1	BEFORE THE CITY OF SEATTLE PUBLIC SAFETY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION		
2			
3	In the matter of		
4	Shaun Schenkelberg, Appellant	DISMISSAL ORDER	
5	٧.	PSCSC No. 25-05-002RPro	
6	Seattle Fire Department		
7	Respondent		
8	BACKG	ROUND	
9	This matter was initiated by a Notice of A	Appeal ("Appeal"), by Seattle Fire Department	
10	("SFD") employee Fire Lieutenant Shaun Schenkelberg ("Appellant"), filed on January 31, 2025.		
11	The Appeal states that the action appealed is: "SFD delays in processing paperwork & not		
12	promoting [Fire Battalion Chief A] when eligible & preventing a captain promotion when still more		
13	vacant Battalion Chief vacancies." Appeal, at p.1. The Appeal also includes other allegations,		
14	including against the Executive Director of the Public Safety Civil Service Commission for allegedly		
15	denying a request to extend a certification for promotional consideration for the rank of Fire Captain		
16	in July or August 2024. <i>Id</i> . at p. 2 and "Reason for appeal" document submitted with the Appeal.		
17	Regarding references to alleged specific rule or law violations, the Appellant refers to Public		
18	Safety Civil Service Commission Rule ("PSCSC") Rule 10.06.b., which provides:		
19	A new examination shall be conducted prior to expiration of the current list unless otherwise mutually agreed to be the City and IAFF Local 27. The new list shall		
20			
21			
22	at what point the 2022-2024 Battalion Chiefs [sic] list was expired		
23			
24	document, at p. 2. Appellant's allegations regarding that rule also include: " It does not seem fair		
25	that Captain promotions are not made because they are held up by not having Captains to promote		

Schenkelberg v. SFD – PSCSC No. 25-05-002RPro DISMISSAL ORDER – 1

1	to Battalion Chief" Id. Regarding dates, as part of those allegations the Appellant references "a		
2	publishing date of August 15, 2024," and "the list that expired August 14, 2022."		
3	The Appellant also refers to PSCSC Rule 11.02, which provides:		
4	REQUEST FOR CERTIFICATION. Whenever an appointing authority wishes to fill a vacancy, a request for certification shall be submitted to the Executive		
5	Director. The request shall show the number of positions or vacancies to be filled, the class title, tenure of work to be performed, cause of the vacancy, or if a		
6	new position, authority for the appointment, and any other details necessary for full description of the position to be filled.		
7	The Executive Director shall issue a certification for promotional consideration		
8 9	only when a verified vacancy or vacancies at the rank for which the certification is requested. Upon the Executive Director's request, the appointing authority and/or Seattle Human Resources shall provide information to verify the existence of		
10	vacancy or vacancies.		
11	Regarding Rule 11.02, the Appellant states, in relevant part: "This does not seem right that		
12	the Executive Director would be able to issue a certification for me before, but be unable to extend		
13	my certification since there was "no Captain vacancy"." Id. The Appellant does not specifically refer		
14	to any date or dates in the context of Rule 11.02.		
15	Appellant's requested remedy is as follows: "My captain certification extension to match [Fire		
16	Battalion Chief A's] & promotion to captain effective August 14, 2024." Appeal, at p. 2.		
17			
18	Such appeals submitted to the PSCSC are governed by the PSCSC Rules of Practice and		
19	Procedure above referenced, including PSCSC Rule 6.19, which states:		
20	a. Any employee or department who is adversely affected by an alleged violation of Article XVI of the Charter of The City of Seattle, the Public Safety		
21	Civil Service Ordinance or the Public Safety Civil Service Commission Rules of Process and Procedure which does not fall under Rules 6.01(a) or (b), may within ten (10) days of the alleged violation, submit a written complaint to the Executive Director requesting review.		
22			
23	b. The complaint shall follow the same as Appeals, described in Rule 6.02.		
24	The Executive Director will review the complaint and determine appropriate action. Such action may include investigation into the alleged violation,		
25	decision by the Executive Director, and/or referral of the matter to the Commission for decision or a hearing subject to the same rules as an appeal.		
	Schenkelberg v. SFD – PSCSC No. 25-05-002RProCity of SeattleDISMISSAL ORDER – 2Public Safety Civil Service CommissionPO Box 94729, Seattle, WA 98124-4729(206) 233-7118		

The Appellant's appeal is untimely as a matter of law. Pursuant to PSCSC Rule 6.19.a., the Appellant was required to submit a written complaint "within ten (10) days of the alleged violation." See also, Rule 6.02 (which is referenced in Rule 6.19.b.), which requires a notice of appeal to be filed "within ten (10) days of the action that is the subject of the appeal."

Based on the Appeal and associated documents submitted by the Appellant, the alleged violations relate directly and materially to the Appellant's assertions, in relevant part, that on or around August 2024 the Seattle Fire Department allegedly wrongfully delayed promoting a Battalion Chief eligible candidate, thereby causing the downstream effect of allegedly preventing a vacancy from occurring at the rank of Fire Captain, and thereby allegedly preventing the Appellant from being considered by the Fire Chief for promotion at that time.

The Appeal is untimely as a matter of law because the Appellant has failed to establish that the Appeal was brought, as required by Rule 6.19.a., within ten days of the alleged violation(s). See also, Rule 6.02 (requiring appeals to be filed within ten days of the action that is the subject of the appeal). The alleged violation(s) or actions occurred more than ten days before the filing of the Appeal, so the assertions related to such alleged violations or actions are untimely. See, e.g., *Pleuss v. City of Seattle*, 8 Wn.App. 133 (1972).

In *Pleuss*, the plaintiff, who was a firefighter, resigned from the fire department and later contended to the Firemen's Pension Board that his resignation was not voluntary. The city contended that the resignation was voluntary, and the court agreed. In so doing, the court referred to a provision in the Charter of the City of Seattle as it existed at that time which stated, in relevant part: "... one who is removed must demand investigation within ten days after his removal and, in the absence of such a demand, the removal is complete and, of course, will not be interfered with." Id. at 136.

In agreeing with the city's decision, the court stated, in part: "The review must be demanded 'within ten days after his removal." Id. at 136. The court explained further that the plaintiff "knew the

Schenkelberg v. SFD – PSCSC No. 25-05-002RPro DISMISSAL ORDER – 3 City of Seattle Public Safety Civil Service Commission PO Box 94729, Seattle, WA 98124-4729 (206) 233-7118

facts when he resigned," "he was aware of his remedies," and "[i]nstead, 9 months later he brought the action below." Id. at 136-37.

Similarly, here Rule 6.19.a., as well as Rule 6.02, provide that the complaint or appeal at issue be brought within ten days of the alleged violation(s) or within ten days of the action that is the subject of the appeal. The Appellant has failed to establish compliance with Rule 6.19.a. or Rule 6.02 and, therefore, the Appeal is untimely as a matter of law.

Pursuant to Rule 6.05, in relevant part: "... Upon a determination that the appeal is not timely, the Executive Director may issue a written order of dismissal with prejudice ("with prejudice" meaning ineligible for refiling), setting forth the basis of the dismissal."

This Appeal is dismissed because it is untimely, so this Order does not reach other issues, such as whether the PSCSC has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations.

ORDER

Pursuant to PSCSC Rules 6.19, 6.02, and 6.05, the Executive Director has reviewed and considered the Appeal and documents filed therewith and determined appropriate action. It is hereby ordered, pursuant to PSCSC Rules 6.19, 6.02, and 6.05, that the Appeal is untimely as a matter of law and this matter is dismissed, with prejudice.

Dated this <u>21st</u> day of February 2025.

FOR THE CITY OF SEATTLE PUBLIC SAFETY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Andrea Scheele

Andrea Scheele Executive Director, Public Safety Civil Service Commission

Schenkelberg v. SFD – PSCSC No. 25-05-002RPro DISMISSAL ORDER – 4

1

2

|--|

I, Teresa R. Jacobs, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington,

that on the date below, I caused to be served upon the below-listed parties, via the method of

service listed below, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document: **DISMISSAL ORDER**.

5	Party	Method of Service		
6	Appellant: Shaun Schenkelberg	⊠E-Mail		
7				
8	Respondent: Seattle Fire Department Chief Harrold Scoggins	⊠E-Mail		
9	harold.scoggins@seattle.gov Helen Fitzpatrick, Executive Director of Administ	ration		
10	helen.fitzpatrick@seattle.gov Sarah Lee, Human Resources Director			
11	sarah.lee@seattle.gov			
12	Katrina Kelly, Assistant City Attorney			
13	katrina.kelly@seattle.gov			
14				
15				
16	Dated this <u>21st</u> day of February, 2025, at Seattle, Washington.			
17		Teresa R. Jacobs		
18		Feresa R. Jacobs, Executive Assistant		
19		Public Safety Civil Service Commission		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
	Schenkelberg v. SFD – PSCSC No. 25-05-002RPro DISMISSAL ORDER – 5	City of Seattle Public Safety Civil Service Commission PO Box 94729, Seattle, WA 98124-4729 (206) 233-7118		



RPro
RPro

TRJ January 31, 2025 FILED:

206-386-1436

4

NOTICE OF APPEAL TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

The appeal must be received by the Executive Director within 10 (ten) days, following the received date or the postmarked date of the final notice from the department to the appellant.

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete all the pages, sign and attach any documents or correspondence that you have received from the Department related to your appeal. Send by postal or hand deliver to the Executive Director, Civil Service Commissions 700 5th Avenue, Suite 1670, PO Box 94729, Seattle, WA 98124-472 or email to Andrea.Scheele@seattle.gov or Teresa.Jacobs@seattle.gov

An original signature of the appellant or authorized representative is required for appeals. SHAUN MICHAEL JCHENKELBERG 3600 23AUJW

Appellant's Full Name	Work Address	Work Telephone		
Residence Address	City /State/Zip	Home Telephone/Email		
LIEUTENANT	FIRE	CAPTELIJAH PEREZ		
ob Title/Position	Department/Unit	Immediate Supervisor		
07/04/2012	09/10	6/1998		
tart Date ih Position	City Employee Since, Month/D			
🗆 Suspensio	n 🛛 Discharge	Demotion		
Violation of Article XVI of the Charter of the City of Seattle, PSCSC Ordinance or PSCSC Rules (Please list the rule):				
	the Charter of the City of Seattle,	PSCSC Ordinance or PSCSC Rules		
Please list the rule):				
Please list the rule):				
Please list the rule):	Issue: (Please briefly state the issue provide for the provide	ue): SFD delays Smoting capt chap		
Please list the rule): Other Personnel Related in processing pa when eligible for	the Charter of the City of Seattle, Issue: (Please briefly state the issu perwonk & not pro preventing a Cap of Bita/fing Chie	ue): SFD delays Smoting capt chap		

If needed, you may provide the following information on an additional sheet of paper and attach any documents or correspondence that you have received from the Department related to your appeal.

Reason for this appeal (Please include dates, location and action): <u>CAPT ERWIN CHAPPEL</u> WAS RETURNED TO FULL DUTY BY HIS PHYSICIAN'S PAPERUORE TURNED INFO SFD HR ON JULY 30, 1924, MR SATEN PAPERUDRES INFORMED CAPTCH AREL 2 WEEKS LATER (AUG 12, 2024) THAT THEY WANTED AN INDEGENDENT MEDICAL EVAL 401 PM IF NOT FOR THIS DEAT CAUGO RELAT CAPT CHAPPEL NOULD HAVE BEEN PROMOTED THEME WOULD HAVE DEET A CAPT CHAPPEL NOULD HAVE BEEN PROMOTED THEME WOULD HAVE DEET A CAPT CAPTON (SCOREN TO FOR THE DOM) Remedy Sought (What do you want?): MY CAPTAIN CENTIFICATEN EXTENSION

TO MATCH CAPT CHAPPELS = PROMOTION TO CAPTAIN EFFECTIVE AVGUST 14, 2024.

III. UNION:

WHAT IS THE NAME OF YOUR UNION ASSOCIATION OR GUILD?

TAFF

Local Number: 27

□ I HAVE /□ I HAVE NOT filed a grievance on the same issues that I identified in this appeal, with my union or bargaining unit.

This matter
 IS /
 IS NOT the subject of arbitration pursuant to a collective bargaining agreement.

IV. ATTORNEY/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE:

An Attorney or a representative is **NOT** required for the appeal process.

• Do you have an attorney or another person representing you for this appeal? If yes, please have your attorney submit a <u>NOTICE OF APPEARANCE</u> to the Commission Office and Department. All documents and information related to the appeal will go to the attorney or representative.

Name:				
Firm:				
Address:			a ser and a series of the	
	Elen of County	- Chull Constan Commissions		

City of Seattle Civil Service Commissions Seattle Municipal Tower, 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1670 PO Box 94729 Seattle, WA 98124-4729

Tel (206) 233-7118, Fax: (206) 684-0755, http://www.seattle.gov/CivilServiceCommissions/

An equal employment opportunity employer. Accommodations for people with disabilities provided upon request

Not at this fime

Email: ____

Signature of Attorney/Representative: (If filling out this form):

Date

A. APPELLANT:

If you <u>do not</u> have an attorney or a representative, please enter the address where All documents related to this appeal should be sent:

Mailing Address		
Personal Email: _		
Home/Cell Phone (Include Area Code):		
SHAUNMICHAELSCHENKELBERG	prietly	31800 2025
APPELLANT'S NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	SIGNATURE OF APPELLANT	DATE

City of Seattle Civil Service Commissions

Seattle Municipal Tower, 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1670 PO Box 94729 Seattle, WA 98124-4729 Tel (206) 233-7118, Fax: (206) 684-0755, http://www.seattle.gov/CivilServiceCommissions/ An equal employment opportunity employer. Accommodations for people with disabilities provided upon request