Mandatory Housing Affordability Citywide Implementation

a program of the Housing Affordability and Livability Agenda





Presentation to the Seattle Planning Commission

October 11, 2018

Mandatory Housing Affordability

Creating more **affordable housing** through growth

We are enacting zoning changes so that new development will create income-restricted affordable housing.

MHA lays the framework for how Seattle grows equitably and sustainably.



Presentation Overview

- 1. MHA Status
- 2. Displacement Background & Analysis
- 3. Preferred Alternative & Legislation
- 4. SPC Comments
- 5. Next Steps

MHA Status Implementation Timeline





MHA Status Implementation Timeline: 2018-19



Displacement Background & Analysis Disproportionate impacts; Disparate access

- Incomes in Seattle vary greatly by race:
 - the median income for Black families is 36% that of White families;
 - the median income of Asian families is 67% that of White families.
- In Seattle, 1 in 3 Black renter households spend more than half their incomes on housing compared to 1 in 5 White renter households.
- In Seattle, 35% of householders of color own their home compared to 51% of White householders.
- In the Central District, Black households comprised 60% households in 1990 and only 25% by 2010.

Displacement Background & Analysis Legacy of racial exclusion

- National Housing Act discouraged mortgage lenders from investing in "redlined" neighborhoods
- FHA denied mortgage assistance to applicants based on race and ethnicity
- Racially restrictive covenants prevented people of color from purchasing homes elsewhere, particularly in north end

Policies may be gone; patterns persist.



Displacement Background & Analysis MHA Affordable Housing Outcomes

10-Year Goal: 6,000+ rent- and income-restricted units affordable to households at <60% of AMI

- New affordable housing is a critical anti-displacement strategy
- People of color comprise large share of households in rent- and income-restricted housing
- Opportunity for new housing choices in neighborhoods throughout Seattle



Displacement Background & Analysis Displacement Resolution (2017)



Council Resolution 31733 February 2017

- Reaffirms commitment to manage future growth to encourage racial and social equity and minimize impacts on marginalized populations
- Directs analysis of physical, economic, and cultural displacement potential — both loss of low-income units and displacement of low-income households — that could result from increases in development capacity
- Encourages use of Growth and Equity Analysis



Growth and Equity Analyzing Impacts on Displacement and Opportunity Related to Seattle's Growth Strategy



Companion analysis to Seattle 2035 Comprehensive Plan

- Analyzed growth strategy
- Developed typology based on displacement risk and access to opportunity
- Recommended equitable development strategies based on typology

May 2016





Displacement Risk Index

- Composite index that combines data on demographics, economic conditions, and the built environment
 - People of color
 - Linguistic isolation
 - Housing cost burden
 - Proximity to current or future transit
- Displacement risk varies considerably across Seattle
- Vulnerability high in some neighborhoods, such as southeast Seattle



Access to Opportunity Index

- Identifies disparities in marginalized populations' access to key determinants of social, economic, and physical well-being
 - Educational opportunity
 - Employment
 - Access to transit
 - Sidewalk completeness
- Factors people need to flourish not equitably distributed
- Areas with high opportunity are unaffordable and out of reach to most households



Equitable Development Typology

- Implement antidisplacement strategies in areas at high risk of displacement
- Increase housing choices in areas with high access to opportunity

Displacement Background & Analysis Additional comments on RSJ and DEIS (2017)

Received many DEIS comments related to racial equity and displacement

- Requests for additional analysis on existing conditions and impacts by race
- Preferred alternative recommendations (varied: calls for more <u>and</u> less development capacity in high displacement risk communities)
- Recommendations for mitigation measures to address racial disparities and undo racial exclusion



Displacement Background & Analysis FEIS: Additional analysis of racial equity

Included in the Final EIS

- Narrative on historical context of racial segregation and a qualitative discussion of cultural displacement
- Demographic data, such as housing cost burden and wealth, according to race to examine disparities
- Correlation analysis of relationship between housing development and changes in low-income populations and people of color

- Preferred alternative that uses displacement risk to shape zoning proposal, while ensuring that all new multi-family and commercial development in all neighborhoods contribute to affordable housing
- Expanded discussion of zoning- and nonzoning-based measures to mitigate residential and cultural displacement

Physical Displacement

Used two methods for estimating low-income households displaced due to demolition

- Modeled future growth at parcel level to estimate potential demolitions
- Examined historical ratio of new housing to demolished units based on 2010-2016 for each zone



Study Area Growth Estimate Based on

Parcel Allocation Model

Economic Displacement

Correlation analysis to examine historical relationship of new housing production and change in households with various incomes

- Evaluated at granular level of census tracts using most current data
- No correlation between market-rate housing growth and change in the number of low-income households



Economic Displacement

Correlation analysis to examine historical relationship of new housing production and change in households with various incomes

- Evaluated at granular level of census tracts using most current data
- No correlation between market-rate housing growth and change in the number of low-income households



Cultural Displacement

- Examined neighborhood change in share of racial/ethnic minorities
- No significant statistical relationship between housing production and changes in the population of certain racial and ethnic groups, such as Black/African American people
- Factors other than new housing production are contributing to cultural displacement in ways distinct from displacement of low-income households



community

Use Growth and Equity Analysis to vary scale of zoning changes based on displacement risk and access to opportunity



Places for relatively more new development capacity:

- Encourage more (M1) and (M2) rezones in communities with low risk of displacement and high access to opportunity (near transit, parks, and schools)
- Expand urban villages boundaries to include full 10-minute walkshed to frequent transit nodes



Places for relatively more new development capacity:

- Encourage more (M1) and (M2) rezones in communities with low risk of displacement and high access to opportunity (near transit, parks, and schools)
- Expand urban villages boundaries to include full 10-minute walkshed to frequent transit nodes



Places for relatively *less* new development capacity:

- Communities at high risk of displacement and communities with low access to opportunity
- For high displacement risk communities, focus limited (M1) and (M2) rezones near transit nodes, and enact (M) rezones elsewhere throughout community



Places for relatively *less* new development capacity:

- Communities at high risk of displacement and communities with low access to opportunity
- For high displacement risk communities, focus limited (M1) and (M2) rezones near transit nodes, and enact (M) rezones elsewhere throughout community



SPC Comments SPC DEIS Comments reflected in FEIS

- Expand Urban Village boundaries to ten-minute walkshed around transit
- Direct greater capacity (and minimize lower density zones, such as RSL) to communities with high access to opportunity and low risk of displacement
- Allow greater density around high-capacity transit
- In communities at high risk of displacement, focus density around node, and use RSL in the rest of the urban village
- Discourage large detached housing in RSL
- ✓ Incentive MHA performance through administrative design review

SPC Comments SPC DEIS Comments for future work

- Urban village ten-minute expansions could be addressed in future study
 - Include adjacent public facilities and services
 - Include adjacent areas between UVs already zones LR/C/NC
 - Study future expansions for UV that meet transit threshold today
- Displacement mitigation measures could be addressed during implementation
 - Technical assistance to homeowners in RSL
 - Property tax relief
 - Funding for small businesses and community anchors
- Promote MHA performance units over payment not pursued

Next Steps

Hearing Examiner Decision 11/21

Plan A: Select Committee resumes 12/3

- Issue Identification & Amendments
- Companion Resolution
- Action in 2019

Other Affordable Housing actions in 2019



Mandatory Housing Affordability



Creating more **affordable housing** as we grow 6,000+ new affordable homes in ten years

thank you.

Policy guidance and foundational work

HALA Advisory Committee (2015)

- Interdepartmental racial equity analysis of 65+ HALA recommendations
- Identified MHA as key strategy to increase housing opportunities for people of color

Council Resolution 31612 (2015)

- Develop MHA rezone proposal that achieves 6,000 new affordable homes in 10 years
- Conduct continuous, ongoing, and inclusive public participation, especially by underrepresented communities

Growth and Equity Analysis (2016)

- Analysis of growth strategy in Seattle 2035 Comprehensive Plan
- Typology based on displacement risk and access to opportunity

Council Resolution 31733 (2017)

- Manage growth to advance racial equity and minimize impacts on marginalized populations
- Encourages use of Growth and Equity Analysis

Fair Housing Assessment (2017)

Policy guidance and foundational work Growth and Equity Analysis (2016)





Displacement Risk Index Combines data on demographics, economic conditions, and the built environment to evaluate risk of displacement for marginalized populations

Access to Opportunity Index

Identifies disparities in marginalized populations' access to key determinants of social, economic, and physical well-being

Policy guidance and foundational work Growth and Equity Analysis (2016)



Equitable Development Typology

- Implement antidisplacement strategies in areas at high risk of displacement
- Increase housing choices in areas with high access to opportunity

