

**Research Update:**

# Seattle, WA Series 2025 Drainage And Wastewater System Improvement And Refunding Revenue Bonds Assigned 'AA+' Rating

**May 6, 2025**

## Overview

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to Seattle, Wash.'s anticipated \$157.1 million series 2025 drainage and wastewater system improvement and refunding revenue bonds. Seattle Public Utilities (SPU) is the city's utility system.
- At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AA+' rating on Seattle's outstanding drainage and wastewater system parity debt.
- The outlook is stable.

## Rationale

### Security

Proceeds will be used to fund \$66 million of upcoming drainage and wastewater system capital needs and to refund certain existing bonds. We consider the bond provisions to be standard. The series 2025 bonds are secured by a pledge of net revenues of the city's drainage and wastewater system. In addition, securing all parity bonds is a reserve funded at the lesser of maximum annual debt service (MADS), 125% of average annual debt service, or 10% of proceeds. A rate covenant requires the system to generate coverage of 1.25x average annual debt service, although withdrawals from a rate stabilization fund may be included in this calculation.

At fiscal year-end Dec. 31, 2024, the system had \$767.3 million of drainage and wastewater revenue bonds, \$194.5 million in subordinate state loans, and \$86.8 million in borrowing under the Water Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act (WIFIA) program with the Environmental Protection Agency.

### Credit highlights

SPU's well-embedded management policies and financial practices have contributed to a healthy financial risk profile and stable drainage and wastewater operations in recent years. The

### Primary contact

**Chloe S Weil**  
San Francisco  
1-415-371-5026  
chloe.weil  
@spglobal.com

### Additional contact

**Malcolm N D'Silva**  
Englewood  
1-303-721-4526  
malcolm.dsilva  
@spglobal.com

rating is further supported by the overall favorable economic considerations of the customer base in Seattle, offsetting retail rates that are above average for the region, especially when adding utility taxes that SPU pays to the city and state.

We consider SPU's operational management comprehensive and forward-looking. Still, our assessment is tempered by the system's near-term capital spending, which focuses on regulatory compliance, environmental stewardship, emergency preparedness, and system reliability. While we consider the \$1.4 billion six-year capital improvement plan (CIP) relatively substantial, about 40% will be funded with non-debt funding sources, which we consider credit-stabilizing. However, nearly all sewage within Seattle is treated on a wholesale basis by King County's regional wastewater treatment system (with about 1% treated by Southwest Suburban Sewer District). King County has approximately \$3.5 billion of debt outstanding and an \$8.2 billion 10-year CIP, approximately one-third of which will be passed through to the city, which adds social risk.

Fiscal 2024 financial performance was very strong, in our view, as operating revenues increased by \$33.9 million (6.2%) year-over-year, due to an average rate increase of 3.8% for wastewater and 6.4% for drainage, resulting in additional revenues of \$21 million and \$12.1 million, respectively, while operating expenses increased \$12.1 million (2.6%) from 2023. Cash on hand improved to \$429.6 million as of Dec. 31, 2024, which we consider strong. The Fund also received a significant \$160 million settlement from Monsanto for the Lower Duwamish Waterway Superfund cleanup, the proceeds of which will be used to fund a portion of the upcoming CIP. The settlement is held in a restricted account.

Our calculation of all-in debt service coverage considers SPU's imputed debt resulting from the city's participation in the county's regional wastewater treatment system and taxes paid to the general fund. It is weaker than SPU's calculation, given the sizeable amount of debt the regional wastewater treatment system has outstanding--we estimate that SPU is responsible for approximately 32% of King County's annual debt service, or about \$85 million. We expect all-in coverage to decline modestly but remain at levels that we consider sound (more than 1.5x, as calculated by S&P Global Ratings).

About two-thirds of the drainage and wastewater system is a combined or partly combined storm water and wastewater system. This type of system leads to heavy flows and potential overflows during wet weather events. A significant portion of the drainage and wastewater system's CIP, including the Ship Canal Water Quality Project, is intended to address overflows and flooding. The Ship Canal project includes a 29-million-gallon offline storage tunnel, six diversion structures for diverting influent-combined sewage away from existing combined sewer overflow (CSO) outfalls to the tunnel, five drop structures to convey combined sewage into the storage tunnel, and odor-control systems. Because SPU owns four of the six existing CSO outfalls related to the Ship Canal project (King County owns the other two), per a joint agreement SPU is responsible for 65% of the project costs and King County for the remaining 35%.

The rating further reflects our view of the system's:

- City ordinance that allows SPU to pass through increases in King County's wastewater treatment charges based on adopted wholesale rates and projected billed consumption, which we consider a key credit strength.
- City council's demonstrated ability and willingness to increase service rates, with multiyear rate schedules that promote cost recovery and revenue stability. SPU's current plan assumes

a combined 4.7% average annual rate increases during 2025-2030 for all three SPU funds combined.

- Moderate leverage, with a 52% debt-to-capitalization ratio as of Dec. 31, 2024. SPU employees participate in the Seattle City Employees Retirement System (SCERS). The plan is only 76% funded, which we consider slightly below average (as a percentage of expenditures) but we expect it to be manageable in the near term for SPU.

## Environmental, social, and governance

We believe Seattle's direct environmental risks are substantial and have factored them into the rating, given that SPU is subject to deadline-certain regulatory mandates to reduce the number of sewage backups and untreated sewage overflows that enter the Duwamish River, Lake Washington, and the Puget Sound. It also faces social risk related to its sizeable CIP, which we expect will pressure rates that are already relatively high compared to those of its peer utilities. In our view, the service area's above-average income levels partially mitigate this risk. Because the city is concerned about affordability for low-income customers, it provides a 50% discount to income-qualifying households, with an enrollment of about 30,000 households.

In December 2021, the Washington Department of Ecology issued the Puget Sound Nutrient General Permit. The permit is on appeal and is the subject of litigation. However, the potential nutrient removal limits could compel the county to construct an additional wastewater treatment plant and finance significant upgrades to existing facilities, with current cost estimates in the multi-billion-dollar range, and for one-third of which Seattle would likely be responsible. We will evaluate the potential credit implications, if any, as the timing and funding mechanisms are clarified during the next several years.

Finally, we view SPU's governance factors as credit-neutral because they include full rate-setting autonomy, strong policies and planning, and robust interaction between management and the city council.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our anticipation that even with the additional planned debt during the next five years layered in, the system's financial capacity will not diminish to the point that metrics would become inconsistent with the current rating. We believe that any reluctance or inability to raise rates could result in erosion of financial margins and, in turn, diminished credit quality. Nevertheless, we believe the system's rate affordability could be challenged if household incomes do not keep pace with planned rate increases.

## Downside scenario

We could take a negative rating action if liquidity is unexpectedly and substantially drawn down, or if project delays result in significantly more leverage than required to complete the CIP.

## Upside scenario

Given the size of the CIP and the system's rising costs of service, we do not anticipate taking a positive rating action during the outlook period.

## Credit Opinion

The city of Seattle (population: 797,700) sits at the center of the large, diverse Puget Sound regional economy. We view the service area's income levels as extremely strong based on the city's median household effective buying income (MHHEBI) of more than 153% of the national level in 2024. While the population served has grown by 41% in the past 25 years, per capita demand has been flat to declining due to conservation and the city forecasts stable demand, consistent with other large wastewater systems at this rating level.

We consider the city's annualized residential drainage and wastewater bill elevated on a nominal basis relative to those of its peers in the Pacific Northwest at an average of about \$177 per month, including a public utility tax of about \$20 charged by the city at a rate of 12.0% for wastewater revenues and 11.5% for drainage revenues, and a 3.85% public utility tax paid to the state of Washington on a certain portion of revenues identified as sewer collection revenues. Nevertheless, given the high income levels in Seattle, we believe the system's rates are somewhat more affordable at about 2.2% of MHHEBI.

### Seattle, Washington--Economic and financial data

|   | Most recent | Fiscal year-end |           |         | Median (AA+) |
|---|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|--------------|
|   |             | 2024            | 2023      | 2022    |              |
| Economic data                                       |             |                 |           |         |              |
| MHHEBI of the service area as % of the U.S.         | 153.0       |                 |           |         | 112.0        |
| Unemployment rate (%)                               | 3.8         |                 |           |         | 3.4          |
| Poverty rate (%)                                    | 8.5         |                 |           |         | 10.2         |
| Water rate (6,000 gallons or actual) (\$)           | 0.0         |                 |           |         | 33.9         |
| Sewer rate (6,000 gallons or actual) (\$)           | 144.2       |                 |           |         | 38.6         |
| Annual utility bill as % of MHHEBI                  | 1.7         |                 |           |         | 1.0          |
| Operational management assessment                   | Good        |                 |           |         | Good         |
| Financial data                                      |             |                 |           |         |              |
| Total operating revenues (\$000s)                   |             | 509,612         | 478,776   | 458,029 | 41,982       |
| Total operating expenses less depreciation (\$000s) |             | 361,756         | 353,828   | 288,442 | 31,740       |
| Net revenues available for debt service (\$000s)    |             | 290,198         | 142,831   | 162,496 | --           |
| Debt service (\$000s)                               |             | 73,809          | 67,084    | 68,390  | --           |
| S&P Global Ratings-adjusted all-in DSC (x)          |             | 2.4             | 1.5       | 1.7     | 2.5          |
| Unrestricted cash (\$000s)                          |             | 429,625         | 346,886   | 270,396 | 55,536       |
| Days' cash of operating expenses                    |             | 433             | 358       | 342     | 650          |
| Total on-balance-sheet debt (\$000s)                |             | 1,058,575       | 1,116,949 | 959,579 | 74,352       |
| Debt-to-capitalization ratio (%)                    |             | 52.3            | 59.1      | 56.6    | 25.0         |
| Financial management assessment                     | Strong      | --              | --        | --      | Good         |

Note: Most recent economic data available from our vendors. MHHEBI--Median household effective buying income. DSC--Debt service coverage.

#### Ratings List

##### New Issue Ratings

US\$157.14 mil drainage and wastewtr sys imp and rfdg rev bnds ser 2025 due 05/01/2055

Ratings List

|                  |            |
|------------------|------------|
| Long Term Rating | AA+/Stable |
|------------------|------------|

Ratings Affirmed

Water & Sewer

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| Seattle, WA Sewer and Stormwater System | AA+/Stable |
|---|------------|

The ratings appearing below the new issues represent an aggregation of debt issues (ASID) associated with related maturities. The maturities similarly reflect our opinion about the creditworthiness of the U.S. Public Finance obligor's legal pledge for payment of the financial obligation. Nevertheless, these maturities may have different credit ratings than the rating presented next to the ASID depending on whether or not additional legal pledge(s) support the specific maturity's payment obligation, such as credit enhancement, as a result of defeasance, or other factors.

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read inconjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/ratings-criteria> for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at <https://disclosure.spglobal.com/ratings/en/regulatory/article/-/view/sourceld/504352>. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings referenced herein can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings).

Copyright © 2025 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Some of the Content may have been created with the assistance of an artificial intelligence (AI) tool. Published Content created or processed using AI is composed, reviewed, edited, and approved by S&P personnel.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.spglobal.com/ratings](http://www.spglobal.com/ratings) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees](http://www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.