

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# Seattle, Washington; General Obligation; General Obligation Equivalent Security

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Li Yang, San Francisco + 1 (415) 371 5024; li.yang@spglobal.com

### Secondary Contact:

Chris Morgan, San Francisco + 1 (415) 371 5032; chris.morgan@spglobal.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

## Summary:

# Seattle, Washington; General Obligation; General Obligation Equivalent Security

### Credit Profile

US\$93.94 mil ltd tax GO imp and rfdg bnds ser 2023A due 11/01/2043		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	New
US\$35.455 mil unlted tax GO rfdg bnds ser 2023 due 12/01/2042		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	New
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed

### Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating to Seattle, Wash.'s anticipated \$93.94 million series 2023A limited-tax general obligation (GO) improvement and refunding bonds and the anticipated \$35.455 million series 2023 unlimited-tax GO refunding bonds.
- At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'AAA' long-term rating on the city's previously issued unlimited-tax and limited-tax GO bonds.
- The outlook is stable.

### Security

The city's full faith and credit, including the obligation to levy ad valorem property taxes without limitation as to rate or amount, secures the city's unlimited-tax GO bonds. The city's limited-tax GO bonds, including the series 2023A, are subject to statutory limitations that include a limit on annual property tax revenue growth without a voter override and a limit on the city's levy rate of \$3.60 per \$1,000 of assessed value. The city's 2023 operating levy rate is \$1.93. The city will use proceeds of the series 2023A limited tax and 2023 unlimited-tax GO refunding bonds to refund its 2013A limited-tax and 2013 unlimited-tax GO debt outstanding for interest expense savings. A portion of the series 2023A limited tax bonds will also be used to fund or reimburse all or a portion of the costs of the various projects of the city's capital improvement program.

### Credit overview

The local economy for Seattle remains very strong in fiscal 2023, evidenced by sustained income and wealth levels that remain robust and have increased steadily the past several years. The city's assessed value (AV) has also increased continuously, most recently by nearly 12% in 2023. The latest increase is attributable to the ongoing housing demand in the region as well as new construction of both residential and commercial properties.

Overall, the city's major revenue streams, which include property taxes, sales and use taxes, and business &

occupation (B&O) taxes, have demonstrated continued growth in fiscal 2022, and management projects it will follow a similar positive trend for fiscal 2023. A key factor that has enabled the city to maintain its very strong financial position is its new payroll expense tax that became effective Jan. 1, 2021. This tax is levied on businesses with highly compensated employees and has generated approximately \$248 million (13% of general fund revenues) for the 2021 year. The city has transferred funds from this revenue stream into the general operating fund to support its general fund operations as well as replenish its reserves back to higher levels. The city continues to maintain a very strong financial position, with healthy reserve levels reported in its general fund (equal to \$668.6 million or 36% of expenditures for unaudited fiscal 2022), an operating surplus reported in fiscal 2021, and a projected surplus in fiscal 2022 based on unaudited figures. Management indicates the city may draw down on these reserves in the near-term, although it expects reserves to remain robust based on the current size of the city's available reserves compared with its near-term needs.

We note that certain macroeconomic weaknesses could challenge the city's budgetary performance in the longer term, such as historically high inflation resulting in expenditures outpacing revenue growth. However, we believe the city's very strong management practices and policies, coupled with its reserves, will cushion the city from potential shocks to its budget should a recession occur.

The ratings further reflect our assessment of the city's:

- Very strong economic profile, including a projected per capita effective buying income nearly twice the national level, which is unusually strong for a city of its size;
- Very strong management, with strong financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment methodology;
- Strong budgetary performance in 2021 and 2022, which boosted the adjusted available general fund balance to what we consider a very strong 36% of expenditures; and
- Very strong debt and contingent liability profile unusual for a city of its size, with debt service at just 4% of governmental expenditures and pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) at 6% of expenditures.

### **Environmental, social, and governance**

In our view, the city has elevated exposure to rising sea levels, which we consider a form of chronic physical risk, and is addressing the implications by assessing vulnerable areas and making capital improvements that include debt-financed reinforcements to its downtown seawall. The city has managed the risk of natural disasters, particularly earthquakes, by revising building codes and funding an emergency management office.

Social capital risks also are elevated, in our view, with a substantial number of households at risk of or experiencing homelessness. A pattern of rising ownership and rental prices is also likely exacerbating the problem, and planning policies in the region do not seem to provide conditions for supply to match demand growth for additions. The city is responding to this challenge in multiple ways, such as generating resources for affordable housing development through a recently adopted employer tax and a voter-approved property tax override.

We consider governance risk neutral within our criteria framework, with robust political debate but a functional decision-making process. We also consider its public document disclosure regarding policy and budgetary challenges

timely.

## Outlook

In light of certain budgetary challenges that the city faces, we believe the city's very strong reserve levels will be key to maintaining current credit quality if costs rise faster than revenues in the longer term. The stable outlook reflects our expectation that the city can sufficiently and actively adjust its future budgets without substantially spending its reserves to materially weaker levels.

### Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the city experiences sustained operating deficits without a credible recovery plan resulting in materially weaker reserve levels.

## Credit Opinion

### Issue rating's relationship with U.S. sovereign rating

Our issue ratings reflect our view that the city's general creditworthiness is above that of the U.S. sovereign. We do not expect the city to default in a stress scenario that accompanies a sovereign default, given autonomy from sovereign intervention. We think the city exhibits relatively low funding interdependency with the federal government, as local taxes represent the vast majority of total governmental funds revenue. However, consistent with our view that U.S. state and local governments are moderately sensitive to country risk, we would be unlikely to set ratings on the city's obligations more than two notches above the U.S. sovereign rating.

### Seattle, Wash: key credit metrics

	Most recent information	Historical information		
		2021	2020	2019
<b>Economic indicators</b>				
Population	739,654			
Median household EBI % of U.S.	146			
Per capita EBI % of U.S.	193.89			
Top 10 taxpayers as a % of assessed value	3.46			
<b>Financial indicators</b>				
Total adjusted available fund balance (\$000)	420,937	250,764	334,350	
Total adjusted available fund balance as a % of operating expenditures	23.81	15.12	21	
Governmental funds cash as a % of governmental fund expenditures	72.43	70.28	81.08	
General fund operating result as a % of general fund operating expenditures	11.24	(4.77)	6.4	
<b>Debt and long-term liabilities</b>				
Overall net debt as a % of market value	0.54			
Debt service as a % of governmental funds expenditures	4.04	4.24	4.70	
Required pension contribution (\$000)	158,044	158,735	135,519	
OPEB contribution (\$000)	31,344	30,121	28,826	

**Seattle, Wash: key credit metrics (cont.)**

	<u>Most recent information</u>	<u>Historical information</u>	
Required pension plus OPEB contribution as a % of governmental fund expenditures	6.43	6.07	6.49

EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefit.

**Related Research**

Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022

**Ratings Detail (As Of May 23, 2023)**

Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
Seattle GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Seattle Indian Services Commission, Washington</b>		
Seattle, Washington		
Seattle Indian Svcs Comm (Seattle) GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Seattle Museum Development Authority, Washington</b>		
Seattle, Washington		
Seattle Museum Dev Auth (Seattle) GO equiv		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.capitaliq.com](http://www.capitaliq.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.