



Environmental Impact Statement –  
Summary of the Public Scoping Process

FORT LAWTON  
ARMY RESERVE CENTER REDEVELOPMENT

Prepared by:

CITY OF SEATTLE OFFICE OF HOUSING

and

EA ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, INC., PBC

August 21, 2017

## **Introduction**

The purpose of this document is to summarize the comments received during the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) scoping period for the Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and, as necessary, to update the SEPA EIS scope based on comments received during the scoping period. The SEPA Determination of Significance (DS) and Request for Comments on the Scope of the EIS was published on June 5, 2017. A 21-day comment period was identified in the DS/Request for Comments, as stipulated in WAC 197-11-410.

### *Project Background*

The project is located at the 28-acre former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center, which is in the Magnolia neighborhood in northwest Seattle. Following the decision to close the base in 2005, the Army named the City of Seattle the Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA), responsible for preparing and implementing a redevelopment plan. The City conducted an extensive public process from 2006 through 2008 that resulted in a detailed plan to create a diverse, mixed-income community with housing for homeless individuals and families and market rate housing, while also preserving existing wildlife habitat and creating a new neighborhood park. The plan was put on hold, when the City was directed to undergo State Environmental Protection Act (SEPA) review, followed by significant changes in market conditions.

The City is now carrying forward its past planning efforts into a vision for Fort Lawton that creates an affordable, livable community for people with low incomes, and takes advantage of the opportunity to increase recreational and open space. Specifically, the City envisions a mix of affordable housing including supportive housing for formerly homeless seniors, as well as affordable rental and ownership housing for low income families and individuals, and a variety of park uses, including preservation of natural areas, development of new park spaces that could support a range of uses including active recreation, and re-use of an existing structure as a park maintenance facility.

### *Proposed Scope*

The DS/Request for Comments *preliminarily* identified the following elements of the environment for analysis in the EIS:

- Geology/Soils
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Environmental Health
- Noise
- Land Use
- Housing/Socioeconomics/Environmental Justice
- Aesthetics/Visual Resources
- Recreation/Open Space
- Historic/Cultural Resources
- Transportation
- Public Services
- Utilities

The DS/Request for Comments *preliminarily* identified four alternatives for analysis in the EIS:

- **Alternative 1 – Mixed Income Affordable Housing and Public Park Uses**  
Development of a mix of affordable housing onsite, including homeless and affordable rental and ownership housing, with a portion of the site likely rezoned to Lowrise residential zoning. Public park uses would also be created, including active park facilities, preserved existing natural areas and conversion of an existing structure to a park maintenance facility;
- **Alternative 2 – Market Rate Housing Onsite; Affordable and Homeless Housing Offsite**  
Development of market rate single family housing under current zoning onsite, and construction of homeless and affordable housing at an off-site location;
- **Alternative 3 – Public Park Onsite; Affordable and Homeless Housing Offsite**  
Development of the entire site as a public park, and construction of homeless and affordable housing at an off-site location; and
- **Alternative 4 – No Action**  
No redevelopment of the site; existing structures onsite would be maintained.

This document contains an overview of the Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment project, a detailed summary of the EIS scoping process, a summary of the comments received during the scoping comment period and any revisions to the EIS scope based on public input received through the scoping process. **Attachment A** provides a table of comments that are representative of common themes and topics. **Attachment B** includes public notice documentation and a complete mailing list. **Attachment C** contains a list of all the commenters on the EIS scope during the scoping period.

## **EIS Scoping Process**

The Seattle Office of Housing is the lead agency and is responsible for performing the duties required by SEPA for the Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment project. The Director of the Seattle Office of Housing is the Responsible Official for SEPA review. Seattle Office of Housing determined that the proposal is reasonably likely to have adverse impacts on the environment. An EIS will be prepared consistent with WAC 197-11-400 through 460 to address probable significant impacts associated with the proposal.

Scoping provides notice to agencies, organizations and the public that a EIS will be prepared for a proposed project. The intent of scoping is to gather public and agency comments on the environmental issues and alternatives to be addressed in the EIS. Based on the comments received during the scoping process, the Seattle Office of Housing will finalize the EIS Scope and direct preparation of the Draft EIS.

On June 5, 2017, the Seattle Office of Housing initiated the EIS scoping process for the Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment project by carrying out the following actions:

- Met with representatives of local community organizations, and individual neighbors that have expressed interest in the project, to give advance notice of the scoping process.
- Issued a SEPA DS/Request for Comments on the Scope of the EIS. The DS/Request for Comments included notification of a public meeting on June 19 to provide the public with an opportunity to become more familiar with the proposal and to comment on the scope of the EIS. It also gave notice of the minimum 21-day scoping period. The DS/Request for Comments is available for review at:  
[http://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/Housing/Footer%20Pages/For%20Lawton%20DS\\_Scoping%20Notice.pdf](http://www.seattle.gov/Documents/Departments/Housing/Footer%20Pages/For%20Lawton%20DS_Scoping%20Notice.pdf)
- Mailed copies of the DS/Request for Comments to federal, state, regional and local agencies, local organizations;
- Mailed copies of the DS/Request for Comments to approximately 450 households within 300 feet of the site (measured from the boundaries of the property);
- Mailed postcards advertising the June 19 public meeting to a broader group of approximately 5,000 households in the Magnolia neighborhood;
- Published the DS/Request for Comments in the WA Department of Ecology's SEPA Register;
- Published the DS/Request for Comments in the City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development's Land Use Information Bulletin;
- Published the DS/Request for Comments in the *Daily Journal of Commerce*;
- Posted a *Project Sign* at the site;
- Advertised a second public meeting on June 21, following feedback from residents who wanted to attend but had a scheduling conflict with other important community events. Notice was emailed on to all members of the public who had expressed interest in the project.

**Attachment B** includes documentation of the public notice actions listed above, and includes the DS/Request for Comments mailing list. The EIS Scoping notification actions meet or exceed the applicable noticing requirements.

#### *Public Meetings*

The first EIS Public Scoping meeting was held on June 19, 2017, from 6:30 to 8:30 at the Daybreak Star Cultural Center in Discovery Park in Seattle. A total of 232 attendees signed in at the first meeting (the actual number of attendees may have been greater because not everyone may have elected to sign in). The meeting was set up as an open house, with a formal presentation by the Seattle Office of Housing and Seattle Parks and Recreation, and continuous opportunity to provide written or oral comment throughout the meeting. A court reporter was made available to record and eventually transcribe

oral comments. The presentation described past planning efforts, the current vision for Fort Lawton, the range of alternatives and the elements of the environment proposed for study in the EIS, and the project timeline going forward. Partner community organizations also provided information about their mission and housing model, and low-income homeowners joined the meeting to speak about their experiences living in affordable housing. City staff and community partners were available throughout the open house to answer questions about the proposal.

The second meeting was held on June 21, 2017, from 6:30 to 8:30 at the Magnolia Community Center in the Magnolia Neighborhood in Seattle. A total of 129 attendees signed in at the second meeting. The meeting included a similar presentation and open house format, with public comment accepted through written forms.

Following the meetings, presentation and other materials were made available online at <http://www.seattle.gov/housing/ft-lawton>.

*Number and Form of Comments Received*

During the EIS scoping period, a total of 715 comments were received from 676 unique commenters (some individuals provided multiple comments). Comments were largely submitted by individuals, with one from a public agency (the King County Wastewater Treatment Division), and a handful from community organizations, including the Discovery Park Community Alliance, Housing Development Consortium, Fort Lawton School Coalition, District Neighborhood Action Council, and the Brightwater School. The following chart shows the breakdown of comments received.

Type	Total Comments	Number of unique commenters
Email	583	583
Mail	6	6
Oral	29	21
Hand written	97	66
<b>Total</b>	<b>715</b>	<b>676</b>

In addition to public comments, the Office of Housing received a petition started on change.org requesting the addition of a school alternative. The petition contained 1,001 unique signatures at the time of submission (146 signatories also submitted a public comment).

All the comment letters/emails/forms/transcript are available for review at the Seattle Office of Housing.

## Comments on the Range of Alternatives

Many commenters expressed support or opposition to some of the proposed alternatives. The chart below illustrates the number of comments received in support of each proposed alternative.

<b><i>Support for Outlined Alternatives</i></b>	<b>Number of unique commenters</b>
Alternative 1: Affordable Housing and Park	189
Alternative 2: Market Rate Housing On-Site; Affordable Housing Off-Site	6
Alternative 3: Public Park On-Site; Affordable Housing Off-Site	57
Alternative 4: No Action	14

### ***Requests for New or Revised Alternatives***

In addition to comments on the proposed alternatives, a number of commenters made specific requests to consider new or revised alternatives. These comments included the following requests:

- Add a school option
- Include an off leash dog park in the park component
- Increase density of affordable housing
- Give land to United Indians
- Give land to the Duwamish Tribe
- Create new athletic facilities
- Create meeting spaces and vacation rentals
- Remove off-site housing component of alternatives 2 and 3

### **Requests for Changing Proposal to Include a School**

The largest volume of comments on the range of alternative were for inclusion of a school. This would be a significant change to the underlying proposal. The majority of these specifically requested consideration of a high school, with another group simply expressing support for schools generally, and one commenter requesting purchase of part of the property for a private Waldorf school. Another subset expressed support for the combination of a school with affordable housing. The breakdown of these comments was as follows:

<i>Total Comments Supporting a School</i>	293
General Support for Schools	61
Support for Elementary School	2
Support for Middle School	3
Support for Middle/High School	15
Support for High School	193
Support for Private School	1
Support for School and Affordable Housing	18

In addition to official comments received via publicized methods, the Office of Housing received a petition started via change.org that requested that the City partner with Seattle Public Schools to develop a high school and additional park space at Fort Lawton. The petition was signed by 1,001 individuals at the time of submission (of whom 146 also submitted a separate comment). While the petition specifically identified a high school and park uses, some individual commenters expressed support for both a school and affordable housing, or for a middle school instead of a high school.

Petition commenters cited a range of reasons for requesting a school option, including:

- Concern about overcrowding in public schools
- Lack of school choices
- Opposition to homeless and low-income housing
- Interest in the promoting environmental education

### **Review by Seattle Public Schools**

In response to the large volume of comments regarding a school, the Office of Housing reached out to Seattle Public Schools to provide them with an opportunity to assess the site for feasibility. SPS conducted a thorough review that addressed basic feasibility questions, including ability to meet Department of Education requirements for educational conveyances. Ultimately, SPS communicated that it would unfortunately not be able to take meet federal requirements, citing key challenges:

- SPS lacked the immediate resources necessary to qualify for a federal educational conveyance, with the next school levy not scheduled until 2019.
- SPS would be unable to demonstrate immediate need for a school in this area, another requirement for a federal educational conveyance, given other projects already underway aimed at addressing existing demand in this area.
- Re-use of existing buildings was not a viable alternative to building a new school, given the condition of the buildings and need for seismic upgrades.

### ***Concerns Regarding Impacts of the Proposal***

In addition to comments on the proposed alternatives, many comments expressed concerns about different impacts from the proposal. Below is a chart that illustrates the number of comments by topic area, followed by a description of major themes.

	<b>Number of comments</b>
<i>Comments on Elements of the Environment</i>	
· Geology/Soils – soils, geology, topography	28
· Biological Resources - plants, animals and wetlands	42
· Air Quality – air and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions	27
· Noise – noise generation	28
· Environmental Health – hazardous materials and substances	35
· Land Use/Relationship to Plans and Policies – land uses, relationship to City, County, State and other local plans/policies, and key federal plans/policies	33
· Aesthetics/Visual Resources – aesthetic character, views, light and glare, shadows	28
· Housing, Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice - housing types and affordability, demographic conditions, disproportionate impacts on minority and low income populations	65
· Recreation and Open Space - parks and recreation	57
· Historic and Cultural Resources – historic, archaeological and cultural resources	32
· Transportation – motorized and non-motorized	132
· Public Services – police, fire/emergency services, schools	96
· Utilities – water and sewer	30
<i>Comments on Specific Themes</i>	
Access to Grocery and other services	89
Discovery Park	55
Property values	14
Public health/safety (Drugs/alcohol/individuals with criminal backgrounds)	56
Pollution	25
Water quality	21
Great Blue Heron Management Plan	24
Financial Cost	6
Parking	9
More sidewalks	1



**Impacts to Discovery Park, Kiwanis Memorial Preserve Park, and Immediate Neighbors  
*Recreation/Open Space, Biological Resources, Geology/Soils, Air Quality, Noise, Visual Resources/Aesthetics***

Many commenters expressed concern about impacts of the proposal on aspects of Discovery Park, including on plants and animals (particularly the great blue heron, and other bird species), air quality, views, and the overall experience of visitors to the park. Many commenters expressed their belief that the property in question is a part of the park, rather than a separate facility. Several of these comments also asserted the applicability of the Discovery Park Master Plan to the property. Some commenters also viewed the proposal for Fort Lawton as part of a larger pattern of negative impacts on the park, whether from the private development of former officers' homes within the park, the West Point wastewater treatment facility, the use of the park by homeless individuals, or the general impacts of a growing population. In addition, some immediate neighbors expressed concern about detrimental impacts in air quality, noise, and views.

**Impacts to Existing Public Infrastructure, Services and Facilities  
*Transportation/Traffic, Schools, Police, Utilities***

A large number of comments centered on how the proposal would place a burden on existing public infrastructure, services and facilities, whether to roads/public transportation, schools, police/fire/emergency services, or water/sewer systems. Traffic was the most common concern, followed by impacts on public services such as schools and law enforcement. Some commenters who were concerned about traffic made sure to note that their concerns applied equally to the potential school option, as well as to any housing alternative. Pedestrian and bicyclist safety was also raised, as was concern over potential spill-over parking from the development.

**Concern about Proposed Residents / Adequacy of Services  
*Socioeconomics/Housing***

A large number of commenters objected to the proposed population for the housing development, with the most common reason being the lack of services in the area for low-income and homeless people. Some viewed Magnolia as more of a suburb than a city, distinct from other Seattle neighborhoods. Many of these comments were based on an assumption that low-income households would not be able to afford cars, and would be forced to shop at the neighborhood Metropolitan Market for groceries. The second most frequent objection related to presumed impacts on public health and safety, while a third reason cited was on potential negative impacts on property values.

Some commenters offered suggestions about better locations for low-income housing, including:

- Aurora Avenue
- Interbay / 15<sup>th</sup> Avenue
- South Seattle

- Memorial Stadium
- Multiple smaller locations
- “Outskirts” of the city

## **Conclusions/Revisions to the EIS Scope**

Many of the comments received during the public scoping period expressed concerns regarding: the **EIS Alternatives, Transportation, Public Services, Recreation and Open Space** and **Socioeconomics/Housing**. Other comments related to Geology/Soils, Biological Resources, Air Quality, Noise, Environmental Health, Land Use/Plans and Policies, Historic/Cultural Resources, Utilities and Property Values and Financial Costs.

Regarding the range of alternatives, the Office of Housing will continue to move forward with the four alternatives previously outlined. The inclusion of a school was considered but ultimately eliminated due to fundamental feasibility concerns.

Regarding areas of impact, the majority of concerns fell into elements already identified for study. However, many of these comments raised particular concerns within the broadly defined topic areas. Other comments were received regarding issues that are typically beyond the scope of SEPA review (e.g., property values and financial costs). Based on a review of these comments, the Lead Agency and the Responsible Official have expanded the scope of the EIS to include the following:

- **Discovery Park/Kiwanis Ravine/Relationship to Existing Plans:** Analyses of geology/soils, biological resources, recreation/open space, visual resources, air quality and noise will specifically include Discovery Park and the Kiwanis Memorial Preserve Park. In addition, the EIS will include a discussion of the proposal in relationship to the Discovery Park Master Plan, the Great Blue Heron Management Plan, and other plans such as the Comprehensive Plan.
- **Proposed Services for Affordable Housing Residents:** The EIS will include a review of the proposed services for residents of the affordable housing, and suggest any additional services or transportation assistance necessary to connect residents to services.
- **Anticipated Demand for Metro Bus Service:** The EIS will estimate increased demand for bus service, and work with SDOT and King County Metro to analyze relevant long-term planning for public transportation to this area.
- **Discussion of Low-Income Housing and Property Values:** The EIS will include a review of relevant studies documenting the relationship between low-income housing and changes in nearby property values.
- **Discussion of Low-Income Housing and Public Safety:** The EIS will include a review of relevant information, to the extent available, describing the relationship of low-income housing to crime rates.

- **Anticipated School Demand:** The EIS was already intended to include an analysis of the increase in school-age population connected with the proposal, and the anticipated capacity of the public school system to absorb this increase in demand. In addition, the analysis will consider any available data on whether low-income households are likely to generate school-age children at a higher rate than upper-income households. SPS will also be invited to review the analysis and incorporate its findings into SPS planning processes.
- **Pedestrian Safety:** The transportation element will include analysis of pedestrian accessibility and safety.
- **Parking:** The EIS will include a review of the proposed parking, and any anticipated spillover into adjacent areas.
- **West Point Treatment Facility:** As part of the EIS process, the Lead Agency will reach out to King County to discuss the impact of the project on the ongoing operation and maintenance of the West Point Treatment, and ensure the integrity of existing sewer tunnels.

# **ATTACHMENT A**

## **Summary of Public Comments**

## Comments on Alternatives

EIS Topic	Comment
<b>Supporting Alternative 1:</b>  <b>Affordable Housing and Park Uses</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="499 305 1885 427">• “The City has tailored the scale of the proposed housing portion of the development so as to better fit with the neighborhood (than the 2008 plan) and to provide much-needed public park activity and maintenance spaces... I believe the site would not be a good location for a primary or a secondary school—primarily because of its lack of good transportation infrastructure. Discovery Park should not become a traffic hairball! It is a precious resource to urban residents.”</li><li data-bbox="499 467 1885 589">• “I ‘m writing in support of the Fort Lawton Redevelopment Plan. I support alternative 1, Mixed Use Affordable Housing and Park Uses. I supported the previous proposal and last testified in favor of it September 4, 2008 at a City Council hearing. Since the past planning process, the number of homeless has increased by 37% and the cost of rental housing and has made Seattle unaffordable for almost all low and moderate income renters.</li></ul> <p data-bbox="548 630 1885 719">The availability of the Ft Lawton site presents a rare opportunity to increase affordable housing. Land is so expensive in Seattle that non-profit developers cannot build unless they receive gifted land or purchase it for less than fair market price. For these reasons every effort should be made to maximize the land space for housing.”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="499 760 1885 914">• “At a time when land prices are skyrocketing and our affordable housing dollars buy less and less, it is the perfect opportunity to take advantage of the 28 acres of land in one of the most high-opportunity neighborhoods in Seattle. Magnolia has high-performing schools, low crime and lots of open space - all things positively correlated with social advancement. If we're serious about being an equitable city, this is exactly the kind of neighborhood we should be opening up to people of all income levels.”</li><li data-bbox="499 954 1885 1076">• “Opportunity for active recreation is an important need of Seattle citizens especially in Magnolia that has an increasing proportion of younger families and kids. Whichever alternatives are considered, the addition of a playfield, preferably synthetic turf with lights would add greatly needed capacity to Seattle’s inventory of athletic fields, especially in Magnolia which has no year-round playfields.”</li></ul>
<b>Supporting Alternative 2:</b>  <b>Market Rate Housing On-Site, Affordable Housing Off-Site</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="499 1149 1885 1304">• “Magnolia is more like a suburb then a city neighborhood. A car is required to access amenities and there is an overall lack of walkability to services such as grocery stores, hospitals, etc. and public transit is not good. These factors do not make Magnolia an ideal location for affordable housing and homeless shelters. I would support Alternative 2, as I believe that more market rate housing is needed in Magnolia due to the growth of Seattle based companies and overall influx of people into Seattle.”</li></ul>

- 
- “I’m in favor of Alternative 3, which turns all of it back to public park. This is also what the original Discovery Park Master Plan advocates when it was written in the late 70’s. The park is a great place. Why mess with the vision of the original planners.”
  - “Although the Fort Lawton Army Reserve is not part of Discovery Park, its proximity is unique and exceptional. All the reason NOT to build there. We have an opportunity to add to Seattle’s largest urban park. Adding green space and tree canopy has been an ongoing goal of Seattle. Offering a place for respite, for recreation, for nature, to maintain biodiversity - for all of Seattle to enjoy.

**Supporting  
Alternative 3:**

**All Park Uses  
On-Site,  
Affordable  
Housing Off-Site**

From <http://www.seattle.gov/environment/trees-and-green-space>:

Trees and open spaces are integral to healthy urban environments. Trees are an important part of Seattle’s built and natural environment. They promote social, economic, and environmental health by capturing and slowing rain; filtering air pollution; providing food and habitat; and contributing to the character and aesthetic beauty of our neighborhoods and business districts.

This is especially important in an area that borders Elliot, Shilshole, and Salmon Bays. Indeed in a city growing as fast as Seattle, the tendency is to build anywhere land is available. It’s not always easy to look forward and make decisions that benefit the greater good in, and for the long run.

Please look to the future and leave a legacy. Consider Alternative 3.”

- “I support Alternative 3 to be consistent with the rest of the fort that was surrendered and placed into park for all to use onsite, with affordable housing and homeless housing offsite. Site selection should be more within the guidelines of the City near frequent transit corridor, walk-able to services, near employment base and within Urban HUB or Urban Village zones which is more conducive to multifamily rentals and Townhomes regardless of affordable housing assistance.”

---

**Supporting  
Alternative 4:**

**No Action**

- “My husband and I are totally opposed to any kind of housing at Fort Lawton. We moved to this neighborhood because of the park. We just want Fort Lawton to blend into the park. We are in favor of Alternative 4-No Action. Period.”
-

## Requests for New or Revised Alternatives

EIS Topic	Comment
<b>Off-leash dog park</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “I would like to see an off leash dog area be a part of any plan! We are in desperate need of legal off leash space and this area is already used by many dog owner to run their dogs off lease. If you take this area away from them, then it is equivalent to closing a park. Please include on off leash area in whatever plan is decided upon.”</li> </ul>
<b>Land for Duwamish Tribes</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “The land now known as Fort Lawton was historically connected to the Duwamish Nation, but the Nation's rights were ceded to the United States government in the 1855 Treaty of Point Elliott. The federal government has not met its obligations under that treaty. This redevelopment project provides an opportunity for the City of Seattle to help the Duwamish Nation establish a land base to support its claim for recognition of its rights.”</li> </ul>
<b>Community Spaces</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “If the FLARC property must be redeveloped, I can think of so many better uses for it than a homeless housing project, uses that would actually enhance life for Magnolia residents. How about a first-class community arts center or arts school where local residents could enjoy concerts and dance performances? Or an indoor sports facility featuring a large heated indoor pool and several indoor tennis courts among other amenities (like a daycare center). Or a world-class environmental research and education center? How about a new police station and precinct? Even a new school would be better than more housing. But it seems the City has no interest in looking at these community enhancing options.”</li> </ul>
<b>School</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Our current public schools located on and near Magnolia have some of the largest overcrowding issues in the state. We must evaluate how to adequately deliver these resources prior to building more housing. There will simply be no place for these children to go to school. The addition of a school would have a huge impact on the overcrowding issue and help address this huge problem.”</li> <li>• “Our elementary schools are overcrowded by hundreds of kids. Actually, the city is opening a new elementary school in Magnolia in 2018 to relieve some of the crowding. However, the crowding is so intense that the schools will still face overcrowding! While something is being done at the elementary level to relieve the overcrowding, nothing is being done to plan for the future. More and more families keep moving to Magnolia and Queen Anne and we have a thriving family community. However, when Our kids get to middle and high school, there will be nowhere for them to go. Currently, Ballard High School cannot accommodate the gigantic student population that will be coming their way. A new high school must be built. The parent community has been talking about Fort Lawton as the perfect place for a Magnolia and Queen Anne high school to be built. It presents an excellent opportunity for science and nature to be integrated into the classroom experience. What a great way to serve our future leaders!”</li> </ul>

## Concerns Regarding Impacts of the Proposal

EIS Topic	Comment
<p><b>Impacts to Discovery Park, Kiwanis Memorial Preserve Park, and Immediate Neighbors</b></p> <p><b><i>Recreation/Open Space, Biological Resources, Geology/Soils, Air Quality, Noise, Land Use/Relationship to Existing Plans</i></b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “This development will irreversibly alter Discovery Park. We need to preserve the last bit of nature and wildlife that is left in Seattle. Our children and citizens deserve open space preservation.”</li> <li>• “With more construction eating away precious landscape, Discovery Park and Fort Lawton are one of Seattle’s last few open spaces, and home to many vulnerable wildlife populations. In addition, Fort Lawton’s military past calls for a land study to ensure the safety of its subsurface.”</li> <li>• “Discovery Park is best used as a park- it is a gem in this city. It should be developed into a natural park space that everyone in the city (and visitors outside of our city can enjoy). I was not in support of the officer housing being developed into multi-million dollar homes and I am not in support of smaller houses being put into the area either. This park is unique unto itself- people from all over the world come to visit when they visit Seattle- we need to keep this gem safe.”</li> <li>• “The area includes the great blue heron protection area that is covered by a Directors Rule, which limits construction between 1st Feb and 31st August. It is also a critical area, slope, and close to a wetland and waterway (Ship Canal). The wooded parts of FLARC help provide a wildlife corridor between Discovery Park and Kiwanis Ravine - I am pleased to hear that these areas will remain open space, and that the proposal includes keeping the trees along the east boundary on 36th Ave West. Those are mature trees which we can ill afford to lose, they will be an amenity for those on both sides.”</li> <li>• “I have been visiting Discovery Park since it opened to the public, and have lived in Magnolia since 1986. In that time, I have seen the park become degraded by public apathy. Dog owners often treat it as an off-leash area. Littering is more noticeable. Wildlife has diminished. The West Point Sewage Treatment Plant has taken a larger footprint. And private homes ( for the well-to-do) have changed the character of what should be a completely public park.”</li> <li>• “Mayor Wes Ulsan and the city of seattle designated this to be a park and it should remain so. We can use other spaces in the denser parts of the city for schools, housing the homeless, etc. we can never reclaim this beautiful space that houses trails and wildlife.”</li> <li>• “Specific to the SEPA process, I would also like to add an emphasis on air quality during the redevelopment. We moved our family to Magnolia in part due to asthma concerns. Large construction projects, as envisioned by the City, use diesel powered trucks and equipment that have a high impact to local air quality.”</li> <li>• “With various winds, the odor of creosote and diesel fumes from the trains or the vapors originating from the West Point sewer treatment plant taint the neighborhood. For all these reasons removing trees has a significant and possibly harmful impact on the area. Please study the impact of removing these trees on wild birds, air</li> </ul>



---

quality, noise, construction dust, human health, neighborhood character and property value for the proposed alternatives.”

- “How will the City control what happens in this new community to be housed next to the city’s largest public park? Off-leash dogs already trample sensitive bird nesting areas in the park, vagrants routinely toss beer cans and liquor bottles into the pond off the park’s north parking lot, and while birding on less-traveled trails, I have come upon individual tents that look pretty permanent. With such a huge influx of new residents directly adjacent to the park, how will the city assure that the park will continue to be the nature-filled place where thousands of residents and tourists come annually to walk, hike, run, bike, bird, picnic, or just enjoy the beautiful views?”

- 
- “The redevelopment plan needs to consider traffic issues. We cannot imagine 400 new cars coming through our quiet streets and more importantly adding to the congestion for getting out of Magnolia or along the 15th Avenue corridor.”

- “As a mother of 3 young children, i am worried about the additional resources that will be required to support the occupants coming in to Magnolia. Our schools are already bursting. There are not enough daycare options and the options that exist are expensive. If we want low income families to find jobs, they need childcare that is cheaper than their earnings, and this can't be found in magnolia. What also doesn't exist in magnolia are jobs for these people.... which means they need to commute in and out of the neighborhood everyday.

We only have 1 bus servicing this area not to mention the backlog of traffic that occurs on the Emerson overpass and dravus exists as soon as the Ballard bridge is open even once per day.

The area is very isolated and could breed crime since it is quiet and desolate. I would be afraid to walk by in the evenings since there isn't regular foot traffic or cars passing by.”

- “Ensuring the needs/safety of pedestrians and bicyclists is important – not to mention ensuring all infrastructure is ADA compliant and meets the needs of seniors. Working with Metro to improve transit service would be excellent.”
- “I hear complaints about traffic. There will most likely be an impact on Emerson bridge and maybe also Dravus. Emerson gets backed up at rush hour now so that could get worse with more people living nearby. However that impact will be the same whether it is market rate or affordable housing - probably worse with market rate as those residents are less likely to use public transit. 36th Ave West is a dead end, the impact on that street will be lessened by using Texas Way as the main entrance. You can enter Texas Way from the south (via Government Way) or north (via Commodore Way), or form the west through Discovery Park, so we’re not talking about limited access.”

---

**Impacts to Existing  
Public Infrastructure,  
Services and  
Facilities**

***Transportation/Traffic,  
Utilities, Public  
Services/Schools/  
Police***

- 
- “Please do a detailed study on how adding over 200 residents will increase the need for additional policing in our community. Specifically how the city will address the potential increase in crime as it was pointed out in the Berkshire Report last year that Seattle is not adequately staffing its police force to address the needs of a population of our size.”
  - “With the influx of population into the Seattle area related to the growth of technology companies such as Amazon more and more young families are moving into Magnolia due the proximity to downtown Seattle. As a result, the schools have become overcrowded and a new elementary school is being added. However, the middle and high school capacity issues have not yet been addressed. Additionally, with the move of Expedia headquarters to Interbay, this will result in more capacity issues at the schools in Magnolia as more young families move into the neighborhood.”
  - “My kids attend Catharine Blaine K-8, which is projected to be at 800 students next school year. The right size capacity for this school is 480. There are six portable classrooms on the playground at the moment and more will be added for next year’s increase in enrollment. The school is doing its best to manage so many students (does it have a choice?), but space and resources are scarce when spread across the board for so many students.

The Fort Lawton area is currently in the Lawton Elementary School attendance area, but that school is also over-capacity, as are the schools in Queen Anne. Lawton does not have the ability to expand with portables as much as Blaine has due to geographic constraints.

Seattle Public Schools in the process of re-activating an old school building in Magnolia that has been closed for decades (Magnolia Elementary School). This school, however, will not provide enough capacity for the rate of growth that this area is experiencing. Plus, as the current elementary school students continue through the system, they will soon be middle school students and then high school students. Blaine currently has five 1st grade classes with a projection of needing five kindergartens next year, as well. My son is in 2nd grade currently and he is one of about 100 students spread across four 2nd grade classes. There is no way that Blaine can continue at this rate of growth as a K-8 school, if every incoming kindergarten grade needs four or five classes to accommodate all of the students. There will only be so much room for portables and that does not take into account time and space for art, music, technology, and PE classes. When these kids get to middle school and high school, the over-crowding issues will be compounded as other neighborhoods and schools outside of the Magnolia and Queen Anne areas are also experiencing increases in population.”

- “As a resident of the down-slope area just north of the Ft. Lawton site, I would be remiss not to mention that Ft. Lawton as originally developed by the federal government created drainage problems for us—by not addressing 100-year old US Army storm sewer outfalls that just dump water on the surface so it can run downhill into our backyards. You can imagine how much fun this is during the wintertime (and I have been here 20 years—which is pre-USARC). Whatever form the new development assumes, the City should take the opportunity to correct these surface water issues (and, I believe, is legally required to do so upon assuming ownership). Likewise, the
-

---

pavement of the northern segment of Texas Way has been continuously deteriorating since the USARC constructed it; my guess is that the roadbed might have an inadequate base course (the federal government retains the option to use its own building codes rather than the local codes in force during construction—really!). Another possibility is that the amount of excess surface drainage flowing across this section of road from the surface parking lot for the VA facility above it causes the roadway to continuously deteriorate during the winter months. This should be addressed with any new development.”

---

**Concern about Proposed Residents / Adequacy of Services**

- “I, like many other parents shop at 4 different grocery stores and travel out to Costco and Targets for deals. My guess is low-income residents may not have the transportation nor the time for those affordable options.”
- “Magnolia itself is somewhat isolated already, but the Fort Lawton site is isolated even within Magnolia's boundaries. Bus line 33 is the only one currently possibly easily walkable from the site (particularly by older residents) and goes downtown; line 24 is less close and meanders through Magnolia before also going downtown. Multiple transfers are required to access other parts of Seattle, making public transit not always the most feasible option for people with children and work schedules (especially if coordinating more than one job). The nearest grocery store, Metropolitan Market, is expensive. Other shops and restaurants are clustered at the other end of Magnolia, not an easy or short walk from the Fort Lawton area. Geographically isolating the individuals and families the housing will serve does not seem like a solution that is sustainable or empowering for them.”
- “Magnolia is essentially a suburb, without the typical facilities of an actual City. To promote this location for homeless, seniors, and low income is faulty ideology. The services/resources required for this segment of the population are not provided in the Magnolia area and it is not rationale to think that they ever will be given remoteness/limited access and market conditions. Also, there is limited public transit and it is extremely challenging to get to the freeways (especially if you don't have adequate means of transportation, such as a reliable vehicle).”
- “While I understand and appreciate the need for housing, this is right next to a park which is next to impossible to police (no roads etc. just hundreds of acres of fields and trails). Police would not be able to respond to safely complaints within the park.”
- “Seattle has not gotten a grip on the drug and crime caused by drugs and I do not want to see that culture infused into the heart of Magnolia where the queen of all parks resides for all of Seattle to enjoy. Can you imagine the heart break of addicts with knives wandering around in Discovery Park? How would it be policed and citizens protected. The idea is absolutely irresponsible. Ft. Lawton is not near a grocery store and the closest one is the most expensive in the city. Residents could walk no where for services. There are tons of empty buildings around the county that could be used to house the homeless in a much more suitable location. There are many, many seniors, families with children and all of us who would be put at serious risk with the mentally ill free to roam our beautiful parks and streets. We buy here for a reason and I think you should support a comfortable and

safe place for your citizens to live. You are understaffed on the police force, do not enforce the laws equally, are too lenient with drug users, pushers and the mentally ill. Until you provide services for them it is unhelpful to just stick them somewhere especially when it impacts us so greatly.”

- “There's so much building going on everywhere else ... isn't there another more logical spot in Magnolia or Interbay where this housing project could be built? Someplace that would give residents better access to downtown, a walkable (affordable) grocery store and facilities, etc.?”
- “Creating a homeless or subsidized low income area in the middle of an affluent neighborhood just doesn't make sense. Though the current plan proposes services in addition to housing, will the city also be subsidizing the new businesses in the area? Who will develop a business to serve the elderly homeless and subsidized low income folks? For example, the minimal bus service and absence of a walkable retail area would maroon people without private transportation.

What's the plan to keep that corner of Magnolia from developing into The Projects that plague other cities, once the area is 20 years old? What's the research on Projects built abutting affluent areas—there probably is none, because the idea is so bad. Are homeless people, with higher rates of addiction and mental illness, safe for an area abutting Discovery Park, which draws families with children?

How much ongoing tension will arise? Magnolia is one of the only remaining areas that is safe enough that you can see unaccompanied children playing outside. This plan almost has to end that sense of safety.

The homeless and low income folks should be served on the outskirts of the city, where property values are lower and there can be access to neighborhood services. Please don't waste this valuable resource.”

- “Unfortunately, subsidized housing projects have a complicated and often notorious reputation in America, and for good reason. They often isolate and concentrate together individuals who disproportionately suffer from serious social, mental health, and substance abuse problems, and surrounding neighborhoods often pay the price in increased levels of crime and decreased property values. Under Alternative 1, what guarantees would I and my neighbors have that the very character of our safe, family-centered neighborhood would not change for the worse? Are we to believe the promises of city housing officials and homeless industry advocates--who have no equity in my neighborhood--that “everything will be just fine”?
- “I have concerns about potential increased crime, creating safety issues for our kids, noise, disturbance of our properties, and lack of integration with the existing community.

We currently let our kids play on the streets, ride their bikes around, ride to Discovery Park, walk home from the bus stop. If you bring homeless to Ft. Lawton, we would not feel safe anymore to let our kids go out on the streets. I am not saying that all homeless are criminals, but many homeless have heroin and other drug issues,

serious mental issues and some have convicted felonies or are sex offenders. I don't want heroin addicts, sex offenders, etc living next to us.”

---

## **ATTACHMENT B**

### **Public Notice Documentation/ Complete Mailing List**

# Scoping Notice Mailing:



## DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (DS) AND REQUEST FOR COMMENTS ON SCOPE OF EIS

**Project Name:** Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment

**Proponent:** City of Seattle Office of Housing

**Lead Agency:** City of Seattle Office of Housing

**Project Location:** The site is the former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center and is bordered by W Lawton Street to the north, 36th Avenue W to the east, W Government Way to the south and Discovery Park to the west.

**Description of the Proposal:** The City of Seattle is considering redevelopment options to allow housing and park uses on the 28-acre former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center site located in the Magnolia neighborhood in northwest Seattle. Anticipated uses include supportive housing for formerly homeless people, affordable rental and ownership housing for low income families and individuals, a variety of public park uses (including both active and natural uses), and a park maintenance facility.

**Environmental Determination:** City of Seattle Office of Housing, as the SEPA lead agency, has determined that this proposal may have significant adverse environmental impacts on the environment. An EIS is required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c) and will be prepared. The EIS will address probable significant adverse environmental impacts of the proposed Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment.

**Elements of the Environment:** The lead agency has *preliminarily* identified the following elements for analysis in the EIS:

- Geology/Soils
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Environmental Health
- Noise
- Land Use
- Housing/Socioeconomics/Environmental Justice
- Aesthetics/Visual Resources
- Recreation/Open Space
- Historic/Cultural Resources
- Transportation
- Public Services
- Utilities

<sup>1</sup> This is the agency that is responsible for compliance with the procedural requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) for this project.



**Alternatives:** The lead agency has *preliminarily* identified four alternatives that will be analyzed in the EIS:


- **Alternative 1 – Mixed Income Affordable Housing and Public Park Uses**  
Development of a mix of affordable housing onsite, including homeless and affordable rental and ownership housing, with a portion of the site likely rezoned to Lowrise residential zoning. Public park uses would also be created, including active park facilities, preserved existing natural areas and conversion of an existing structure to a park maintenance facility;
- **Alternative 2 - Market Rate Housing Onsite; Affordable and Homeless Housing Offsite**  
Development of market rate single family housing under current zoning onsite, and construction of homeless and affordable housing at an off-site location;
- **Alternative 3 - Public Park Onsite; Affordable and Homeless Housing Offsite**  
Development of the entire site as a public park, and construction of homeless and affordable housing at an off-site location; and
- **Alternative 4 – No Action**  
No redevelopment of the site; existing structures onsite would be maintained.

**Scoping:** Agencies, affected tribes, and members of the public are invited to comment on the scope of the EIS. You may comment on alternatives, mitigation measures, probable significant adverse impacts, and licenses or other approvals that may be required. Methods for presenting your comments are described below. All comments are due no later than **5:00 PM, June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2017**, and may be submitted:

- **Via e-mail to:** [OH\\_Comments@seattle.gov](mailto:OH_Comments@seattle.gov)
- **In writing to:** Lindsay Masters, Office of Housing, PO Box 94725, Seattle, WA 98124-4725
- **In writing and/or verbally at the EIS Public Scoping Meeting/Open House:** This meeting will provide an opportunity to learn more about the project and proposed actions, and to provide input on the environmental review process.

**Meeting Location:** Daybreak Star Cultural Center, 5011 Bernie Whitebear Way, Seattle, WA 98199

**Meeting Date/Time:** June 19<sup>th</sup>, 2017, 6:30 – 8:30 PM  
6:30-7:30pm: Open House  
7:30-8:30pm: Public Comment

**Date:** June 5<sup>th</sup>, 2017      **SEPA Responsible Official:**   
Steve Walker  
Director of Office of Housing

# Land Use Bulletin Notice:

6/5/2017

Print Land Use Notice - Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections



Jun 05, 2017  
Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections  
**Land Use Information Bulletin**  
A Twice-Weekly Bulletin Announcing Land Use Applications, Decisions, Hearings, and Appeals  
[www.seattle.gov/dpi](http://www.seattle.gov/dpi)

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (DS) and SCOPING FOR PROPOSED FORT LAWTON REDEVELOPMENT**  
**Area:** Address:  
**Project:** Zone: Notice Date: 06/05/2017

**Proponent:** City of Seattle Office of Housing  
**Lead Agency:** City of Seattle Office of Housing

**Project Location:** The site is the former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center and is bordered by W Lawton Street to the north, 36th Avenue W to the east, W Government Way to the south and Discovery Park to the west.

**Description of the Proposal:** The City of Seattle is considering redevelopment options to allow housing and park uses on the 28-acre former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center site located in the Magnolia neighborhood in northwest Seattle. Anticipated uses include supportive housing for formerly homeless people, affordable rental and ownership housing for low income families and individuals, a variety of public park uses (including both active and natural uses), and a park maintenance facility.

**ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION**  
City of Seattle Office of Housing, as the SEPA lead agency, has determined that this proposal may have significant adverse environmental impacts on the environment. An EIS is required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c) and will be prepared. The EIS will address probable significant adverse environmental impacts of the proposed Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment.

**Elements of the Environment:** The lead agency has *preliminarily* identified the following elements for analysis in the EIS:

- Geology/Soils
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Environmental Health
- Noise
- Land Use
- Housing/Socioeconomics/Environmental Justice
- Aesthetics/Visual Resources
- Recreation/Open Space
- Historic/Cultural Resources
- Transportation
- Public Services
- Utilities

### ALTERNATIVES

The lead agency has *preliminarily* identified four alternatives that will be analyzed in the EIS:

- **Alternative 1 – Mixed Income Affordable Housing and Public Park Uses**

6/5/2017

Print Land Use Notice - Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections



Jun 05, 2017  
Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections  
**Land Use Information Bulletin**  
A Twice-Weekly Bulletin Announcing Land Use Applications, Decisions, Hearings, and Appeals  
[www.seattle.gov/dpi](http://www.seattle.gov/dpi)

**NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (DS) and SCOPING FOR PROPOSED FORT LAWTON REDEVELOPMENT**  
**Area:** Address:  
**Project:** Zone: Notice Date: 06/05/2017

**Proponent:** City of Seattle Office of Housing  
**Lead Agency:** City of Seattle Office of Housing

**Project Location:** The site is the former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center and is bordered by W Lawton Street to the north, 36th Avenue W to the east, W Government Way to the south and Discovery Park to the west.

**Description of the Proposal:** The City of Seattle is considering redevelopment options to allow housing and park uses on the 28-acre former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center site located in the Magnolia neighborhood in northwest Seattle. Anticipated uses include supportive housing for formerly homeless people, affordable rental and ownership housing for low income families and individuals, a variety of public park uses (including both active and natural uses), and a park maintenance facility.

**ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION**  
City of Seattle Office of Housing, as the SEPA lead agency, has determined that this proposal may have significant adverse environmental impacts on the environment. An EIS is required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c) and will be prepared. The EIS will address probable significant adverse environmental impacts of the proposed Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment.

**Elements of the Environment:** The lead agency has *preliminarily* identified the following elements for analysis in the EIS:

- Geology/Soils
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Environmental Health
- Noise
- Land Use
- Housing/Socioeconomics/Environmental Justice
- Aesthetics/Visual Resources
- Recreation/Open Space
- Historic/Cultural Resources
- Transportation
- Public Services
- Utilities

### ALTERNATIVES

The lead agency has *preliminarily* identified four alternatives that will be analyzed in the EIS:

- **Alternative 2 – Market Rate Housing Onsite; Affordable and Homeless Housing Offsite**

  
**DAILY JOURNAL OF COMMERCE**  
"Helping business do business since 1893"

83 Columbia St., Seattle, WA 98104 • P.O.Box 11050, Seattle, WA 98111 • www.djc.com

## City of Seattle

### NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (DNS) and SCOPING FOR PROPOSED FORT LAWTON REDEVELOPMENT

**Proposer:** City of Seattle Office of Housing

**Lead Agency:** City of Seattle Office of Housing

**Project Location:** The site is the former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center and is bounded by W Lawton Street to the north, 36th Avenue W to the east, W Government Way to the south and Discovery Park to the west.

**Description of the Proposal:** The City of Seattle is considering redevelopment options to allow housing and park uses on the 25-acre former Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center site located in the Singsha neighborhood in northwest Seattle. Anticipated uses include supportive housing for formerly homeless people, affordable rental and ownership housing for low income families and individuals, a variety of public park uses (including both active and natural uses), and a park maintenance facility.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION

City of Seattle Office of Housing, as the SRPA lead agency, has determined that this proposal may have significant adverse environmental impacts on the environment. An EIS is required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c) and will be prepared. The EIS will address probable significant adverse environmental impacts of the proposed Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment.

**Elements of the Environment:** The lead agency has preliminarily identified the following elements for analysis in the EIS:

- Geology/Soils
- Housing/Socioeconomics/Environmental Justice
- Air Quality
- Aesthetics/Visual Resources
- Biological Resources
- Recreation/Open Space
- Environmental Health
- Historic/Cultural Resources
- Noise
- Transportation
- Land Use
- Public Services
- Utilities

#### ALTERNATIVES

The lead agency has *preliminarily* identified four alternatives that will be analyzed in the EIS:

##### Alternative 1 - Mixed Income Affordable Housing and Public Park Uses

Development of a mix of affordable housing onsite, including homeless and affordable rental and ownership housing, with a portion of the site likely rezoned to Lowrise residential zoning. Public park uses would also be created, including active park facilities, preserved existing natural areas and conversion of an existing structure to a park maintenance facility.

##### Alternative 2 - Market Rate Housing Credits, Affordable and Homeless Housing Offsite

Development of market rate single family housing under current zoning onsite, and construction of homeless and affordable housing at an off-site location.

##### Alternative 3 - Public Park Onsite, Affordable and Homeless Housing Offsite

Development of the entire site as a public park, and construction of homeless and affordable housing at an off-site location.

##### Alternative 4 - No Action

No redevelopment of the site; existing structures onsite would be maintained.

#### SCOPING

Agencies, affected tribes, and members of the public are invited to comment on the scope of the EIS. You may comment on alternatives, mitigation measures, probable significant adverse impacts, and licenses or other approvals that may be required. Methods for presenting your comments are described below. All comments are due no later than **5:00 PM, June 26, 2017** and may be submitted:

Via e-mail to: [DH.Comments@Seattle.gov](mailto:DH.Comments@Seattle.gov)

In writing to: Lindsay Masters, Office of Housing, PO Box 94725, Seattle, WA 98124-4725

In writing and/or verbally at the EIS Public Scoping Meeting/Open House: This meeting will provide an opportunity to learn more about the project and proposed actions and to provide input on the environmental review process.

#### PUBLIC MEETING

**Meeting Location:** Daybreak Star Cultural Center

5011 Bernie Whitebear Way  
Seattle, WA 98198

**Meeting Date/Time:** June 19, 2017, 6:30 - 8:30 PM

6:30-7:30pm: Open House

7:30-8:30pm: Public Comment

#### SEPA Responsible Official:

Steve Walker

Director, Office of Housing

PO Box 94725

Seattle, WA 98124-4725

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, June 5, 2017.  
**626(356633)**



*Mailing list for Scoping Notice:*

COMPANY	ADDRESS_1	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	NO
RESIDENT	3500 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1119		1
RESIDENT	3510 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1119		2
RESIDENT	3514 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1119		3
RESIDENT	3501 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1100		4
RESIDENT	3600 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		5
RESIDENT	3606 W COMMODORE WAY APT A	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		6
RESIDENT	3606 W COMMODORE WAY APT B	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		7
RESIDENT	3610 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		8
RESIDENT	3614 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		9
RESIDENT	3626 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		10
RESIDENT	3630 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		11
RESIDENT	3632 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		12
RESIDENT	3636 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		13
RESIDENT	3641 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1106		14
RESIDENT	3629 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1106		15
RESIDENT	3621 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1106		16
RESIDENT	3611 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1106		17
RESIDENT	3607 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1106		18
RESIDENT	3603 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1106		19
RESIDENT	3640 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		20
RESIDENT	3654 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1107		21
RESIDENT	3653 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1106		22
RESIDENT	4202 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1325		23
RESIDENT	3520 W ELMORE ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1378		24
RESIDENT	3518 W ELMORE ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1378		25
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 1	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		26
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 2	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		27
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 101	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		28
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 102	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		29
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 103	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		30
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 201	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		31
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 202	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		32
RESIDENT	3510 W ELMORE ST APT 203	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1359		33
RESIDENT	4201 35TH AVE W APT 101	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1347		34
RESIDENT	4201 35TH AVE W APT 102	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1347		35
RESIDENT	4201 35TH AVE W APT 201	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1347		36
RESIDENT	4201 35TH AVE W APT 202	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1347		37
RESIDENT	4201 35TH AVE W APT 301	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1347		38
RESIDENT	4201 35TH AVE W APT 302	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1347		39
RESIDENT	3505 W ELMORE ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1377		40
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A101	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1360		41
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A102	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1360		42
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A103	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1360		43
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A104	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1360		44
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A201	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1384		45
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A202	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1384		46
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A203	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1361		47
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A204	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1361		48
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A301	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1361		49
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A302	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1361		50

COMPANY	ADDRESS_1	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	NO
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A303	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1397		51
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT A304	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1397		52
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B101	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1398		53
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B201	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1362		54
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B202	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1362		55
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B203	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1362		56
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B204	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1362		57
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B301	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1367		58
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B302	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1367		59
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B303	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1367		60
RESIDENT	4212 35TH AVE W APT B304	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1367		61
RESIDENT	4206 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1325		62
RESIDENT	4208 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1325		63
RESIDENT	3508 W GOVERNMENT WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1322		64
RESIDENT	3512 W GOVERNMENT WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1322		65
RESIDENT	3514 W GOVERNMENT WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1322		66
OCCUPANT	4300 36TH AVE W STE 122	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1675		67
OCCUPANT	4300 36TH AVE W STE 200	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1675		68
OCCUPANT	4585 TEXAS WAY W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1012		69
OCCUPANT	4565 TEXAS WAY W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1012		70
OCCUPANT	4555 TEXAS WAY W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1012		71
RESIDENT	3754 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1116		72
RESIDENT	3760 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1116		73
RESIDENT	3764 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1116		74
RESIDENT	3770 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1116		75
RESIDENT	3774 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1116		76
RESIDENT	4812 40TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1122		77
RESIDENT	4820 40TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1122		78
RESIDENT	3801 DISCOVERY PARK BLVD	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1029		79
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 101	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1380		80
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 102	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1380		81
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 103	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1380		82
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 104	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1380		83
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 105	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1381		84
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 206	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1381		85
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 207	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1381		86
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 208	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1381		87
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 209	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1382		88
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 210	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1382		89
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 311	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1382		90
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 312	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1382		91
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 313	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1383		92
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 314	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1383		93
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 315	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1383		94
RESIDENT	3517 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT B100	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1383		95
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 101	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1356		96
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 102	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1356		97
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 103	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1356		98
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 104	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1356		99
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 105	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1356		100

COMPANY	ADDRESS_1	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	NO
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 106	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1356		101
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 201	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1301		102
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 202	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1301		103
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 203	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1301		104
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 204	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1301		105
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 205	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1301		106
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 206	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1301		107
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 301	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1357		108
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 302	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1357		109
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 303	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1357		110
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 304	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1357		111
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 305	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1357		112
RESIDENT	3505 W GOVERNMENT WAY UNIT 306	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1357		113
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 1	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1348		114
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 2	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1348		115
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 3	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1348		116
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 4	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1348		117
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 5	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1348		118
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 6	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1345		119
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 7	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1345		120
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 8	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1345		121
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 9	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1345		122
RESIDENT	4300 35TH AVE W APT 10	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1345		123
RESIDENT	4318 35TH AVE W APT 101	SEATTLE	WA	98199-4403		124
RESIDENT	4318 35TH AVE W APT 102	SEATTLE	WA	98199-4403		125
RESIDENT	4318 35TH AVE W APT 103	SEATTLE	WA	98199-4403		126
RESIDENT	4318 35TH AVE W APT 201	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1379		127
RESIDENT	4318 35TH AVE W APT 202	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1379		128
RESIDENT	4318 35TH AVE W APT 203	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1379		129
RESIDENT	4324 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1364		130
RESIDENT	4334 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1364		131
RESIDENT	4339 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		132
RESIDENT	4333 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		133
RESIDENT	4325 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		134
RESIDENT	4323 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		135
RESIDENT	4317 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		136
RESIDENT	4315 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		137
RESIDENT	4313 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		138
RESIDENT	4311 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		139
RESIDENT	4309 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		140
RESIDENT	4307 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1363		141
RESIDENT	3500 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 1	SEATTLE	WA	98199-4402		142
RESIDENT	3500 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 2	SEATTLE	WA	98199-4402		143
RESIDENT	3500 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 3	SEATTLE	WA	98199-4402		144
RESIDENT	3500 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 4	SEATTLE	WA	98199-4402		145
RESIDENT	3500 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 6	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1385		146
RESIDENT	3500 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 7	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1385		147
RESIDENT	3500 W GOVERNMENT WAY APT 8	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1385		148
RESIDENT	4304 36TH AVE W UNIT A	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1467		149
RESIDENT	4304 36TH AVE W UNIT B	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1467		150

COMPANY	ADDRESS_1	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	NO
RESIDENT	4304 36TH AVE W UNIT C	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1467		151
RESIDENT	4304 36TH AVE W UNIT D	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1467		152
RESIDENT	4316 36TH AVE W APT A	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1157		153
RESIDENT	4316 36TH AVE W APT B	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1157		154
RESIDENT	4316 36TH AVE W APT C	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1157		155
RESIDENT	4316 36TH AVE W APT D	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1157		156
RESIDENT	4320 36TH AVE W APT 1	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1390		157
RESIDENT	4320 36TH AVE W APT 2	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1390		158
RESIDENT	4320 36TH AVE W APT 3	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1390		159
RESIDENT	4320 36TH AVE W APT 4	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1390		160
RESIDENT	4320 36TH AVE W APT 5	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1390		161
RESIDENT	4324 36TH AVE W APT A	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1150		162
RESIDENT	4324 36TH AVE W APT B	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1150		163
RESIDENT	4324 36TH AVE W APT C	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1150		164
RESIDENT	4326 36TH AVE W APT A	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1151		165
RESIDENT	4326 36TH AVE W APT B	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1151		166
RESIDENT	4326 36TH AVE W APT C	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1151		167
RESIDENT	4332 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1333		168
RESIDENT	4338 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1333		169
RESIDENT	4342 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1333		170
RESIDENT	4346 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1333		171
RESIDENT	4352 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1333		172
RESIDENT	3501 W OHMAN PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1153		173
RESIDENT	4414 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1334		174
RESIDENT	4420 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1334		175
RESIDENT	4426 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1334		176
RESIDENT	4428 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1334		177
RESIDENT	4438 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1334		178
RESIDENT	4500 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1154		179
RESIDENT	4510 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1154		180
RESIDENT	4514 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1154		181
RESIDENT	4516 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1154		182
RESIDENT	4522 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1154		183
RESIDENT	4526 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1154		184
RESIDENT	4532 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1154		185
RESIDENT	3509 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1152		186
RESIDENT	3508 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1152		187
RESIDENT	3414 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1102		188
RESIDENT	4558 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1109		189
RESIDENT	4562 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1109		190
RESIDENT	4576 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1109		191
RESIDENT	3411 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1140		192
RESIDENT	3401 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1140		193
RESIDENT	3408 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1141		194
RESIDENT	3414 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1141		195
RESIDENT	4575 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1108		196
RESIDENT	4571 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1108		197
RESIDENT	4567 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1108		198
RESIDENT	4555 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1108		199
RESIDENT	4526 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1137		200

COMPANY	ADDRESS_1	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	NO
RESIDENT	4522 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1137		201
RESIDENT	4520 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1137		202
RESIDENT	4516 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1137		203
RESIDENT	4512 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1137		204
RESIDENT	4508 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1137		205
RESIDENT	4502 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1137		206
RESIDENT	4440 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1328		207
RESIDENT	4436 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1328		208
RESIDENT	4426 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1328		209
RESIDENT	4433 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1327		210
RESIDENT	4437 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1327		211
RESIDENT	3502 W FORT ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1332		212
RESIDENT	4505 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1136		213
RESIDENT	4511 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1136		214
RESIDENT	4515 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1136		215
RESIDENT	4519 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1136		216
RESIDENT	4525 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1136		217
RESIDENT	4527 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1136		218
RESIDENT	4533 35TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1136		219
RESIDENT	3417 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1101		220
RESIDENT	3411 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1101		221
RESIDENT	3407 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1101		222
RESIDENT	3401 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1101		223
RESIDENT	3410 W MCCORD PL	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1102		224
RESIDENT	3400 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1141		225
RESIDENT	4578 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1146		226
RESIDENT	3505 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1142		227
RESIDENT	3502 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1143		228
RESIDENT	3510 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1143		229
RESIDENT	3514 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1143		230
RESIDENT	3518 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1143		231
RESIDENT	3522 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1143		232
RESIDENT	4554 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1146		233
RESIDENT	4558 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1146		234
RESIDENT	4564 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1146		235
RESIDENT	4570 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1146		236
RESIDENT	4574 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1146		237
RESIDENT	4623 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1144		238
RESIDENT	4617 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1144		239
RESIDENT	4607 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1144		240
RESIDENT	4601 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1144		241
RESIDENT	4600 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1145		242
RESIDENT	4608 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1145		243
RESIDENT	4618 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1145		244
RESIDENT	4624 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1145		245
RESIDENT	4630 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1145		246
RESIDENT	4634 LAWTON LN W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1145		247
RESIDENT	4638 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1148		248
RESIDENT	4644 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1148		249
RESIDENT	3531 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		250

COMPANY	ADDRESS_1	CITY	STATE	ZIP	COUNTRY	NO
RESIDENT	3507 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		251
RESIDENT	3501 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		252
RESIDENT	3504 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		253
RESIDENT	3508 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		254
RESIDENT	3516 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		255
RESIDENT	3522 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		256
RESIDENT	3528 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		257
RESIDENT	3534 W LAWTON CIR	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1158		258
RESIDENT	3515 W COMMODORE WAY	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1178		259
RESIDENT	4663 36TH AVE W	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1120		260
RESIDENT	3626 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1155		261
RESIDENT	3634 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1155		262
RESIDENT	3642 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1155		263
RESIDENT	3648 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1155		264
RESIDENT	3700 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		265
RESIDENT	3704 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		266
RESIDENT	3718 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		267
RESIDENT	3720 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		268
RESIDENT	3722 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		269
RESIDENT	3726 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		270
RESIDENT	3734 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		271
RESIDENT	3752 W LAWTON ST	SEATTLE	WA	98199-1180		272

Postcard mailer sent to broader neighborhood:

**Fort Lawton Redevelopment**

Join the City of Seattle Office of Housing, Seattle Parks and Recreation, and the Department of Neighborhoods to learn about the vision for Fort Lawton, the environmental review process, and provide public comment on the scope of the proposal.

Learn more: [www.seattle.gov/housing/ft-lawton](http://www.seattle.gov/housing/ft-lawton)  
Contact: [housing@seattle.gov](mailto:housing@seattle.gov) or 206-684-0721

**Public Open House & Scoping Meeting**

**Monday  
June 19**

6:30 p.m. Open House  
7:30 p.m. Public Comment

Daybreak Star Center  
5011 Bernie Whitebear Way



Property sign installed at the site:



## **ATTACHMENT C**

### **List of Commenters on Scope of EIS**



A. H. Powers	Arthur Day	Carla Kotila
Aaron Allan	Arthur Torelli	Carla Peterson
Aaron Merhoff	Asako Hamaya	Carol Burton
Abbie Bowen	Ashley Millett	Carol Morter
Abe Saeed	Athena	Carrie Bruno
AC Miceli	Aubrey Ciro	Carrington McDuffie
Adam Phillabaum	Aven Frey	Catherine Hinrichsen
Adele Maruo	Aviral Gupta	Cecelia Lehmann
Adit Vohra	Aylin Kim	Charlene Strong
Aiden Ward	Baird Nuckolls	Charles Chang
Aimee Voelz	Barbara Kiley	Charles Davis
Alain & Marva Semet	Becky Shields	Charles Londo
Alan Gibbs	Ben Hansen	Charlie Liphtratt
Alan Potter & Karen Ford	Benjamin Lukoff	Charly McCreary
Alex Cooper	Benjamin Ward	Cheri Kilty
Alex Johnson	Betsey Matsubara	Cheryl Brenner
Alex Sutter	Betsy Ross	Cheryl Conway
Alexander Froehlich	Bill Condon	Cheryl Jacobs
Alexander Wakeman Rouse	Bill Farmer	Chip McElearney
Alice Bremner	Bill Maxwell & Gayle	Chris Baldwin
Alicia Hoppers	Puccinelli	Chris Bruno
Allison Bolgiano	Bill Singer	Chris Jackins
Amanda Bauer	Bill Sornsins	Chris Kapplex
Amanda Fulmer	Bob Eramia	Christina Brooks
Amanda Shaw	Bob Hinckley	Christina Polwarth
Amanda Vail	Bob Moore	Christine Anderson
Amit Shah	Bozena Jakubik	Christine Atkins
Amy Brustkern	Brad Carmichael	Christine Chan Anderson
Amy Hooey	Brendan O'Cassidy	Christine Merker
Amy Mandell	Brian Bass	Chuck Ross
Amy Wells	Brian Chu	Cindy Pierce
Ana B	Brian Mankinen	Clare Tremper
Andrea Lewellen	Brian Wells	Clark Smith
Andrew Funk	Brie Gyncild	Claudia Fernandez Pearson
Andrew Katz	Brie Remily	Claudia Isquith
Andrew Lowe	Brittan Stockert	Clay Vredevoogd
Andrew Sang	Bronwen Sainsbury	Cody Davis
Angela Burton	Brooke Brod	Colette Mercier
Angela Herndon	Brooke Hindes	Colin Hansen
Anne Morgan	Bryan Kirschner	Colleen Laing
Annelise Gasserud	Bryan Kopel	Colleen McCann
AnnMarie Lamsek	Bryce Johnson	Colleen Monette
Anthony Reeves	Caleb Heeringa	Colleen Sitlani
April Atwood	Carese Busby	Connie Carroll

Conrad Albert	Dustin Neighly	Giovannina Souers
Corey Rusk	Eden Mach	Glen Boyd
Courteney Bealko	Elaine Sonntag Johnson	Glenn Osako
Craig Allegro	Elena Ramirez	Grace Smith
Cristina Barr	Elisabeth Hamon	Greg Vouros
Cynthia Chavez	Elizabeth Noble	Gregory Quetin
Cynthia Faw	Elizabeth Campbell	Gretchen Taylor
Dale Kuizera	Elizabeth Hester	Gypsy Lovett
Dan Dickenson	Elizabeth Noll	Hal Kussick
Daniel Johnson	Elizabeth Rinehart	Halina Murphy
Danielle Browne	Elizabeth Wortley	Hannah Hunthausen
Darcy Peth	Elliot Cain	Hazel Flynn
Darlene Von Lehman	Emily Darling	Heather MacClintock
Daron Mackie	Emily Leedy	Heather Shoultz
David Albertson	Emily McKeon	Heidi Carpine
David Cunningham	Emma Bartholomew	Heidi Hespelt
David Handa	Emmy Misner	Helen Holter
David Hutchinson	Eric Hess	Hilary Sallee
David Schuchardt	Eric Leitzinger	Howard Cutler
Dawn Bourdo	Eric Peiffer	Ian Crozier
DC	Ericka Nash	Ian Ward
Dean Kralios	Erik Gunderson	Irene Akio
Deanna Heikkanin	Erin House	Israel Herrejon
Deborah Alt	Erin Phillips	Jaclyn Green
Deborah Berger	Erin Rants	Jacob Lee
Dee Henderson	Esther Handy	Jacob Mihalak
Deeann Shah	Ethan Phelps-Goodman	Jacque Decker
Denis Marthynowych	Fabio Governato	James Davis
Denise Ashbaugh	Fort Lawton School Coalition	James Madden
Denise Capen	Franca Baroni & Alan	James McIntosh
Denise Derr	Alhadeff	James Petersen
Denise Rodriguez	Frances Williams	James Wright
Dennis Kelly	Francine Walsh	Jamie Brubaker
Derwin Hosteller	Fred Kiga	Jamie Stone
Diana Gustafson	Gail Ward	Jamie Voss
Diane Rusk	Gary Holcombe	Jana Wagar
Dieter Plapp	Gary Konop	Janet Anderson
Dimitri Groce	Gary McNeil	Janet Riordan
District 7 Neighborhood	Gary Stone	Janina Jakubik
Action Council	Gay Goodman	Janyce Fink
Doris Koo	Geoffrey Heller	Jason Knaggs
Dorota Ward	George Bergeron	Jason Langlais
Douglas Ito	George Smith	Jason Stucky
Duncan Chaliers	Gina Thompson	Jason Weill

Jay Lazerwitz	Jordan Hopkins	Katrina Lehto
Jean Schwinberg	Joseph Mucci	KC Dietz
Jeanne Coulson	Josh Quinn	Keara Berlin
Jeff Flagel	Joy Levien	Keith Anderson
Jenna Kirksey	Juan Calaf	Keith Conti
Jennifer Alexander-Hill	Juan Chavez	Kelley Chen
Jennifer Crow	Juanita McLaughlin	Kelley Goldmanis
Jennifer Lowe	Judi Gibbs	Kelli Larsen
Jennifer McDonald	Judith Walker	Kellie LaRue
Jennifer Peters	Julie Berard	Kelly Clark
Jennifer Rooth	Julie Carr	Kelly Fahlman
Jennifer SavageCrane	Julie Mayer	Kelly Hickman
Jennisfer Jolley	Julie Wright	Ken Bryan
Jenny Howe	Justin Donaldson	Ken Bryant
Jenny Taylor	Karen Clark	Kevin Ascher
Jeremy Kern	Karen Erickson	Kevin Craig
Jeremy Wilkening	Karen Holt	Kevin Kroll
Jerry Bridges	Karen Nims	Kevin McCullough
Jessica & Michael McFarlane	Karen Nissen	Kim Carmel
Jessica Andrews	Karen Overstreet	Kim Miska
Jessica Escott	Karen Sherrard	Kim Toskey
Jessica Luke	Karin Frank	Kira Baum
Jessica Mogk	Kassandra Bradberry	Kjersti Weisenberg
Jessica Smits	Kate Cottrell	Krista Dalton
Jill Pampia	Kate Criss	Kristeen Penrod
Jim Bruce	Kate Liotta	Kristen
Jinpei Shan	Kate Smith	Kristina Wilke
Jirair Kevorkian	Kateryna Adams	Kristine Kershul
Jo Stone	Katharine Revello	Kyle Anderson
Joanna Johnson	Katherine Braun	Kyle Smits
John Chaney	Katherine Dobson	L. Kay Humm
John Davis	Katherine Harris	Larry Tyson
John Doyle	Katherine Selvocki	Laura Benjamin
John Gosink	Kathleen Cunningham	Laura Chin
John Guros	Kathleen Hosfeld	Laura Donnell
John Haygeman	Kathlyn Paananen	Laura Goldberg
John Laurer	Kathryn Banke	Laura Griffith
John Putre	Kathryn Jacoby	Laura Heller
John Rabourn	Kathy Bodor	Laura Jeffs
John Reed	Kathy Johnson	Laura Loe (Bernstein)
John Walker	Kathy Slettebak	Laura Newcomb
Jonathan Korn	Katie Hopps	Laura Osterbrock
Jonathan Tingstad	Katie Maynard	Laura Quandt
Joni A. Reeves	Katie Price	Laura Snider

Lauren Jordan	Marilyn Klepper	Michele Marchi
Laurie Pickering	Marissa Roesijadi	Michelle Orgill
Lea	Mark Bailey	Michelle Umadhay
Len Quai	Mark Foltz	Mike Klacrynski
Lesia Linster	Mark Gaaserud	Miko Ruby
Leslie Reed	Mark McDuff	Miller Myers
Lilie Clenins	Mark Plunkett	Mona Sanger
Linda Bothell	Mark Stone	Monica Romano
Linda Kurij	Marla Master	Monica Scott
Linda Roeder	Marry Ann Lanphier	Muna Mohamed
Linda Wheeler	Martha Holt	Myra Lara
Lindsay Thome Saeed	Martha Tofferi	Nan McMurry
Lisa Barnes	Martijn Buning	Nancy Davis
Lisa Evans	Marty Kooistra	Nancy Miller
Lisa Hagen	Mary Ann Schroeder	Naoko Inoue Shatz
Lisa Moore	Mary Beth Abel	Naomi Menahem
Lisa Potts	Mary Beth Avedesian	Naomi Mittet
Lisa Spinazze	Mary Jean Bustard	Naomi Nash
Lisa Wolf	Mary Kennedy	Nate Strong
Liudmila Tatyana	Mary Murphy	Nathan Arnold
Lloyd Johnston	Mary Steele-Klein	Neal Nuckolls
Loree and Jon Schoonover	Mary Sweeney	Nell Gross
Loree Wagner	Mary Wong	Netra Clogston Doyle
Lori Stevens	Mathew Johnson	Nicki Olivier Hellenkamp (HDC)
Lorraine Betts	Matt Adkins	Nicki Stein
Lorraine Hartmann	Matt Behrmann	Nicole
Louis Kim	Matt Furlong	Nicole Hosking
Lyndsey Cameron	Matt Peterson	Nicole Lux-Ritchie
Lynn Ferguson	Matt Tilghman-Havens	Nikki Harpster
Lynn McBride	Max Dale	Pallavi Goray
M Smith	Maxwell Baker	Pam Derry
MA Leonard	McCaela Daffern	Pam McMillan
Mairdig Sheridan	Megan Espinoza	Pam O'Brien
Manasi Kashyap	Megan Moore	Pat Moylan
Marcia McCorkle	Melissa Gehrig	Patricia Corbin
Margaret Casey	Melissa Lerch	Patricia Zoberst
Mari Kim	Mersina Ruediger	Paul Chapman
Maria	Michael Davis	Paul Hanson
Maria Records	Michael Goldman	Paul Quinn
Marian Gillis	Michael Kugler	Paul Ravetta
Marian Wineman	Michael Laufert	Penelope Kock-Patterson
Marie Niekamp	Michael Lord	Penny Patterson
Marilene Bysshe	Michael Morgan	Pete Pulliam
Marilyn Jarrell	Michael Winkelhorst	

Peter Hoelz	Sarah Kavage	Susanne Fischer
Peter Kruger	Sarah Kelley	Susie Wilkening
Phil Andrews	Sarah Kilpatrick	Suzanne Davis
Philippa Nye	Sarah Kontopoulos	Suzie Alan
Phyllis Rosen	Sarah Morgan	Sylvia DeForest
Phyllis Tobias	Sault Ste. Marie	Tadeusz Jakubik
Rachel Warren	Scott Adams	Tanya Casey
Ramen Dhesi	Scott Hayman	Tara Comer
Randy Barbee	Scott Shoultz	Tara Noyes
Rebecca Johnson	Sean Kelley	Taylor Millett
Rebecca Roy	Sean Mallon	Tegan Firth
Renee Tackett	Sejin Siegel	Teresa Moore
Reuben Hawkins	Shannon Corbin	Teresa Underwood-LeMoine
Richard Starnes	Shannon Moynihan	Terasas Soucie & Paul
Rick Mohler	Shannon Russell	Thorndike
Rie Shintani	Sharon Greenwood	Terri Yoshimura
Robb Maculd	Sharon LeVine	Terry Birkland
Robert Femiano	Shary B	Terry Cook
Robert Kaminski	Shaun Glaze	Terry Richardson
Robert Odel	Sheena Nikolaus	Thomas Green
Robert Stephens	Shelley Fredericksen	Timothy Garland
Robin White	Shelley Means	Timothy Reagan
Robyn Amonson	Shelly Weinstein	Tina Bishop
Rochelle Winnett	Sherrie Quinton	Tina Ilvonen
Roger Tucker	Sienna Gough	Tina McKim
Rosalind Tan	Sonja Lindberg	Tina Rogers
Roseann Seeley	Sophie Newland	Ting Liu
Roselle Kovitz	Stacey Gill	Todd Berard
Roxanne Duniway	Stephanie Anderson	Tom McDonald
Rozaril Lunch	Stephanie Caldwell	Tom McIntire
Russell Power	Stephen DeForest	Tom Moore
Ruth Schaefer	Stephen Lozano	Tom Records
Ryan Curren	Stephenie Greene	Tonia Kersulis
Ryan Packer	Steve Caroline	Tony Buch
Sallie Lin	Steve Ranta	Tony Case
Sally Keadle	Steve Winter	Toya Thomas
Sally Kinney	Sue Pierce	Tracie Giles
Sam Bell	Susan Carlson	Trina Lyons
Samantha Czapiewski	Susan Eggleton	Trisha Santos
Samantha Hill	Susan Eramia	Trisha Wood
Samuel Farrazaino	Susan Goodall	Tsukina Blessing
Sara	Susan Tomlin	Tyra Mckelvie
Sara Coulter	Susan Walker	Uche Okezie
Sara Wamsley	Susanna Clark	Valerie Cooper

Vanessa Gregory  
Vasiliy Kochergin  
Victoria McCormick  
Vince Stricherz  
Vish Patel  
Volker Metten

Walter Kuciej  
Warren Johnson  
Waryaa Soomal  
Whitney Mason  
Xiaoting Tang  
Yiming Sun

Youttani La  
Yumiko Ordel  
Zach Linster  
Zhanju Li