Magnolia Bridge Replacement Project
Speakers Bureau

Group/Organization: Port’s Neighborhood Action Committee (NAC)
Date: November 20, 2002
Location: Pier 69
Team Members: Kirk Jones, Pete Smith, Teresa Platt, Brad Hoff

Overview

Kirk Jones provided an overview of the screening process for the Magnolia Bridge Project. He talked about how the screening criteria was developed and applied to the original universe of 25 alternatives so they could be narrowed to the nine survivors. Kirk mentioned that the Design Advisory Group (DAG) was supportive of both the criteria and the screening process. Kirk then proceeded to explain each of the nine remaining alternatives. When he finished he offered to return for a future NAC meeting.

NAC committee members asked several questions and thanked Kirk for his presentation.

Notes

NAC members asked the following questions (responses are included in italics):

- Has the railroad weighed in about taking their property for any of the alternatives? It may mean them having less storage space, which translates into more train movements, which means more noise. We wouldn’t be too excited about that. Will you be able to get funding for the bridge? We’ll be talking to them but we’ll also try to avoid using their land as much as possible.

- In alignment A, will you keep the existing bridge open during construction of the new one? Yes, but the big question would be how long would it need to be closed in order to tie in on either end. All of the people we interviewed stressed keeping the existing bridge open during construction of a new facility.

- In option B where is the road in relation to the marina? It uses the existing marina road.

- Now that the monorail has passed, will you be communicating with them? Yes, Ethan Melone from SDOT will be our primary contact with that project. We’ll be trying to learn where they plan on putting stations. We’ll also attempt to add a member of the ETC to our Design Advisory Group (DAG).
• Do you know about this council resolution regarding $1 million for funding to get access to the water? Kirk explained that it dealt with the Galer closure and that exploring additional access to the water would be tackled as part of this project’s charge.

• What’s been the Port’s reaction to the survivors. We’ve been talking with them frequently. Dakota Chamberlain is on our DAG. They haven’t expressed major issues with any of the alternatives and have said they future plans do include a north/south “spine” road somewhere on the property.

• Have your alternatives examined passive recreation by the waterfront? We’ve talked with Parks and know they’re holding discussions with the Port as well. We’ll make sure to consider these factors.

**Action Items**

E-mail correlation between original universe of 25 and 9 survivors.

**Briefing Materials**

Board with 8.5x11 survivor plots.
Aerial photo.
FAQ’s packet and thumbnails of 25 alternatives.