Criminal Division Quarter II, 2022 Report

SEATTLE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE ANN DAVISON



Report Compiled by Per-Olaf Swanson. Please address inquiries to:

Per-Olaf Swanson Data Analytics Manager Seattle City Attorney's Office Per-Olaf.Swanson@Seattle.gov

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
LETTER FROM CITY ATTORNEY ANN DAVISON	3
POLICE REFERRALS	4
CASE FILING	6
BACKLOG	7
CLOSE-IN-TIME FILING	9
CASE TYPE SPECIFICS	11
ALTERNATIVES TO TRADITIONAL PROSECUTION	18
DECLINE REASONS	25
OUTCOMES	27
DISTRICT SPECIFICS	29
GENDER SPECIFICS	35
RACE SPECIFICS	39
POLICY CHANGES	45
REPORTING CHALLENGES	46
DAMION WORKAROUND	49
DATA CAVEATS	50

Letter from City Attorney Ann Davison

Dear Councilmember Herbold,

When I took office in January, I was intent on transforming this corner of the criminal justice system and delivering on reforms. In this second quarterly report on the activities of the Criminal Division, I am pleased to report that our office has made significant strides to improve justice for victims. We are also continuing to provide unprecedented transparency into the work of the City Attorney's Office and our municipal criminal justice system.

As you will see in this report, our close-in-time filing policy continues to prove highly effective at reducing the backlog of nearly 5,000 criminal cases left by my predecessor and bringing real-time accountability back to Seattle's misdemeanor criminal justice system. Notably, the median time to make a filing decision has been reduced to 3 days from 124 days, a 98% drop compared to the prior 5-year average. Since the beginning of the year, our office is filing more cases, more quickly, than we have in years.

Since taking office, I have been committed to re-centering the voices of victims in Seattle. With faster case filing, we are seeing more victims willing to participate in their cases. The number of cases declined because a victim cannot be contacted or is not willing to participate has dropped 69% compared to the 5-year average from 2017-2021. Previously, due to long case filing delays, many victims lost interest in participating in their cases and witnesses became harder to contact.

I am committed to expanding transparency around our municipal criminal justice system. Unfortunately, the City Attorney's Office inherited weak data systems and little analytical capability when I took over. This quarterly report helps address those data gaps. But much more remains to be done.

I am hopeful, with the partnership of City Council, we may continue to invest in transparency at the City Attorney's Office. I look forward to engaging with you on the information contained in this report.

Sincerely, City Attorney Ann Davison

Police Referrals



In the second quarter of 2022, the City Attorney's Office received 2,786 referrals from the Seattle Police Department. This represents a 1% decrease from Q1 of 2022 and a 2% increase over Q2 of 2021. The number of current referrals is below pre-COVID levels and lower than before the loss of a significant number of SPD officers.

Potential cases coming into the City Attorney's Office can be measured in multiple different ways. The terms often used are:

- **Referral:** represents a unique person from an SPD report; a single report with two suspects would be two referrals. This reflects the best measure of law department workload and is used in this analysis.
- **Report:** a single document sent from the Seattle Police Department.
- **Individual:** one, unique person referred to CAO; most individuals will only have a single referral, but some can have dozens like the criteria for the High Utilizer Initiative.
- Charges: are the actual criminal offenses. Each report and each individual can have many.



Referrals vs Reports vs Individuals vs Charges

Page **4** of **50**

Infractions

When an individual receives a citation for an infraction, it does not go to the City Attorney's Office. If the citation is challenged, also called "contested," then it is referred to the City Attorney's Office by the court. Contested citations are almost always filed the same day they are received at the CAO. Contested citations are not part of the misdemeanor system and therefore are measured separately in the graph below.



In Q2 of 2022, the City Attorney's Office received 715 infractions that were sent from the Seattle Municipal Court. This represents a 25% decrease from Q1 of 2022 and a 26% decrease from Q2 of 2021.

Case Filing

Referrals can either be filed cases with the Seattle Municipal Court or declined. Diverted referrals turn into declines after successful completion of requirements.



This chart shows the output of filing decisions from the City Attorney's Office. In Q2 of 2022, the City Attorney's Office filed 1,708 cases (an increase of 26% over Q1 2022 and 124% more than Q2, 2021) and declined 1,754 (up 21% from Q1 2022 and 46% from Q2 2021). Many of the declined cases in Q2 2022 come from the backlog of nearly 5,000 cases that existed at the end of 2021.



Decline rates as measured by $\frac{declines}{files+declines}$ were mostly constant with a slight upward trend through the end of 2021. The decline rate for filing decisions made in Q2 2022 was 51%, down slightly from Q1 2022's 52% and down significantly from Q2 2021's 61%. The Q1 2022 decline rate includes declined referrals in the backlog from before 2022. Referrals received in Q1 of 2022 had a decline rate of 46% and referrals received in Q2 of 2022 had a decline rate of 43% (denoted by the dashed line).

Backlog

Referrals awaiting a response are considered to be in the backlog.



Referrals-In vs Filed/Declined Cases Out

Responses to incoming referrals lagged for years and resulted in a substantial backlog within the Criminal Division. Areas in orange represent times when the backlog grew and areas in green represent

times when it was addressed and reduced.



The backlog reached nearly 2400 referrals prior to the onset of COVID which temporarily closed the courts allowing for trial attorneys to assist with the backlog. It then steadily grew to 4990 referrals at the onset of Q1 2022. The department is making great progress at reducing the backlog.

The growth in the backlog slowed by 20% in the beginning of Q1 2022 and it saw a significant reduction after the implementation of the Close-in-Time filing policy. Q1 2022 ended with 90 fewer referrals in the backlog then the end of Q4 2021. Hard work by assistant city prosecutors enabled the division to clear an additional **900 referrals** from the backlog which has now reduced in size by 20%. Hard work and coordination among Assistant City Prosecutors and professional support staff in the Criminal Division continue to reduce the backlog.

Close-in-Time Filing



Median Time to Make a Filing Decision

Prior to Q1 2022, the average median time to make a filing decision was 129 calendar days. Since the implementation of the Close-in-Time policy, the median time to make a filing decision has **dropped to 3 calendar days**. This represents a 98% reduction in the average time needed to make that decision.

The Q1 2022 report used mean to measure the average, but median is a better measure of skewed data like filing decision time. The median represents the amount of time a victim can expect to wait for the City Attorney's Office to make a filing decision on the referral that harmed them.



Mean Time to Make a Filing Decision



Percent of Referrals with a Timely Decision

The Close-in-Time filing policy sets by City Attorney Davison created the goal of making a filing decision within five business days (seven calendar days). Domestic violence referrals are afforded an additional week to engage in a trauma informed approach, which considers input from the victim on their safety concerns. Referrals involving LEAD clients get 30 days for clients to engage in services. The chart above shows how successful the policy has been as over 80% of referrals now receive a timely filing decision.



Median Time to Make a Filing Decision by Type

Every crime type has seen a remarkable decrease in how long a victim will have to wait to know if their suspect's case has been filed or declined. Domestic violence referrals require more time flexibility to allow for a trauma informed approach, including outreach and services to the victim and a thoughtful consideration of the safety risks, impact to the victim and the victim's desired outcome when making a filing decision.

Case Type Specifics



Criminal non-traffic, non-domestic violence referrals increased in Q2 over Q1 while domestic violence and criminal traffic referrals decreased slightly.



The number of charges on each police referral averages about 5 charges for every 4 referrals (1.25) and does not vary much between quarters.



Domestic violence referrals historically have higher decline rates for a variety of reasons. Prosecutors carefully weigh the impact to the victim, the victim's desired outcome, lethality factors, and the nature of past referrals in addition to the evidence. Some referrals are declined as part of a consolidation of cases between other jurisdictions. The reduction in the decline rate for criminal traffic referrals is because a higher percentage of them now include DUIs.

The lines represent the decline rates for decisions made in each quarter. The dashed lines represent the decline rate for referrals that came in during Q1 and Q2. Backlog decline rates are very high due to the difficulties associated with very old referrals so they pull the average decline rates higher.

Domestic Violence



Domestic violence referrals did not see a sharp decrease during the pandemic, unlike other referrals. If Seattle followed the national trend of increased incidents of domestic violence during the pandemic, then these numbers could be under-reported due to SPD under-staffing. They were down slightly in Q2 compared with Q1 this year.



Domestic violence referrals differ because of the impact charges may have on a survivor of intimate partner or family violence. Their ability to assist prosecution varies based on many factors, leading to a higher decline rate. These rates reflect when the filing decision was made. The decline rate only for referrals that were received in Q2 2022 was 61% (represented by dashed line).



Assaults and protection order violations are the most common types of domestic violence charges. This is not an exhaustive list of domestic violence charges but represents the most common types.



Decline rates for DV harassment and order violations were lower than other categories in Q2 2022.

Criminal Non-Traffic, Non-Domestic Violence



Criminal non-traffic, non-domestic violence referrals include many dozens of offenses and have a large proportion of thefts, trespasses, harassment, and assaults. They also include weapons charges and a myriad of less common offenses like reckless burning or false reporting.



Decline rates for these misdemeanors had been rising more than any other category through 2021. Part of this can be explained by the backlog in referrals that was increasing until early 2022. These rates reflect when the filing decision was made. The decline rate only for referrals that were received in Q2 2022 was 37% (represented by dashed line).



Assault and Theft are the most common non-traffic, non-domestic violence charges. Thefts are likely heavily under reported compared to assaults given the staffing challenges at SPD.



Weapons charges have the lowest decline rates, though their relatively smaller sample sizes lead to more variation.

Criminal Traffic



Non-DUI traffic referrals have slowed substantially since Q1 2020. DUIs dropped likely because the bars closed for the pandemic but have increased since.



Historically DUIs have had very low decline rates but have been rising lately. This is potentially because of large delays at the state toxicology laboratory that has forced some declines. The overall traffic decline rate has dropped as DUIs have become an increasingly more dominant proportion of all traffic misdemeanors. The overall decline rate for criminal traffic referrals that were received in Q2 2022 was 20% (represented by the dashed line).

Alternatives to Traditional Prosecution

8% Pre-File Diversion
2% Pre-Trial Diversion
2% Community Court
33% Mental Health Court
1% Veterans Court

Alternatives to Traditional Prosecution Breakdown

Alternatives to traditional prosecution take many forms that can overlap. Most of the data is shown by the final disposition date to compare each category on equal terms, but it is not a perfect comparison. LEAD interacts with clients throughout the process, Pre-File Diversion ends with a decline to file whereas the others take place after a case has been filed. LEAD is not graphed here because of its unique role and lack



Use of Alternatives to Traditional Prosecution

Alternatives have increased in Q1 and Q2 along with overall filing activity.

LEAD

The Seattle City Attorney's Office LEAD liaisons coordinate legal involvement for LEAD's almost 900 enrolled clients.



Cases Referred Involving LEAD Members

LEAD clients are enrolled and disenrolled periodically and our current criminal case management system (DAMION) does not track their entry and exit so the above numbers are estimates that are more likely to overstate the total activity than understate it. LEAD data is constantly being improved, expect further details in the third quarter's report.

Pre-File Diversion



Pre-File Diversion declines increased slightly over Q1 but are still lower than pre-pandemic levels.



Breakdown of Charges in Pre-File Diversion Declines

Court Based Alternatives



To compare between alternatives, the rest of the charts use the disposition date, but this one uses the date of court hearings. The gap in community court represents the time in which it was closed between its second and third iterations.

Community Court



The dates used here are for the final disposition date and the few before the third iteration of community court started are likely leftover from the second iteration.



Breakdown of Charges in Community Court

Mental Health Court



Referrals Involving Mental Health Court

Breakdown of Charges in Mental Health Court



Veterans Treatment Court



Pre-Trial Diversion



Decline Reasons



There are many reasons that the City Attorney's office may decline to file a case. The major ones are listed above. The simplified one is used for reporting ease. These are based on 5-year averages.



Timeline of Declines by Reason Category

Victim related issues became a smaller proportion of decline reasons in Q1 and Q2 which is likely do the Close-in-Time policy. Policy based declines uncharacteristically made up a large proportion of Q2 and represent some of the very old referrals in the backlog being worked through.



Over the past five years, a large proportion of domestic violence declines are related to the victim not wanting or being able to assist the prosecution. This is the primary reasons that domestic violence decline rates are higher than for other crime types. The large percent of declines in criminal traffic referrals come from the policy of not pursuing charges on driving while license suspended charges. As these referrals are currently rare, this does not reflect the current state in Q2 2022. The chart above shows the relative proportions of each type of decline for each crime type. The chart below shows the five-year average number of quarterly declines. The charts on the following pages give greater detail to these.



Breakdown of Decline Reason Averages by Crime Type

Final Dispositions



The most common final dispositions are guilty pleas. Dismissials related to successful completion of alternatives to traditional prosecution, proof issues, and victim or witness related dismissials are also common. Guilty and not guilty findings are rare. Major capcitiy issues origionating with the pandemic still exist within the Seattle Municipal Court resulting in decresed levels of all final dispositions.



Findings of guilty and not guilty make up a very small proportion of outcomes and have mixed success.



District Specifics



The second quarter of 2022 had a typical distribution of police referrals with the bulk being centered around the downtown core and with hotspots around Northgate/Aurora, The U District, and the Central District.

Mapping Accuracy

Mapping a police referral relies on the accuracy of the information on a report. Even after manually correcting addresses, some either do not have enough information or are not tied to a physical address in the city's GIS database. This quarter had substantially increased mapping accuracy.

	Domestic	Court Order		Weapons			Total	
	Violence	Violations	Assault	Charges	Harassment	Theft	DUI	Referrals
Mapped	768	283	904	120	280	541	274	2704
UnMapped	10	6	8	1	5	3	12	82
Mapped %	99%	98%	99%	99%	98%	99%	96%	97%

Maps



Non-Traffic, Non-DV

Criminal Traffic







Domestic violence referrals are more prevalent in residential areas whereas non-traffic, non-domestic violence referrals concentrate in commercial areas. Traffic misdemeanor referrals are most common along major arterials especially near areas with many bars. The chart on the left shows how regions of the city vary in what sorts of crimes are committed. The more blue an area has, the more domestic violence referrals. The more green an area has, the more non-traffic, non-domestic violence referrals. Areas in purple have a lot of both and areas in grey have less of either.



District 1, Q2-2022											
	Count	% of Seattle									
Domestic Violence	83	11%									
Court Order Violations	30	11%									
Assault	86	10%									
Weapons Charges	4	3%									
Harassment	27	10%									
Theft	46	9%									
DUI	23	8%									
Total Referrals	229	8%									



District 2, Q2-2022										
	Count	% of Seattle								
Domestic Violence	186	24%								
Court Order Violations	79	28%								
Assault	171	19%								
Weapons Charges	26	22%								
Harassment	61	22%								
Theft	106	20%								
DUI	43	16%								
Total Referrals	499	18%								



District 3, Q2-2022											
	Count	% of Seattle									
Domestic Violence	167	22%									
Court Order Violations	58	20%									
Assault	207	23%									
Weapons Charges	22	18%									
Harassment	63	23%									
Theft	63	12%									
DUI	83	30%									
Total Referrals	590	22%									



District 4, Q2-2022											
Count % of Seattl											
Domestic Violence	61	8%									
Court Order Violations	19	7%									
Assault	60	7%									
Weapons Charges	8	7%									
Harassment	22	8%									
Theft	43	8%									
DUI	15	5%									
Total Referrals	184	7%									



District 5, Q2-2022										
	Count	% of Seattle								
Domestic Violence	102	13%								
Court Order Violations	35	12%								
Assault	110	12%								
Weapons Charges	16	13%								
Harassment	28	10%								
Theft	89	16%								
DUI	39	14%								
Total Referrals	370	14%								



District 6, Q2-2022										
	Count	% of Seattle								
Domestic Violence	49	6%								
Court Order Violations	21	7%								
Assault	51	6%								
Weapons Charges	8	7%								
Harassment	17	6%								
Theft	55	10%								
DUI	24	9%								
Total Referrals	208	8%								



District 7, Q2-2022										
	Count	% of Seattle								
Domestic Violence	120	16%								
Court Order Violations	41	14%								
Assault	219	24%								
Weapons Charges	36	30%								
Harassment	62	22%								
Theft	139	26%								
DUI	47	17%								
Total Referrals	624	23%								

Gender Specifics

Demographic information originates with police reports and are updated by SCAO staff.



Incoming Referrals by Gender for Victims and Suspects

Proportion of Incoming Referrals by Gender for Victims/Suspects



While males make up about three quarters of police referrals, crime victims are more proportional to the population with businesses making up a large proportion of the "other/unknown/business" category. Gender diverse individuals are less than one percent of victims and of suspects.



Decline Rates by Gender for Victims and Suspects

Decline Rates for referrals with a female victim are slightly higher than for males and much higher for female suspects vs male suspects. While overall decline rates fell in Q1, decline rates for female suspects increased slightly.

Tables

These tables provide the information on the next several pages in a condensed form. Blank sections refer to data that has too small of a sample size to be relevant.

	Referrals by Gender												
Q2 2022						5-year Average					Cha	inge	
				Non-				Non-				Non-	
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic
Female	Victims	1023	544	447	32	1019	565	414	40	0%	-4%	8%	-19%
remale	Suspects	636	179	370	87	798	200	468	125	-20%	-11%	-21%	-31%
Male	Victims	1029	263	705	61	1023	255	709	60	1%	3%	-1%	2%
Ividie	Suspects	2102	592	1288	214	2385	582	1429	369	-12%	2%	-10%	-42%

	Decline Rates by Gender													
Q2 2022						5-year Average					Cha	inge		
				Non-				Non-				Non-		
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	
Female	Victims	45%	52%	38%	-	53%	58%	50%	18%	-8%	-6%	-11%	-	
rennale	Suspects	53%	82%	44%	17%	57%	74%	51%	48%	-3%	7%	-7%	-31%	
Male	Victims	41%	69%	33%	-	50%	67%	45%	19%	-9%	2%	-13%	-	
	Suspects	39%	54%	34%	21%	49%	58%	46%	46%	-10%	-4%	-12%	-25%	

	Final Dispositions by Gender													
			Q2 2022		5	S Year Average			Change					
% Guilty		% Jury Trials	% Found	% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found		% Jury Trials	% Found					
Plea		Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	% Guilty Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty				
Female	Victims	71%	0%	-	50%	4%	69%	20%	-4%	-				
remale	Suspects	62%	6%	-	57%	7%	79%	6%	-1%	-				
Male	Victims	-	0%	-	59%	3%	49%	-	-3%	-				
Iviale	Suspects	55%	7%	59%	61%	7%	65%	-7%	0%	-6%				
Female



Referrals by Category Involving Female Victims and Suspects

Proportion of Categories for Female Victims and Suspects







Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Female Victims and Suspects

Male



Referrals by Category Involving Male Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Male Victims and Suspects





Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Male Victims and Suspects

Race Specifics

Demographic information originates with police reports and are updated by SCAO staff. Small sample sizes for Asian and Indigenous peoples may reduce statistical relevance.



Incoming Referrals by Race for Victims and Suspects

Proportion of Incoming Referrals by Race for Victims/Suspects



While the racial proportion of suspects on incoming referrals has remained consistent, recent referrals have had a higher proportion of black victims in recent quarters.



Decline Rates by Race for Victims and Suspects

Tables

These tables provide the information on the next several pages in a condensed form. Blank sections refer to data that has too small of a sample size to be relevant.

Referrals by Race														
	Q2 2022					5-year Average					Change			
				Non-				Non-				Non-		
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	
Asian	Victims	138	52	75	11	132	50	76	6	5%	5%	-2%	-	
Asidii	Suspects	126	34	78	14	165	46	87	33	-24%	-25%	-10%	-	
Black	Victims	426	221	192	13	403	210	184	9	6%	5%	4%	-	
DIACK	Suspects	860	288	491	80	1011	255	609	146	-15%	13%	-19%	-45%	
Indigonous	Victims	32	17	14	1	25	16	9	0	-	-	-	-	
Indigenous	Suspects	126	34	78	14	165	46	87	33	-24%	-25%	-10%	-	
White	Victims	958	357	582	19	1090	425	632	33	-12%	-16%	-8%	-	
White	Suspects	1407	322	913	165	1649	370	1020	253	-15%	-13%	-10%	-35%	

Decline Rates by Race													
Q2 2022					5-year Average				Change				
				Non-				Non-				Non-	
		Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic	Overall	DV	Traffic	Traffic
Asian	Victims	38%	47%	33%	-	52%	62%	47%	20%	-14%	-15%	-14%	-
Asian	Suspects	38%	55%	30%	-	52%	62%	48%	46%	-13%	-8%	-18%	-
Black	Victims	47%	58%	36%	-	58%	63%	54%	1 9%	-11%	-4%	-17%	-
DIACK	Suspects	38%	55%	30%	-	51%	61%	47%	53%	-14%	-6%	-17%	-
Indigenous	Victims	-	-	-	-	5 1%	53%	49%	25%	-	-	-	-
mulgenous	Suspects	-	-	-	-	48%	58%	46%	32%	-	-	-	-
White	Victims	45%	63%	36%	-	51%	60%	46%	18%	-5%	3%	-9%	-
white	Suspects	42%	64%	36%	24%	49%	62%	46%	41%	-7%	2%	-10%	-17%

Final Dispositions by Race											
Q2 2022					5	5 Year Average		Change			
		% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found	% Guilty	% Jury Trials	% Found		% Jury Trials	% Found	
		Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	% Guilty Pleas	w/ Findings	Guilty	
Asian	Victims	-	0%	-	-	2%	56%	-	-2%	-	
Asian	Suspects	-	0%	-	56%	6%	69%	-	-6%	-	
Black	Victims	-	0%	-	40%	4%	52%	-	-4%	-	
DIACK	Suspects	52%	5%	44%	61%	7%	55%	-9%	-1%	-11%	
Indigenous	Victims	-	-	-	-	5%	60%	-	-	-	
indigenous	Suspects	70%	-	-	69%	6%	80%	1%	-	-	
White	Victims	-	0%	-	47%	3%	61%	-	-3%	-	
white	Suspects	60%	10%	75%	62%	8%	74%	-1%	2%	1%	

Asian



Referrals by Category Involving Asian Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Asian Victims and Suspects





Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Asian Victims and Suspects

Black



Referrals by Category Involving Black Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Black Victims and Suspects





Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Black Victims and Suspects

Indigenous



Referrals by Category Involving Indigenous Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for Indigenous Victims and Suspects



Decline Rates for Referrals Involving Indigenous Victims and Suspects



There were too few Indigenous victims and suspects that had filed or declined referrals for any meaningful decline rates in Q2 2022.

White



Referrals by Category Involving White Victims and Suspects

Crime Type Proportions for White Victims and Suspects





Policy Changes

With respect to SMC 3.46.020D, there have been no changes to the relevant scope of work for attorneys working on pre-booking diversion programming.

Reporting Challenges

DAMION

The criminal case management system currently used by the City Attorney's Office is the District Attorney Management Information Office Network (DAMION.) It is very complex and archaic. It was introduced at a similar time to the idea of Windows, and it still reflects its time origination by using icons from contemporary video games. Below is what the program looks like.



The database that is housed within DAMION uses a hierarchical relationship model which means that data from different sections can only be viewed together if they have a direct link and are not on the same level. For instance, it is not possible to view information from the Victim and Suspect sections at the same time. Extracting any sort of aggregate data from the database requires writing a custom "Ad Hoc Report." Below is a visual map of the data within the DAMION database that was created by this CAO Administration.



The City Attorney's Office has been aware of data issues with DAMION and has been trying to replace it for 5 years. After a lengthy RFP process, the city settled on Justice Nexus and has spent millions of dollars over the past three years working on a new system. That process is still ongoing.

Prior Versions of the SPAR

The Statistics of Prosecution & Analysis Report (SPAR) was coded decades ago to query the DAMION database and return a digital "ticker tape" of values. It was not created to handle any form of demographics that are now required by council ordinance, nor does it look at historical context. Prior reports relied on copying this information into an excel sheet to display that period's data alongside the previous period's data. Below is an example of this report as it was delivered in years past.



Because the data in the department contains relatively high variability between quarters, a comparison to just one other period does not provide contextually accurate information to policy makers. It also lacked analysis to describe potential causes for high variance from previous periods in most instances or descriptions that would benefit readers from the general public.

The reports also contained information on outcomes provided by a companion query program. This was also copied into a spreadsheet most quarters and it showed counts of 20 "favorable" outcomes, 7 "unfavorable" and 2 others. An example is shown at the start of the following page.

	OUTCOME MEASUR	ES SUMM		_			
	2019		2018	2018			
Ji Count	ANUARY - SEPT (FAVORABLE) Description	Count	ANUARY - SEPT (FAVORABLE) Description	JANUA	RY - DECEMBER (FAVORABLE Description		
122	Deferred Prosecution	116	Deferred Prosecution	158	Deferred Prosecution		
10	Dismiss - Prison	21	Dismiss - Prison	25	Dismiss - Prison		
120	Dismiss DP - Successful	138	Dismiss DP - Successful	176	Dismiss DP - Successful		
	Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion		Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion		Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion		
481	Dismiss- Dispo, Cont, Successful	437	Dismiss- Dispo, Cont, Successful	583	Dismiss- Dispo. Cont. Successf		
	Dismiss-SOC successful	151	Dismiss-SOC successful		Dismiss-SOC successful		
1.595	Dismissed - Negotiated Plea	1.680	Dismissed - Negotiated Plea		Dismissed - Negotiated Plea		
	First Time Offender DWI S*		First Time Offender DWI S*		First Time Offender DWI S*		
56	Dispositional Continuance - Red.	67	Dispositional Continuance - Red.	04	Dispositional Continuance - Red		
	Dispositional Continuance	478	Dispositional Continuance		Dispositional Continuance		
	FG - Unsuccessful DP		EG - Unsuccessful DP		EG - Unsuccessful DP		
	FG - Unsuccessful DC		FG - Unsuccessful DC		FG - Unsuccessful DC		
6	FG - Unsuccessful PTD	9	EG - Unsuccessful PTD	12	FG - Unsuccessful PTD		
	EG - Unsuccessful SOC	24	EG - Unsuccessful SOC	26	FG - Unsuccessful SOC		
120	Found Guilty	80	Found Guilty	107	Found Guilty		
	Plead Guilty		Plead Guilty		Plead Guilty		
	Plead Guilty Reduced		Plead Guilty Reduced		Plead Guilty Reduced		
	Pre-Trial Diversion		Pre-Trial Diversion		Pre-Trial Diversion		
	Stipulated Order of Cont.		Stipulated Order of Cont.		Stipulated Order of Cont.		
	Dismissed - Felony Filing		Dismissed - Felony Filing		Dismissed - Felony Filing		
	Total Favorable		Total Favorable		Total Favorable		
0,010	JANUARY - SEPT 2019	0,400	JANUARY - SEPT 2018		ANUARY - DECEMBER 2018		
	Unfavorable		Unfavorable	 "	Unfavorable		
580	Dismissed Proof Problem	619	Dismissed Proof Problem	771	Dismissed Proof Problem		
	Dismissed - No Civilian Witness		Dismissed - No Civilian Witness		Dismissed - No Civilian Witness		
	Dismissed - No Non-Civilian		Dismissed - No Non-Civilian		Dismissed - No Non-Civilian		
	Hung Jury		Hung Jury		Hung Jury		
	Not Guilty		Not Guilty		Not Guilty		
	Stricken		Stricken		Stricken		
	Reduced Charge - No Officer		Reduced Charge - No Officer		Reduced Charge - No Officer		
	Total Unfavorable		Total Unfavorable		Total Unfavorable		
,		.,		.,			
	Dismissed - Court Error		Dismissed - Court Error		Dismissed - Court Error		
420	Dismissed - Age	11	Dismissed - Age	11	Dismissed - Age		
	Summary		Summary		Summary		
	JANUARY - SEPT 2019		JANUARY - SEPT 2018		ANUARY - DECEMBER 2018		
7,929	Total Dispositions	7,885	Total Dispositions	9,898	Total Dispositions		
80%	Favorable Dispositions	82%	Favorable Dispositions	82%	Favorable Dispositions		
20%	Unfavorable Dispositions	18%	Unfavorable Dispositions	18%	Unfavorable Dispositions		

While this example provides context of the entire previous year, it is for a different timeframe, so it is difficult to use the data for comparison. The graphic below also points out that sometimes the data can vary wildly from report to report. The two examples side by side are from Q2 and Q3 of 2021. One states that 2020 had zero cases dismissed due to no civilian witness and the other has over 1000 listed.

	2020						
		2020					
	Y - DECEMBER (FAVORABLE) Description	JANUA Count	RY - DECEMBER (FAVORABLE) Description				
	eferred Prosecution		Deferred Prosecution				
	smiss - Prison		Dismiss - Prison				
-	smiss DP - Successful		Dismiss DP - Successful				
	smiss Pre-Trial Diversion		Dismiss Pre-Trial Diversion				
	smiss- Dispo. Cont. Successful		Dismiss- Dispo. Cont. Successful				
	smiss-SOC successful		Dismiss-SOC successful				
	smissed - Negotiated Plea		Dismissed - Negotiated Plea				
	st Time Offender DWLS		First Time Offender DWLS Dispositional Continuance - Red.				
	spositional Continuance - Red.		Dispositional Continuance				
	G - Unsuccessful DP		FG - Unsuccessful DP				
	G - Unsuccessful DC		FG - Unsuccessful DC				
	G - Unsuccessful PTD		FG - Unsuccessful PTD				
	G - Unsuccessful SOC		FG - Unsuccessful SOC				
48 Fo	ound Guilty	140	Found Guilty				
	ead Guilty		Plead Guilty				
171 Ple	ead Guilty Reduced	622	Plead Guilty Reduced				
5 Pr	e-Trial Diversion	25	Pre-Trial Diversion				
132 Sti	ipulated Order of Cont.	216	Stipulated Order of Cont.				
57 Di:	smissed - Felony Filing	47	Dismissed - Felony Filing				
	otal Favorable		Total Favorable				
JAN	UARY - DECEMBER 2020	J	ANUARY - DECEMBER 2020				
	Unfavorable		Unfavorable				
516 Di	smissed Proof Problem	690	Dismissed Proof Problem				
0 Di:	smissed - No Civilian Witness	1022	Dismissed - No Civilian Witness				
0 Di:	smissed - No Non-Civilian	10	Dismissed - No Non-Civilian				
0 Hu	ing Jury	0	Hung Jury				
14 No	ot Guilty	138	Not Guilty				
	ricken	-	Stricken				
	educed Charge - No Officer		Reduced Charge - No Officer				
532 T c	otal Unfavorable	1,860	Total Unfavorable				
0 Di:	smissed - Court Error	3	Dismissed - Court Error				
40 Di:	smissed - Age	432	Dismissed - Age				
	Summary		Summary				
	UARY - DECEMBER 2020	-	ANUARY - DECEMBER 2020				
3,569 To	otal Dispositions	9,408	Total Dispositions				
85% Fa	vorable Dispositions	80%	Favorable Dispositions				
	nfavorable Dispositions		Unfavorable Dispositions				

DAMION Workaround

To overcome the limitations of the DAMION criminal case management system, the City Attorney's Office built a program that recreates the relevant sections of the DAMION database then runs analysis on that data. This should expedite the release of future reports while DAMION is still in use. Below is a screenshot of the number of calculations it requires to stitch the disparate sections of the DAMION database together and pull out useful information:

:304 3152	3724	3532	2792 2641	2418	2186	2309	27
:824 4317	Wor	kbook S	Statistic	cs	?	\times	22 33
304	Curr	ent She	et:				-27
3171	F	nd of sl	heet			AS982	19
133							7
204		ells wit	n data			23869	07
304 610	T	ables				0	27
2078	F	ormula	c .			22796	14
592			-				3
316		harts				9	2
367	Worl	kbook:					2 4
544	1 <	heets				19	3
367							4
137	0	ells wit	h data		355	556880	1
68	Т	ables				0	1
54		ormula			1.40	928541	
9			2		14		_
540	. c	harts				116	3
617				F			
221	-				0	K	1
129							
15	5	10	12	10	12	10	

Data Caveats

DAMION

Running the same report on different days can yield slightly different results as an automatic process can update a field. While specific values might change on the margins, overall data is consistent with itself and none of the marginal changes are enough to influence important trends or statistics.

Decline Rates

The decline rate used in the overview and the breakdown of case types is based on filing decisions made in the report quarter. Demographic breakdowns, however, use decline rates for referrals that came in during the quarter. This was done to maintain consistency with the rest of the section that focuses on incoming referrals. Referrals from past quarters with a filing decision from the current quarter will have higher decline rates, but they should be consistent across gender and racial categories.

Small Sample Sizes

Many categories, especially within demographic breakdowns represent very small quantities of data and are not useful for comparison or statistical analysis. For example, if there is one person that fits a set of criteria, then a decline rate can only be 0% or 100%. Similarly, a single event can have an unusably strong effect on the data. Even a sample of 20 that adds another data point will sway the aggregate by nearly 5%. Often, areas of data with too low of a sample size are not included.

LEAD Data

The way LEAD interacts within the criminal case management system means that it is currently not possible to know when a client enters or exits the program, only that they are currently in it or were in the past. This makes it difficult to know if a current LEAD member who had an interaction with the department several years ago was a member at that time and as a result, there is limited accuracy with any LEAD numbers.

Alternatives to Traditional Prosecution

The nature of alternatives is that they are very individualized and come in many forms. For this reason, it is difficult to compare one to the other and one person can be involved in many or none. In general, the information in this report refers to individuals who have successfully completed alternatives and not people who have not been successful.