

## SCHOOL DEVELOPMENT STANDARD DEPARTURE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

# MADISON MIDDLE SCHOOL DESIGN DEPARTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

**Project #3030872** JUNE 22, 2018



This report is produced pursuant to the City of Seattle Municipal Code (SMC 23.44.17 and 23.79) (the "Code"). The intent and purpose of this report is to document public testimony and make recommendations to the City for modifications to development standards in order to facilitate modernization of the existing building and addition of a gymnasium and covered play area at Madison Middle School located at 3429 45th Ave SW.

### **Table of Contents**

1.	Ba	ickgro	und	1
1	.1	Proj	ect Description	1
	Ex	hibit 1	Proposed Site Plan	1
1	.2	Neiç	ghborhood Characteristics	2
	Ex	hibit 2	2 Existing Site Plan	2
1	.3	Req	uest for Departure and Committee Formation	2
2.	De	epartu	res	3
2	2.1	Spe	cific District Requests	3
	De	Departure #1 – Less than Required On-site Parking		
Exhibit 3 On-			3 On-Site Parking Stalls	4
2	2.2	Com	mittee Review and Recommendations	4
	2.2	2.1	Process & Public Meeting	4
	2.2	2.2	Review Criteria	4
	2.2	2.3	Application of Review Criteria to Requested Departure and Committee	
	Re	comm	endations	5
	Ne	Need for Departure		
Departure #1 – Less than Required Of			re #1 — Less than Required Off-street Parking	5

Attachment 1: Meeting #1 Minutes, May 30, 2018



### Madison Middle School Design Departure Advisory Committee Report and Recommendations

### 1. Background

### 1.1 **Project Description**

On February 14, 2018, the Seattle Public Schools submitted a request for a departure from one (1) Seattle Municipal Code (SMC) Development Standards 56 on-site parking stalls in lieu of 62 on-site parking stalls to accommodate the placement of portables at Madison Middle School located at 3429 45th Ave SW.

Madison Middle School enrollment has been increasing yearly and has exceeded the building's capacity. Enrollment projections for the school year 2018-19 is for another increase in enrollment of an additional 110 students. It is anticipated an additional 4 portable classrooms will be needed to accommodate the increased enrollment. Due to the constraints of the site, two portables will need to be installed in the existing north parking lot which will reduce the school's required minimum of on-site parking stalls.

Seattle Public Schools is proposing to add 4 portable classroom buildings in the NE area of the school site. The north parking lot of Madison Middle School will have 2 portables while the other 2 portables will be in the grassy terrace area between the playfield and the loading dock service drive. The added classrooms are being installed to meet the projected increase in enrollment for the 2018-19 school year. The portable buildings are 28' x 32' (896 square feet) each and will be painted tan with white trim.

Enrollment projections beyond 2018-2019 show continued increase and continued need for the portables. Although the timeframe for removal of the portables is unknown, the Seattle Public Schools Capital Planning is evaluating long-term solutions to capacity at Madison Middle School.



Exhibit 1 Proposed Site Plan

### **1.2 Neighborhood Characteristics**

Madison Middle School sits in the northern portion of West Seattle in the Admiral neighborhood. The boundaries of the neighborhood are Sunset Ave SW and Schmitz Preserve Park to the west; SW Charlestown Street to the south; 36th Avenue SW to the east; and Hamilton Viewpoint Park to the north. Madison MS is located in the neighborhood between 45<sup>th</sup> and 47<sup>th</sup> Avenue SW, and between SW Hinds and SW Spokane Street.

Madison Middle School's site is bifurcated by a steep slope running north-south at roughly the site's midpoint. The original building and addition sit at the top of the slope to the east and the athletic/track field sit down the slope to the west. It had a major remodel and addition in 2005. The school is in a predominantly single-family residential neighborhood; the current zoning classification of the school site and surrounding areas are SF5000 (residential single-family 5,000).



### Exhibit 2 Existing Site Plan

### 1.3 Request for Departure and Committee Formation

The City initiated the Development Standard Departure Process, pursuant to SMC 23.44.17 and 23.79. The Code requires that the Department of Neighborhoods (DON) convene a Development Standard Advisory Committee (hereinafter as the Committee) when the School District proposes a departure from the development standards identified under the Code. These standards are popularly referred to as the "zoning code."

