



## MEMBERS

Timmy Bendis Eastlake Community Council Douglas Campbell University District Partnership Kay Kelly Laurelhurst Community Club Tomitha Blake Montlake Community Club Ravenna Springs Community Group nmunity Assoc Natasha Rodgers Roosevelt Neighbors Alliand Matthew Fox (Co-chair) University District Community Council University Park Community Council Wallingford Community Council Ashley Emery University of Washington Faculty <u>Alternates</u> Eastlake Community Council Miha Sarani Montlake Community Club Barbara Krieger Portage Bay/Roanoke Park Community Council Ravenna Springs Community Group Ravenna Bryant Community Assoc Roosevelt Neighbors Association ersity District Community Council Ruedi Risler University Park Community Club Jon Berkedal Wallingford Community Council Osman Salahuddin University of Washington Students Rick Mohler University of Washington Faculty University of Washington Staff Ex-Officio University of Washington, Office of Regional Affairs

# City of Seattle - University of Washington Community Advisory Committee

Meeting Minutes Meeting #177 May 8, 2018 Adopted June 12, 2018 UW Tower 4333 Brooklyn Avenue Seattle, WA 98105 22<sup>nd</sup> Floor

#### Members and Alternates Present

Doug Campbell John Gaines Sarah Swanberg Natasha Rodgers Amanda Winters Matthew Fox Brian O'Sullivan Kerry Kahl

Ashley Emery Barbara Krieger (non-voting alt.) Reudi Risler (non-voting alt.)

### **Staff and Others Present**

Maureen Sheehan Sally Clark (See attached attendance sheet)

#### I. Welcome and Introductions

Mr. John Gaines opened the meeting. Brief introductions followed.

#### II. Housekeeping

A motion was made motions to adopt the April 10 minutes as amended, and it was seconded. The Committee voted, and the motion was adopted.

#### III. Public Comment

Mr. Gaines opened the discussion for public comment. There were no public comments

#### IV. Husky Stadium TMP update

Mr. Gaines opened the discussion on the Husky Stadium TMP Update.

Ms. Julie Blakeslee, Environmental and Land Use Planner, UW and Mr. Dan Erickson, Assistant Athletic Director, UW presented a brief update on the Husky Stadium TMP.

Mr. Erickson summarized the new objectives and the structure of the TMP. presented the proposed performance goals as well the framework and strategies. The new TMP structure will involve an annual operations plan and an annual survey and stadium technical advisory group.

The annual operations plan implements the TMP strategies to achieve the performance goals. Beginning in 2019, for all stadium events on weekends and weekdays, UW athletics will strive to increase the non-auto generated mode split as light rail expands.

The annual survey will be conducted by Transportation Services, and the results will be provided to the City, CUCAC and the technical advisory group. The technical advisory group is composed of representatives of UW, SDCI, SDOT,

SPD, WSDOT, King County Metro, Sound Transit, and CUCAC and meet twice a year to review the operations plan and implement strategies to meet the performance goals.

Ms. Blakeslee reviewed the development timeline. A scoping notice was completed in November 2017. They are currently working on the both the preliminary draft plan and the EIS. She anticipates having both the draft TMP and EIS available to this Committee in the coming weeks, and there will be a 30-day comment period. The target date for the final TMP and EIS walkthrough to the City Council will be early summer 2018 and to the Board of Regents in the summer or fall 2018.

Mr. Matt Fox commented that CUCAC will provide its position once it received the draft plan. He asked if they could talk more about the non-auto shared data. Mr. Erickson noted that the current performance goal is for 28%. There have been fluctuations with the data and currently, UW is operating at a high 40's and low 50's. The plan is to work with an exact data in 2021 once the Northgate light rail station opens. Ms. Sally Clark mentioned that the data challenge is not necessarily a substantial number to show people getting out of their cars and into the trains, but most of the movement is from one transit mode to another transit mode. The mode split depends on who the University is playing and its success, weather, time of day, etc. and majority of the fans that go to the games are the ones tailgating.

A question was asked about what category Uber and Lyft fall into, and Mr. Erickson mentioned that this mode of transportation fall under the auto.

Mr. Doug Campbell asked if there is data available that shows how many people can be evacuated after the game from the stadium. Ms. Clark mentioned that information can be requested from Sound Transit.

