# **SEATTLE - CITYWIDE**

Summary results of top public safety concerns, most prominent themes, and community perceptions from the 2020 Seattle Public Safety Survey for the City of Seattle and Seattle Police Department's Five Precincts and Micro-Communities

Jacqueline B. Helfgott, PhD I William Parkin, PhD

Research Assistants/Analysts: Alexander Dvorsky (East Precinct), Katelyn Yep (North Precinct), Cierrah Loveness (South and Southwest Precinct), Rachel Deckard (West and Southwest Precinct), and Jane Park

DEPARTMENT OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE



### **SEATTLE - CITYWIDE**

### Top Public Safety Concerns

The top public safety concerns for the City of Seattle and Seattle Police Department's five precincts and microcommunities are reported based on quantitative results from the Seattle Public Safety Survey in response to the question "What, if any, are current public safety and security concerns in the neighborhood where you live and/or work? Select all that apply."

### Most Prominent Themes

The most prominent themes for the City of Seattle and Seattle Police Department's five precincts and micro-communities were identified from narrative comments in survey responses to questions "Do you have any additional thoughts on public safety and security issues in Seattle, generally, or your neighborhood, specifically, that you would like to share?" and "Do you have any thoughts on the Micro-Community Policing Plan Initiative that you would like to share?"

# Measures of Community Perceptions of Public Safety

The survey included question sets that make up distinct scales that measure community perceptions of police, neighborhood features, and crime as related to public safety. Results are reported for question sets measuring Police Legitimacy, Collective Efficacy-Informal Social Control, Collective Efficacy-Social Cohesion, Fear of Crime, and Social Disorganization. Top Public Safety Concerns and Prominent Themes for Seattle Citywide

### TOP PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS

- 1-Police Capacity
- 2- Property Crime
- 3- Homelessness
- 4- Drugs & Alcohol
- 5- Community & Public Safety
- Capacity

### MOST PROMINENT THEMES

- 1- City Politics
- 2- Public Order Crime
- 3- Property Crime
- 4- Police Capacity
- 5- Homelessness

#### . . .

### Introduction and Overview

# Seattle Police Department's Micro-Community Policing Plans

The Seattle Police Department's (SPD) Micro-Community Policing Plans (MCPP) were implemented in January 2015. The SPD MCPP is based on the notion that public safety can be enhanced and crime reduced through collaborative police-community attention to distinctive needs of Seattle neighborhoods with focused crime control, crime prevention and quality of life strategies on neighborhood-specific priorities. The SPD MCPP recognizes that no two Seattle neighborhoods are the alike and that resident perceptions of crime and public safety at the micro-community level matter. The MCPPs take a three-prong approach to bring together community engagement, crime data, and police services. MCPPs are tailored to meet the individual needs of each micro-community with a unique approach owned by the community. When used in conjunction with crime data, information gathered through community engagement to develop the MCPPs that take into account resident perceptions at the micro-community level provide a much more accurate picture of the reality of crime and public safety than does official crime data alone. This utilization of resident feedback and community perception of crime and public safety used in conjunction with official crime data to understand and address the reality of crime in communities makes the MCPP strategy unique and unprecedented. A central component of the MCPP is the annual Seattle Public Safety Survey that was first administered in October-November 2015 and has been conducted annually since. The Seattle Public Safety Survey is independently conducted by a research team from Seattle University Department of Criminal Justice including Dr. Jacqueline Helfgott (Principal Investigator), Dr. William Parkin (Co-Investigator), and graduate student research assistant/analysts who work in each of the SPD precincts – Alexander Dvorsky (East Precinct), Katelyn Yep (North Precinct), Cierrah Loveness (South and Southwest Precinct), Rachel Deckard (West and Southwest Precinct), and Jane Park (Undergrad RA) assisting with tasks associated with the MCPP.

# The 2020 Seattle Public Safety Survey

The Seattle Public Safety survey is a non-probability survey designed as part of the SPD MCPP evaluation to collect data from residents at city, precinct, and micro-community levels regarding public safety issues, perceptions of police and neighborhood features, and crime as related to public safety, fear of crime, crime victimization. The purpose of the survey is to collect data regarding what matters to residents regarding public safety, neighborhoods and communities, and the police to better understand the priorities of residents in conjunction with official crime statistics collected by SPD and other avenues used by SPD to identify community-level public safety concerns. The 2020 survey was administered online and on paper from October 15, 2020 - November 30, 2020. The survey was available in 11 languages -- Amharic, Arabic, Chinese, English, Korean, Oromo, Somali, Spanish, Tagalog, Tigrinya, and Vietnamese and administered through multiple channels including Nextdoor.com, Seattle Police Department, Seattle city council's Office, Seattle University, Community Groups, Flyer and business card distribution, tablet administration at community centers, libraries, and public areas with attempt to target underrepresented communities. More than 14,495 respondents began the survey. Survey responses that did not meet methodological and analytical requirements (i.e. not living and/or working in Seattle, partially completed surveys, and/or responses where microcommunity was not identified) were removed from the analysis. A total of 11,410 respondents were included in the final analysis.

# How the Seattle Public Safety Survey Results Inform the Seattle Police Micro-Community Policing Plans

The Seattle Public Safety Survey results offer SPD comprehensive data reflecting the views of residents at city, precinct, and micro-community levels to inform the SPD MCPP priorities and strategies. The specific goal of the survey is to collect data that captures citywide resident concerns about public safety, police, and neighborhoods to inform the SPD MCPPs. The survey findings supplement official crime statistics and traditional precinct-level methods of identifying community concerns (e.g., police-community meetings, ad-hoc precinct surveys) to ensure that the MCPP priorities and strategies are closely aligned with the public safety concerns of all residents within the city, precincts, and micro-communities.

### Description of Indices and Scales included in the Seattle Public Safety Survey

### Indices of Top Concerns and Prominent Themes

For the 2020 results, the top public safety concerns and the themes identified within respondents' comments are presented as both individual items and as those items aggregated into indices. This allows communities and law enforcement to engage in discussions about broader issues (e.g., property crime) as well as the items that may be one component of that broader issue (e.g., car prowls). At the citywide, precinct, and MCPP levels, the top indices are reported both as public safety concern indices and theme indices. The 9 public safety concern indices were conceptually identified from 72 items and are comprised of anywhere between 5 to 13 items. The 15 theme indices were conceptually identified from 45 items and are comprised of anywhere between 1 to 8 items. All public safety concerns and theme results for indices and items are reported in this report's appendices for Seattle, the five precincts, and all MCPPs. This provides transparency specific to how concerns and themes rank across and within varying geographies, as well as the number of respondents connected to those geographies. This more complex presentation of results in the 2020 report required changes to the format of the presentation of results as compared to reports in prior years (2015-2019)-i.e., the inclusion of theme indices and sub-items as well as changes to the label of the top concerns item "Lack of Police Capacity" to "Police Capacity" for the purpose of simplicity and consistency across the top concerns and themes.

To construct the public safety concern indices, the number of items in an index that a respondent identified as a public safety concern were summed and then divided by the total number of items used to create that index. This proportion represented the average number of items a respondent identified within an index. To determine which public safety concerns were most important to Seattle, a precinct, or an MCPP, the individual respondent proportions were then averaged within the varying locations in which they lived and/or worked. Indices and items with higher proportions or percentages, relative to other indices and items, are of greater concern to the survey respondents and their communities.

To construct the theme indices, the number of items in an index that were identified as themes within a respondent's comments were summed and then divided by the total number of items used to create that index. This proportion represented the average number of themes identified within a respondent's comments for an index. Respondents were not required to comment. However, the majority of respondents did provide additional thoughts on public safety and security in Seattle. To determine which themes were most important to Seattle, a precinct, or an MCPP, the individual respondent proportions were then averaged within the varying locations in which they lived and/or worked. Averages were determined using the number of respondents who commented within a specific geography, not the number of total respondents for that geography. Theme indices and items with higher proportions or percentages, relative to other indices and items, were more frequently mentioned in respondents' comments.

# Measures of Community Perceptions of Public Safety

The 2020 Seattle Public Safety Survey included question sets that make up distinct scales that measure community perceptions of police, neighborhood features, and crime as related to public safety based on prior research on aspects of communities that impact resident perceptions of public safety. This document is a supplement to the Precinct Reports on the 2020 Seattle Public Safety Survey findings describing the scales and associated questions included in the survey to measure community perceptions of public safety. The scales included in the survey focus on seven areas of interest: **Police Legitimacy, Collective Efficacy-Informal Social Control, Collective Efficacy-Social Cohesion, Social Disorganization, and Fear of Crime.** 

# How Scale Data Can Be Used to Improve Public Safety

The scale data can be used in conjunction with the top concerns and prominent themes to better understand the nature of communities and micro-communities and their unique public safety issues. Concerns of residents within any given micro-community may differ in terms of perceptions of public safety with respect to police legitimacy, informal social control, social cohesion, fear of crime, and social disorganization, perceptions of the SPD Micro-Community Policing Plans, and knowledge of the SPD Micro-Community Policing Plans. The survey findings on the scales can be used in conjunction with the top concerns and prominent themes at the community and micro-community levels to inform and guide law enforcement in developing priorities and to guide strategies in response to distinct community concerns providing a snapshot of the nature of the precinct as a whole and the individual micro-communities regarding resident views of aspects of communities related to public safety. Ideally, a healthy community with positive police-community relations will have positive perception and high knowledge of the SPD MCPP, high police legitimacy, high informal social control, high social cohesion, low social disorganization, and low fear of crime. Survey findings can assist communities to target areas of improvement with respect to areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety.

	POLICE LEGITIMACY					100
	SOCIAL COHESION					100
INFO	RMAL SOCIAL CONTROL					100
so	CIAL DISORGANIZATION	0				
	FEAR OF CRIME	0				
	"Ideal" Scale Responses Regarding Micro-Community Public Safety Elements					

#### Law Enforcement Trust & Legitimacy.

Police legitimacy is an important concept relevant to public safety as it has been consistently found that law enforcement relies on police legitimacy in order for individuals to cooperate/comply with

• • •

and support their departments. Gau (2014) defines police legitimacy as "an acceptance of the rules, laws, and precepts that define the police role in society, and a willingness to grant deference to police as a consequence of the belief that they are the authorized representatives who dutifully carry out the rules and laws that make society function smoothly" (p. 189). Police legitimacy is an important concept to public safety as it has been consistently found that law enforcement relies on police legitimacy in order for individuals to cooperate/comply with and support their departments (Gau, 2014; Reisig et al., 2007; Tyler, 2006; Tankebe, 2013). The guestions in the Seattle Public Safety survey build on scales developed by Sunshine and Tyler (2003) as well as other research (Gau, 2014 and Reisig et al., 2007, Tyler, 2006; and Tankebe, 2013). In addition, research shows that procedural justice presents an important indicator of levels of police legitimacy within a community and thus questions related to procedural justice were included in the survey (Gau, 2014; Reisig et al., 2007). Resident's perception of procedural justice can be informed by sources that go beyond ones' personal experience, including experiences of friends/family, as well as the presentation of police actions in the media (Gau, 2014). The concept is operationalized by asking respondents to what extent they agree with certain statements when thinking about law enforcement and how they are treated. Finally, there questions were included related to trust and residents' perceived obligation to obey law enforcement officers. While the concept of trust is defined as "people's beliefs that legal authorities are fair, are honest, and uphold people's rights" (Tyler & Huo, 2002, p. 78-79), perceived obligation to obey is defined as the extent to which people feel "they should comply with directives from police officers ... irrespective of their personal feelings" (Tyler, 2006, p. 45). In the effort to assess residents' trust and the obligation to obey, a series of questions that ask about how much respondents agree that SPD officers are honest and protect the rights of the residents, as well as whether residents should obey orders and accept decisions made by law enforcement. In the 2017 version of the survey, two additional questions were added in light of the national discourse around police-community engagement soliciting responses to a question regarding personal interactions with a Seattle police officer in the past year as well as a question asking about views of police at the local and national levels. In the 2018 version, we added a public safety concern regarding hypodermic needles.

The Seattle Public Safety Survey questions build from scales developed by other research showing that procedural justice presents an important indicator of levels of police legitimacy within a community. The concept is operationalized by asking respondents to what extent they agree with certain statements when thinking about your law enforcement agency and how they are treated. Finally, there are also questions related to trust and residents' perceived obligation to obey law enforcement officers. Questions in the Seattle Public Safety Survey that measure police legitimacy scale include:

-	a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree
with	the following when thinking about the Seattle Police Department and its officers? Seattle police officers protect people's basic rights in the neighborhood.
	Seattle police officers are honest.
	Seattle police officers do their jobs well.
	Seattle police officers can be trusted to do the right thing for my neighborhood.
	I am proud of Seattle police officers.
<u>On c</u>	a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree
with	the following when thinking about the Seattle Police Department and its officers?
	I have confidence in Seattle police officers.
	When a Seattle police officer issues an order, you should do what they say, even if you disagree with it.
	You should accept Seattle police officers' decisions even if you think they're wrong.
	People should do what Seattle police officers say, even when they do not like the way the police treat them
	Seattle police officers treat people with respect and dignity.
<u>On a</u>	a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree
with	the following when thinking about the Seattle Police Department and its officers?
	Seattle police officers treat people fairly.
	Seattle police officers take time to listen to people.
	Seattle police officers respect citizen's rights.

#### Collective Efficacy: Informal Social Control & Social Cohesion.

Collective efficacy has been defined as the connection between mutual trust and willingness to intervene for the common good. The concept is most often conceptualized as a combination of informal social control and social cohesion. Collective efficacy is "the linkage of mutual trust and the willingness to intervene for the common good that defines the neighborhood context of collective efficacy" (Sampson, Raudenbush, & Earls, 1997, p. 919). The concept is most often conceptualized as a combination of informal social control and social cohesion. Both scales, informal social control and social cohesion, are built on the work of Uchida, et al. (2014), which represents a modified version of a scale developed by Sampson, Raudenbush, & Earl (1997) and Sampson & Raudenbush (1999). To assess resident's willingness to react to crime and deviancy, participants were asked to indicate how likely it is that one of their neighbors would do something about specific incidences, such as break-ins, parking infractions, suspicious people hanging around, loud arguments on the street, underage drinking, juvenile spray-painting graffiti, someone being beaten/threatened in front of their house, disrespectful behavior by juveniles, juveniles skipping school, loud music/noise on their block, gun shots fired, and drug selling. Social cohesion was assessed by asking participants to indicate to what extent they agree with specific statements about their community/neighborhood (e.g. "this neighborhood is a good area to raise children" or "people that live in my neighborhood are generally friendly"). Questions included in the survey designed to measure the construct of informal social control through resident willingness to react to a range of crime and deviancy events include:

# On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being very unlikely and 100 being very likely, how likely is it that someone in the neighborhood where you live and/or work would intervene if they would witness one of the following?

- Someone is trying to break into a house/business.
- Someone is illegally parking in the street.
- Suspicious people are hanging around the neighborhood.
- People are having a loud argument in the street.
- A group of underage kids is drinking alcohol.
- Some children are spray-painting graffiti on a local building.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being very unlikely and 100 being very likely, how likely is it that someone in the neighborhood where you live and/or work would intervene if they would witness one of the following?

- There is a fight in front of your house/work and someone is being beaten or threatened.
- A child is showing disrespect to an adult.
- A group of neighborhood children is skipping school and hanging out on a street corner.
- Someone on your block is playing loud music.
- Someone on your block is firing a gun.
- Drugs are being sold.

Social cohesion, the second component of collective efficacy, is assessed in the survey by asking participants to indicate to what extent they agree with specific statements about their community/ neighborhood:

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following about the neighborhood where you live and/or work?

- The neighborhood is a good area to raise children.
- People in the neighborhood are generally friendly.
- I am happy I live/work in the neighborhood.
- People in the neighborhood take care of each other.
- People in the neighborhood can be trusted.
- People in the neighborhood are willing to help each other.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following about the neighborhood where you live and/or work?

- The neighborhood is close-knit.
- People in the neighborhood generally don't get along with each other.
- People in the neighborhood do not share the same values.
- I regularly stop and talk with people in the neighborhood.
- I know the names of people in the neighborhood.

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being strongly disagree and 100 being strongly agree, to what extent do you agree with the following about the neighborhood where you live and/or work?

- I share responsibility for the quality of life and safety in the neighborhood
  - In the last year, I have been active in helping to improve the quality of life and safety in the neighborhood.

#### Fear of Crime.

Fear of crime is central to the concept of public safety, due to the argument that fear of crime can have a negative impact not only on the individual but also on communities. Fear of crime can influence residents' behaviors and movements, economics, and social life and can be seen as a "key quality of life" issue (Cordner 2010). It is also important to understand that the effects of fear of crime can outweigh the effects of actual crime on individuals and communities (Warr, 2000). The items utilized in the survey mirror the fear of crime scale developed by Gray, Jackson, & Farall (2008), which was built on the work of Farrall and Gadd (2004). In order to get a better understanding of resident's level of fear of crime participants are asked how worried they have been in the last year about specific crimes in their neighborhood.

In the 2015 Seattle Public Safety Survey, Fear of Crime was measured without separating in terms of fear of crime during the day and night. In the 2017 survey, two identical questions were included with the distinction of fear of crime during the nighttime and daytime. Table 4 shows question items included to measure fear of crime:

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often have you worried about the following in the neighborhood where you live and/or work during the daytime?

- Somebody breaking into your home/work and stealing or damaging things?
- Somebody stealing your vehicle, things from or off it, or damaging it?
- Somebody stealing from you in a public space?
- You or somebody you know being sexually assaulted?
- You or somebody you know being physically attacked?

On a scale from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often have you worried about the following in the neighborhood where you live and/or work during the nighttime?

- Somebody breaking into your home/work and stealing or damaging things?
- Somebody stealing your vehicle, things from or off it, or damaging it?
- Somebody stealing from you in a public space?
- You or somebody you know being sexually assaulted?
- You or somebody you know being physically attacked?

#### Social Disorganization.

To gain a better understanding about the social stability and order of the community the concept of social disorganization, which is argued to be capable in predicting crime, was included in the survey. The classical measures of social disorganization (e.g., residents' socioeconomic status and ethnic heterogeneity) can be assessed through demographic questions (Shaw & McKay, 1942; Sampson & Groves, 1989). These measures can be augmented with questions regarding the perceived level of social disorder and the perceived level of physical disorder, which are also included to assess to what degree certain signs of disorder are a matter of concern to your community (Weisburd et al., 2012; Steenbeek & Hipp, 2011). Questions included in the survey to measure social disorganization include:

	le from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often are the following a problem in the hood where you live and/or work?
neignooi	Fights on the street/threatening behavior
	People loitering or being disorderly
	Public alcohol/drug consumption
	Public urination or defecation
	Panhandling
	Vandalism
On a sca	le from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often are the following a problem in the
	hood where you live and/or work?
	Noise late at night/early in the morning
	Gambling in the street
	Drug sales
	Illegal sex work
	People being bothered on the street
	Buildings with broken windows
On a sca	le from 0 to 100, with 0 being never and 100 being all the time, how often are the following a problem in the
neighbor	hood where you live and/or work?
	Buildings with graffiti
	Abandoned or boarded up buildings
	Areas with litter
	Dog feces on the street or sidewalk
	Street or sidewalks in need of repair

### Seattle - Citywide Survey Results

### Seattle Citywide Survey Results Overview

Results are presented from 11,410 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle. Compared to Seattle demographics, survey respondents were disproportionately more likely to be non-minority and female. Quantitative responses were weighted based on gender and race/ethnicity to better represent the Seattle population. Of the total 11,410 citywide responses, 6,287 (55%) of respondents offered narrative comments. Narrative comments were analyzed for themes and 45 distinct themes were identified.

### Seattle Public Safety Survey CITYWIDE Demographics - 2020

Variable	Responses	2020 Unweighted		2020 Weighted	
	·	% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	22.6	2573	19.7	2447
	Work	9.9	1132	10.3	1283
	Live/Work	67.5	7705	70	8686
Age	< 20	.4	42	.5	56
	20-29	12.3	1402	15.1	1878
	30-39	24.3	2767	28.2	3501
	40-49	20.7	2363	23.4	2904
	50-59	18.7	2130	15.4	1912
	60-69	15.1	1718	11.2	1394
	70-79	7.6	864	5.4	671
	80-89	1.0	111	.7	86
	> 90	.1	9	.1	8
Race*	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	1.9	222	4.2	517
	Asian	8.0	916	17	2113
	Black/ African American	3.1	357	6.6	821
	Pacific Islander	.7	75	1.4	171
	White	84.8	9678	72.8	9040
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.9	558	10.4	1289
Gender*	Female	50	5708	47	5833
	Male	45.5	5191	48.2	5988
	Transgender	1.3	148	1.5	189
	Other	3.2	369	3.6	442
Marital Status	Divorced	6.3	712	5.2	641
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	60.2	6828	59	7295
	Single	30.7	3481	33.3	4110
	Widowed	2.2	252	1.8	222
Education	No High School Diploma	.4	43	.4	54
	High School Diploma	2.8	322	3.1	379
	Some College	12.7	1445	13.1	1614
	Associate's Degree	6.3	720	6.5	800
	Bachelor's Degree	43.7	4960	43.8	5411
	Graduate Degree	34.1	3870	33.2	4107
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	10.1	1105	10.6	1276
	\$40,000- \$79,999	19.0	2086	18.8	2259
	\$80,000- \$119,999	19.9	2182	20	2395
	\$120,000- \$159,999	15.1	1650	14.9	1789
	\$160,000- \$199,999	10.5	1147	10.2	1225
	\$200,000 or higher	25.4	2788	25.4	3044

\*Percentage totals are greater than 100% because respondents could select multiple categories.

• • •

#### 72 Public Safety Concerns Grouped into 9 Indices Selected by Respondents in Public Safety Concerns Section of Survey

#### Drugs & Alcohol

- Alcohol use in public
- Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia
- Drug houses
- Drug use in public
- Marijuana use in public
- Open air drug markets
- Public intoxication

#### Homelessness

- Aggressive
   panhandling
- Car/RV camping
   Homeless encampments (non-regulated)
- Squatting
- Squaring
- Transient Camps

#### Police Capacity

- Delayed police response to emergency calls
- Delayed police response to nonemergency calls
- Inadequate police
   staffing
- Lack of police followup
- Not enough police in the neighborhood

#### Community & Public

#### Safety Capacity

- Delay in answering
   emergency calls
- Delay in answering
- non-emergency calls
  Issues with 9-1-1
- dispatchers
  Lack of crime prevention education

- Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness
- Lack of resources
   related to social
   services
- No block watch or safety related neighborhood group
- Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood

#### **Property Crime**

- Auto theft
- Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)
- Commercial burglary
- Non-residential
   property crime
- Property crime general
- Property damage
- Residential burglary
- Theft
- Vandalism

#### Public Order Crime

- Disorderly Behavior
- Fireworks
- Graffiti
- Illegal sex work
- Illegal street vending
- Indecent exposure
- Public Order Crime general
- Trespassing
- Youth intimidation or criminal activity

#### Quality of Life

• Civility issues - general

- Crowd Behavior
- Dogs off-leash
- Dogs on the Beach
- General community
   safety and quality of life
   issues
- Issues in the Parks
- Littering/dumping
- Loitering
- Noise levels
- Problem/nuisance properties
- Soliciting
- Sporting event issues (or other large events)
- Too many police in the neighborhood

#### Traffic Safety

- Bicycle safety
- Drag racing
- Parking issues
- Pedestrian safety
- Safety issues at bus stops
- Traffic safety
- Unsafe driving /
   Speeding

#### Violent Crime

- Assault
- Domestic violence
- Gang activity
- Gun violence
- Homicide
- Robbery
- Sexual assault
- Shots fired
- Violent crime general

\*Note the blue indicates the qualitative theme indices and black indicates the subthemes of the theme indices.

• • •

### 45 Themes Grouped into 15 Theme Indices

Identified in Seattle Public Safety Survey Narrative Comments

#### Behavioral Crisis

#### **City Politics**

- City Politics Decreasing
   Public Safety
- City Politicians not Adequately Addressing Homelessness
- Unsupportive of City
   Council

#### Drug Activity

- Drugs Are a Public
- Health Issue
- Drugs Are a Public
   Safety Issue

#### Homelessness

- Bring Back Navigation
   Team
- Encampments Are
   Decreasing Public
   Safety
- Homelessness is a Public
   Health Issue
- Homelessness is a Public
   Safety Issue
- RV & Car Camping Decrease Public Safety

#### Lawlessness

- Crime is on the Rise
- Lawlessness general
- Moving out of Seattle Due to Crime / Public Safety

#### Police Capacity

- More Foot and Bicycle
   Police Needed
- More Police Community
   Outreach Needed
- More Police Needed
- Slow or No Police
- Response
- Unsupportive of
   Defunding Police

#### Police Legitimacy

- Concerns about
   Selective Enforcement /
   Racial Bias
- Concerns about Use of Force / Excessive Use of Force
- Lack of Police
   Accountability
- Lack of Police
   Professionalism
- Lack of Trust in Police, generally
- Lack of Trust in SPD, specifically
- Organizational Culture/Stability in Leadership
- Unsupportive of SPD

#### Police Reform

- Demilitarize Police
- Lack of Adequate
- Police Training

 Review of SPD's Crowd Management Practices Needed

#### **Property Crime**

Protests

#### Public Order Crime

#### Public Safety &

#### Community Capacity

- Issues with 911 Dispatch
- Lack of Prosecution, Returning Offenders to Street
- More City Services / Resources Needed to Respond to Behavioral Crises
- More City Services / Resources Needed to Respond to Homelessness
- Police are Being Prevented from Doing Their Job
- Public Parks are Unsafe
- Public Spaces are
   Unsafe
- Supportive of
   Defunding Police

#### SPD Supportive

- SPD Doing a Great Job
- Supportive of SPD
- Traffic Safety

Violent Crime


\*Note the blue indicates the qualitative theme indices and black indicates the subthemes of the theme indices.


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety -- Citywide, Precinct, Micro-Communities Findings

Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the city as a whole, for each of the five Seattle Police Precincts, and for Precinct Micro-Communities.

#### • • •


Seattle – Citywide (2020 N=11410)				
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments			
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics			
2-Property Crime	2-Public Order Crime			
3-Homelessness	3-Property Crime			
4-Drug & Alcohol	4-Police Capacity			
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Homelessness			






2020 Public Safety Survey Results • Seattle-Citywide • Seattle Police Department • 12

. . .





### Summary of Findings Citywide

The top public safety concerns Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drug & Alcohol, and Community & Police Capacity. The most prominent themes residents in the city of Seattle commented on in their narrative responses are City Politics, Public Order Crime, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness.