The purpose of the Committee is 1) to gather public comment and evaluate the proposed departures for consistency with the objectives and intent of the City's land use policies to ensure that the proposed facility is compatible with the character and use of its surroundings; and 2) to develop a report and recommendation to the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI) from DON. (SMC 23.79.008)

Following completion of the Committee Report and its transmittal to SDCI, the Director of SDCI will issue a formal report and decision. The Director of SDCI will consider the recommendations of the Committee and will determine the extent of departure from established development standards which may be allowed, as well as identify all mitigating measures which may be required. The Director's decision is appealable.

On February 28, 2018, DON sent notices to residents within 600 feet of Madison Middle School requesting self-nominations for membership on the Committee. In the end, after repeated requests, 8 community members applied, and on May 9, 2018 the Committee was formed. The Committee is composed of six voting members, one alternate, a representative from SDCI and a City non-voting Chair.

The Committee was appointed as follows:

Sue Terrible	Person residing within 600'
Charline Calvano	Person owning property or a business within 600'
Elizabeth Hughes	Representative of the general neighborhood
Sarah Wightman	Representative of the general neighborhood
Barbara Vadakin	At large to represent citywide education issues
Robert Kelly	Representative of the Madison MS PTSA
Fiona Preedy	Representative of the Madison MS PTSA
Mike Barrett	Representative of the Seattle School District
Tristan Thomte	Alternate - Person residing within 600'
Vacant	Alternate
Holly Godard (Ex-officio)	Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI)
Maureen Sheehan (Ex-officio)	Department of Neighborhoods (DON)

### 2. Departures

### 2.1 Specific District Requests

In order to accommodate the educational program for this project, the District requested the following departures from provisions of the SMC 23.79.008 C1a.

### Departure #1 – Less than Required On-site Parking

### Existing Standard: SMC 23.54.015 (Table C - Row N) - REQUIRED PARKING

1 space for each 80 square feet of all auditoria or public assembly rooms, OR 1 space for every 8 fixed seats in auditoria or public assembly rooms containing fixed seats, for new public schools on a new or existing public school site.



### **Exhibit 3 On-Site Parking Stalls**

<u>Departure Requested</u>: to allow for 6 parking spaces less than the code required 62 parking spaces to be provided on-site.

### 2.2 Committee Review and Recommendations

### 2.2.1 Process & Public Meeting

The Committee was convened in one public meeting on May 30 at Madison Middle School, approximately 4 people attended, 2 of whom provided public comment. The common theme raised in public comment was where in the neighborhood teachers and parents would be parking if not on site, and safety concerns for pedestrians as well as cars throughout the day.

### 2.2.2 Review Criteria

Section 23.79 of the Code directs the Committee to evaluate the requested departures for consistency with the general objectives and intent of the Code, and to balance the interrelationships among the following factors:

- a. Relationship to Surrounding Areas:
  - (1) Appropriateness in relation to the character and scale of the surrounding area
  - (2) Presence of edges (significant setbacks, major arterials, topographic breaks, and similar features) which provide a transition in scale.
  - (3) Location and design of structures to reduce the appearance of bulk;
  - (4) Impacts on traffic, noise, circulation and parking in the area; and
  - (5) Impacts on housing and open space.
- b. Need for Departure: The physical requirements of the specific proposal and the project's relationship to educational needs shall be balanced with the level of impacts on the surrounding area. Greater departure may be allowed for special facilities, such as a gymnasium, which are unique and/or an integral and necessary part of the educational process; whereas, a lesser or no departure may be granted for a facility which can be accommodated within the established development standards.

Section 23.51.002 contains further restriction related to single family and other low-rise residential zones.

## 2.2.3 Application of Review Criteria to Requested Departure and Committee Recommendations

The Seattle Municipal Code intent is to grant departures from the requirements of the Municipal Code to accommodate the educational needs of the programs to be located in single family zoned neighborhoods. The Seattle School District has demonstrated that it cannot accommodate the program necessary for this area without granting departures for reduced on-site parking.

### **Need for Departure**

The committee spent most of their discussion discussing whether installing these portables is addressing the issue at hand, which is over enrollment at Seattle Public Schools as well as the parking and safety impacts on the immediate neighbors from those additional cars coming and leaving the school. Overall the committee agreed that students need classrooms on-site and the long-term issue of enrollment would be addressed in the upcoming schools levy.

