Parking Sounding Board Meeting
Presentation Overview

8:00 – 8:10 am  Round robin intros
8:10 – 8:30 am  Statement of Legislative Intent (SLI) report
8:30 – 9:10 am  Preliminary survey results
9:10 – 9:50 am  Group discussion about communication strategies
9:50 – 10:00 am  Public comment
Report to the City Council

- Data results
- Limitations of current pay stations
- Time of day analysis
- Recommendations for performance-based parking pricing program
## Data Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 areas with rate increase</th>
<th>Occupancy dropped to be within target occupancy to meet 1 to 2 space policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 areas with decrease</td>
<td>Mixed results. Lowering rates did not consistently generate increased parking demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 areas with no change</td>
<td>Mixed results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Limitations of Current Pay Stations

Current base:

• 1,500 older Stelios
• 700 newer Stradas
• 10 newest generation CityPals
Time of Day Findings

Ideal time of day pattern:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Neighborhood X</th>
<th>Average Occupancy</th>
<th>Average Occupancy</th>
<th>Average Occupancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 a.m. – Noon</td>
<td></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noon – 3 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 p.m. – 6 p.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Target Occupancy – 74% - 87%

Resulting Change

- Lower rate by $0.50
- Keep rate as is
- Raise rate by $0.50
Time of Day Considerations

- Occupancy fluctuates > 25 percentage points
- 2011 parking rate above $1 / hour
- Peak occupancy within or above target range
- Existing hardware capable of time-of-day pricing
Study Recommendations

1. Engage neighborhoods
2. Invest in data collection and analysis
3. Provide open access to city parking data
4. Add pay-by-cell phone as new parking payment option
5. Set rates by neighborhood geography including sub-areas
Study Recommendations

6. Implement progressive pricing pilot
7. Adjust rates based on seasonal patterns
8. Develop event overlay pricing strategy
9. Implement time-of-day pricing pilot
10. Address disabled parking use and abuse
Conclusions

Three critical ingredients:

- Effective communication strategies
- Partnerships with neighborhood business districts
- Adequate resources
Parking Survey Purposes

- Understand relationship between business owner and customer perceptions and needs
- Identify key factors associated with customer parking decisions
- Document existing customer on-street experience
- Identify what customers want to improve with their parking experience
Preliminary Survey Results

- Over 2,000 respondents (90% customers)
- First glance at responses tells us:
  - Some disconnect between customers and business owners
  - Proximity to destination is key factor
  - Most people seem to find parking close by and relatively quickly
  - Opportunity to improve communication
Customer survey – overall results

- 70% park on-street within 4 blocks of destination
- Most know where to park based on past experience or driving around
- Longer time limits and better communication about parking rates
Business survey – overall results

- Average length of customer stay is 1-2 hrs
- 2-4 hr time limit would best serve most businesses
- What do customers typically complain about re: parking?
Where did you park relative to your destination?

- On-street, 1-2 blocks away: 44.5% (670)
- On-street, 3-4 blocks away: 26.7% (403)
- On-street, more than 4 blocks away: 13.4% (202)
- Off-street, 1-2 blocks away: 9.2% (139)
- Off-street, 3-4 blocks away: 3.3% (49)
- Off-street, more than 4 blocks away: 2.9% (44)
If your customers complain about parking, what do they typically complain about?
About how long did it take to find parking?

- 5 minutes or less: 50.7% (745)
- 6-10 minutes: 28.2% (414)
- 11-15 minutes: 12.3% (180)
- 16-20 minutes: 5.0% (73)
- More than 20 minutes: 3.5% (52)
- Not sure: 0.3% (5)
On-street because...

- It's convenient: 31.5%
- The price is right: 18.9%
- I like to park as close to my destination as possible: 7.4%
- It feels safe: 7.9%
- I'm familiar with it: 4.7%
- The time limit meets my needs: 3.6%
- I can take my extra paid time somewhere else: 26.1%
In general, do you prefer to park on-street or off-street?
Most important reason why you chose to park where you did. The spot was...
If the price of parking went up $0.50, what would you be most likely to do...