On the scales measuring aspects of micro-community public safety, citywide scale ratings show moderate-high (58.4) Police Legitimacy, moderate (54.5) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (41.4) Informal Social Control, moderate (44) Social Disorganization, and moderate (46) Fear of Crime (41.7-Day, 50.2-Night).

#### • • •

### **Precinct Survey Results**

### East Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for East Precinct as whole and the 9 East Precinct Micro-communities: Capitol Hill, Central Area/Squire Park, Eastlake-East, First Hill, Judkins Park/North Beacon Hill, Madison Park, Madrona/Leschi, Miller Park, Montlake/Portage Bay are presented from 2020. N=2238 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle East Precinct. Of the total 2020- N=2238 East Precinct responses, 2020 N=1361(61%) respondents offered narrative comments.


	Seattle F EAST PRECING	Public Safety CT Demogra			
Variable	Responses	2019 Unv	weighted	2019 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	18.3	410	16.0	424
	Work	7.8	174	8.1	215
	Live/Work	73.9	1654	75.9	2017
Age	< 20	0.7	15	0.8	20
	20-29	20.7	464	32.7	630
	30-39	30.8	690	33.8	899
	40-49	18.6	416	19.9	528
	50-59	13.5	303	10.8	287
	60-69	10.6	238	7.7	204
	70-79	4.1	91	2.8	75
	80-89	0.9	20	0.5	13
	> 90	0	0	0	0
Race*	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	2.3	51	4.5	119
	Asian	8.6	192	16.5	439
	Black/ African American	5.0	113	9.7	257
	Native Hawaiian (Including Pacific Islander)	0.5	11	0.9	25
	White	82.3	1841	70.5	1872
Ethnicity	Hispanic	6.7	151	13.0	345
Gender*	Female	44.7	1000	42.7	1134
	Male	48.1	1076	49.7	1320
	Transgender	2.7	61	3.1	83
	Other	5.0	112	5.2	137
Marital Status	Divorced	4.9	109	4.2	110
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	48.4	1079	47.6	1258
	Single	44.9	1000	46.9	1241
	Widowed	1.4	31	1.0	26
Education	No High School Diploma	0.4	10	0.5	14
	High School Diploma	2.6	57	2.9	77
	Some College	13.1	292	13.7	362
	Associate's Degree	7.3	162	7.7	203
	Bachelor's Degree	45.0	1003	44.4	1175
	Graduate Degree	31.7	706	30.8	815
Household Income	\$0- \$39,999	13.4	292	13.6	352
	\$40,000- \$79,999	22.6	493	23.5	608
	\$80,000- \$119,999	19.3	421	18.9	489

\$120,000- \$159,999	14.6	317	14.5	376
\$160,000- \$199,999	7.2	157	7.0	181
\$200,000 or higher	22.8	497	22.5	581


# Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for East Precinct and East Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the East Precinct as a whole and for each East Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – East Precinct (2020 N=2238)			
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments		
1-Police Capacity	1-Police Legitimacy		
2-Property Crime	2-Public Order Crime		
3-Homelessness	3-Public Safety & Community Capacity		
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-City Politics		
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Property Crime		




. . .






#### Seattle/East – Capitol Hill (2020 N=1331)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Police Legitimacy
2-Police Capacity	2-Protests
3-Property Crime	3-Public Safety & Community Capacity
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-City Politics
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Public Order Crime



• • •


Seattle/East – Central Area/Squire Park (2020 N=260)			
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns 2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comment			
1-Police Capacity	1-Property Crime		
2-Homelessness	2-Violent Crime		
3-Property Crime	3-Police Legitimacy		
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-Public Safety & Community Capacity		
5-Violent Crime	5-Violent Crime		



#### Seattle/East – Eastlake East (2020 N=63)


2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Property Crime	1-City Politics	
2-Police Capacity	2-Police Capacity	
3-Homelessness	3-Drug Activity	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Homelessness	






### Seattle/East – First Hill (2020 N=202)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Public Order Crime
2-Property Crime	2-Property Crime
3-Police Capacity	3-Police Capacity
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Public Safety & Community Capacity
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Homelessness




• • •

Seattle/East – Judkins Park/North Beacon Hill (2020 N=79)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics	
2-Homelessness	2-Public Safety & Community Capacity	
3-Property Crime	3-Police Capacity	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Behavioral Crisis	
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Drug Activity	




#### Seattle/East- Madison Park (2020 N=105)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Police Capacity
2-Police Capacity	2-City Politics
3-Homelessness	3-Property Crime
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-Behavioral Crisis
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Homelessness




#### Seattle/East – Madrona/Leschi (2020 N=111)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics
2-Property Crime	2-Property Crime
3-Homelessness	3-Police Capacity
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-Police Reform
5-Traffic Safety	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity




• • •

Seattle/East – Miller Park (2020 N=19)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Homelessness	1-Property Crime	
2-Property Crime	2-Protests	
3-Police Capacity	3-Violent Crime	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Drug Activity	



#### Seattle/East - Montlake/Portage Bay (2020 N=68)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Property Crime
2-Homelessness	2-Public Order Crime
3-Property Crime	3-City Politics
4-Traffic Safety	4-Police Capacity
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Homelessness




### Summary of Findings for East Precinct

The top public safety concerns indices for the East Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Community and Public Safety Capacity, and Drugs & Alcohol. In comparison with the top public safety concerns Citywide, which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drugs and Alcohol, and Community and Public Safety Capacity, residents in the East Precinct shared the same top five public safety concern indices. The most prominent theme indices residents in the East Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were Police Legitimacy, Public Order Crime, Public Safety and Community Capacity, City Politics, and Property Crime. In comparison with the citywide theme indices, which were City Politics. Public Order Crime, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness, residents in the East Precinct Police Legitimacy over the citywide theme of Police Capacity. Three other theme indices – City Politics, Property Crime, and Public Order Crime – were shared between East Precinct and Citywide but differed in their rankings. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., Protests rose to the top five in Capitol Hill and Miller Park, whereas Behavioral Crisis rose to the top five in Judkins Park/North Beacon Hill and Madison Park).

The scale results measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the East Precinct ranks lower in all categories than the scale results Citywide with scale ratings of moderate (50) Police Legitimacy, moderate (53) Social Cohesion, low (35.8) Informal Social Control, low-moderate (44.2) Social Disorganization, and low-moderate (42.6) Fear of Crime (38.3-Day, 46.9-Night). Ratings on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., high and high-moderate police legitimacy in Madison Park and Montlake/Portage Bay, respectively, and very low social disorganization in both Madison Park and Madrona/Leschi). The findings on the scale items for East Precinct show that the average scale rating for East Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 50.4 is 8 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 58.4 on this scale item. The East precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 53.0, which is 1.5 points lower than the Citywide average scale Control is 35.8, which is just over 5.6 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating of 41.4. The findings on the scale items for East Precinct scale rating for Social Disorganization is 44.2, which is 0.2 points

higher than the Citywide average rating of 44 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the East Precinct of 38.3 is 3.4 less than the Citywide average rating of 41.7. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the East Precinct of 46.9 is 3.3 less than the Citywide average rating of 50.2. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the East Precinct of 42.6 is 3.4 less than the Citywide average rating of 46. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the East Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 46.8 is 6.8 points lower than the Citywide rating of 53.6 for Seattle specifically and 7 points lower than the Citywide rating of 50 for the United States generally.



### North Precinct Survey Results Overview


Results for the North Precinct as a whole and the 12 North Precinct Micro-communities: Ballard-North, Ballard-South, Bitter Lake, Fremont, Greenwood, Lake City, Northgate, Phinney Ridge, Roosevelt/Ravenna/Green Lake/Wedgwood, Sandpoint, University District, and Wallingford are presented from 2020- N= 4176 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle North Precinct. Of the total 2020- N= 4176 North Precinct responses, 2020- N= 2383 (57%) respondents offered narrative comments.

Seattle Public Safety Survey NORTH PRECINCT Demographics - 2020					
Variable	Responses	2020 Unweighted		2020 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	25.4	1060	22.4	958
	Work	5.1	212	5.3	228
	Live/Work	69.5	2904	72.2	3087
Age	< 20	0.4	15	0.5	20
	20-29	11.2	466	13.8	588
	30-39	21.8	911	26.0	1112
	40-49	20.7	863	23.9	1019
	50-59	19.6	817	16.7	713
	60-69	16.5	688	12.1	515
	70-79	9.2	383	6.6	283
	80-89	0.6	27	0.4	19
	> 90	0.1	4	0.1	3
Race*	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	1.9	81	4.4	188
	Asian	6.7	279	15.1	644
	Black/ African American	1.9	79	4.3	183
	Pacific Islander	0.6	27	1.4	61
	White	88.3	3687	78.1	3339
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.0	168	9.0	386
Gender*	Female	96.0	4008	91.0	3887
	Male	54.6	2281	51.1	2184
	Transgender	41.8	1745	45.1	1925
	Other	1.0	43	1.2	51
Marital Status	Divorced	2.8	117	3.1	133
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	6.7	279	5.4	231
	Single	63.1	2627	63.0	2685
	Widowed	27.4	1141	29.3	1250
Education	No High School Diploma	2.2	90	1.6	67
	High School Diploma	0.3	12	0.3	11
	Some College	2.6	110	2.7	115
	Associate's Degree	10.9	456	11.1	473
	Bachelor's Degree	5.3	219	5.0	212
	Graduate Degree	43.7	1823	44.3	1891
lousehold Income	\$0- \$39,999	37.2	1549	36.7	1565
	\$40,000- \$79,999	10.2	407	10.7	441
	\$80,000- \$119,999	19.7	785	18.1	743
	\$120,000- \$159,999	19.9	793	19.9	819
	\$160,000- \$199,999	14.9	594	15.1	619
	\$200,000 or higher	11.2	447	11.1	456
		24.2	965	25.1	1033

# Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for North Precinct and North Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the North Precinct as a whole and for each North Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – North Precinct (2020 N=4176)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Homelessness	1-Property Crime	
2-Property Crime	2-Public Order Crime	
3-Police Capacity	3-City Politics	
4-Drug & Alcohol	4-Homelessness	
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Police Capacity	











#### Seattle/North – Ballard North (2020 N=703)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Public Order Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Homelessness
3-Property Crime	3-Property Crime
4-Drug & Alcohol	4-City Politics
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity




Seattle/North – Ballard South (2020 N=528)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Homelessness	1-Property Crime	
2-Property Crime	2-Public Order Crime	
3-Police Capacity	3-Homelessness	
4-Drug & Alcohol	4-City Politics	
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	




Seattle/North – Bitterlake (2020 N=192)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Police Capacity	1-Public Order Crime	
2-Homelessness	2-Property Crime	
3-Property Crime	3-City Politics	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Police Capacity	
5-Drug & Alcohol	5-Homelessness	






#### Seattle/North – Fremont (2020 N=202)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Homelessness
2-Homelessness	2-Police Capacity
3-Property Crime	3-Property Crime
4-Traffic Safety	4-Public Order Crime
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Drug Activity




Seattle/North - Greenwood (2020 N=320)2020 Top Public Safety Concerns2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments1-Police Capacity1-Public Order Crime2-Homelessness2-City Politics3-Property Crime3-Property Crime4-Drug & Alcohol4-Public Safety & Community Capacity5-Public Safety & Community Capacity5-Police Capacity



#### Seattle/North - Northgate (2020 N=339)


2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-City Politics
2-Police Capacity	2-Public Order Crime
3-Property Crime	3-Property Crime
4-Drug & Alcohol	4-Violent Crime
5-Traffic Safety	5-Homelessness

• • •




#### Seattle/North – Lake City (2020 N=354)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-City Politics
2-Property Crime	2-Property Crime
3-Police Capacity	3-Homelessness
4-Drug & Alcohol	4-Public Safety & Community Capacity
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Public Order Crime




• • •

Seattle/North – Roosevelt/Ravenna (2020 N=488)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Homelessness	1-Property Crime	
2-Property Crime	2-Public Order Crime	
3-Police Capacity	3-City Politics	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Homelessness	
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Police Capacity	




2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Homelessness
2-Police Capacity	2-Property Crime
3-Homelessness	3-Public Safety & Community Capacity
4-Public Safety & Community Capacity	4-City Politics
5-Traffic Safety	5-Police Capacity






#### Seattle/North – Sandpoint (2020 N=308)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Property Crime
2-Property Crime	2-City Politics
3-Homelessness	3-Police Capacity
4-Traffic Safety	4-Public Order Crime
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Homelessness




• • •

Seattle/North – University District (2020 N=251)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Property Crime	1-Property Crime	
2-Police Capacity	2-Police Capacity	
3-Homelessness	3-City Politics	
4-Drug & Alcohol	4-Public Order Crime	
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	



#### Seattle/North – Wallingford (2020 N=319)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Property Crime
2-Property Crime	2-Public Order Crime
3-Police Capacity	3-Homelessness
4-Traffic Safety	4-City Politics
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity




## Summary of Findings for North Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the North Precinct are Homelessness, Property Crime, Police Capacity, Drug & Alcohol, and Public Safety/Community Capacity. In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drug & Alcohol, and Community & Public Safety Capacity. In comparison with the citywide themes which were City Politics, Public Order Crime, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness, themes prioritized by residents in the North Precinct noted in narrative responses were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, City Politics, Homelessness, and Police Capacity. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety differ slightly from the precinct as a whole in some micro-communities (e.g., Property Crime in Phinney Ridge and the University District and Traffic Safety is noted as a theme in Bitter Lake).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the North Precinct scale ratings show moderate (58.1) Police Legitimacy, moderate (57.6) Social Cohesion, moderate-low (45.3) Informal Social control, low (41.1) Social Disorganization, and moderate-low (45.8) Fear of Crime (41.6-Day, 50-Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales differ depending on the micro-community with some similar to the North Precinct as a whole (e.g., Ballard North and Greenwood) while others differ (e.g., higher social disorganization and fear of crime at night in Ballard South) reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for North Precinct show that the average scale rating for North Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 58.1 is 0.3 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 58.4 on this scale item. The North precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 57.6, which 3.1 points higher than the Citywide average of 54.5. The North average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 45.3, which is 3.9 points higher than the Citywide average scale rating of 41.4. The findings on the scale items for North Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 41.1, which is 2.9 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 44 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the North Precinct of 41.6 is 0.1 less than the Citywide average rating of 41.7. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the North Precinct of 50 is 0.2 less than the Citywide average rating of 50.2. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the North Precinct of 45.8 is 0.2 less than the Citywide average rating of 46. On the

item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the North Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 53.4 is 0.2 points lower than the Citywide rating of 53.6 for Seattle specifically and 0.3 points higher than the Citywide rating of 50 for the United States generally.