Community Engagement Engaging underrepresented communities shaped by community



IN-PERSON TALKS



GOING WHERE YOU ARE



SPEAKING YOUR LANGUAGE



Seattle.gov











Community Engagement How engagement shaped the proposal

shaped by community







- Create more housing for people at all income levels
- Minimize displacement of current residents
- Create housing choices, including homeownership and family-size units
- Create more opportunities to live near parks, schools, and transportation
- Strengthen urban design and sense of place in urban villages
- Promote environmental sustainability, including supporting transit use and having space for trees

EIS and Legislation Draft EIS (2017)

Alternative 1 (No action)

Alternative 2 Apply rezones consistently across all neighborhoods

Alternative 3 Differentiate rezones according to Growth and Equity typology

Low displacement risk & high access to opportunity Larger rezones and full urban village expansions

High displacement risk Smaller rezones and urban village expansions



EIS and Legislation Draft EIS (2017)

Alternative 3

- Intended to use displacement risk to identify benefits for and impacts on communities of color throughout EIS
- All urban villages with above average share of people of color have high displacement risk
- First time City developed EIS land use alternatives differentiated by displacement risk

People of Color as a Share of the Population in Seattle's Community Reporting Areas





Source: 2010 Census, U.S. Census Bureau

EIS and Legislation Racial equity review of Draft EIS (2017)

Is racial equity considered in the development of MHA Draft EIS?

Findings

- Draft EIS analysis on racial equity impacts and mitigation could have been more consistent across all chapters.
- Using displacement risk and access to opportunity was a step in the right direction, but Draft EIS could have taken the Growth and Equity analysis to more granular detail.

- The City has begun measuring racial equity indicators but we don't have enough information to determine how zoning changes and other requirements might impact communities of color.
- SEPA does not provide appropriate structure for analyzing racial equity impacts.

EIS and Legislation Racial equity review of Draft EIS (2017)

Is racial equity considered in the development of MHA Draft EIS?

Recommendations

- Additional racial equity analysis in advance of MHA implementation
- Create an MHA Race and Social Justice Team to assist with this work before and after MHA implementation

- Engage communities of color to identify what is crucial for their success
- Expand resources to address MHA impacts, and make MHA adjustments as needed following implementation

EIS and Legislation Additional comments on RSJ and DEIS (2017)

Received many DEIS comments related to racial equity and displacement

- Requests for additional analysis on existing conditions and impacts by race
- Preferred alternative recommendations (varied: calls for more <u>and</u> less development capacity in high displacement risk communities)
- Recommendations for mitigation measures to address racial disparities and undo racial exclusion



EIS and Legislation Additional racial equity analysis in Final EIS

Included in the Final EIS

- Narrative on historical context of racial segregation and a qualitative discussion of cultural displacement
- Demographic data, such as housing cost burden and wealth, according to race to examine disparities
- Analysis of physical, economic, and cultural displacement

- Preferred alternative uses displacement risk to shape zoning proposal, while ensuring development in all neighborhoods contributes to affordable housing
- Expanded discussion of zoning- and non-zoning-based measures to mitigate residential and cultural displacement

EIS and Legislation FEIS: Preferred Alternative

shaped by community

Differentiate scale of zoning changes based on **displacement risk** and **access to opportunity**.



EIS and Legislation FEIS: Preferred Alternative

Places for relatively *larger* scale changes:

- Encourage more (M1) and (M2) rezones in communities with low risk of displacement and high access to opportunity (near transit, parks, and schools)
- Expand urban villages boundaries to include full 10-minute walkshed to frequent transit nodes



EIS and Legislation FEIS: Preferred Alternative

Places for relatively *smaller* scale changes:

- Communities at high risk of displacement and communities with low access to opportunity
- For high displacement risk communities, focus limited (M1) and (M2) rezones near transit nodes, and enact (M) rezones elsewhere throughout community



Next Steps MHA Implementation

Opportunities to advance racial equity through:

- Monitoring MHA implementation, and adjusting / refining program in response to findings
- Improved data collection to understand racial implications of growth and change
- Community-based solutions to displacement through the Equitable Development Initiative or other initiatives

- Provisions to strengthen tenant protections and minimize displacement
- Strategies to minimize displacement of low-income homeowners
- Implementation of the Equity & Environment Agenda
- Strategies to support historic and cultural assets and institutions

Next Steps Equitable Development Monitoring Program

Monitoring performance measures

- Monitor equitable development outcomes in communities
- Track early warning signals of heightened displacement risk

Program development

- Racial Equity Toolkit underway
- First program report expected by early 2019

