

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. background

- 1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:** Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines.
- 2. Name of applicant:** City of Seattle
- 3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:**

City of Seattle, Office of Planning and Community Development
600 Fourth Avenue
P.O. Box 94788
Seattle, Washington 98124-7088

Contact: David W. Goldberg, (206) 615-1447

4. **Date checklist prepared:** November 21, 2018
5. **Agency requesting checklist:** City of Seattle Office of Planning and Community Development
6. **Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):**
The proposed code amendments will be reviewed by City Council and discussed and vote on in public hearings in winter or spring of 2019.
7. **Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal?**
No, the proposal is a non-project action that is not dependent upon any further action.
8. **List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be**
This SEPA environmental checklist and threshold environmental decision have been prepared for this proposal.
9. **Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal?**
Applications processed prior to the adoption of the *Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines* will follow the existing City-wide design guidelines.
10. **List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.**
The proposal requires approval by the City Council. No other agency approvals are anticipated.
11. **Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site.**
The overarching goal of the City-wide design guidelines, and the Design Review Program, generally, is to foster design excellence in private development of new multifamily and commercial projects. The purpose of neighborhood-specific design guidelines is identified at SMC 23.41.010: "Neighborhood design guidelines are intended to augment and make more specific [the City-wide design guidelines.]"

This non-project proposal is a legislative action to amend Section 23.41.010 of the Seattle Municipal Code to remove the Ballard Municipal Center Master Plan Area Design Guidelines and adopt the Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines to the list of neighborhood design guidelines, and to amend Subsection 23.41.012.B to remove language relating to the Ballard Municipal Center Master Plan Area Design Guidelines.

Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines

The proposal replaces the 2001 Ballard Municipal Center Master Plan Area Design Guidelines with the Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines. The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design

Guidelines incorporate the intent of the Ballard Municipal Center Area Design Guidelines, and expands the area in which neighborhood design guidelines apply to include the entire Ballard Hub Urban Village. The overriding objective of the Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines is to encourage new projects to reinforce the roles and characters of the distinct areas throughout the Ballard Hub Urban Village that collectively give Ballard its identity as a city within a city. These areas, "Character Districts" contribute layers of activities and building forms that support its social fabric and distinct character. The Character Districts described below create an active place to walk, shop, live and work.

Character Core - Ballard's Downtown is centered on its "main streets" – NW Market Street and Ballard Avenue NW. Its inviting mix of historic and heritage buildings, and a welcoming business district with shops, restaurants and bars, and services define Ballard's social and physical character. The guidelines seek to sustain this historic role and character of Ballard's main streets as they evolve and grow. The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines strengthen and enliven the public realm and business district, and ensure new buildings integrate Ballard's historic design precedents for well-detailed, quality construction.

Civic Core - The Civic Core has a quieter character of civic activities, neighborhood-oriented businesses, and a variety of residences. The tree-lined streets include more intimate open spaces giving a unifying public character. The Ballard Municipal Center Master Plan Area Design Guidelines envisioned a civic district and identified sites for a park, library, and Neighborhood Service Center. City and private investments have largely realized this vision. The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines strengthen a quieter, neighborhood-oriented streetscape and public realm, and ensure that the mix of public buildings, residences, and smaller businesses create a strong civic character.

General Commercial - The General Commercial area meets the weekly and monthly needs for goods and services. It will increasingly support more offices and residences on upper floors. It is also a major transportation hub. The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines support expected increases in walking and transit by promoting active storefronts, and orientation of the site to balance the transportation volumes. The guidelines also provide direction for integrating the larger scaled projects that will likely occur in this area, so that this gateway to Ballard communicates the unique character of this destination.

Commercial Mix - Local commercial streets provide opportunities for a mix of local businesses serving adjacent neighborhoods as well as services and shops serving north-west Seattle. They have potential for office and moderate density residential on upper floors which take advantage of the transit and auto access.

Residential In-Town - Multifamily neighborhoods provide in-town living opportunities that are close to shops, services and jobs. The design characteristics and community infrastructure should support a range of households - from singles to families and older people.

Residential / Neighborhood Retail - The Lowrise Residential Commercial zoned areas along 14th Ave. NW and 24th Ave. NW, are characterized by a mix of multifamily buildings - many with street-level entrances and small commercial uses. New projects should consider including small commercial uses at corners to continue this mixed character.

Industrial - The industrial areas in the urban village emphasizes "maker" and production uses, continuing tradition of people who use their hands and minds to create. They are

both utilitarian and urban. The streets can be navigated by trucks and walkers. Some businesses have a retail element, while others are wholesale or industry focused.

The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines provide specific guidance for the Character Areas, and general guidance applicable throughout the Ballard Hub Urban Village. The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines are organized around the same themes as the citywide Seattle Design Guidelines, using the same classification system. The Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines only include the citywide topics where supplemental guidance is provided.

Land Use Code Text Amendments

The Land Use Code text amendments delete portions of Subsection 23.41.012.B that are related to Ballard Municipal Center Area Design Guidelines and long longer relevant.

- Subsection 23.41.012.B.11.b is amended to eliminate height departures that allow the City to grant an additional 9 feet for a development including townhouses that front a mid-block pedestrian connection or a park identified in the Ballard Municipal Center Master Plan. Subsection 23.41.012.B.38, adopted in 2016, incentivized through-block connections. Further, the Land Use Code allows street-level live/work units and this use is regularly incorporated at the street-level outside of Pedestrian zones. Consequently, this departure is no longer necessary to encourage the desired development.
- Subsection 23.41.012.B.14 is amended to delete a departure that may be granted to reduce parking requirements by 30 percent for ground-level retail uses that abut established mid-block pedestrian connections through private property as identified in the "Ballard Municipal Center Master Plan Design Guidelines." Under subsection 23.54.015.B, there is no longer a minimum parking requirement for areas with frequent transit service. The areas in which through-block connections are desired are within a frequent transit service area and therefore it is not possible to further reduce parking requirements.
- Map B for 23.41.012 Ballard Municipal Center Master Plan Area is deleted because the proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines apply to the Ballard Hub Urban Village.

- 12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.**

This is a non-project action. The proposed Ballard Design Guidelines will apply within the Ballard Hub Urban Village boundary.

The lead agency has determined that the questions in Part B (Environmental Elements) do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of this non-project action and has accordingly excluded the Part B questions from this Checklist.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

- a. **General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other _____**
- b. **What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?**
- c. **What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.**
- d. **Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.**
- e. **Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.**
- f. **Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.**
- g. **About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?**
- h. **Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:**

2. Air

- a. **What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.**
- b. **Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.**
- c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:**

3. Water

a. Surface Water:

- 1) **Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.**
- 2) **Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.**

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.
- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.
- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.
- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

___ deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other

- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
- shrubs
- grass
- pasture
- crop or grain
- orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
- wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
- water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- other types of vegetation

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?
- c. List threatened, and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:
- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

5. Animals

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site. Examples include:
 - birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
 - mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
 - fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:
- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.
- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.
- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

6. Energy and natural resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.
- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.
- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

7. Environmental health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.
- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
 - 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.
 - 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.
 - 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.
 - 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?
- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.
- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

8. Land and shoreline use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.
- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?
- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:
- c. Describe any structures on the site.
- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

- e. **What is the current zoning classification of the site?**
- f. **What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?**
- g. **If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?**
- h. **Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county?**
- i. **Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?**
- j. **Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?**
- k. **Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:**
- l. **Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:**
- m. **Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:**

9. Housing

- a. **Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**
- b. **Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.**
- c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:**

10. Aesthetics

- a. **What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?**
- b. **What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?**
- c. **Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:**

11. Light and glare

- a. **What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?**
- b. **Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?**

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).
- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?
- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.
- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

15. Public services


- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____
- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: 

Name of signee: David W. Goldberg, Strategic Advisor

Position and Agency/Organization: City of Seattle Office of Planning and Community Development

Date Submitted: November 25, 2018

D. Supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

As a non-project action that would adopt new *Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines*, and Land Use Code text amendments, the proposal would result in no direct impacts to water, air, noise, or toxic/hazardous substances. Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions have also been considered, and no changes to GHG emissions are expected as a result of this non-project action. Individual projects that may be subject to the proposal will occur over time and cannot be evaluated in terms of discharge to water, emissions to air, production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances, or noise, at this stage. It would be speculative to attempt to quantify impacts to the future development. Such projects will be subject to environmental review (if they meet or exceed thresholds for environmental review) as they move forward. The changes are not expected to increase potential discharge of pollutants as compared to the amounts that could occur under existing code and/or under the existing neighborhood design guidelines.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

No increases are anticipated and so no measures are proposed.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?

As a non-project action, the proposed changes would result in no direct impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative impacts related to plant, animal, fish or marine life. The changes are not increasing the number of parcels eligible for development nor increasing the intensity of development currently allowed by existing zoning designations, or the existing neighborhood or citywide design guidelines. The proposed changes do not alter any regulations directly related to environmental performance of new development, such as green factor landscaping requirements, or stormwater infrastructure requirements.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

No impacts are anticipated and so no measures are proposed.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

As a non-project action, the proposed changes would result in no direct impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative impacts related to energy or natural resources. The changes do not increase the number of parcels eligible for development nor increase the intensity of development currently allowed as a result of existing zoning designations. The proposed changes do not alter any regulations directly related to energy or natural resources such as energy performance standards in new development.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

No impacts are anticipated and so no measures are proposed.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened, or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

As a non-project action, the proposed changes would result in no direct impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative impacts related to environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated for governmental protection. This is due to the fact that the areas potentially affected are already located in intensely developed urban environments and no significant environmentally sensitive areas are designated. The proposed changes would not alter allowances for new development that could otherwise occur in or near environmentally sensitive areas under existing regulations.

The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines could have a positive impact on historic and cultural resources by respecting existing older structures that are important to the community for their architectural and historic value. The proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design Guidelines would not affect the Ballard Avenue Landmark District Board's review of proposals within the District. The Ballard Avenue Landmark District Board's bases all of its decisions on the standards set forth in three documents - the Ballard Avenue Landmark District Ordinance (SMC 25.16), the Ballard Avenue Landmark District Guidelines, and the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None are proposed because no impacts are anticipated. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, Landmarks Preservation Ordinance, the Ballard Avenue Landmark District Ordinance, and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts, if any, as part of the project-specific review of development proposals.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land and shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

As a non-project action, the proposed changes are not likely to affect land and shoreline use because the proposal has no effect on whether specific uses are allowed or prohibited. Thus, this non-project action would not affect the compatibility of land uses with the existing Comprehensive Plan and neighborhood plan.

Neither the proposed Ballard Neighborhood Design guidelines, nor the proposed text amendments the function of allowing (or prohibiting) uses. Therefore, no incompatible uses could be allowed or encouraged by the proposal. The function of design guidelines is to ensure the sensitive integration of new structures into an existing neighborhood area and, consistent with existing plans, to reinforce the positive urban form and architectural attributes of that area. Adoption of this proposal is expected to increase the compatibility of new private development with community preferences articulated in the Ballard Urban Design and Transportation Framework (2016).

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

No impacts are anticipated and so no measures are proposed.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

As a non-project action, the proposed changes would result in no direct impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative negative impacts related to transportation or public services/utilities. All areas potentially affected by the proposal are within already urbanized

areas with fully developed utility infrastructures. Design guidelines neither increase the number of parcels with development capacity nor increase the intensity of development currently allowed on those parcels. Therefore, adoption of this proposal will not increase demands on transportation, public services, or utilities. Application of these guidelines is expected to improve the compatibility of new private development with the existing and planned transportation network

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demands are:

No increased demands are anticipated and so no measures are proposed.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

It is believed that the proposal would not result in conflicts with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for protection of the environment.

SIGNATURE:


I, the undersigned, state that to the best of my knowledge the above information is true and complete. It is understood that the lead agency may withdraw any declaration of non-significance that it might issue in reliance upon this checklist should there be any willful misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclosure on my part.

Signature:


David W. Goldberg
Strategic Advisor

Date Submitted: November 25, 2018

Reviewed by:


Office of Planning and Community Development

Date: December 6, 2018