## South Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for South Precinct as whole and the 15 South Precinct Micro-communities: Brighton/Dunlap, Claremont/Rainier Vista, Columbia City, Genesee, Georgetown, Hillman City, Lakewood/Seward Park, Mid-Beacon Hill, Mount Baker, New Holly, North Beacon Hill, Rainier Beach, Rainier View, SODO, and South Beacon Hill are presented from 2020- N=940 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live and/or work in the City of Seattle South Precinct. Of the total 2020-N=940 South Precinct responses, 2020- N=501 (53%) respondents offered narrative comments.


Variable	Responses	2020 Unweighted		2020 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	18.6	175	18.5	208
	Work	17.0	160	15.5	174
	Live/Work	64.4	605	66.0	740
Age	< 20	0.2	2	0.2	2
	20-29	8.5	80	10.4	117
	30-39	28.0	263	29.7	333
	40-49	22.3	210	24.8	278
	50-59	17.9	168	15.3	172
	60-69	15.0	141	12.2	137
	70-79	7.2	68	6.6	74
	80-89	0.7	7	0.5	6
	> 90	0.1	1	0.2	2
Race*	American Indian/Alaskan Native	2.4	23	4.7	53
	Asian	10.0	94	19.4	218
	Black/ African American	6.6	62	12.9	145
	Pacific Islander	1.2	11	2.2	25
	White	76.9	723	61.3	688
Ethnicity	Hispanic	5.4	51	10.6	119
Gender*	Female	45.2	425	43.4	487
	Male	48.3	454	50.4	566
	Transgender	1.5	14	1.5	17
	Other	3.6	34	3.5	39
Marital Status	Divorced	5.8	54	5.4	60
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	67.2	622	66.7	739
	Single	24.5	227	25.4	281
	Widowed	1.9	18	2.2	24
	Separated	0.5	5	0.4	4
Education	No High School Diploma	0.6	6	0.6	7
	High School Diploma	3.5	32	3.8	42
	Some College	16.9	156	17.8	197
	Associate's Degree	8.1	75	8.1	90
	Bachelor's Degree	40.9	378	42	464
	Graduate Degree	30.1	278	27.6	305
usehold Income	\$0- \$39,999	12.7	115	13.3	144
	\$40,000- \$79,999	16.2	146	16.6	180
	\$80,000- \$119,999	22.0	199	21.8	236
	\$120,000- \$159,999	17.7	160	17.2	186
	\$160,000- \$199,999	10.0	90	9.8	106
	\$200,000 or higher	21.5	194	21.3	230

Survey results are presented for top public safety concerns, most prominent themes, and measures of community perceptions for the precinct as a whole and for each precinct micro-community.


# Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for South Precinct and South Precinct Micro-Communities


Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the South Precinct as a whole and for each South Precinct Micro-Community.

Seattle – South Precinct (2020 N=940)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics	
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity	
3-Homelessness	3-Violent Crime	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Public Order Crime	
5-Community & Police Safety Capacity	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	




• • •






#### Seattle/South – Brighton/Dunlap (2020 N=39)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Violent Crime	1-Violent Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Traffic Safety
3-Property Crime	3-Behavioral Crisis
4-Traffic Safety	4-SPD Supportive
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Property Crime




• • •

Seattle/South – Claremont/Rainier Vista (2020 N=7)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Police Capacity	1-Homelessness	
2-Drugs & Alcohol	2-Police Capacity	
3-Homelessness	3-Lawlessness	
4-Property Crime	4-SPD Supportive	
5-Violent Crime	5-City Politics	




#### Seattle/South – Columbia City (2020 N=125)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Violent Crime
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity
3-Traffic Safety	3-Public Safety & Community Capacity
4-Homelessness	4-Public Order Crime
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Police Legitimacy




#### Seattle/South - Genesee (2020 N=39)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-SPD Supportive
2-Property Crime	2-City Politics
3-Community & Public Safety Capacity	3-Behavioral Crisis
4-Homelessness	4-Police Capacity
5-Traffic Safety	5-Lawlessness



• • •


Seattle/South – Georgetown (2020 N=80)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Homelessness	1-Property Crime	
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity	
3-Police Capacity	3-City Politics	
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Drug Activity	
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-SPD Supportive	



#### Seattle/South – Hillman City (2020 N=41)


2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Traffic Safety	1-Violent Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Police Legitimacy
3-Violent Crime	3-Public Safety & Community Capacity
4-Property Crime	4-SPD Supportive
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Police Capacity






#### Seattle/South – Lakewood / Seward Park (2020 N=44)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Traffic Safety
2-Homelessness	2-City Politics
3-Police Capacity	3-Police Capacity
4-Violent Crime	4-SPD Supportive
5-Traffic Safety	5-Lawlessness



• • •


Seattle/South – Mid Beacon Hill (2020 N=55)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics	
2-Property Crime	2-Police Legitimacy	
3-Homelessness	3-Homelessness	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Public Safety & Community Capacity	
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Violent Crime	



#### Seattle/South – Mount Baker (2020 N=120)


2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Property Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Public Order Crime
3-Homelessness	3-City Politics
4-Traffic Safety	4-Police Capacity
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity






#### Seattle/South – New Holly (2020 N=15)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Violent Crime
2-Homelessness	2-Traffic Safety
3-Traffic Safety	3-Homelessness
4-Violent Crime	4-Police Capacity
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity




Seattle/South – North Beacon Hill (2020 N=79)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Police Capacity	1-Property Crime	
2-Property Crime	2-Police Legitimacy	
3-Homelessness	3-City Politics	
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-Protests	
5-Traffic Safety	5-Public Order Crime	




#### Seattle/South – Rainier Beach (2020 N=125)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Police Capacity
2-Violent Crime	2-Violent Crime
3-Property Crime	3-Police Reform
4-Traffic Safety	4-Traffic Safety
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Public Order Crime




# Seattle/South – Rainier View (2020 N=29)2020 Top Public Safety Concerns2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments1-Police Capacity1-Police Reform2-Property Crime2-City Politics3-Traffic Safety3-Police Capacity4-Community & Public Safety Capacity4-Public Safety & Community Capacity5-Violent Crime5-Traffic Safety




• • •

Seattle/South – SODO (2020 N=107)		
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Homelessness	1-City Politics	
2-Property Crime	2-Public Order Crime	
3-Police Capacity	3-Police Capacity	
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Drug Activity	
5-Public Order Crime	5-Behavioral Crisis	



#### Seattle/South – South Beacon Hill (2020 N=35)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Public Safety & Community Capacity
2-Property Crime	2-Lawlessness
3-Community & Public Safety Capacity	3-City Politics
4-Traffic Safety	4-Homelessness
5-Violent Crime	5-Police Reform




# Summary of Findings for South Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the South Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Community and Police Safety Capacity. Several of the South Precinct's top concerns were similar to the citywide concerns which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, and Community and Public Safety Capacity. For residents in the South Precinct, Traffic Safety rose in the top concerns over Drugs and Alcohol in the citywide findings. The most prominent themes citizens in the South Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were City Politics, Police Capacity, Violent Crime, Public Order Crime, and Public Safety and Community Capacity. In comparison with the themes noted by residents citywide which were City Politics, Public Order Crime, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness. Themes prioritized by residents in the South Precinct were Violent Crime and Public Safety and Community Capacity was a most prominent themes replacing Property Crime and Homelessness. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety are similar to the precinct as a whole. However, some micro-communities differ from others (e.g., Concerns about Police Reform in Rainier Beach and Drugs and Alcohol in Claremont/Rainier Vista).

The results on scales measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the South Precinct shows scale rating of moderate Police Legitimacy (57.9), moderate Social Cohesion (56.4), low-moderate Informal Social Control (40.3), low-moderate Social Disorganization (42.3) and lowmoderate (45.3) Fear of Crime (41.2-Day, 49.3-Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales are different depending on the micro-community with some very similar to the South Precinct as a whole (e.g., Mid Beacon Hill) while others very different (e.g., Hillman City) reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for South Precinct show that the average scale rating for South Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 57.9 is just 0.5 point lower than the Citywide average rating of 58.4 on this scale item. The South precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 56.4, which is 1.9 points higher than the Citywide average of 54.5. The South average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 40.3 which is just 1.1 points lower than the Citywide average scale rating of 41.4. The findings on the scale items for South Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 42.3, which is 1.7 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 44 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the South Precinct of 41.2 is 0.5 less than the Citywide average rating of 41.7. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the South Precinct of 49.3 is 0.9 less

than the Citywide average rating of 50.2. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the South Precinct of 45.3 is 0.7 less than the Citywide average rating of 46. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the South Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 54.1 is 0.5 points higher than the Citywide rating of 53.6 for Seattle specifically and 0.2 points higher than the Citywide rating of 50 for the United States generally.



### Southwest Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for Southwest Precinct as whole and the 14 Southwest Precinct Micro-communities: Alaska Junction, Alki, Commercial Duwamish, Commercial Harbor Island, Fauntleroy, High Point, Highland Park, Morgan Junction, North Admiral, North Delridge, Pigeon Point, South Delridge, South Park and Westwood/Roxhill/ Arbor Heights are presented from N = 1121 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live or work in the City of Seattle Southwest Precinct. Compared to Seattle demographics, survey respondents were disproportionately more likely to be non-minority and female. Quantitative responses were weighted based on gender and race/ethnicity to better represent the Seattle population. Of the total N=1121 Southwest Precinct responses, N=582 (52%) respondents offered narrative comments.


Variable	Responses	2020 Unw	veighted	2020 Weighted	
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	27.2	305	23.4	276
	Work	2.9	32	3.2	38
	Live/Work	69.9	784	73.4	868
Age	< 20	0.4	5	0.6	7
	20-29	7.2	81	9.5	112
	30-39	22.9	256	26.9	317
	40-49	22.1	248	25.1	296
	50-59	22.4	251	18.7	221
	60-69	16.9	189	13.2	156
	70-79	7.3	82	5.4	64
	80-89	0.7	8	0.6	7
	> 90	0.0	0	0.2	0
Race	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	2.6	29	5.7	68
	Asian	5.6	63	12.4	147
	Black/ African American	2.6	29	5.6	66
	Pacific Islander	0.4	5	0.9	11
	White	86.6	971	75.9	898
Ethnicity	Hispanic	5.7	64	12.7	150
Gender	Female	50.4	565	46.6	551
	Male	45.4	509	48.9	579
	Transgender	0.7	8	0.8	10
	Other	2.9	33	3.3	39
Arital Status	Divorced	7.1	79	5.5	65
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	64.1	713	63.1	741
	Single	24.8	276	26.7	313
	Widowed	2.8	31	2.9	34
	Sparated	1.8	21	1.8	21
Education	No High School Diploma	0.5	6	0.7	8
	High School Diploma	4.9	55	5.9	69
	Some College	13.4	150	12.9	152
	Associate's Degree	8.1	90	8.7	102
	Bachelor's Degree	42.9	479	41.6	490
	Graduate Degree	30.1	336	30.3	357
	\$0- \$39,999	9.9	107	10.8	124
	\$40,000- \$79,999	17.1	185	16.7	191
	\$80,000- \$119,999	22.9	247	22.9	262
	\$120,000- \$159,999	16.2	175	16.2	185
	\$160,000- \$199,999	13.0	140	12.1	138
	\$200,000 or higher	20.9	225	21.3	243

#### Seattle Public Safety Survey SOUTHWEST PRECINCT Demographics - 2020


Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for Southwest Precinct and Southwest Precinct Micro-Communities

Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the Southwest Precinct as a whole and for each Southwest Precinct Micro-Community.


