

# OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL ACCOUNTABILITY Closed Case Summary

## Complaint Number OPA#2015-0128

Issued Date: 08/12/2015

Named Employee #1	
Allegation #1	Seattle Police Department Manual 1.145 Use of Force (Policy that was issued 01/01/96)
OPA Finding	Not Sustained (Inconclusive)
Allegation #2	Seattle Police Department Manual (Policy that was issued 07/01/96)  3.029 Primary Investigations
OPA Finding	Not Sustained (Inconclusive)
Final Discipline	N/A

## **INCIDENT SYNOPSIS**

The named employee arrested the complainant in 2003. The complainant was in crisis and an ambulance had to be called to take her to a hospital.

## **COMPLAINT**

The complainant alleged that the named employee arrested her in 2003, used unnecessary force and lied in the report.

#### <u>INVESTIGATION</u>

The OPA investigation included the following actions:

- 1. Review of the complaint email
- 2. Interview of complainant
- 3. Search for and review of all relevant records and other evidence
- 4. Interviews of SPD employees

#### **ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSION**

Due to the fact that this incident occurred over 12 years ago, the involved employees said they had no recollection of the event. Although some records have been purged, OPA was able to locate copies of the traffic citations, the police report, hospital records, the Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) print out and the ambulance billing. There is no evidence to either support or refute that the named employee lied in her reports and used excessive force.

## **FINDINGS**

## Named Employee #1

Allegation #1

There is no evidence to either support or refute the allegation that the named employee used excessive force. Therefore a finding of **Not Sustained** (Inconclusive) was issued for *Obedience to Use of Force*.

### Allegation #2

There is no evidence to either support or refute the allegation that the named employee lied in her report. Therefore a finding of **Not Sustained** (Inconclusive) was issued for *Primary Investigations*.

NOTE: The Seattle Police Department Manual policies cited for the allegation(s) made for this OPA Investigation are policies that were in effect during the time of the incident. The issued date of the policy is listed.