### Departure #1 – Less than Required Off-street Parking

- 1) Appropriateness in relation to the character and scale of the surrounding area were considered by the committee, and they did not have concerns about less than required off-street parking having an impact on its relationship to the surrounding area.
- 2) Presence of edges (significant setbacks, major arterials, topographic breaks, and similar features) which provide a transition in scale were considered by the committee, and they did not have concerns about less than required off-street parking having an impact on the transition in scale.
- 3) Location and design of structures to reduce the appearance of bulk were considered by the committee, and they did not have concerns about less than required off-street parking having an impact on the appearance of bulk.

- 4) **Impacts on traffic, noise, circulation and parking in the area** were considered by the committee, and they did have concerns about less than required off-street parking having an impact on traffic, circulation and parking in the neighborhood, which were addressed in the recommended conditions.
- 5) **Impacts on housing and open space** were considered by the committee, and they did not have concerns about less than required off-street parking having an impact on housing and open space.

A significant portion of committee deliberation was spent discussing whether the installation of portables will address the problem of over enrollment. Some committee members questioned the school districts decision to use portables rather than addressing the issue. The district did share that an addition to Madison Middle School is proposed for the upcoming schools levy. This concern is reflected in the first condition below, limiting the placement of the portables. Near neighbors were concerned that teachers and parents coming to the school would park primarily only on the streets adjacent to the school, causing them to bear most of the burden of traffic impacts.

Resolved that the removal of six on-site parking stalls will not create new traffic impacts that will negatively impact the neighborhood, the committee focused on how they can have a positive impact on the challenges the neighborhood is currently experiencing.

While parking is not an immediate concern, vehicles passing through the neighborhood and parking illegally in intersections and crosswalks is of concern, especially as children are walking to and from the school. The committee would like to ensure, regardless of the number of parking stalls on-site, that parents, students, and neighbors can safely travel through the neighborhood and drivers obey the posted laws.

After consideration of the above, the Committee recommends:

Recommendation 1 – That the departure to allow less than required off-street parking be GRANTED as requested by the Seattle Public Schools without modifications and with the following conditions:

- a. Removal of the departures when the portables are removed;
- b. SDOT review the enhanced parking and safety measures around the perimeter of the school site and cross streets, specifically on 45th and Hinds and 45th and Charlestown.

For the Committee

Maureen Sheehan Non-Voting Chair





### Madison Middle School Development Standards Design Departure Advisory Committee

Meeting Minutes Meeting #1 May 30, 2018 Madison Middle School 3429 45<sup>th</sup> Ave SW Seattle, WA 98116

#### Members and Alternates Present

Mike Barrett Charlie Calvano Elizabeth Hughes Robert Kelly Fiona Preedy Sue Terrible Barb Vadakin Sara Wightman Tristan Thomte (Alternate)

#### **Ex-Officio Members**

Tristan Thomte (Alternate)

**Madison Middle School** 

Committee

**Members** 

**Mike Barrett** 

Robert Kelly Fiona Preedy

Barb Vadakin

Sarah Wightman

Charlie Calvano

**Elizabeth Hughes** 

**Design Departure Advisory** 

Maureen Sheehan, Department of Neighborhoods Holly Godard,

Seattle Department of Construction & Inspections

### Staff and Others Present

Heffron Transportation
SDCI
SDOT
Architects
DON

#### I. Opening and Introductions

The meeting was opened by Ms. Maureen Sheehan from the City of Seattle, Major Institutions, and Schools Program. Ms. Sheehan welcomed all in attendance and briefly summarized the agenda. Brief introductions followed.

#### II. Overview of the Process

Ms. Sheehan stated that this process is governed by the Land Use Code Sections of the Seattle Municipal Code (SMC Title 23), which specifies how the process works. Ms. Sheehan noted that the City of Seattle does not have a school zone, subject to the development standards of the underlying zone. Since most schools are in residential neighborhoods zoned "single family," schools do not normally meet the underlying zoning requirements. Thus, the Land Use Code contains provisions that allow the Seattle School District to request departures from various development standards.

The Committee is meeting tonight to develop recommendations concerning the School District's requested departures for departures from provisions of the SMC related to land use.

The Committee receives information on the departures being requested from the Seattle Public Schools and its consultants, public testimony, and then the Committee discusses the requested departures. The Committee may do one of the following:

- 1) Recommend granting the departure as requested;
- 2) Recommend granting the departure with modifications or specific conditions, or
- 3) Recommend denial of the departure.

Conditions or modifications identified should be clearly related to the requested departure and enforceable on the District.

The Committee may develop recommendations at this meeting, or if time does not allow, additional public testimony is desired, or additional information is needed, the Committee may hold up to two additional meetings. If the Committee concludes they have enough information and there is no further benefit from additional public testimony, the Committee can determine to move forward at the end of this meeting in establishing their recommendations; in that case, this would be the only public meeting.

Ms. Sheehan emphasized that the Committee's will make recommendations that will be put into a report that will be reviewed by the Committee and forwarded to Ms. Holly Godard of the Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections (SDCI), who will take it into consideration when drafting the Director's decision.

### III. Presentation (00:08:50)

### <u>The Project:</u>

Mr. Paul Dorn of Rolluda Architects presented a brief overview of the departure requested by the School District.

The project scope includes installing four portable classrooms to meet the increased enrollment. Two portables proposed to be in the north parking lot will reduce the on-site parking quantity. They will be partially in the landscape area to allow more space for the vehicles to come in and out of the site.

The School District looked at capacity management alternatives prior to recommending placing portables classrooms at the school. The south parking lot was not ideal because it is visible from the historic front and it also disrupts the drop-off circulation.

The parking required by code on site is 160 spaces. There was a departure decision of 62 spaces in 2003. The current proposed parking space departure is for 6 spaces for a total of 56 on-site parking spaces.

#### Parking Analysis:

Ms. Jennifer Barnes of Heffron Transportation summarized the methodology used for the parking analysis around the site including: performing on-street and on-site parking demand counts during the weekday periods and Sunday mid-mornings, calculating the parking demand that could result from the installation of the portables, doing an inventory of on-street parking supply within 800 ft. of the area, and adjusting the baseline parking to account for future projects, of which there are none.

Ms. Barnes concluded that the parking impact around the site would be negligible and there were no recommended mitigation measures.

#### Summary of the Requested Departure:

#### 1. <u>Reduced on-site parking</u>

Seattle Public Schools is requesting a departure for reduced on-site parking of 6 spaces for a total of 56 on-site parking spaces.

The request is due to an increase student enrollment that requires capacity expansion, limited expansion options and location for the portables, and there will be negligible impact to on-street parking due to portables.

#### IV. Committee Clarifying Questions

Ms. Sheehan opened the floor for Committee clarifying questions.

A question was asked about the decision to locate the portables on the north side of the parking lot versus the southside and were there any ADA and accessibility considerations given. Mr. Dorn responded that having ADA access played a significant role in the decision. The north side has ADA access. There are two ADA parking stalls in the parking lot that come up to a sidewalk with a curb cut that leads to the north building entrance that has an automatic door button. The portables will have accessible ramps. Portables 1 and 2 access a flat grade into the door and elevator. The gravel will be replaced by a concrete pathway.

A question was asked about the design and profile of the portables and if they fit into the existing landscape of the neighborhood. Ms. Sheehan reminded the Committee that they are evaluating the School District's request of the removal of parking spots and not the portables. Mr. Dorn noted that the portables meet the School District's standards and are to be painted tan with white trim and includes several windows. Mr. Mike Barrett added that portables meet the height and property setbacks as defined in the Land Use Code.

A question was asked about data regarding evening activities in the school. Ms. Barnes noted that she does not have the data frequency, but based on the parking analysis, there is not much change.

Mr. Charlie Calvano commented that the report taken by Heffron describing Sunday parking was not accurate since it was done on a holiday weekend. He noted that the parking lot is always full on Sunday morning, but added that the north end parking lot is not impacted since it was closed during that time. He asked about the number of faculty and staff currently at the school site. There are approximately 85-90 staff. Mr. Barrett noted that the departure request affecting the 6 parking stalls at the north end does not affect the existing staff mode of transportation. The departure request focuses on the effect on the parking lot.