Seattle – Southwest Precinct (2020 N=1121)		
2020 Public Safety Concerns	2020 Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments	
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics	
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity	
3-Homelessness	3-Property Crime	
4-Traffic Safety	4-Traffic Safety	
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Public Order Crime	













#### Seattle – Alaska Junction (2020 N=227)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Property Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Police Capacity
3-Homelessness	3-City Politics
4-Traffic Safety	4-Public Order Crime
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Traffic Safety




#### . . .

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Traffic Safety
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity
3-Traffic Safety	3- City Politics
4-Homelessness	4-Public Order Crime
5-Quality of Life	5-Protests




#### Seattle – Commercial Duwamish (2020 N=5)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	<ol> <li>Participants from this micro-community did not provide narrative comments)</li> </ol>
2-Homelessness	2-
3-Property Crime	3-
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-
5-Traffic Safety	5-




#### Seattle – Commercial Harbor Island (2020 N=3)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	<ol> <li>Participants from this micro-community did provide narrative comments)</li> </ol>
2-Homelessness	2-
3-Police Capacity	3-
4-Traffic Safety	4-
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-




Seattle/Southwest – Fauntleroy (2020 N=	=111)
---	-------

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Property Crime
2-Property Crime	2-City Politics
3-Homelessness	3-Police Capacity
4-Traffic Safety	4-Behavorial Crisis
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Public Order Crime




#### Seattle – High Point (2020 N=58)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Behavioral Crisis
2-Traffic Safety	2-Police Capacity
3-Property Crime	3-Police Reform
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-City Politics
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Traffic Safety




#### Seattle/Southwest – Highland Park (2020 N=107)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-City Politics
2-Property Crime	2-Property Crime
3-Police Capacity	3-Police Capacity
4-Traffic Safety	4-Traffic Safety
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Violent Crime




• • •

Seattle/Southwest – Mo	rgan Junction (2020 N=78)
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Property Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Police Capacity
3-Traffic Safety	3-City Politics
4-Homelessness	4-Violence Crime
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-SPD Supportive




#### Seattle/Southwest – North Admiral (2020 N=87)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity
3-Homelessness	3-Property Crime
4-Traffic Safety	4-Traffic Safety
5-Public Safety & Community Capacity	5-Drug Activity




#### Seattle/Southwest - North Delridge (2020 N=61)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Police Capacity
2-Property Crime	2-City Politics
3-Police Capacity	3-Homelessness
4-Traffic Safety	4-Drug Activity
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Lawlessness




• • •

Seattle/Southwest –	Pigeon Point (2020 N=13)
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Public Order Crime
2-Property Crime	2-City Politics
3-Traffic Safety	3-Protests
4-Homelessness	4-Property Crime
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Police Reform




#### Seattle – South Delridge (2020 N=51)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-Property Crime
2-Property Crime	2-City Politics
3-Homelessness	3-Traffic Safety
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-Police Capacity
5-Traffic Safety	5-Drug Activity




#### Seattle/Southwest – South Park (2020 N=72)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Police Capacity
2-Police Capacity	2-Public Order Crime
3-Homelessness	3-City Politics
4-Traffic Safety	4-Police Legitimacy
5-Drugs & Alcohol	5-Public Safety & Community Capacity



#### Seattle/Southwest – Westwood/Roxhill/Arbor Heights (2020 N=114)


2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics
2-Property Crime	2-Property Crime
3-Homelessness	3-Police Capacity
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-Public Safety & Community Capacity
5-Traffic Safety	5-Traffic Safety



## Summary of Findings for Southwest Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the Southwest Precinct are Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety / Community Capacity. The Southwest Precinct slightly differs from the citywide top public safety concerns which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drugs & Alcohol, and Public Safety / Community Capacity. For residents in the Southwest Precinct, Traffic Safety was a top public safety concern over Drugs & Alcohol, which was a top public safety concern in the citywide findings. The most prominent themes residents in the Southwest Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were City Politics, Police Capacity, Property Crime, Traffic Safety, and Public Order Crime. In comparison with the citywide themes, which were City Politics, Public Order Crime, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness. The top theme prioritized by residents in the Southwest Precinct was City Politics which aligned with the top citywide theme- City Politics. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety are similar to the precinct as a whole. However, some microcommunities differ from the others (e.g., Behavioral Crisis in High Point and Protests in Pigeon Point).

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety show that the Southwest Precinct as a whole shows scale rating of high-moderate (61.5) Police Legitimacy, moderate-high (59.5) Social Cohesion, moderate (45.9) Informal Social Control, low (36) Social Disorganization and moderate-low (44.4) Fear of Crime (40.3-Day, 48.4-Night). At the micro-community level, results on the scales are different depending on the micro-community with some very similar to the Southwest Precinct as a whole (e.g., Alaska Junction) while others slightly differ (e.g., Fauntleroy). This can be found throughout the neighborhoods in the Southwest Precinct (e.g., high Police Legitimacy in North Admiral and Alki as compared to Pigeon Point and South Park, higher fear of crime in South Delridge as compared to North Admiral) reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The findings on the scale items for Southwest Precinct show that the average scale rating for Southwest Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 61.6 is 3.4 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 58.4 on this scale item. The Southwest precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 59.6, which is 5 points higher than the Citywide average of 54.5. The Southwest average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 45.9, which is 4.5 points higher than the Citywide average scale rating of 41.4. The findings on the scale items for Southwest Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 36, which is 8 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 44 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 40.3 is 1.5 less than the Citywide average rating of 41.7. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 48.4 is 2 less than the Citywide average rating of 50.2. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the Southwest Precinct of 44.4 is 1.6 less than the Citywide average rating of 46. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the Southwest Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 56.6 is 3 points higher than the Citywide rating of 53.6 for Seattle specifically and 1.7 points higher than the Citywide rating of 50 for the United States generally.



#### •••

# West Precinct Survey Results Overview

Results for the West Precinct as a whole and the 8 West Precinct Micro-communities: Belltown, Downtown Commercial, Eastlake-West, Chinatown/International District, Magnolia, Pioneer Square, Queen Anne, and South Lake Union/Cascade are presented from N=2,935 completed survey responses from community members who indicated they live or work in the City of Seattle West Precinct. Of the total N=2,935 West Precinct responses, N=1,648 (56%) respondents offered narrative comments.

	WEST PRECINC	ublic Safety S CT Demograp			
Variable	Responses	2020 Unw	veighted	2020 W	eighted
		% Valid	N	% Valid	N
Connection	Live	21.2	623	18.3	581
	Work	18.9	554	19.7	628
	Live/Work	59.9	1758	62	1974
Age	< 20	0.2	5	0.3	8
	20-29	10.6	311	13.5	431
	30-39	22	647	26.4	841
	40-49	31.3	626	24.6	782
	50-59	20.1	591	16.3	519
	60-69	15.7	462	12	383
	70-79	8.2	240	5.5	175
	80-89	1.7	49	1.3	42
	> 90	0.1	4	0.1	3
Race*	American Indian/Alaskan Native	1.3	38	2.8	89
	Asian	9.8	288	20.9	665
	Black/ African American	2.5	74	5.3	170
	Pacific Islander	0.7	21	1.5	49
	White	83.7	2456	70.5	2243
	Other				
Ethnicity	Hispanic	4.2	124	9.0	288
	Other	10			
Gender*	Female	49	1437	46.4	1477
	Male	49.9	1407	50.2	1598
	Transgender	0.7	22	0.9	28
	Other	2.5	73	3.0	94
Marital Status	Divorced	6.5	191	5.5	174
	Married/ Domestic Partnership	61.3	1787	59.1	1872
	Single	28.7	837	32.4	1025
	Widowed	2.8	82	2.2	70
Education	No High School Diploma	0.3	9	0.5	15
	High School Diploma	2.3	68	2.4	76
	Some College	13.4	391	13.5	429
	Associate's Degree	6	174	6.1	193
	Bachelor's Degree	43.7	1277	43.9	1390
	Graduate Degree	34.3	1001	33.6	1065
lousehold Income	\$0- \$39,999	6.6	184	7.0	214
	\$40,000- \$79,999	17	477	17.5	537
	\$80,000- \$119,999	18.6	522	19.2	590


|--|--|

\$120,000- \$159,999	14.4	404	13.8	424
\$160,000- \$199,999	11.2	313	12.1	138
\$200,000 or higher	32.3	907	31.2	957


# Top Public Safety Concerns, Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of Public Safety for West Precinct and West Precinct Micro-Communities

Top Public Safety Concerns, Most Prominent Themes, and Community Perceptions of public safety are presented for the West Precinct as a whole and for each West Precinct Micro-Community.


Seattle – West Precinct (2020 N=2935)				
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments			
1-Homelessness	1-Public Order Crime			
2-Property Crime	2-City Politics			
3-Police Capacity	3-Property Crime			
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Police Capacity			
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Homelessness			



. . .









#### Seattle-West Precinct– Belltown (2020 N=592)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homeslessness	1-Public Order Crime
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity
3-Police Capacity	3-City Politics
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Property Crime
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Homelessness




• • •

Seattle-West Precinct– Dov	wnłown Commercial (2020 N=658)
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-City Politics
2-Property Crime	2-Police Capacity
3-Police Capacity	3-Public Order Crime
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Drug Activity
5-Violent Crime	5-Lawlessness




### Seattle-West Precinct – Eastlake-West (2020 N=84)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Property Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Violent Crime
3-Homelessness	3-Lawlessness
4-Community & Public Safety Capacity	4-Police Legitimacy
5-Public Order Crime	5-Police Capacity




### Seattle-West Precinct – International District-West (2020 N=102)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Public Order Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Public Safety and Community Capacity
3-Property Crime	3-Homelessness
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Drug Activity
5-Violent Crime	5-City Politics



#### • • •


Seattle-West Preci	nct – Magnolia (2020 N=423)
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Police Capacity	1-City Politics
2-Property Crime	2-Property Crime
3-Homelessness	3-Homelessness
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Police Capacity
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Public Order Crime



### Seattle-West Precinct – Pioneer Square (2020 N=176)


2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Public Order Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Violent Crime
3-Property Crime	3-City Politics
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Behavorial Crisis
5-Violent Crime	5-Police Capacity






#### Seattle-West Precinct – Queen Anne (2020 N=628)

2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Property Crime	1-Property Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-Public Order Crime
3-Homelessness	3-City Politics
4-Traffic Safety	4-Police Capacity
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Homelessness



Seattle-West Precinct – Sout	h Lake Union/Cascade (2020 N=272)
2020 Top Public Safety Concerns	2020 Most Prominent Themes in Narrative Comments
1-Homelessness	1-Public Order Crime
2-Police Capacity	2-City Politics
3-Propety Crime	3-Homelessness
4-Drugs & Alcohol	4-Police Capacity
5-Community & Public Safety Capacity	5-Behavioral Crisis




## Summary of Findings for West Precinct

The top public safety concerns for the West Precinct are: Homelessness, Police Capacity, Property Crime, Drugs & Alcohol, and Community & Public Safety Capacity. In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide which were Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drugs & Alcohol, and Community & Police Capacity. While they share the same concerns, for residents in the West Precinct concerns surrounding Homelessness rose to the top over the citywide concerns of Police Capacity. The most prominent themes residents in the West Precinct commented on in their narrative responses are: Public Order Crime, City Politics, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness, which are the same as the most prominent themes for citywide. At the micro-community level, top public safety concerns, prominent themes, and perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct as a whole in some micro-communities (e.g., Property Crime in Eastlake and Queen Anne, and Public Order Crime in the International District).

• • •

The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety also suggest that the West Precinct as a whole shows similarity to the scale results citywide with scale ratings of high-moderate (64.7) Police Legitimacy, moderate (49) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (39.6) Informal Social Control, moderate (51.1) Social Disorganization, and moderate (49.7) Fear of Crime (45.5-Day, 54-Night). Ratings on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety differ from the precinct in some micro-communities (e.g., high police legitimacy in Magnolia (71.1) as compared to moderate legitimacy in Eastlake (West Precinct) (57.7), low informal social control Pioneer Square (27.6) as compared to Magnolia (57.3), and high social disorganization in Pioneer Square (67.3) as compared to Queen Anne (38.0). The findings on the scale items for West Precinct show that the average scale rating for West Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 64.7 is 6.3 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 58.4 on this scale item. The West precinct average scale rating for Social Cohesion is 49, which is 5.5 points lower than the Citywide average of 54.5. The West average scale rating for Informal Social Control is 39.6 which is just over 2 points higher than the Citywide average scale rating of 41.4. The findings on the scale items for West Precinct show that the average scale rating for Social Disorganization is 51, which is 7 points higher than the Citywide average rating of 44 on this scale item. The Fear of Crime – Day average scale rating for the West Precinct of 45.5 is 3.8 less than the Citywide average rating of 41.7. The Fear of Crime – Night average scale rating for the West Precinct of 54 is 3.8 less than the Citywide average rating of 50.2. Lastly, the Fear of Crime average scale rating for the West Precinct of 49.7 is 3.7 more than the Citywide average rating of 46. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the West Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 59.2 is 5.6 points higher than the Citywide rating of 53.6 for Seattle specifically and 6.4 points higher than the Citywide rating of 50 for the United States generally.



### 2020 Seattle Public Safety Survey Summary of Findings

The top public safety concerns Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Drug & Alcohol, and Community & Police Capacity. The most prominent themes residents in the city of Seattle commented on in their narrative responses are City Politics, Public Order Crime, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness. On the scales measuring aspects of micro-community public safety, citywide scale ratings show moderate-high (58.4) Police Legitimacy, moderate (54.5) Social Cohesion, low-moderate (41.4) Informal Social Control, moderate (44) Social Disorganization, and moderate (46) Fear of Crime (41.7-Day, 50.2-Night). By precinct and micro-community, top concerns and prominent themes differ.

For the East Precinct - In comparison with the top public safety concerns Citywide, the East Precinct expressed the exact same top public safety concerns with the only variation found in positions 4 and 5, where the East Precinct ranked Community and Public Safety Capacity higher than Drugs & Alcohol. In comparison with the Citywide most prominent themes, the results show that East Precinct residents shared three of the five most prominent themes with residents Citywide: City Politics, which was ranked 4<sup>th</sup> in the East Precinct but 1<sup>st</sup> Citywide; Public Oder Crime, which ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> for both the East Precinct and Citywide; and Property Crime, which ranked 5<sup>th</sup> for the East Precinct but 3<sup>rd</sup> for Citywide. The East Precinct results showed a higher concern for Police Legitimacy as well as Public Safety and Community Capacity, which were ranked 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>. respectively, in the East Precinct's most prominent themes and neither of which were on the Citywide most prominent themes. The scale results measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the East Precinct ranks lower in all categories than the scale results Citywide with scale ratings of moderate (50.4) Police Legitimacy, moderate (53) Social Cohesion, low (35.8) Informal Social Control, low-moderate (44.2) Social Disorganization, and low-moderate (41.6) Fear of Crime (37.3-Day, 46.9-Night).

For the North Precinct - In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide, residents in the North Precinct rated Homelessness in the top five concerns along with Property Crime, Police Capacity, Drugs & Alcohol, and Community & Public Safety. In comparison with the Citywide themes, themes prioritized by residents in the North Precinct noted in narrative responses were Property Crime, Public Order Crime, City Politics, Homelessness, and Police Capacity. The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the North Precinct scale ratings show moderate (58.1) Police Legitimacy, moderate (57.6) Social Cohesion, moderate-low (45.3) Informal Social control, low (41.1) Social Disorganization, and moderate-low (45.8) Fear of Crime (41.6-Day, 50.0-Night).

For the South Precinct - In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide, residents in the South Precinct shared the same Citywide concerns of Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, and Community and Public Safety Capacity, while rating Traffic Safety as a top safety concern over Drugs and Alcohol. The most prominent themes citizens in the South Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were City Politics, Police Capacity, Violent Crime, Public Order Crime, and Public Safety and Community Capacity. In comparison with the themes noted by residents Citywide, themes prioritized by residents in the South Precinct were Violent Crime and Public Safety and Community Capacity replacing Property Crime and Homelessness. The results on scales measuring community perceptions of public safety suggest that the South Precinct as a whole shows scale rating of moderate Police Legitimacy (57.9), moderate Social Cohesion (56.4), low-moderate Informal Social Control (40.3), low-moderate Social Disorganization (42.3) and low-moderate Fear of Crime (41.2-Day,

• • •


49.3-Night). The findings on the scale items for East Precinct show that the average scale rating for East Precinct on Police Legitimacy of 50.4 is 8 points lower than the Citywide average rating of 58.4 on this scale item. On the item asking respondents to rate their views of SPD versus police nationwide, the findings for the East Precinct show that the rating for Seattle Specifically of 46.8 is 5.8 points lower than the Citywide rating of 53.6 for Seattle specifically and, at 43, 7 points lower than the Citywide rating of 50 for the United States generally

For the Southwest Precinct- In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide, residents in the Southwest Precinct rated Police Capacity, Property Crime, Homelessness, Traffic Safety, and Public Safety / Community Capacity. The Southwest Precinct slightly differs from the citywide top public safety concerns where Traffic Safety was a top public safety concern over Drugs & Alcohol, which was a top public safety concern in the Citywide findings. The most prominent themes residents in the Southwest Precinct commented on in their narrative responses were City Politics, Police Capacity, Property Crime, Traffic Safety, and Public Order Crime. In comparison with the citywide themes, the top theme prioritized by residents in the Southwest Precinct was City Politics which aligned with the top citywide theme- City Politics. The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety show that the Southwest Precinct as a whole shows scale rating of high-moderate (61.5) Police Legitimacy, moderate-high (59.5) Social Cohesion, moderate (45.8) Informal Social Control, Iow (35.9) Social Disorganization and moderate-low (44.3) Fear of Crime (40.3-Day, 48.4-Night).

For the West Precinct- In comparison with the top public safety concerns citywide, the top public safety concerns for the West Precinct are: Homelessness, Police Capacity, Property Crime, Drugs & Alcohol, and Community & Public Safety Capacity. The most prominent themes residents in the West Precinct commented on in their narrative responses are: Public Order Crime, City Politics, Property Crime, Police Capacity, and Homelessness, which vary slightly from the Citywide themes. The results on the scales measuring community perceptions of public safety also suggest that the West Precinct as a whole shows similarity to the scale results Citywide with scale ratings of high-moderate (64.6) Police Legitimacy, moderate (48.9) Social Cohesion, Iow-moderate (39.6) Informal Social Control, moderate (51.0) Social Disorganization, and moderate (49.7) Fear of Crime (45.5-Day, 53.9-Night).

The Seattle Public Safety Survey results offer a picture of the public safety concerns of residents of Seattle at the city, precinct, and micro-community levels. At the micro-community level, results differ by precinct and micro-community reflecting heterogeneous micro-communities on measures of community perception of public safety within the precinct. The scale data can be used in conjunction with the top concerns and prominent themes to better understand the nature of communities and micro-communities and their unique public safety issues. Concerns of residents within any given micro-community may differ in terms of perceptions of public safety with respect to police legitimacy, informal social control, social cohesion, fear of crime, and social disorganization. The survey findings on the top concerns, most prominent themes, and scale ratings of features of the community that impact public safety can be used at the citywide, precinct, and micro-community levels to inform and guide law enforcement in developing priorities and to guide strategies in response to distinct community concerns. The results provide an annual snapshot of the nature of perceptions of public safety citywide, by precinct, and by micro-community. Ideally, a healthy community with positive police-community engagement will have high Police Legitimacy, low Social Disorganization, high Informal Social Control, high Social Cohesion, low Fear of Crime, and positive perception and high knowledge of the SPD MCPP initiative. Survey findings can assist communities to target areas of improvement with respect to areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety.

• • •



### Implications for Seattle Micro-Community Policing Plans

The quantitative survey findings of the top public safety concerns, prominent themes identified in the narrative comments, and community perceptions regarding issues related to public safety offer comprehensive information based on survey findings from 11,410 respondents who live and/or work in Seattle. The information can be used to inform and guide the SPD MCPP priorities to ensure that resident concerns are considered in the development and evolution of the Seattle Police Department's MCPPs for the city of Seattle, SPD Precinct, and Precinct micro-communities.

The survey findings can be used to assist SPD, community leaders, and residents to better understand the distinct concerns and perceptions of public safety of residents within micro-communities and the nature of the community and distinct neighborhoods. Concerns of residents within any given community differ with respect to concerns about crime and public safety and perceptions of public safety as measured by the survey scales in terms of concerns about crime and public safety and public safety and perceptions of public safety with respect to police legitimacy, social cohesion, informal social control, and fear of crime. The survey findings at the community and micro-community levels can be used to inform and guide law the Seattle Police Department in developing SPD MCPP priorities at the community and micro-community levels and to guide strategies in response to distinct community concerns.

The top public safety concerns coupled with the most prominent themes for the precinct and for each micro-community inform the SPD MCPP priorities to reflect the timely concerns of residents. Survey findings can assist SPD at the city, precinct, and micro-community levels to target areas of for improvement with respect to scale items that reflect resident perceptions of community public safety areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety. The community perceptions regarding public safety as measured through the survey instrument provides a snapshot of resident concerns about public safety and views on police legitimacy, social disorganization, informal social control, social cohesion, fear of crime across the city as a whole, in each SPD Precincts, and individual micro-communities. Ideally, a healthy community with positive police-community relations will have high police legitimacy, low social disorganization, high informal social control and social cohesion, and low fear of crime. Survey findings can assist SPD, the city of Seattle, and micro-communities to target areas of improvement with respect to scale items that reflect resident perceptions of community public safety areas that stray from the ideal and negatively impact public safety.

#### Appendix: Citywide Public Safety Concerns

Appendix. City wide Foblic Solery Concerns	Citywide East		No	rth	Sou	ith	South	west	We	st		
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank <sup>†</sup>	Mean	Rank								
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2896	4	0.2635	5	0.2817	4	0.2402	7	0.2270	6	0.3626	4
Alcohol use in public	0.2503	42	0.2219	47	0.2225	42	0.2227	46	0.2125	47	0.3350	34
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.4882	7	0.4581	6	0.5226	9	0.3624	21	0.3324	24	0.5692	6
Drug houses	0.1488	60	0.1381	66	0.1691	51	0.1641	59	0.1629	57	0.1197	65
Drug use in public	0.4030	20	0.3661	18	0.4075	19	0.2865	29	0.3033	27	0.5061	14
Marijuana use in public	0.2290	44	0.2122	50	0.1905	46	0.2134	49	0.2179	45	0.3042	40
Open air drug markets	0.2018	51	0.1686	58	0.1892	47	0.1841	55	0.1215	62	0.2826	43
Public intoxication	0.3060	33	0.2794	37	0.2702	33	0.2482	41	0.2387	38	0.4216	21
Homelessness	0.4275	3	0.3732	3	0.4704	1	0.3354	3	0.3482	3	0.4771	1
Aggressive panhandling	0.3227	27	0.3315	27	0.2697	34	0.2225	47	0.2262	42	0.4579	17
Car/RV camping	0.4282	16	0.3001	33	0.5446	6	0.4288	9	0.4816	8	0.3589	29
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5851	2	0.5200	3	0.6477	2	0.4378	5	0.4316	13	0.6643	1
Squatting	0.2875	36	0.2544	41	0.3110	27	0.2069	50	0.2249	43	0.3353	33
Transient Camps	0.5140	6	0.4601	5	0.5792	3	0.3808	17	0.3768	17	0.5692	5
Police Capacity	0.4398	1	0.3832	1	0.4613	3	0.4125	1	0.4459	1	0.4656	3
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4336	15	0.4284	9	0.4426	16	0.4278	11	0.4294	14	0.4295	19
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4774	9	0.4162	13	0.5186	10	0.4354	7	0.4897	5	0.4832	16
Inadequate police staffing	0.4504	12	0.3473	23	0.4735	14	0.4223	13	0.4732	10	0.5069	13
Lack of police follow-up	0.3575	23	0.3568	20	0.3775	22	0.3396	22	0.3480	21	0.3410	32
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4802	8	0.3673	17	0.4941	12	0.4372	6	0.4893	6	0.5675	7
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2658	5	0.2867	4	0.2555	5	0.2564	5	0.2365	5	0.2764	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2104	49	0.2107	52	0.1967	43	0.2276	44	0.2008	51	0.2261	52
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2556	41	0.2314	46	0.2552	38	0.2550	38	0.2695	34	0.2714	45
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0634	69	0.0709	67	0.0532	69	0.0768	69	0.0605	69	0.0672	70
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1607	56	0.1986	55	0.1373	59	0.1746	56	0.1330	58	0.1657	58
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5386	3	0.5877	1	0.5431	7	0.4655	3	0.4673	12	0.5438	9
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2923	35	0.3551	21	0.2814	32	0.2717	32	0.2318	41	0.2842	42
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2058	50	0.2183	48	0.1888	48	0.1901	54	0.1765	55	0.2346	50
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.3997	21	0.4207	11	0.3882	21	0.3899	16	0.3530	20	0.4185	22
Property Crime	0.4376	2	0.3774	2	0.4615	2	0.3838	2	0.4349	2	0.4756	2
Auto theft	0.4262	17	0.3154	29	0.4856	13	0.4200	14	0.5320	2	0.4018	24
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.6348	1	0.5344	2	0.7049	1	0.5686	1	0.6979	1	0.6244	2
Commercial burglary	0.2476	43	0.2123	49	0.2259	41	0.2051	51	0.1899	53	0.3427	30
Non-residential property crime	0.2850	37	0.2708	38	0.2683	35	0.2310	43	0.2029	50	0.3687	28
Property crime – general	0.5230	5	0.4460	8	0.5547	4	0.4495	4	0.5200	3	0.5716	3
Property damage	0.4711	11	0.4520	7	0.4541	15	0.4008	15	0.4220	15	0.5528	8
Residential burglary	0.4346	14	0.3459	24	0.5293	8	0.3799	18	0.4849	7	0.3822	25
Theft	0.4739	10	0.3988	14	0.4996	11	0.4234	12	0.4808	9	0.5172	11
Vandalism	0.4422	13	0.4212	10	0.4316	17	0.3763	19	0.3837	16	0.5188	10

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2).

Public Order Crime	0.2137	8	0.2062	9	0.2012	8	0.1980	9	0.1866	9	0.2522	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.3372	24	0.3481	22	0.2848	31	0.2646	34	0.2930	29	0.4405	18
Fireworks	0.1994	52	0.2119	51	0.1943	45	0.2614	36	0.3384	22	0.1223	64
Graffiti	0.4105	18	0.3854	15	0.3966	20	0.3708	20	0.3279	26	0.4949	15
Illegal sex work	0.1035	68	0.0548	70	0.1534	55	0.1070	66	0.0414	70	0.0992	68
Illegal street vending	0.0628	70	0.0676	68	0.0358	71	0.0622	70	0.0274	71	0.1085	67
Indecent exposure	0.1532	59	0.1564	62	0.1408	58	0.0832	68	0.0713	68	0.2224	53
Public Order Crime – general	0.2182	45	0.2077	53	0.1811	49	0.2170	48	0.1928	52	0.2867	41
Trespassing	0.3099	32	0.2797	36	0.3316	24	0.2621	35	0.2593	35	0.3416	31
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1283	63	0.1439	65	0.0929	64	0.1536	60	0.1273	59	0.1542	60
Quality of Life	0.2334	7	0.2488	6	0.2128	7	0.2056	8	0.2187	7	0.2636	7
Civility issues - general	0.4032	19	0.4184	12	0.3567	23	0.3065	26	0.3377	23	0.5111	12
Crowd Behavior	0.1586	57	0.2531	42	0.0748	67	0.1155	65	0.1074	64	0.2265	51
Dogs off-leash	0.1455	61	0.1509	64	0.1416	57	0.1696	57	0.1803	54	0.1247	63
Dogs on the Beach	0.0435	71	0.0228	71	0.0407	70	0.0375	71	0.1237	61	0.0368	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3254	25	0.3384	26	0.2963	30	0.2995	27	0.2830	32	0.3785	26
Issues in the Parks	0.3807	22	0.3681	16	0.4294	18	0.2516	39	0.3734	19	0.3738	27
Littering/dumping	0.5374	4	0.4979	4	0.5456	5	0.5413	2	0.5047	4	0.5702	4
Loitering	0.3157	29	0.3021	32	0.2681	36	0.2717	31	0.2728	33	0.4223	20
Noise levels	0.2130	47	0.2521	43	0.1595	52	0.1972	53	0.2400	37	0.2478	49
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1973	53	0.1848	57	0.1959	44	0.1999	52	0.2215	44	0.1997	55
Soliciting	0.1576	58	0.1609	60	0.1431	56	0.1185	64	0.1127	63	0.2047	54
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0306	72	0.0217	72	0.0190	72	0.0333	72	0.0075	72	0.0612	71
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1262	64	0.2628	39	0.0959	63	0.1312	63	0.0782	67	0.0691	69
Traffic Safety	0.2605	6	0.2447	7	0.2493	6	0.2792	4	0.3068	4	0.2650	6
Bicycle safety	0.3101	31	0.3080	30	0.3281	25	0.2247	45	0.2852	31	0.3270	36
Drag racing	0.1239	65	0.0567	69	0.0895	65	0.1653	58	0.2925	30	0.1488	62
Parking issues	0.3231	26	0.3575	19	0.3097	28	0.3079	24	0.3282	25	0.3159	38
Pedestrian safety	0.3007	34	0.3025	31	0.2963	29	0.3078	25	0.2932	28	0.3053	39
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2681	39	0.2473	44	0.2411	40	0.2701	33	0.2478	36	0.3285	35
Traffic safety	0.1774	54	0.1602	61	0.1576	53	0.2506	40	0.2326	39	0.1719	57
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3204	28	0.2807	34	0.3225	26	0.4282	10	0.4681	11	0.2577	47
Violent Crime	0.2024	9	0.2387	8	0.1469	9	0.2445	6	0.1899	8	0.2363	9
Assault	0.3138	30	0.3425	25	0.2571	37	0.2823	30	0.2322	40	0.4075	23
Domestic violence	0.1208	66	0.1523	63	0.1022	62	0.1317	62	0.1237	60	0.1146	66
Gang activity	0.1670	55	0.2043	54	0.0882	66	0.2883	28	0.2032	49	0.1855	56
Gun violence	0.2153	46	0.2806	35	0.1200	60	0.3278	23	0.2075	48	0.2519	48
Homicide	0.1190	67	0.1640	59	0.0690	68	0.1500	61	0.0854	66	0.1502	61
Robbery	0.2672	40	0.2549	40	0.2496	39	0.2568	37	0.2168	46	0.3236	37
Sexual assault	0.1360	62	0.1867	56	0.1109	61	0.0931	67	0.0927	65	0.1585	59
Shots fired	0.2694	38	0.3238	28	0.1706	50	0.4350	8	0.3748	18	0.2590	46

Violent crime - general 0.2129 48	0.2395	45	0.1546	54	0.2355	42	0.1726	56	0.2758	44	ĺ
-----------------------------------	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	--------	----	---

#### **Appendix: Citywide Weighted Themes**

Appendix. Cirywide weighied memes	Citywide	e (6707)	East (*	1361)	North (	(2399)	South	(597)	Southwest (606)		West (	1744)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0283	11	0.0198	14	0.0277	10	0.0189	14	0.0211	14	0.0415	9
City Politics	0.0780	1	0.0537	4	0.0804	3	0.0627	1	0.0938	1	0.0935	2
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0509	13	0.0344	20	0.0505	13	0.0268	22	0.0741	4	0.0645	11
City Politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0247	26	0.0120	35	0.0318	23	0.0169	28	0.0125	34	0.0317	20
Unsupportive of city council	0.1585	1	0.1147	2	0.1590	2	0.1444	1	0.1950	1	0.1842	1
Drug Activity	0.0384	7	0.0244	11	0.0461	7	0.0228	13	0.0269	10	0.0480	7
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0138	34	0.0072	38	0.0186	31	0.0112	35	0.0136	33	0.0134	35
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0630	9	0.0417	16	0.0737	10	0.0344	18	0.0401	17	0.0827	8
Homelessness	0.0534	5	0.0388	8	0.0707	4	0.0253	12	0.0364	7	0.0568	5
Bring back navigation team	0.0132	35	0.0122	34	0.0182	32	0.0065	38	0.0137	32	0.0093	37
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1213	3	0.0877	7	0.1721	1	0.0458	11	0.0606	8	0.1246	3
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0242	27	0.0188	26	0.0344	22	0.0119	34	0.0086	36	0.0239	25
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0874	8	0.0672	11	0.0987	6	0.0488	10	0.0729	5	0.1061	5
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0211	30	0.0079	37	0.0299	25	0.0135	32	0.0264	21	0.0201	28
Lawlessness	0.0334	9	0.0229	12	0.0352	8	0.0312	11	0.0266	11	0.0420	8
Crime is on the rise	0.0321	22	0.0247	24	0.0291	27	0.0293	21	0.0238	24	0.0459	14
Lawlessness general	0.0255	25	0.0131	33	0.0306	24	0.0213	26	0.0262	22	0.0292	22
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0425	17	0.0311	23	0.0460	14	0.0431	12	0.0298	20	0.0508	13
Police Capacity	0.0625	4	0.0497	6	0.0607	5	0.0621	2	0.0799	2	0.0692	4
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0151	32	0.0152	29	0.0133	34	0.0064	39	0.0077	39	0.0230	26
More police community outreach needed	0.0190	31	0.0243	25	0.0188	30	0.0171	27	0.0197	26	0.0154	31
More police needed	0.1257	2	0.0892	5	0.1181	3	0.1264	2	0.1529	3	0.1550	2
Slow or no police response	0.0404	18	0.0318	22	0.0403	19	0.0399	14	0.0618	7	0.0400	17
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1126	4	0.0880	6	0.1133	4	0.1209	3	0.1575	2	0.1125	4
Police Legitimacy	0.0350	8	0.0556	1	0.0313	9	0.0388	7	0.0290	8	0.0248	12
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0211	29	0.0140	31	0.0226	28	0.0390	16	0.0309	19	0.0153	32
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0442	16	0.0732	9	0.0406	17	0.0419	13	0.0436	15	0.0276	23
Lack of police accountability	0.0364	21	0.0514	12	0.0297	26	0.0314	20	0.0517	10	0.0300	21
Lack of police professionalism	0.0213	28	0.0416	17	0.0179	33	0.0149	30	0.0158	30	0.0142	34
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0122	36	0.0185	27	0.0124	35	0.0166	29	0.0083	37	0.0069	38
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0392	19	0.0703	10	0.0360	20	0.0396	15	0.0211	25	0.0256	24
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0146	33	0.0082	36	0.0122	36	0.0261	23	0.0168	28	0.0181	29
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0908	5	0.1679	1	0.0787	9	0.1006	4	0.0436	16	0.0604	12

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents whose partial or full comment was coded as a theme (e.g., a value of 0.0370 shows that 3.7% of comments, for respondents who commented, could be coded for a specific theme). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .0220 shows that averaged across all respondents who commented, 2.2% of themes included within an index were coded to a comment). <sup>1</sup>The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes themes identified more often by respondents in their comments than an index with a ranking of 2).

Police Reform	0.0279	12	0.0370	9	0.0253	12	0.0333	9	0.0281	9	0.0223	14
Demilitarize Police	0.0111	38	0.0140	30	0.0110	37	0.0145	31	0.0139	31	0.0068	39
Lack of adequate police training	0.0459	15	0.0462	15	0.0427	15	0.0598	8	0.0532	9	0.0429	15
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0266	23	0.0507	13	0.0221	29	0.0258	24	0.0173	27	0.0173	30
Property Crime	0.0745	3	0.0519	5	0.0985	1	0.0408	6	0.0689	3	0.0727	3
Protests	0.0222	15	0.0418	7	0.0151	15	0.0069	15	0.0165	15	0.0238	13
Public Order Crime	0.0761	2	0.0552	2	0.0901	2	0.0468	4	0.0449	5	0.0942	1
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0532	6	0.0544	3	0.0597	6	0.0458	5	0.0376	6	0.0513	6
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0112	37	0.0134	32	0.0098	38	0.0096	37	0.0166	29	0.0100	36
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0526	12	0.0393	18	0.0528	12	0.0380	17	0.0485	12	0.0690	9
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0900	6	0.0986	4	0.0956	7	0.0848	6	0.0626	6	0.0870	6
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0895	7	0.1027	3	0.0996	5	0.0771	7	0.0498	11	0.0831	7
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0460	14	0.0359	19	0.0414	16	0.0326	19	0.0449	13	0.0652	10
Public parks are unsafe	0.0545	11	0.0497	14	0.0848	8	0.0251	25	0.0242	23	0.0372	18
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0259	24	0.0170	28	0.0404	18	0.0133	33	0.0102	35	0.0226	27
Supportive of defunding police	0.0558	10	0.0785	8	0.0529	11	0.0855	5	0.0437	14	0.0360	19
SPD Supportive	0.0236	14	0.0201	13	0.0210	14	0.0317	10	0.0238	13	0.0272	11
SPD doing a great job	0.0094	39	0.0062	39	0.0076	39	0.0104	36	0.0082	38	0.0144	33
Supportive of SPD	0.0379	20	0.0339	21	0.0344	21	0.0530	9	0.0395	18	0.0401	16
Traffic Safety	0.0246	13	0.0171	15	0.0213	13	0.0381	8	0.0546	4	0.0199	15
Violent Crime	0.0308	10	0.0327	10	0.0263	11	0.0528	3	0.0242	12	0.0303	10

#### Appendix: East Public Safety Concerns

Index / Item		ast	Capito	ol Hill	Central Area	Squire Park	Eastlake (Ea	st Precinct)
index / item	Mean*	Rank <sup>†</sup>	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2635	5	0.2913	5	0.2147	7	0.2000	5
Alcohol use in public	0.2219	47	0.2391	49	0.1974	44	0.2041	36
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.4581	6	0.4996	5	0.3562	12	0.4400	7
Drug houses	0.1381	66	0.1562	65	0.1665	53	0.0882	60
Drug use in public	0.3661	18	0.4054	20	0.2753	25	0.2349	28
Marijuana use in public	0.2122	50	0.2223	54	0.1640	56	0.1824	43
Open air drug markets	0.1686	58	0.1914	59	0.1613	57	0.0742	65
Public intoxication	0.2794	37	0.3252	31	0.1823	49	0.1766	44
lomelessness	0.3732	3	0.4033	1	0.2998	2	0.2714	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.3315	27	0.3845	23	0.2457	32	0.1272	54
Car/RV camping	0.3001	33	0.2915	41	0.2749	26	0.3604	12
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5200	3	0.5507	2	0.4339	5	0.3574	13
Squatting	0.2544	41	0.2997	38	0.1854	48	0.2037	38
Transient Camps	0.4601	5	0.4903	7	0.3590	10	0.3083	20
Police Capacity	0.3832	1	0.4009	2	0.3415	1	0.2932	2
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4284	9	0.4644	9	0.3815	7	0.3063	21
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4162	13	0.4422	13	0.3634	8	0.3010	22
Inadequate police staffing	0.3473	23	0.3512	26	0.3047	22	0.3418	15
Lack of police follow-up	0.3568	20	0.3858	22	0.3281	17	0.1957	40
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.3673	17	0.3608	25	0.3299	16	0.3213	18
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2867	4	0.3118	4	0.2868	4	0.1745	8
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2107	52	0.2359	51	0.1667	52	0.1640	47
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2314	46	0.2569	45	0.2284	36	0.1638	48
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0709	67	0.0771	68	0.0784	66	0.0321	69
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1986	55	0.2216	55	0.1673	51	0.1177	56
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5877	1	0.6202	1	0.6445	1	0.4039	9
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3551	21	0.4077	19	0.3587	11	0.1082	58
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2183	48	0.2307	52	0.2057	42	0.1232	55
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.4207	11	0.4444	12	0.4448	4	0.2828	24
Property Crime	0.3774	2	0.3948	3	0.2944	3	0.4212	1
Auto theft	0.3154	29	0.3101	35	0.2586	31	0.4672	5
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5344	2	0.5112	4	0.5225	2	0.6903	1
	0.2123	49	0.2535	46	0.1289	64	0.2408	27
Commercial burdlary		38	0.3092	36	0.1785	50	0.2723	26
Commercial burglary Non-residential property crime	0.2708							
Non-residential property crime	0.2708 0.4460				0.3407		0.4021	10
Non-residential property crime Property crime – general	0.4460	8	0.4566	11	0.3407 0.3430	15	0.4021 0.5197	10 2
Non-residential property crime Property crime – general Property damage	0.4460 0.4520	8 7	0.4566 0.4906	11 6	0.3430	15 14	0.5197	2
Non-residential property crime Property crime – general	0.4460	8	0.4566	11		15		

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2).