Ms. Sarah Swanberg commented that she was glad that an annual report will be available to be reviewed in a regular basis to make it more dynamic. There have been enormous projects along 25<sup>th</sup>, and she is concerned about pedestrians walking to the stadium along Montlake Blvd. where there are no sidewalks and no crosswalks. The path along Burke-Gilman is unsafe at night because it is dark. She asked if the new TMP could address these issues. Mr. Erickson commented that it could be part of the plan to reduce the dependency on buses and have shuttles. A comment was made that it would only clog the streets and she asked if there is a plan for infrastructure on 25<sup>th</sup> where the pedestrians could safely cross the street.

Mr. Erickson commented that financially the TMP is focused on buses 100%. He noted that the new TMP will provide a tool to look at other options and invest in other things. The primary focus is phasing out the buses and feeding the light rail before starting a conversation on other important items.

Mr. Campbell asked if the adjacent garages are full on game days, and Mr. Erickson noted that he does not have the actual data but will request if from Transportation Services.

# V. UW Campus Master Plan: City Council Public Hearing Prep

Mr. Gaines opened the discussion on the UW Campus Master Plan, City Council Public Hearing prep.

Ms. Clark commented that they reached out to the City Council and Councilmember Johnson's staff and have not heard anything about the public hearing schedule. She added that a hypothetical schedule involves having the Council begin their review on June 6<sup>th</sup> and send out a notice on May 16<sup>th</sup>. City Council has not communicated on when would they begin the meeting, or the structure. CUCAC does not have any idea if there will be any oral arguments or if they would be allowed to speak about the issues.

Ms. Sheehan commented that CUCAC asked that they would have twenty to thirty minutes of total speaking time. Mr. Fox commented that his plan is to bring a PowerPoint presentation that he presented at the Hearing Examiner.

Mr. Gaines commented that given the uncertainties about the time and schedule, it is important for this Committee to review the topics that were presented at the Hearing Examiner and identify any other critical issues and present them to the City Council. The goal for tonight's meeting is to prioritize these issues, review the comments made on the Master Plan and determine how will the Committee present these issues to the City Council hearing. Mr. Fox commented that he would like to add in his presentation that the current development standard can exceed the growth allowance by up to 20% of the life of the plan without a plan amendment, and the Committee added a caveat except for the West Campus. He noted that he would like to emphasize this to his presentation at the City Council.

Mr. Gaines commented that the Committee should discuss the letter they wrote and submitted to SDCI as the basis of discussion to the City Council.

Ms. Sheehan noted that CUCAC sent a letter to the SDCI and SDCI took the recommendations and incorporated them in their final report and submitted them to the Hearing Examiner. The Hearing Examiner took SDCI's recommendations and made modifications in its final decision. Some CUCAC's recommendations were supported by SDCI, some of those items the Hearing Examiner incorporated, and others it did not.

Mr. Fox suggested to start fresh and present the most critical issues that the Committee identified instead of presenting the letter they submitted to SDCI. He added that the reduction of the SOV rate to 12% in the Transportation element is one of the most important item mentioned in the Hearing Examiner, and it should be brought up to the City Council.

Mr. Fox suggested having a discussion on how the Committee would prioritize the critical issues and other questions about the Master Plan and delegating the presenters in front of the City Council.

# (Note: The Committee had a back and forth discussion to identify the main priorities and presenters to the City Council)

The main topics and presenters at the City Council Hearing include: Ms. Natasha Rodgers (open space/concurrency), Mr. Reudi Risler and Mr. Rick Mohler (Transportation), Mr. Matt Fox (design/development standards), Ms. Barbara Krieger (glare); Mr. Doug Campbell (child care/affordable housing).

Ms. Sheehan mentioned that the deadline for the comment summary from individuals who will be presenting at the City Council hearing is on June  $5^{th}$ .

Mr. Risler asked if a PowerPoint presentation is required for the City Council hearing, and Ms. Sheehan suggested that since the City Council will already have the report, to make his presentation less wordy and more towards statement images.

# VI. New Business

Mr. Gaines opened the discussion for Committee's new business. There was no new business.

Mr. Risler mentioned about a meeting he attended with the mobility group and other agencies discussing if buses should be allowed on Brooklyn Avenue between the future light rail station and UW Tower. The issue is around if it makes sense building benches and pedestrian access in the area, when the space is needed by the University for construction.

Ms. Clark commented that the University's position is less about a specific development plan for the tower. There was a big public process and it was determined that Brooklyn Ave would be a green street. The University is not the only player; it involves several players and other stakeholders to do a redo of the section of Brooklyn Ave.

Mr. Gaines suggested to bring this topic and discussion at the next meeting.

# VII. Adjournment

No further business being before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned.