Criminal Division Quarter IV, 2024 Report

SEATTLE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ANN DAVISON




Report Compiled by Per-Olaf Swanson. Please address inquiries to:

Per-Olaf Swanson Data Analytics Manager Seattle City Attorney's Office Per-Olaf.Swanson@Seattle.gov

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
POLICE REFERRALS	3
CASE FILING	5
BACKLOG	6
CLOSE-IN-TIME FILING	7
CASE TYPE SPECIFICS	9
ALTERNATIVES TO TRADITIONAL PROSECUTION	19
DECLINE REASONS	26
FINAL DISPOSITIONS	28
DISTRICT SPECIFICS	29
GENDER SPECIFICS	35
RACE SPECIFICS	39
POLICY CHANGES	45
REPORTING CHALLENGES	46
CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM LIMITATIONS	49
DATA CAVEATS	50


Police Referrals



Misdemeanor Referrals

In the fourth quarter of 2024, the City Attorney's Office received 2,653 referrals from the Seattle Police Department. This represents a 4% decrease from Q3 of 2024 and a 3% increase from Q4 of 2023. The number of current referrals is below pre-COVID levels and lower than before the loss of a significant number of SPD officers.

- **Referral:** represents a unique person from an SPD report; a single report with two suspects would be two referrals. This reflects the best measure of law department workload and is used in this analysis.
- **Report:** a single document sent from the Seattle Police Department.
- **Individual:** one, unique person referred to CAO; most individuals will only have a single referral, but some can have dozens like the criteria for the High Utilizer Initiative.
- Charges: the actual criminal offenses. Each report and each individual can have many.




Referrals vs Reports vs Individuals vs Charges

Page 3 of 50

Infractions


When an individual receives a citation for an infraction, it does not go to the City Attorney's Office. If the citation is challenged, also called "contested," then it is referred to the City Attorney's Office by the court. Contested citations are almost always filed the same day they are received at the CAO. Contested citations are not part of the misdemeanor system and therefore are measured separately in the graph below.




In Q4 of 2024, the City Attorney's Office received 395 infractions that were sent from the Seattle Municipal Court. This represents a 4% increase from Q3 of 2024 and a 28% decrease from Q4 of 2023.

Case Filing

Referrals can either be filed cases with the Seattle Municipal Court or declined. Diverted referrals turn into declines after successful completion of requirements.




This chart shows the output of filing decisions from the City Attorney's Office. In Q4 of 2024, the City Attorney's Office filed 1,294 cases (a decrease of 15% from Q3 2024 and 7% more than Q4, 2023) and declined a total of 1,071 (down 23% from Q3 2024 and 1% from Q4 2023). The tan elements represent declined cases from the previous administration's backlog.




Decline rates as measured by $\frac{declines}{files+declines}$ remained similar in the fourth quarter of 2024. The decline rate for filing decisions on referrals during Q1 2024 was 50%, up from 49% in Q3 2024 and down from Q4 2023's 52%. These rates do not include drug use and possession charges which do not follow the typical review process and are explained on pages 17 and 18.

Backlog



Referrals awaiting a response are considered part of the backlog.

Responses to incoming referrals lagged for years and resulted in a substantial backlog within the Criminal Division. Areas in orange represent times when the backlog grew and areas in green represent times when it was addressed and reduced. The backlog was at 4990 referrals at the start of 2022.




Backlog of Cases Awaiting Review

Changes in staffing in Q3 2023 resulted in a temporary increase. Similar issues returned in Q4 of 2024.

Close-in-Time Filing

The Close-in-Time filing policy set by City Attorney Davison created the goal of making a filing decision within five business days (seven calendar days). Domestic violence referrals are afforded an additional week to engage in a trauma informed approach, which considers input from the victim on their safety concerns. Referrals involving LEAD clients get 30 days for clients to engage in services.




Staffing issues have complicated the close-in-time goals, as has the major backlog in the state toxicology laboratory for DUIs. As DUIs make up about 11% of referrals, the backlog has a major effect on mean times but a minor one on median times.




Mean Time to Make a Filing Decision


Median filing time is a more accurate description of the data due to its skewed nature.



Median time to make a filing decision came back down initially to within a week for most crime types. What should stand out is the very long time within criminal traffic. This is due to the lengthy backlog in receiving toxicology results from the state toxicology laboratory, primarily in DUIs. Because this data is based on when we receive the report, it hasn't had time for all of the backlogged DUIs to catch up. Looking at this same chart from Q3 2022, there is the start of the same trend from older referrals, but none of the very long times for the DUIs as they didn't come in until more recently.




Case Type Specifics




Charges per Referral by Case Type 1.50 1.45 1.40 1.35 1.30 1.25 1.20 1.15 1.10 1.05 1.00 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 All Referrals -Domestic Violence -Non-Traffic, Non-DV -Criminal Traffic •

The number of charges on each police referral averages about 5 charges for every 4 referrals (1.25 charges/referral) and does not vary much between quarters. The decrease for Criminal Traffic is likely due to far fewer referrals including driving with a suspended license.




Domestic violence referrals historically have higher decline rates for a variety of reasons. Prosecutors carefully weigh the impact to the victim, the victim's desired outcome, lethality factors, and the nature of past referrals in addition to the evidence. Some referrals are declined as part of a consolidation of cases between other jurisdictions. See page 15 for more details on criminal traffic decline rates.


Domestic Violence




Domestic violence referrals did not see a sharp decrease during the pandemic, unlike other referrals. If Seattle followed the national trend of increased incidents of domestic violence during the pandemic, then these numbers could be under-reported due to SPD under-staffing. Incoming domestic violence referrals have been remarkably similar for the last four quarters.




Domestic violence referrals differ because of the impact charges may have on a survivor of intimate partner or family violence. Their ability to assist prosecution varies based on many factors, leading to a higher decline rate. These rates do not reflect backlog filing and the Q4 2024 rate was 66%.



Assaults and protection/no contact order violations are the most common types of domestic violence charges. This is not an exhaustive list of domestic violence charges but represents the most common types.




Criminal Non-Traffic, Non-Domestic Violence




Non-Traffic, Non-Domestic Violence Referrals

Criminal non-traffic, non-domestic violence referrals include many dozens of offenses and have a large proportion of thefts, trespasses, harassment, and assaults. They also include weapons charges and a myriad of less common offenses like reckless burning or false reporting.




Non-Traffic, Non-Domestic Violence Decline Rate

Decline rates for these misdemeanors had been rising more than any other category through 2021. Part of this can be explained by the backlog in referrals that was increasing until early 2022. The decline rate for these crimes was 41% in Q4 2024.




Assault and Theft are the most common non-traffic, non-domestic violence charges. Thefts are likely heavily under reported compared to assaults given the staffing challenges at SPD.




Weapons charges have the lowest decline rates, though their relatively smaller sample sizes lead to more variation.

Criminal Traffic




Criminal Traffic Referrals




The data for recent quarters are unrepresentative. These charts reflect the decline rate for referrals that came to the City Attorney's Office in the listed quarter. Criminal traffic referrals often require a toxicology report to be completed by the State Toxicology Lab and take a lot longer to file. As a result, few filing decisions are made within the quarter they are received, and the limited sample size does not create an accurate depiction of what the data will become when the cases are decided. The decline rate for decisions made on all criminal traffic referrals in Q4 2024, regardless of when they were received, was 26%.

Assault




Referred Assaults were lower in the summer months of 2024 than in 2023 but similar to 2022.




Possession and Use of Controlled Substances

In 2023, Washington State passed a law making it illegal to consume or possess controlled substances like narcotics in public spaces. Later that year the City of Seattle passed its own ordinance on controlled substances. Below is a map of the referrals into the City Attorney's Office involving possession or use of controlled substances in Q3 of 2024:




This chart shows the incoming referrals for those crimes:



Use and Possession of Controlled Substances Referrals

The Seattle Police Department began enforcing the City's ordinance in October of 2023 so Q4 of that year has partial data.



Use and Posession of Controlled Substances Decline Rate

Use and Possession referrals differ from most in that they have much more involvement with the LEAD program. Individuals have their referrals automatically declined if they have either completed their LEAD intake or updated their individualized service plan within 30 days of the incident per LEAD protocols.


Alternatives to Traditional Prosecution


LEAD, CoLEAD, and Vital Clients

The Seattle City Attorney's Office LEAD prosecutors work with LEAD's almost 900 enrolled clients as well as 150 clients in CoLEAD and 60 in Vital.



The City Attorney's Office has started to track these clients with much greater detail. The department has integrated better client tracking as to overcome shortcomings in the current criminal case management system (DAMION). We are working on putting in past clients, but currently only have clients from 2022 onward.







The Seattle City Attorney's Office has a specialized team to work with program clients. A new data tracking system was put in place starting in Q4 2022. In Q4 2024 the team was part of 717 hearings covering 173 clients. One person can have multiple hearings on the same day if they have multiple active cases. Clients with multiple hearings require more work and coordination from the City Attorney's Office. The average number of hearings per client is approximately five.

There are 4 main hearing types:


- Mainstream/Consolidated: This category covers cases that are not part of the below categories
- **Community Court**: An ineffective court that has been disbanded in favor of pre-file diversion
- Mental Health Court: This references the court described on page 23
- Domestic Violence Court: For clients suspected of committing domestic violence



Court/Calendar Types for LEAD Client Hearings




The above are common hearing outcomes for program clients. Each hearing can have multiple outcomes. A continuance or set-over is when a hearing is delayed and set for a later date. A failure to appear often results in either an order to appear the next time or a warrant to do so. A release refers to being released from custody either on their own recognizance, released with an electronic monitoring device, or a temporary release for something like treatment. Hearings can also have an outcome like a dismissal, plea or the setting of a trial date.




Hearings can also have an outcome of asking whether a defendant is mentally competent to stand trial as well as a dismissal because they are not found competent or they can be found competent. A competency finding does not always occur in the same quarter that it was raised.

Pre-File Diversion




Referrals Declined After Sucessful Pre-File Diversion

This data does not include diversions done by the team after a case has been filed.




Court Based Alternatives



Court Based Alternatives


To compare between alternatives, the rest of the charts use the disposition date, but this one uses the date of court hearings.

Mental Health Court




Referrals Involving Mental Health Court

Breakdown of Charges in Mental Health Court




Veterans Treatment Court




Referrals Involving Veterans Treatment Court


Decline Reasons



There are many reasons that the City Attorney's office may decline to file a case. The major ones are listed above. The simplified one is used for reporting ease. These are based on 5-year averages.




Victim related issues have continued to decline and have become a very small barrier to filing. This is partly due to better contact outcomes from the Close-In-Time policy as well as a concerted effort to look at the merits of cases beyond relying only on victim participation. Policy based declines in Q4 2022 are primarily due to the backlog filing project and skew the other proportions in that quarter.




Breakdown of Historical Decline Reason Proportions

Over the past five years, a large proportion of domestic violence declines are related to the victim not wanting or being able to assist the prosecution. This is the primary reason that domestic violence decline rates are higher than for other crime types. The large percent of declines in criminal traffic referrals come from the policy of not pursuing charges on driving while license suspended charges. As these referrals are currently rare, this does not reflect the current state in 2024. The chart above shows the relative proportions of each type of decline for each crime type. The chart below shows the five-year average number of quarterly declines.



Final Dispositions





Dispositions of Cases Set for Jury Trial

The most common final dispositions are guilty pleas, typically as part of a negotiated plea deal. Dismissals related to successful completion of alternatives to traditional prosecution, proof issues, and victim or witness related dismissials are also common. Guilty and not guilty findings via jury verdict are rare due to the decreased number of jury trials. The category "SOC/DC/DP/PTD" includes stipulated orders of continuances, dispositional continuances, deferred prosecution, and pre-trial diversion. All of those involve a situation where a defendant agrees to meet a set of requirements for a period of time and if successful, their case is dismissed.

Due to the breakdown in the electronic communication between the court's new case management system and ours, a manual workaround had to be made to incorporate court data into our system for the final dispositions. The data did not differentiate between guilty pleas and guilty findings so the two were combined.

District Specifics






Council Districts were redrawn effective Q1 2023. The largest changes were District 1 absorbing the land between the Duwamish and I-5 and District 6 absorbing Magnolia.

Mapping Accuracy

Mapping a police referral relies on the accuracy of the information on a report. Even after manually correcting addresses, some either do not have enough information or are not tied to a physical address in the city's GIS database. The most common of these are DUIs that occur on grade-separated roads.

Maps



Domestic Violence


Domestic Violence Referrals concentrate in any part of the city that has a higher density of housing. In addition to the city's core, higher rates of domestic violence follow the light rail down the rainier valley and the denser portions of the Lake City Way and Aurora corridors. It can also be found in higher levels in West Seattle and Wallingford.

Criminal Non-Traffic, Non-Domestic Violence


Misdemeanors that are neither traffic nor domestic violence related concentrate around commercial areas like Downtown, Capitol Hill, Northgate, The U District, Mount Baker, North Aurora, and Lake City.

Criminal Traffic

Unlike the other categories, criminal traffic misdemeanors do not have the highest concentration downtown. Rather they have the highest concentration in Capitol Hill. The downtown areas with the most are Pioneer Square and Belltown and are likely related to bar activity. North Aurora, Fremont, Ballard, Georgetown, and South Park also have higher incidents of criminal traffic referrals. While traditionally they were tied to nightlife and drinking, the modern DUIs tend to involve drug use and can happen throughout the day.




District 1, Q4-2024									
	Count	% of Seattle							
Domestic Violence	120	16%							
Court Order Violations	44	18%							
Assault	135	16%							
Weapons Charges	14	10%							
Harassment	39	14%							
Theft	44	10%							
DUI	54	22%							
Total Referrals	400	15%							




District 2, Q4-2024									
	Count	% of Seattle							
Domestic Violence	176	23%							
Court Order Violations	46	19%							
Assault	156	19%							
Weapons Charges	28	19%							
Harassment	59	20%							
Theft	95	22%							
DUI	60	24%							
Total Referrals	555	21%							




District 3, Q4-2024									
	Count	% of Seattle							
Domestic Violence	109	14%							
Court Order Violations	23	10%							
Assault	140	17%							
Weapons Charges	21	14%							
Harassment	59	20%							
Theft	92	21%							
DUI	53	22%							
Total Referrals	461	17%							




District 4, Q4-2024								
	Count	% of Seattle						
Domestic Violence	60	8%						
Court Order Violations	22	9%						
Assault	56	7%						
Weapons Charges	12	8%						
Harassment	27	9%						
Theft	30	7%						
DUI	18	7%						
Total Referrals	188	7%						



District 5, Q4-2024									
	Count	% of Seattle							
Domestic Violence	151	20%							
Court Order Violations	56	23%							
Assault	130	15%							
Weapons Charges	24	16%							
Harassment	47	16%							
Theft	51	12%							
DUI	19	8%							
Total Referrals	368	14%							




District 6,	Q4-202	4
	Count	% of Seattle
Domestic Violence	37	5%
Court Order Violations	18	8%
Assault	41	5%
Weapons Charges	5	3%
Harassment	18	6%
Theft	14	3%
DUI	9	4%
Total Referrals	130	5%



District 7,	Q4-202	24
	Count	% of Seattle
Domestic Violence	119	15%
Court Order Violations	30	13%
Assault	183	22%
Weapons Charges	43	29%
Harassment	39	14%
Theft	105	24%
DUI	33	13%
Total Referrals	593	22%


Gender Specifics

Demographic information originates with police reports and are on occasion updated by SCAO staff.




Incoming Referrals by Gender for Victims and Suspects

Proportion of Incoming Referrals by Gender for Victims/Suspects



While males make up about three quarters of police referrals, crime victims are more proportional to the population with businesses making up a large proportion of the "other/unknown/business" category. Gender diverse individuals are less than one percent of victims and of suspects.



Decline Rates by Gender for Victims and Suspects


Tables

These tables provide the information on the next several pages in a condensed form. Blank sections refer to data that has too small of a sample size to be relevant. Changes to the court's reporting affect these charts and create more blank sections.

Referrals by Gender													
			Q4	2024		5-year Average				Change			
				Non-				Non-				Non-	
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic
Female	Victims	915	528	353	34	1015	579	391	44	-10%	-9%	-10%	-23%
remare	Suspects	593	197	311	63	645	209	334	92	-8%	-6%	-7%	-32%
Male	Victims	947	265	634	48	1000	273	660	68	-5%	-3%	-4%	-29%
iviare	Suspects	2006	564	1095	274	2068	596	1156	293	-3%	-5%	-5%	-6%

Decline Rates by Gender													
	5-year Average				Change								
				Non-				Non-				Non-	
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic
Female	Victims	53%	62%	45%	-	55%	62%	48%	16%	-2%	-1%	-3%	-
rennare	Suspects	60%	84%	46%	-	60%	80%	55%	34%	0%	4%	-9%	-
	Victims	46%	71%	36%	-	51%	73%	45%	19%	-5%	-2%	-9%	-
Male	Suspects	46%	59%	39%	48%	50%	63%	47%	35%	-4%	-4%	-8%	13%


	Final Dispositions by Gender													
			Q4 2024		5	Year Average			Change					
% Guilt		% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found	% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found	% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found				
		Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty				
Fomalo	Victims	-	0%	-	32%	2%	70%	-	-2%	-				
rennare	Female Suspects		-	-	38%	5%	85%	-	-	-				
Male	Victims	-	0%	-	38%	2%	56%	-	-2%	-				
iviare	Suspects	-	10%	-	39%	6%	80%	-	4%	-				




Referrals by Category Involving Female Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Female Victims and Suspects






Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Female Victims and Suspects



Referrals by Category Involving Male Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Male Victims and Suspects






Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Male Victims and Suspects


Race Specifics


Demographic information originates with police reports and are updated by SCAO staff. Small sample sizes for Asian and Indigenous peoples may reduce statistical relevance.



Incoming Referrals by Race for Victims and Suspects

Proportion of Incoming Referrals by Race for Victims/Suspects





Decline Rates by Race for Victims and Suspects


Tables

These tables provide the information on the next several pages in a condensed form. Blank sections refer to data that has too small of a sample size to be relevant. Changes to the court's reporting affect these charts and create more blank sections.

					Refe	errals b	y Race	9					
			Q4	2024			5-year	Average			Cha	inge	
				Non-				Non-				Non-	
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic
Asian	Victims	113	44	64	5	129	50	73	6	-12%	-11%	-12%	-
Asidii	Suspects	106	34	49	14	134	44	65	23	-21%	-23%	-24%	-
Black	Victims	395	210	174	11	427	233	182	12	-8%	-10%	-4%	-
ыаск	Suspects	901	291	512	76	903	277	509	111	0%	5%	1%	-32%
Indigonous	Victims	30	14	16	0	28	19	9	0	-	-	-	-
Indigenous	Suspects	48	8	34	3	60	16	39	4	-21%	-	-13%	-
White	Victims	853	358	470	25	977	409	533	35	-13%	-12%	-12%	-
white	Suspects	1225	291	692	195	1326	352	757	198	-8%	-17%	-9%	-2%


					Declin	e Rates	s by Ra	ace					
			Q4	2024			5-year	Average			Cha	ange	
		0	-	Non-		0	-	Non-	T	0		Non-	T
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic
Asian	Victims	59%	÷ .	46%	-	54%	68%	48%	19%	5%	-	-2%	-
Asidii	Suspects	47%	-	29%		53%	68%	49%	37%	-6%	-	-19%	-
Black	Victims	54%	66%	43%	-	59%	68%	50%	19%	-5%	-2%	-7%	-
DIACK	Suspects	49%	68%	38%	-	52%	65%	48%	39%	-3%	3%	-10%	-
Indiannus	Victims	-	-	-	-	55%	59%	47%	-	-	-	-	-
Indigenous	Suspects	30%			-	50%	72%	43%	-	-20%	-	-	-
White	Victims	47%	62%	38%	-	53%	65%	45%	22%	-5%	-4%	-8%	-
writte	Suspects	45%	60%	38%	39%	50%	67%	47%	31%	-6%	-7%	-10%	8%

				Final D	Disposition	s by Race				
			Q4 2024		5	5 Year Average			Change	
		% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found	% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found	% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found
		Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty
Asian	Victims	-	0%	-	-	2%	-	-	-2%	-
Asidii	Suspects	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-
Black	Victims	-	0%	-	-	3%	62%	-	-3%	-
BIACK	Suspects	-	38%	-	36%	4%	65%	-	34%	-
Indigenous	Victims	-	0%	-	-	3%	-	-	-3%	-
indigenous	Suspects	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-
White	Victims	-	0%	-	28%	2%	65%	-	-2%	-
white	Suspects	-	-	-	41%	7%	88%	-	-	-





Referrals by Category Involving Asian Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Asian Victims and Suspects












Referrals by Category Involving Black Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Black Victims and Suspects







Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Black Victims and Suspects




Referrals by Category Involving Indigenous Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Indigenous Victims and Suspects






Other than overall rates, there were too few Indigenous victims and suspects that had filed or declined referrals for any meaningful decline rates in Q4 2024 or Q3 2024.




Referrals by Category Involving White Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for White Victims and Suspects







Decline Rates for Referrals Involving White Victims and Suspects

Policy Changes

There were no significant policy changes in Q4 2024.


Reporting Challenges

DAMION

The criminal case management system currently used by the City Attorney's Office is the District Attorney Management Information Office Network (DAMION.) It is very complex and archaic. It was introduced at a similar time to the idea of Windows, and it still reflects its time origination by using icons from contemporary video games. Below is what the program looks like.




The database that is housed within DAMION uses a hierarchical relationship model which means that data from different sections can only be viewed together if they have a direct link and are not on the same level. For instance, it is not possible to view information from the Victim and Suspect sections at the same time. Extracting any sort of aggregate data from the database requires writing a custom "Ad Hoc Report." Below is a visual map of the data within the DAMION database.



The City Attorney's Office has been aware of data issues with DAMION and has been trying to replace it for 5 years. After a lengthy RFP process, the city settled on Justice Nexus and has spent millions of dollars over the past three years working on a new system. That process is still ongoing.

Prior Versions of the SPAR

The Statistics of Prosecution & Analysis Report (SPAR) was coded decades ago to query the DAMION database and return a digital "ticker tape" of values. It was not created to handle any form of demographics that are now required by council ordinance, nor does it look at historical context. Prior reports relied on copying this information into an excel sheet to display that period's data alongside the previous period's data. Below is an example of this report as it was delivered in years past.



Because the data in the department contains relatively high variability between quarters, a comparison to just one other period does not provide contextually accurate information to policy makers. It also lacked analysis to describe potential causes for high variance from previous periods in most instances or descriptions that would benefit readers from the general public.

The reports also contained information on outcomes provided by a companion query program. This was also copied into a spreadsheet most quarters and it showed counts of 20 "favorable" outcomes, 7 "unfavorable" and 2 others. An example is shown at the start of the following page.

	OUTCOME MEASUR	ES SUMM		_			
	2019		2018		2018		
JANUARY - SEPT (FAVORABLE) Count Description		Count	ANUARY - SEPT (FAVORABLE) Description	JANUARY - DECEMBER (FAVORAB Count Description			
122	Deferred Prosecution	116	Deferred Prosecution	158	Deferred Prosecution		
10	Dismiss - Prison	21	Dismiss - Prison	25	Dismiss - Prison		
120	Dismiss DP - Successful	138	Dismiss DP - Successful	176	Dismiss DP - Successful		
	Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion		Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion		Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion		
481	Dismiss- Dispo, Cont, Successful	437	Dismiss- Dispo, Cont, Successful	583	Dismiss- Dispo. Cont. Successf		
	Dismiss-SOC successful	151	Dismiss-SOC successful		Dismiss-SOC successful		
1.595	Dismissed - Negotiated Plea	1.680	Dismissed - Negotiated Plea		Dismissed - Negotiated Plea		
	First Time Offender DWI S*		First Time Offender DWI S*		First Time Offender DWI S*		
56	Dispositional Continuance - Red.	67	Dispositional Continuance - Red.	0.4	Dispositional Continuance - Red		
	Dispositional Continuance	478	Dispositional Continuance		Dispositional Continuance		
	FG - Unsuccessful DP		EG - Unsuccessful DP		FG - Unsuccessful DP		
	FG - Unsuccessful DC		FG - Unsuccessful DC		FG - Unsuccessful DC		
6	FG - Unsuccessful PTD	9	EG - Unsuccessful PTD	12	FG - Unsuccessful PTD		
	EG - Unsuccessful SOC	24	EG - Unsuccessful SOC	26	FG - Unsuccessful SOC		
120	Found Guilty	80	Found Guilty	107	Found Guilty		
	Plead Guilty		Plead Guilty		Plead Guilty		
	Plead Guilty Reduced		Plead Guilty Reduced		Plead Guilty Reduced		
	Pre-Trial Diversion		Pre-Trial Diversion		Pre-Trial Diversion		
	Stipulated Order of Cont.		Stipulated Order of Cont.		Stipulated Order of Cont.		
	Dismissed - Felony Filing		Dismissed - Felony Filing		Dismissed - Felony Filing		
	Total Favorable		Total Favorable		Total Favorable		
0,010	JANUARY - SEPT 2019	0,400	JANUARY - SEPT 2018		ANUARY - DECEMBER 2018		
	Unfavorable		Unfavorable	1 "	Unfavorable		
580	Dismissed Proof Problem	619	Dismissed Proof Problem	771	Dismissed Proof Problem		
	Dismissed - No Civilian Witness		Dismissed - No Civilian Witness		Dismissed - No Civilian Witness		
	Dismissed - No Non-Civilian		Dismissed - No Non-Civilian		Dismissed - No Non-Civilian		
	Hung Jury		Hung Jury		Hung Jury		
	Not Guilty		Not Guilty		Not Guilty		
	Stricken		Stricken		Stricken		
	Reduced Charge - No Officer		Reduced Charge - No Officer		Reduced Charge - No Officer		
	Total Unfavorable		Total Unfavorable		Total Unfavorable		
,		.,		.,			
	Dismissed - Court Error		Dismissed - Court Error		Dismissed - Court Error		
420	Dismissed - Age	11	Dismissed - Age	11	Dismissed - Age		
	Summary		Summary		Summary		
	JANUARY - SEPT 2019		JANUARY - SEPT 2018		ANUARY - DECEMBER 2018		
7,929	Total Dispositions	7,885	Total Dispositions	9,898	Total Dispositions		
80%	Favorable Dispositions	82%	Favorable Dispositions	82%	Favorable Dispositions		
20%	Unfavorable Dispositions	18%	Unfavorable Dispositions	18%	Unfavorable Dispositions		

While this example provides context of the entire previous year, it is for a different timeframe, so it is difficult to use the data for comparison. The graphic below also points out that sometimes the data can vary wildly from report to report. The two examples side by side are from Q2 and Q3 of 2021. One states that 2020 had zero cases dismissed due to no civilian witness and the other has over 1000 listed.

	2021 Q2 Report	2021 Q3 Report					
	2020	2020					
JANUA Count	RY - DECEMBER (FAVORABLE) Description	JANUA Count	ARY - DECEMBER (FAVORABLE) Description				
73	Deferred Prosecution	137	Deferred Prosecution				
	Dismiss - Prison		Dismiss - Prison				
	Dismiss DP - Successful		Dismiss DP - Successful				
-	Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion		Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion				
	Dismiss- Dispo. Cont. Successful		Dismiss- Dispo. Cont. Successful				
	Dismiss-SOC successful		Dismiss-SOC successful				
	Dismissed - Negotiated Plea		Dismissed - Negotiated Plea				
	First Time Offender DWLS Dispositional Continuance - Red.		First Time Offender DWLS Dispositional Continuance - Red.				
	Dispositional Continuance - Red.		Dispositional Continuance - Red.				
	FG - Unsuccessful DP		FG - Unsuccessful DP				
-	FG - Unsuccessful DC		FG - Unsuccessful DC				
	FG - Unsuccessful PTD		FG - Unsuccessful PTD				
	FG - Unsuccessful SOC		FG - Unsuccessful SOC				
	Found Guilty		Found Guilty				
	Plead Guilty		Plead Guilty				
	Plead Guilty Reduced		Plead Guilty Reduced				
5	Pre-Trial Diversion	25	Pre-Trial Diversion				
132	Stipulated Order of Cont.	216	Stipulated Order of Cont.				
57	Dismissed - Felony Filing	47	Dismissed - Felony Filing				
3,037	Total Favorable	7,548	Total Favorable				
J/	ANUARY - DECEMBER 2020	J	ANUARY - DECEMBER 2020				
	Unfavorable		Unfavorable				
518	Dismissed Proof Problem	690	Dismissed Proof Problem				
-	Dismissed - No Civilian Witness		Dismissed - No Civilian Witness				
	Dismissed - No Non-Civilian		Dismissed - No Non-Civilian				
-	Hung Jury		Hung Jury				
	Not Guilty		Not Guilty Stricken				
	Stricken	-					
	Reduced Charge - No Officer Total Unfavorable		Reduced Charge - No Officer Total Unfavorable				
332		1,000					
0	Dismissed - Court Error	3	Dismissed - Court Error				
	Dismissed - Age		Dismissed - Age				
	Summary		Summary				
	ANUARY - DECEMBER 2020		ANUARY - DECEMBER 2020				
3,569	Total Dispositions	9,408	Total Dispositions				
95%	Favorable Dispositions	900/	Favorable Dispositions				
	Unfavorable Dispositions		Unfavorable Dispositions				
15%		20%	omavorable Dispositions				

Case Management System Limitations

To overcome the limitations of the DAMION criminal case management system, the City Attorney's Office's Data and Transparency Team built a program that recreates the relevant sections of the DAMION database then runs analysis on that data. This should expedite the release of future reports while DAMION is still in use. This program continues to evolve to include additional functionality and now has nearly 36 million formulas spread across 21 sheets. Below is a screenshot of the number of calculations it requires to stitch the disparate sections of the DAMION database together and pull-out useful information:

Workbook Statistics	? ×
Current Sheet:	
End of sheet	AQ557
Cells with data	3004
Tables	0
Formulas	2972
Charts	24
Workbook:	
Sheets	21
Cells with data	49264928
Tables	0
Formulas	35946842
Charts	162
	ОК

Data Caveats

DAMION

Running the same report on different days can yield slightly different results as an automatic process can update a field. While specific values might change on the margins, overall data is consistent with itself and none of the marginal changes are enough to influence important trends or statistics.

Decline Rates

Decline Rates in this report are generally based on the quarter in which the referral was received.

Small Sample Sizes

Many categories, especially within demographic breakdowns represent very small quantities of data and are not useful for comparison or statistical analysis. For example, if there is one person that fits a set of criteria, then a decline rate can only be 0% or 100%. Similarly, a single event can have an unusably strong effect on the data. Even a sample of 20 that adds another data point will sway the aggregate by nearly 5%. Often, areas of data with too low of a sample size are not included.

Alternatives to Traditional Prosecution

The nature of alternatives is that they are very individualized and come in many forms. For this reason, it is difficult to compare one to the other and one person can be involved in many or none. In general, the information in this report refers to individuals who have successfully completed alternatives and not people who have not been successful.

Final Dispositions

Final Dispositions were changed to reflect cases instead of charges in Q3 2023.

Communication with the Seattle Municipal Court

The new court case management system that went online in March of 2024 broke many of the automated data communications and has caused a lot of manual work. This may lead to errors and has made the determination of final dispositions much more complicated.