A comment was made about having clarity and consistency about the transportation study. The study does not reflect the reality of what is happening around the site. Ms. Barnes noted that throughout the traffic analysis, the result would still be below the City of Seattle parking threshold, and the impact of losing the parking spaces will be minimal.

Ms. Fiona Preedy asked how permanent the departure is, and Ms. Holly Godard noted that the departure runs with the project, and it is permanent. However, the Committee can put conditions on the departure such as removal of the departure when the portables are removed.

A suggestion was made of a condition of the removal of the portables based on the lower enrollment of the school. A response was made that it is beyond the Committee's prevue regrading enrollment projections.

A question was asked if the design team had looked at adding the portables to the southwest field and having adaption to the ADA access. Mr. Dorn responded that they looked at the pros and cons of the area and it did not make sense due to security issues. Also, the difference in grade and steep slope. Mr. Barrett noted that developing a series of ramps to overcome the steep slope drop, the result will be a considerable walking distance between the classrooms and the school building.

Mr. Barrett added that the other consideration involves the BEX (Building Excellence) levy. The continued growth in West Seattle and the projected growth enrollment, the School District is considering an addition as a potential next project. If the addition is built, the southwest field needs to be free of portables.

A question was asked about what happens if the enrollment continues to grow, will there be additional portables placed on site. Mr. Barrett commented that if the School District decides it needs more classrooms and further reduce on-site parking, it will go back through a departure process and propose additional classrooms and look at all possible real estate that is available on the site. It was noted that the building and four portables will sustain projected growth for two years.

Mr. Robert Kelly asked if additional parking spaces can be added to offset the portables. Mr. Barrett commented that would require developing a storm retention area in addition to parking.

A comment was made if community members have spoken and communicated to staff and inform them about where to park. A response was made that is a good discussion to bring during committee deliberation.

### V. Public Comments and Questions

Ms. Sheehan opened the floor for public comments and questions.

# (Editor's Note: The comments shown below are summaries of statements provided. They are not transcriptions and have been shortened and edited to include the major points raised. Full comments are retained in the files in voice recording (.mp3) form)

**Comments from an anonymous person**: She asked if the area above the playfield is feasible for the portables. She noted that she lives across the street from the school at the flat area and noticed people choose to park in the area that is close to the school. She added that enrollment growth will be a long-term problem and would like to see a permanent expansion rather than more portables. She concluded that her main concern was daytime parking.

Comments from Doug Brandeberry: Lives on 45<sup>th</sup> and his main concern is safety during drop-off and pick-up.

### VI. Committee Deliberation

Ms. Sheehan opened the discussions for committee deliberation. She asked the Committee to deliberate on the need for the departure and then discuss on whether to recommend or deny with or without any conditions.

Mr. Tristan Thomte shared that he is in favor of granting the departure. He noted that there was sufficient due diligence done in the street parking analysis and added with the current number of staff and faculty at the school, the parking lot is not at all full and the impact to the neighborhood is minimal. He added that teachers can find different modes of transportation other than driving and parking on-site or in the streets.

Ms. Wightman is in favor of granting the departure with the condition of removing the departure when the portables are removed. She also added that her main concern was the drop off and pick up traffic rather than parking and suggested if the Committee can address this issue as a condition.

Ms. Vadakin is in favor of granting the departure and she agrees with what Ms. Wightman stated.

Ms. Terrible commented that she appreciates Ms. Hughes' comments since she experiences the same situation regarding the impact of parking. Her main concern is the long-term effect of the enrollment growth at the school and if this departure is the best available solution.

Ms. Vadakin asked about the new BEX levy and with the proposed new addition to the school, will the portables be removed. Mr. Barrett noted that one of the goals of the BEX projects is to add capacity and the portables are not an ideal long-term solution. The design will be to add more classrooms and the portables will remain until the addition is completed. Ms. Vadakin asked about the timeline if the voters approve the next levy. Mr. Barrett noted that the levy is for a six-year period and that the list of school projects for the upcoming levy are being reviewed by the school district and are not yet final. Information about the list of potential projects and the upcoming levy can be found at the Seattle School District's website.

A question was asked about the continued increase in enrollment at Madison and if there are any alternatives being considered to accommodate the growth such as more portables on-site or boundary changes. Mr. Barrett commented that he recognized a boundary changes but does not have the information about how this change will affect Madison. He added that the portables are not trying to solve the growth issue.

