		111 1st Ave S		Seattle	WA	98104
✓			V. Ikehara		3200 California ave SE		Seattle	WA	98116
✓			Scott Coomes		7932 31st Ave SW		Seattle	WA	98126
✓			David Wiktorski		11226 Phinney Avenue North		Seattle	WA	98133
✓			Kathleen Dellplain	FCA	10273 Maplewood PL SW		Seattle	WA	98146
✓			Amber Knox		6951 23rd Ave SW		Seattle	WA	98106
✓			Marie McKinsey		2434 55th Ave. SW #2		Seattle	WA	98116
✓			Robert Hinrix	Beacon Hill Merchants Assoc	2821 Beacon Av S		Seattle	WA	98144
	✓		Robert Drucker		3226 NW 69 <sup>th</sup> St		Seattle	WA	98117
	✓		Catherine Weatbrook		8926 23 <sup>rd</sup> Ave NW		Seattle	WA	98117
	✓		Larry Ward		7703 19 <sup>th</sup> Ave NW		Seattle	WA	98117
	✓		Kim McDonald		7716 32 <sup>nd</sup> Ave NW		Seattle	WA	98117
	✓		Jessica Vets	Fremont Chamber	PO Box 31139		Seattle	WA	98103
	✓		Rachel Koller		7355 23 <sup>rd</sup> Ave NW		Seattle	WA	98117
	✓		Stephen Fickenscher		4515 Meridian Ave, Suite B		Seattle	WA	98117
	✓		Barry Hawley	Hawley Realty	5600 14 <sup>th</sup> Ave NW, Suite 3		Seattle	WA	98107
	✓		Elizabeth Dunigan		6508 32 <sup>nd</sup> Ave NW		Seattle	WA	98117
		✓	<b>Betty Galarosa</b>	<b>SEPA PIC</b>	<b>City of Seattle</b>	<b>Dept of Planning &amp; Development</b>	<b>SMT-18-62</b>		
		✓	Public Review Documents	Quick Information Center	Seattle Public Library		LB-03-01		

**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
✓			Cliff Portman		City of Seattle	Planning & Development	SMT-18-00		
✓			Sue Putnam		City of Seattle	Planning & Development	SMT-18-00		
	✓		Andy Lunde		City of Seattle	Planning & Development	SMT-18-00		
	✓		Jerry Suder		City of Seattle	Planning & Development	SMT-18-00		
	✓		Laurie Olson		City of Seattle	Office of Housing	SMT-57-00		
✓			Kyle Joyce		City of Seattle	Finance & Admin Svcs	SMT-52-01		
✓			Mark Jaeger		City of Seattle	Seattle Public Utilities	SMT-49-00		
✓			Paul Fleming		City of Seattle	Seattle Public Utilities	SMT-49-00		
✓			Miles Mayhew		City of Seattle	Seattle Public Utilities	SMT-49-00		
✓			Laurie Geissinger	Environmental Compliance	City of Seattle	City Light	SMT 00-28-22		
✓			Jen Trout		City of Seattle	City Light	SMT 00-28-22		
✓			Bill Davis		City of Seattle	City Light	SMT 00-28-22		
✓			Margaret Duncan		City of Seattle	City Light	SMT 00-28-22		
	✓		Michael Shiosaki	Planning & Development Division	City of Seattle	Dept of Parks and Recreation	PK-01-01		
	✓		David Graves	Planning & Development Division	City of Seattle	Dept of Parks and Recreation	PK-01-01		
	✓		Maureen Meehan	Street Use Division	City of Seattle	Dept of Transportation	SMT 00-39-00		
	✓		Luke Korpi	Street Use Division	City of Seattle	Dept of Transportation	SMT 00-30-00		
✓			Ron Borowski	Policy and Planning	City of Seattle	Dept of Transportation	SMT-00-39-00		



**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
✓			Jill Macik	Capital Projects & Roadway Str.	City of Seattle	Dept of Transportation	SMT-00-39-00		
✓			Dongho Chang	Traffic Operation	City of Seattle	Dept of Transportation	SMT-00-39-00		
✓			Cristina VanValkenburgh	Mobility Programs	City of Seattle	Dept of Transportation	SMT-00-39-00		
✓			Sarah Sodt	Landmarks Preservation Board	City of Seattle	DON/HISTORICAL PROG.	SMT 00-17-00		
✓			Tina Vlasaty		City of Seattle	Economic Development	SMT-57-52		
✓			Gregory Dean, Fire Chief	Office of the Chief	City of Seattle	Fire Department	FD-44-04		
✓			Russ Byrd	Sr Fire Protection Engineer	City of Seattle	Fire Marshall's Office	TM-02-04		
✓			Nikki Douce		City of Seattle	Fire Department	FD-44-04		
	✓			City Council	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Sally Bagshaw	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Tim Burgess	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Sally Clark	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Kshama Sawant	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Jean Godden	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Bruce Harrell	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Nick Licata	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Mike O'Brien	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		
	✓		The Honorable Tom Rasmussen	Councilmember	City of Seattle	Legislative Dept	CH 02-10-00		

**Protecting Seattle's Waterways Plan  
Draft Environmental Impact Statement Distribution List**

Notice of DEIS	Notice of DEIS + Compact Disc	Notice + DEIS + Compact Disc	Agency or Name	Name or Address1	Name or Address2	Address3	City	State	Zip
	✓		The Honorable Ed Murray	Mayor	City of Seattle	Office of the Mayor	CH-00-07-01		
	✓		Bob Tobin	Assistant City Attorney	City of Seattle	Office of the City Attorney	CH 00-04-01		
	✓		Jeff Weber	Senior Assistant City Attorney	City of Seattle	Office of the City Attorney	CH 00-04-01		