

SEATTLE ARTS COMMISSION

Seattle City Council

October 15, 2024

Kayla DeMonte Co-ChairCitizen University

City of Seattle 600 Fourth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Holly Jacobson Co-Chair Path with Art

Re: Written comments required by Seattle Municipal Code 3.14.830G, as amended by Ordinance No. 123460 (2010)

Leslie Anderson National Nordic Museum Dear Councilmembers,

Avery Barnes Taswira Gallery Thank you for your ongoing support of our city's creative community. We know that the strength of a city's reputation, quality of life, and economic opportunity are driven by investment in the arts. We write today to share our recommendations, in response to the Mayor's budget proposal.

Linda Chavez-Lowry Seattle Magazine

Dhyana Garcia

Artist, DAIPANbutoh Collective

Ricky Graboski The Vera Project

Vee Hua Filmmaker & Arts Journalist

Yoon Kang-O'HigginsFriends of Waterfront Seattle

Rodney King Artist, Kingspen

Megan Kiskaddon On the Boards

Carmen Pan YMCA Get-Engaged Member

Yolanda Spencer Chief Seattle Club

Joël B. Tan Arts advocate

Vanessa Villalobos Artist, Balorico Dance We are grateful that the Mayor understands the value and has maintained funding for the arts sector for grant programs stewarded by the Office of Arts and Culture (ARTS). We appreciate and thank the Mayor's Office for recognizing the importance of supporting artists and arts organizations.

However, we would like to direct your attention to a deeply concerning proposed amendment to the long-standing ordinance (#120183), regarding the city's Admissions Tax.

Changing this ordinance is at odds with the best interest of Seattle's arts sector for two primary reasons. First, it effectively removes the agency and independence of the ARTS office to drive our city's arts programming, funding and policy; and second, by removing clarity and process for the distribution of Admissions Tax revenue— and providing no guarantee for where or how it is to be allocated— it opens the door for chaos and confusion in future budget cycles. The ramifications of such a change could create significant unintended consequences, including limiting Council oversight of how these funds are distributed. We strongly recommend to Council that the original Ordinance language is kept intact.



It is in the city's best interest for the ARTS Office to remain stewards of Admissions Tax revenue because:

- Artist employment opportunities and awards distributed from the ARTS Office include oversight
 and accountability through community-based panels, expertly-developed grant processes, and
 guidance from the Seattle Arts Commission. As the primary agency tasked with providing direct
 grant funds to artists, cultural producers, and arts organizations in the city, these processes ensure
 city leadership and the public have detailed visibility into the distribution of these funds.
- ARTS has the sectoral expertise. ARTS is staffed by reputable experts in the field; professionals with decades of experience in developing arts programs, creating accessible grants, and driving equitable arts policy. They are, by design, the office that is best equipped to lead this work and support a strong sector. Other city agencies often rely on the ARTS office to help them navigate their own arts related projects or acquisitions. The ARTS office is the one agency exclusively focused on ensuring a healthy arts ecosystem, and can support other agencies' arts-related work. Changing the ordinance could create redundancies for arts spending. Arts curation in other city departments could also undermine a strong and unified sector in our city.
- The ARTS office has honed and maintains robust and equitable processes for the allocation of its funding. Their dedication to equitable practice means that their funding provides significant support to small and mid-sized BIPOC-led organizations and community-based cultural organizations, alongside our major and larger arts institutions. Other departments and entities are not similarly equipped to administer processes that would assure a wide spectrum of community members receive arts opportunities in a transparent manner.

Additionally, changing the long-standing Admissions Tax ordinance would create long-term harm to the creative sector as a whole in order to solve a temporary budget issue. This decision would have significant far-reaching implications, miring future administrations, councils, city departments in a chaotic process, pitting city agencies against each other, and diminishing the arts sector at a critical time.

We recognize and appreciate the need for a balanced budget. We are confident that the best partner for doing so is the ARTS Office, who could effectively support the distribution of arts funding to programs in other departments as allocated in this budget cycle. We encourage Council to reject changes to the Ordinance, and adopt a proviso that allows ARTS to allocate admission tax revenue to support their fellow city departments and help balance this year's budget. The city's current budget goals can be accomplished without making a change that would set ARTS up for years of uncertainty. This tactic will also help to strengthen collaboration between initiatives and support interdepartmental communication.



The creative sector is often called upon to uplift our communities, spark tourism and help create business revenue for our city. It is critical that the arts sector stays strong when we need it most. Maintaining this long-standing ordinance will help Seattle build a flourishing city that stands out with its unique creative vigor. In 2026, when all eyes will be upon Seattle, we want to be able to stand with you as leaders saying we did not fall behind, that we are a leading arts city.

We respectfully ask: please do not change this long-standing, effective ordinance.

With appreciation,

Kayla DeMonte & Holly Jacobson

Co-Chairs, on behalf of Seattle Arts Commission

Kayla DeMonte Holymys