



MEMBERS

Timmy Bendis Eastlake Community Council Douglas Campbell University District Partnership Kay Kelly Laurelhurst Community Club Tomitha Blake Montlake Community Club Ravenna Springs Community Group nmunity Assoc Natasha Rodgers Roosevelt Neighbors Alliand Matthew Fox (Co-chair) University District Community Council University Park Community Council Wallingford Community Council Ashley Emery University of Washington Faculty <u>Alternates</u> Eastlake Community Council Miha Sarani Montlake Community Club Barbara Krieger Portage Bay/Roanoke Park Community Council Ravenna Springs Community Group Ravenna Bryant Community Assoc Roosevelt Neighbors Association ersity District Community Council Ruedi Risler University Park Community Club Jon Berkedal Wallingford Community Council Osman Salahuddin University of Washington Students Rick Mohler University of Washington Faculty University of Washington Staff Ex-Officio University of Washington, Office of Regional Affairs

City of Seattle - University of Washington Community Advisory Committee

Meeting Minutes Meeting #176 April 10, 2018 Adopted May 8, 2018 UW Tower 4333 Brooklyn Avenue Seattle, WA 98105 22nd Floor

Members and Alternates Present

Timmy Bendis Doug Campbell John Gaines Sarah Swanberg Natasha Rodgers Matthew Fox Barbara Quinn Brian O'Sullivan

Ashley Emery Barbara Kreiger (Non-voting Alt.) Rick Mohler (Non-voting Alt.)

Staff and Others Present

Maureen Sheehan Sally Clark (See attached attendance sheet)

I. Welcome and Introductions

Mr. Matthew Fox opened the meeting. Brief introductions followed.

II. Housekeeping

Mr. Matt Fox made motions to adopt the January 9, February 13, and March 13 minutes and they were seconded. The Committee voted, and the motions were adopted.

III. Public Comment

Mr. Fox opened the discussion for public comment. There were no public comments

IV. UW Campus Master Plan: City Council Public Hearing Prep

Mr. Fox opened the discussion on the Hearing Examiner prep.

Ms. Sally Clark mentioned that she has not heard any updates or timeline from the City Council about Campus Master Plan hearing.

Mr. Fox asked the Committee for next steps and the critical issues the Committee would like to present to the City Council. He stated that he would give the same PowerPoint presentation on building site heights and views that he made at the Hearing Examiner. Ms. Kay Kelly can offer the same legal advice why SDCI should not have dismissed many of CUCACs recommendations that were offered at the Hearing Examiner, and also subsequently denied by the Hearing Examiner.

He added that the 12% SOV goal is another critical issue that came from this Committee that was presented to the Hearing Examiner. That should also be discussed at the City Council presentation.

Ms. Sally Clark mentioned that because of the uncertainty, it is a challenge to provide the role this Committee will play in the City Council hearing. She

added that since CUCAC is a designated representative, it may or may not be seated at the table.

There may be a chance that the Council will set a maximum amount of time to present the Committee's points. It will be up to the Committee on how it would prefer to divide the time for the presentations.

Mr. Fox commented that once the Committee knows what the format will be, that will be time and opportunity to focus and prepare on what information to present.

Ms. Maureen Sheehan asked the Committee for a specific time they would like to have to present, if asked by the City Council. Mr. Fox suggested having half-an-hour as a good starting point to present the critical issues to the City Council.

Mr. Ashley Emery commented about when will the Committee be able to meet again to discuss and prepare for the City Council hearing. Ms. Clark commented that there is a potential that the City Council will send a notice around mid-May or early June. This was the early scenario that was presented. If the suggested scenario plays out, the Committee will have one more meeting before a June 6th City Council date.

Mr. Emery commented that each of the representatives from the different work groups identify the key issues they would like to present from the letter and bring it to the entire Committee for feedback and suggestion.

Mr. Fox added that it is a good game plan to discuss at the next meeting and asked if there are any topics at the next meeting that can be postpone. He brought out about the Husky Stadium TMP update, and Ms. Clark noted that there is nothing new to discuss at this time as they are waiting for more feedback from the different agencies.

Mr. Fox reiterated that having a half-an-hour, if it is presented, is a good amount of time to walk through the whole document and elaborate on the critical issues such as the SOV goal, transportation, etc. He suggested to invest more time to the City Council since they have more latitude on what they could do compared to the Hearing Examiner.

Mr. Emery suggested that each of the subgroups review the comment letter and identify and summarize the priorities they would want to make to the City Council.

Mr. John Gaines requested to have a copy of letter to be sent out to the Committee to review at the next meeting. Ms. Sheehan mentioned that she will send out electronic copies of the full package and a hard copy of the final letter at the next meeting.

Ms. Sheehan noted that she will ask the City Council staff how much time the Committee will have at the hearing, if they could bring any presentation materials, and a written letter if the Committee decide to submit something in writing. Ms. Clark also added to ask if the Committee will be seated at the table or at the podium.

Mr. Doug Campbell suggested if the Committee can devote a meeting to do a dress rehearsal on how and what to present at the City Council. Ms. Clark mentioned that CUCAC will be presenting at the Land Use Committee of the City Council. The Land Use Committee includes Councilmembers Mr. Rob Johnson (chair), Mr. Mike O'Brien (vice chair), Ms. Lisa Herbold, and Ms. Lorena Gonzalez.

Mr. Bendis asked if it is okay to discuss to the City Council about other alternatives. Mr. Fox mentioned that if it was outlined in the draft or final letter, it is okay to bring it up.

The Committee decided to review the letter that was submitted and discuss and prioritize the issues they would like to present to the City Council regardless of how much time the Committee will be allowed to present at the May meeting.

V. New Business

Mr. Fox opened the discussion for Committee's new business. There was no new business.

VII. Adjournment

No further business being before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned.