Capital Projects Workgroup Meeting
Hing Hay Coworks
June 22, 2018,
3:30-5:00pm

Attendees:
Betty Lau (Community Volunteer)
Andrew Tran (InterIm)
Maiko (SCIDpda) – Community Co-Chair
Leda Chaim (Sound Transit)
Ron (Sound Transit)

ST3 Update on Alternatives in the CID – Sound Transit

Questions: Is there a formula for weigh-in? who has more say between the Stakeholder Advisory Group and Elected Leadership Group?
Answer: SAG = 29-30 members (3 from CID) + public engagement events will make recommendations to the ELG and the ELG will make recommendations to the Sound Transit Board at the end of the process.

Level 3 EIS Process – identify preferred alternatives for study of EIS
Questions for Consideration: Is there a process for teasing out different levels? After level 2 when alternatives are selected, will there be adequate study of each alternative before the EIS process in Level 3?

Level 1 Alternative Results
Questions: Is the bore tunnel deeper than a cut and cover?
Answer: The bore tunnel would have fewer impacts on 5th Avenue from 1600 to 400 feet

Additional Feedback from Level 1 = consideration of a 4th location for CID (page 20)
1. ST acknowledges concerns: avoid/minimize construction impacts in the CID
2. Improve connections between King and 5th (intermodal connections)
3. Activate Union Station

Additional Community Concerns:
+ More business traffic
+ Economic development for the CID

Questions: What does cut and cover really mean?
Answers: Refer to page 21 of the PowerPoint

CID Station Construction Constraints (page 26)
CID Community Concerns vs Construction Constraints (page 27)
Community feedback, “live updates and graphics are really helpful.”

Potential CID Station Locations (page 28)
CID Station at 4th Avenue Cut and Cover (page 31-33) original asked idea
CID Station at 4th Avenue Cut and Cover (page 34) revised asked idea
➢ Stays under 4th and continues north
➢ Will affect King County buildings
➢ Won’t affect Hirabayashi and fire station
➢ Will affect older buildings that don’t have historic landmarks

Questions for Consideration: Benefits of underground mezzanine versus adjacent businesses?
Questions: What will be shared at the July meetings?
Answer: Community input for CID Alternatives: (July 12) South Downtown Stakeholders Meeting
(July 16) SAG Meeting
CID Options = Five. Hoping to narrow options down.

Agency Workshop Feedback (page 42)

Feedback on SDOT’s 6 Month Look Ahead Visual:
+ Addresses are helpful
+ Project map and project description is helpful. Identify that they go together (i.e. page 1 of 2, etc.)
+ Easier to understand that DotMap. Shows scope.
+ Need to identify impact (i.e. parking removed, list estimated timeframe, etc.)

Action Items for July meeting
+ Reflect on long term recommendation for the CID Advisory Committee
+ How do we continue the conversation beyond the CID Framework?