Overall
- Still drive at the same time: 28.5% (403)
- Take alternative transportation: 0.1% (2)
- Park in a different spot: 20.1% (284)
- Be less likely to go or go less often: 13.8% (195)
- Go somewhere else: 5.7% (81)
- Carpool: 26.3% (372)

Downtown
- Still drive at the same time: 31.3% (84)
- Take alternative transportation: 4.5% (12)
- Park in a different spot: 27.2% (73)
- Be less likely to go or go less often: 7.8% (21)
- Go somewhere else: 4.1% (11)
- Carpool: 25.0% (67)
- Not sure: 0.1% (1)
If it went up $1/hr...?

Overall

- Still drive at the same time: 24.3% (344)
- Take alternative transportation: 10.9% (155)
- Park in a different spot: 10.6% (150)
- Be less likely to go or go less often: 3.1% (44)
- Carpool: 13.0% (184)
- Go somewhere else: 0.4% (5)
- Not sure: 37.7% (534)

Downtown

- Still drive at the same time: 44.4% (119)
- Take alternative transportation: 21.3% (57)
- Park in a different spot: 6.7% (18)
- Be less likely to go or go less often: 13.3% (35)
- Carpool: 10.8% (29)
- Go somewhere else: 3.7% (10)
- Not sure: 0.4% (5)
If the price of street parking increased by $1.00 per hour, what do you think your customers would be most likely to do:

- Still drive at the same time: 49.1% (53)
- Park in a different spot: 30.6% (33)
- Take alternative transportation: 8.3% (9)
- Be less likely to come or come less often: 8.3% (9)
- Go somewhere else: 2.8% (3)
- Not sure: 0.9% (1)
If the price went down, what would you be most likely to do...

$\downarrow$ $0.50$ – overall responses

$\downarrow$ $1.00$ – overall responses

- Still drive at the same time: 33.2% (↓ $0.50$), 51.6% (↓ $1.00$)
- Take alternative transportation: 1.3% (↓ $0.50$), 1.8% (↓ $1.00$)
- Park in a different spot: 0.3% (↓ $0.50$), 6.2% (↓ $1.00$)
- Be more likely to go or go more often: 9.6% (↓ $0.50$), 36.8% (↓ $1.00$)
- Carpool: 3.4% (↓ $0.50$), Not sure: 2.1% (↓ $1.00$)
- Go somewhere else: 2.9% (↓ $0.50$), 6.2% (↓ $1.00$)
- Not sure: 3.4% (↓ $0.50$), 2.1% (↓ $1.00$)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4 areas with rate increase</th>
<th>Occupancy dropped to be within target occupancy to meet 1 to 2 space policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11 areas with decrease</td>
<td>Mixed results. Lowering rates did not consistently generate increased parking demand.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 areas with no change</td>
<td>Mixed results</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Improving the customer experience

Here are some ideas on ways to improve the customer parking experience. Please rank in order of importance to you.

- Ability to pay for parking by cell phone, so I don’t have to run out... 2.66
- Easier to use pay stations 2.98
- Cleaner pay stations 2.27
- Longer time limits so I can do more shopping and strolling 3.56
- Better communication about parking rules 3.52
Here are some ideas on ways to improve the customer parking experience. Please rank in order of how helpful each one might be for your customers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ability to pay for parking by cell phone, so they don't have to run</td>
<td>3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Easier to use pay stations</td>
<td>3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner pay stations</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer time limits so they can do more shopping and strolling</td>
<td>3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Better communication about parking rules</td>
<td>3.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How did you know where to look for parking?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor submits City budget to City Council</td>
<td>Sept. 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October Sounding Board meeting</td>
<td>Oct. 27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Group Discussion
Public Comment