Mr. Calvano commented that he is in favor of granting the departure with the condition that the departure be removed when the portables are removed.

A comment was made that she agrees with granting the departure with the condition already stated. She also suggested investigation of safety issues around the site as a condition of the departure. Ms. Sheehan commented that every school should have a transportation management plan where the principal and staff identify the pick-up and drop off areas and coordinate with volunteers about safety around the school. This can be a condition that the Committee can recommend.

A comment was made that it is beneficial to recommend SDOT be involved in the process, so they can identify the curb cuts, crosswalks, etc.

Ms. Vadakin commented that she was on the Safety Committee for the school and the challenge was educating the parents on where to do the drop-off and pick-up around the site to ensure the safety of the kids.

A comment was made that at a minimum to have a clear designated crosswalks, curbs and safety zones identified around the school site.

Mr. Kelly commented that it is unfortunate that parents do not want to listen or follow the pick-up or drop-off plan. He noted that he is in favor of granting the departure with conditions.

Ms. Preedy commented that she is in favor of granting the departure with conditions. She noted that the educational adequacy of the portables is much greater and installing portables in the north parking lot is the only possible solution to address the safety of the children.

Mr. Barrett is in favor of granting the departure with conditions. He agrees with the Committee about removing the departure when the portables are removed. He also heard about safety conditions and he noted that there is already a Safety Committee at school and he does not know if adding a condition about safety would encourage parents to cooperate with the plan. He noted that he will communicate the information back to the principal.

Ms. Rachel Huck of SDOT commented that she heard the concerns about safety and parking around the school site and she will bring this information back and see if the site is eligible for traffic measure upgrades. She added that technically no one is allowed to park within 40 ft. of the intersection and she recommended having signs installed.

A comment was made that she prefers no signs because of vandalism but having painted curbs instead. Ms. Huck will check what is SDOT's standards about painted curbs.

Ms. Sheehan suggested for the Committee to recommend SDOT to look at ways of how to minimize and prevent parking conflicts and illegal parking around the school site.

A comment was made suggesting SDOT to look at the intersection around 45<sup>th</sup> and Hines and 45<sup>th</sup> and Charlestown for parking and safety measures.

Ms. Sheehan summarized the conditions for granting the departure as follows:

a. Removal of the departures when the portables are removed;

b. Requesting SDOT to review the enhanced parking and safety measures around the school site specifically on  $45^{\text{th}}$  and Hines and  $45^{\text{th}}$  and Charlestown.

Ms. Huck suggested if the School District do the count of the pedestrian crossings and cars during pick-up and drop-off and make recommendations to SDOT based on these counts.

Mr. Barrett suggested to adding the four corners around the school site during pick-up and drop-off for SDOT to review pedestrian safety measures.

Mr. Barrett commented for SDOT to receive the recommendation, will it be possible if SDOT accept the counts done by an independent third party. Ms. Huck answered that SDOT would need the counts on hours of pick-up and drop-off and pedestrian walking on the four corners of the streets.

Ms. Godard asked about the scope of Safe Routes to School, and Ms. Huck noted that SDOT would implement the recommendations of Safe Routes to School.

Mr. Barrett commented if it is SDOT's standards to paint the curbs so far back at the corner of the intersection, and Ms. Huck responded that she will go back and check the standard procedure.

Ms. Sheehan summarized that one of the condition is to request SDOT to review enhanced parking and pedestrian safety at a minimum at all four corners of the school perimeter including 45<sup>th</sup> and Charlestown.

### VI. Committee Recommendations

Ms. Sheehan opened the discussion for Committee recommendations and noted that the Committee had deliberated on the conditions and the departure being requested.

#### Departure: Reduced on-site parking

There was a motion to recommend granting the reduced on-site parking departure with the following conditions; and it was seconded.

1. Removal of the parking departure when the classroom portables are removed;

2. Requesting SDOT to review parking and pedestrian safety at a minimum at all four corners of the school perimeter including  $45^{\text{th}}$  and Charleston.

By show of hands, a quorum being present, and the majority of those present voted unanimously in favor of granting the requested departure, the motion passed.

#### VII. Adjournment and scheduling of next meeting

Ms. Sheehan mentioned that she will send out the draft recommendation report for feedback and comments as soon as possible.

No further business being before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned.