City of Seattle Domestic Workers Standards Board Meeting Minutes

March 28, 2022, 6:30pm Held via Zoom

Members Attending: Silvia González, Jordan Goldwarg, Baylie Freeman, Estefana Harry, Diana Salazar

<u>Members Absent:</u> Emily Dills, Liz Hunter-Keller, Edy Dominguez, Teresa Hillis, Steve Hooper, Etelbina Hauser

OLS Staff: Laine Middaugh, Jennifer Molina, Steve Marchese, Mark Hansen

<u>Guests:</u> Cristal Barragán (Spanish Interpreter), Dana Barnett, Elvia Cortes, Danielle Alvarado, Kim England, Vero Facchinelli, Cariño Barragán Talancon, Estephany

- 1. Welcome
 - a. Baylie welcomed people to the meeting.
- 2. OLS updates
 - a. Steve Marchese, OLS Director, joined us to congratulate the board on the work we have done so far.
 - b. Laine provided an update on two pieces of legislation.
 - i. Independent Contractor legislation
 - 1. Goes into effect in September.
 - 2. Covers ICs who earn more than \$600/year from a given hiring entity.
 - 3. Hiring entity must provide detailed description of work, timely payment.
 - 4. Most DWs will not be covered, since this covers commercial hiring entities (but those who work for an app or a hiring agency would be).
 - ii. Pay Up legislation
 - 1. Minimum payment, transparency, other rights for app-based workers
 - 2. Moving through council now
 - 3. Some DWs who work for apps will be covered
- 3. Budget recommendation next steps
 - a. Laine walked the board through the final recommendations from our budget equity analysis.
 - b. The board will need to identify members to serve as the liaison to OLS for each recommendation.
 - c. OLS will work with the board over the next two months to develop an application / Request for Proposals (RFP) process to find community partners that will receive funding to help implement the recommendations.
 - i. Application would open in Q3, funds would be contracted in Q4.
 - ii. To streamline the process, there will be one RFP that covers all recommendations.
 - iii. OLS will need help from the board to make the application accessible to community, outreach to ensure that people know about the RFP, and developing criteria for proposal selection.

- d. Vero asked if the language justice recommendation was for organizations or individuals
 - i. Laine responded that it is designed more to help develop structures and systems for language justice
- e. Jordan asked for clarification on the employer outreach plan.
 - i. Jennifer responded that funding will allow materials to be updated and then shared with OLS community partners.
 - ii. Distribution of materials will happen through a partner identified through the RFP process.
- f. Jordan asked for clarification on how the board can assist OLS through this process.
 - i. OLS will be looking for board members to help guide the process of developing the RFP and also provide guidance on implementation of recommendations.
 - ii. The board liaison(s) can consult with the rest of the board through that process.
- g. Diana asked if there is a racial equity framework that we follow when developing the RFP.
 - i. Jennifer said that we would follow the city's Race and Social Justice Initiative in developing the RFP.
- h. Jordan will be the point person on the board for the RFP development; Silvia and Baylie will be the point persons for everything else, and they will discuss a structure for including other board members in the process.
- 4. Board Vacancies
 - a. We currently have four vacancies on the board (three council, one mayoral)
 - i. 4. Individual who contract with or hire one or more domestic worker (mayoral)
 - ii. 6. Domestic worker who are not worker organization representative (council)
 - iii. 7. Hiring entity or their representative (council)
 - iv. 8. Individual who contract with or hire one or more domestic worker (council)
 - b. Laine is preparing postings for these positions, will set a deadline of June 1
 - c. Co-chairs and Laine will meet to discuss current gaps and needs on the board.
 - d. Dana talked about specific gaps that exist (employers with disabilities, employers of color, employers of home-care workers)
 - e. Jordan asked if Laine could include information about the per-diem compensation in the position descriptions
 - f. Jordan also asked the board to think about other ways to reduce barriers to participation (e.g. can we provide childcare?)
- 5. Other
 - a. Silvia and Jordan asked if the city has issued any guidance on boards and commissions starting to meet in person again; Laine will look into it
 - b. Diana let people know that a group of board members will be meeting with CM Mosqueda on May 3 to discuss the \$100k cut to our budget allocation
- 6. Public Comment
 - a. Dana let the board know that even though she is no longer a member, she is still planning to come to all meetings and wants to remain a resource for the board.
 - b. Vero wondered if partners of COEF grantees can apply for funding through the upcoming RFP process
 - i. Jennifer said that this is still to be determined

- c. Cariño (re)introduced herself as the new Co-ED of Casa Latina
- d. Danielle commented that she is so proud of the work we have done on the budget recommendations, wanted to offer up Fair Work Center as a resource to help OLS and the board maximize the use of these funds.
- 7. The meeting was adjourned at 8:33pm.