### 2020 Public Safety Survey Results • Seattle-Citywide • Seattle Police Department • 87

Public Order Crime	0.2062	9	0.2318	9	0.1608	9	0.1774	7
Disorderly Behavior	0.3481	22	0.4111	18	0.2256	37	0.1880	42
Fireworks	0.2119	51	0.2305	53	0.2096	41	0.2037	37
Graffiti	0.3854	15	0.4204	15	0.3001	23	0.3489	14
Illegal sex work	0.0548	70	0.0581	70	0.0471	70	0.0150	71
Illegal street vending	0.0676	68	0.0822	67	0.0601	68	0.0321	69
Indecent exposure	0.1564	62	0.1853	60	0.0777	67	0.1286	53
Public Order Crime – general	0.2077	53	0.2378	50	0.1459	60	0.1722	46
Trespassing	0.2797	36	0.2981	39	0.2248	38	0.3944	11
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1439	65	0.1630	64	0.1561	59	0.1140	57
Quality of Life	0.2488	6	0.2793	6	0.2010	8	0.1825	6
Civility issues - general	0.4184	12	0.4763	8	0.3127	20	0.2287	30
Crowd Behavior	0.2531	42	0.3187	33	0.1655	54	0.1534	50
Dogs off-leash	0.1509	64	0.1474	66	0.1610	58	0.0761	63
Dogs on the Beach	0.0228	71	0.0153	72	0.0086	72	0.0150	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3384	26	0.3934	21	0.2687	28	0.2166	33
Issues in the Parks	0.3681	16	0.4201	16	0.2441	33	0.2223	31
Littering/dumping	0.4979	4	0.5293	3	0.4225	6	0.4782	3
Loitering	0.3021	32	0.3350	29	0.2202	40	0.2847	23
Noise levels	0.2521	43	0.2819	43	0.2324	35	0.2218	32
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1848	57	0.1950	58	0.1922	46	0.1974	39
Soliciting	0.1609	60	0.1827	61	0.1347	63	0.0761	64
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0217	72	0.0183	71	0.0132	71	0.0529	67
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.2628	39	0.3176	34	0.2376	34	0.1492	51
Traffic Safety	0.2447	7	0.2533	8	0.2336	6	0.2601	4
Bicycle safety	0.3080	30	0.3308	30	0.2734	27	0.3212	19
Drag racing	0.0567	69	0.0582	69	0.0576	69	0.0658	66
Parking issues	0.3575	19	0.3702	24	0.3077	21	0.4711	4
Pedestrian safety	0.3025	31	0.3229	32	0.2675	29	0.2738	25
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2473	44	0.2760	44	0.2051	43	0.1563	49
Traffic safety	0.1602	61	0.1640	63	0.1650	55	0.2054	34
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.2807	34	0.2511	47	0.3590	9	0.3271	17
Violent Crime	0.2387	8	0.2763	7	0.2350	5	0.1382	9
Assault	0.3425	25	0.4235	14	0.2219	39	0.2044	35
Domestic violence	0.1523	63	0.1808	62	0.1366	62	0.0843	61
Gang activity	0.2043	54	0.2069	56	0.3190	19	0.0385	68
Gun violence	0.2806	35	0.3052	37	0.3499	13	0.1326	52
Homicide	0.1640	59	0.2068	57	0.1405	61	0.0829	62
Robbery	0.2549	40	0.2978	40	0.1932	45	0.2344	29
Sexual assault	0.1867	56	0.2412	48	0.0947	65	0.1032	59
Shots fired	0.3238	28	0.3354	28	0.4732	3	0.1742	45
Violent crime - general	0.2395	45	0.2888	42	0.1862	47	0.1890	41

Index / Item	First	Hill	Judkins P North Beac		Madiso	n Park	Madro Les		Miller	Park	Montlal Portage	
	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.3354	4	0.2378	5	0.1580	5	0.1396	7	0.1484	6	0.0917	8
Alcohol use in public	0.3196	29	0.2188	38	0.1091	49	0.1138	50	0.0456	52	0.0330	60
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.5742	4	0.4191	10	0.2986	17	0.2500	23	0.3585	12	0.2494	23
Drug houses	0.0779	66	0.1314	58	0.0698	61	0.1071	52	0.0561	47	0.0191	63
Drug use in public	0.5094	9	0.2927	28	0.2544	22	0.1813	33	0.2384	20	0.1243	35
Marijuana use in public	0.2950	34	0.1549	51	0.2326	26	0.1652	39	0.1929	28	0.0760	47
Open air drug markets	0.1777	59	0.2132	39	0.0334	68	0.0765	60	0.0561	47	0.0653	53
Public intoxication	0.3941	20	0.2343	36	0.1081	51	0.0832	58	0.0911	44	0.0749	48
Homelessness	0.4016	1	0.3669	2	0.2906	3	0.2501	3	0.3775	1	0.3453	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.3818	22	0.2115	40	0.2603	20	0.1125	51	0.1306	37	0.2140	27
Car/RV camping	0.2787	36	0.4037	13	0.2543	23	0.4199	4	0.3855	10	0.3283	14
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5777	3	0.5537	2	0.4174	7	0.3303	16	0.6919	2	0.5333	3
Squatting	0.2565	40	0.1711	49	0.1449	38	0.1060	53	0.1306	38	0.1100	38
Transient Camps	0.5133	6	0.4947	3	0.3763	11	0.2818	20	0.5489	4	0.5410	2
Police Capacity	0.3679	3	0.3768	1	0.3874	2	0.3754	1	0.2623	3	0.3504	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.3758	23	0.3639	19	0.4799	3	0.3756	11	0.2644	19	0.2313	25
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.3989	19	0.3928	15	0.4066	8	0.3913	7	0.3418	13	0.3248	15
Inadequate police staffing	0.3543	27	0.3412	21	0.3796	10	0.3530	13	0.2217	24	0.4242	7
Lack of police follow-up	0.2753	38	0.3771	17	0.2842	18	0.3876	8	0.1927	29	0.3205	16
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4353	16	0.4092	11	0.3868	9	0.3694	12	0.2910	16	0.4514	6
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.3140	5	0.2355	6	0.1634	4	0.2061	4	0.1559	5	0.1491	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2365	46	0.1547	53	0.1931	32	0.1378	47	0.0000	58	0.0680	49
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2275	49	0.1435	55	0.1113	46	0.1759	35	0.0289	54	0.1882	28
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0607	69	0.0486	67	0.0770	59	0.0667	62	0.0000	58	0.0101	66
Lack of crime prevention education	0.2198	52	0.1759	47	0.0957	56	0.1804	34	0.1713	33	0.0330	59
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.6865	1	0.4682	4	0.3213	16	0.3775	10	0.5250	5	0.3596	10
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3719	24	0.2933	27	0.0997	54	0.1964	28	0.0802	45	0.1155	37
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2477	45	0.1737	48	0.1588	37	0.2112	27	0.1731	32	0.1618	32
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.4610	13	0.4261	7	0.2504	24	0.3031	17	0.2684	17	0.2563	22
Property Crime	0.3850	2	0.3655	3	0.3893	1	0.3582	2	0.3532	2	0.3110	3
Auto theft	0.2531	41	0.3557	20	0.4608	4	0.4109	5	0.1865	30	0.3555	12
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5104	7	0.5798	1	0.5875	1	0.6856	1	0.7160	1	0.6029	1
Commercial burglary	0.2275	50	0.1214	59	0.1069	52	0.0776	59	0.1437	36	0.0670	52
Non-residential property crime	0.3016	32	0.2586	33	0.2039	28	0.1278	48	0.0561	47	0.1030	41
Property crime – general	0.5103	8	0.4264	6	0.4421	6	0.4537	3	0.5915	3	0.4787	5
Property damage	0.5084	10	0.3260	23	0.3696	12	0.3414	14	0.4023	9	0.2741	20
Residential burglary	0.2668	39	0.3959	14	0.5383	2	0.4905	2	0.4682	6	0.3665	8
Theft	0.4175	17	0.4199	9	0.4504	5	0.3371	15	0.2384	20	0.3068	18
Vandalism	0.4697	11	0.4054	12	0.3446	13	0.2992	18	0.3761	11	0.2449	24

Public Order Crime	0.2330	8	0.1750	9	0.1130	8	0.1221	9	0.0812	8	0.1120	7
Disorderly Behavior	0.4424	14	0.1910	45	0.1610	36	0.1481	41	0.1929	26	0.1489	34
Fireworks	0.1703	60	0.2491	34	0.0511	66	0.2575	22	0.0715	46	0.0920	42
Graffiti	0.4681	12	0.3857	16	0.2131	27	0.1890	30	0.2383	22	0.3560	11
Illegal sex work	0.0770	67	0.0873	65	0.0320	69	0.0461	66	0.0000	58	0.0000	70
Illegal street vending	0.0679	68	0.0239	71	0.0000	71	0.0430	70	0.0000	58	0.0191	63
Indecent exposure	0.2066	55	0.0745	66	0.1209	41	0.0546	64	0.0000	58	0.0791	46
Public Order Crime – general	0.2347	48	0.1856	46	0.0909	57	0.1452	42	0.0456	50	0.0892	44
Trespassing	0.2895	35	0.2888	29	0.2338	25	0.1713	37	0.1822	31	0.2149	26
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1407	63	0.0888	64	0.1146	44	0.0440	69	0.0000	58	0.0091	67
Quality of Life	0.2789	6	0.1932	7	0.1527	6	0.1467	6	0.1373	7	0.1403	6
Civility issues - general	0.5581	5	0.3167	25	0.1941	31	0.1936	29	0.2674	18	0.1652	31
Crowd Behavior	0.2505	43	0.1000	61	0.1142	45	0.0361	71	0.0456	50	0.0578	56
Dogs off-leash	0.1392	65	0.1548	52	0.1993	30	0.1840	32	0.1016	41	0.1839	30
Dogs on the Beach	0.0311	71	0.0198	72	0.1428	39	0.0580	63	0.0000	58	0.0091	68
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3845	21	0.2854	30	0.0994	55	0.1706	38	0.1929	26	0.0892	43
Issues in the Parks	0.3149	30	0.3048	26	0.3413	14	0.2362	24	0.4478	7	0.3494	13
Littering/dumping	0.6026	2	0.4205	8	0.3233	15	0.3779	9	0.4370	8	0.3642	9
Loitering	0.4408	15	0.2292	37	0.1722	33	0.1409	44	0.0000	58	0.1208	36
Noise levels	0.2997	33	0.1977	43	0.1056	53	0.1428	43	0.0456	52	0.0589	55
Problem/nuisance properties	0.2087	54	0.2062	42	0.1088	50	0.1015	55	0.0000	58	0.1040	39
Soliciting	0.1501	61	0.0919	63	0.1188	42	0.1391	45	0.0981	42	0.1036	40
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0238	72	0.0434	69	0.0000	71	0.0000	72	0.0000	58	0.1555	33
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.2220	51	0.1413	56	0.0658	62	0.1260	49	0.1486	35	0.0617	54
Traffic Safety	0.2734	7	0.2407	4	0.1487	7	0.1957	5	0.1716	4	0.2414	4
Bicycle safety	0.3103	31	0.3194	24	0.1159	43	0.2764	21	0.2367	23	0.2612	21
Drag racing	0.0567	70	0.0435	68	0.0549	64	0.0448	68	0.0000	58	0.0680	49
Parking issues	0.3997	18	0.3678	18	0.2623	19	0.2860	19	0.3129	14	0.2949	19
Pedestrian safety	0.3676	25	0.2418	35	0.1994	29	0.1740	36	0.1247	40	0.3114	17
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3512	28	0.2614	32	0.0873	58	0.0484	65	0.1997	25	0.0807	45
Traffic safety	0.1935	57	0.1176	60	0.0614	63	0.1383	46	0.0289	54	0.1849	29
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.2353	47	0.3335	22	0.2595	21	0.4017	6	0.2983	15	0.4888	4
Violent Crime	0.2267	9	0.1925	8	0.0956	9	0.1324	8	0.0500	9	0.0267	9
Assault	0.3614	26	0.1924	44	0.1364	40	0.1024	54	0.1696	34	0.0249	61
Domestic violence	0.1461	62	0.1323	57	0.0383	67	0.0708	61	0.0260	56	0.0181	65
Gang activity	0.2050	56	0.1587	50	0.1661	35	0.1850	31	0.0000	58	0.0000	70
Gun violence	0.2522	42	0.2847	31	0.1098	47	0.2147	26	0.0981	42	0.0472	58
Homicide	0.1402	64	0.0992	62	0.0062	70	0.0457	67	0.0000	58	0.0000	70
Robbery	0.2172	53	0.2111	41	0.1686	34	0.1585	40	0.1306	38	0.0536	57
Sexual assault	0.1923	58	0.0408	70	0.0544	65	0.0858	57	0.0000	58	0.0091	68
Shots fired	0.2754	37	0.4599	5	0.1093	48	0.2328	25	0.0260	56	0.0674	51
Violent crime - general	0.2505	44	0.1532	54	0.0712	60	0.0958	56	0.0000	58	0.0202	62

#### **Appendix: East Weighted Themes**

Index / Item		ast 361)	Capito (85		Central Squire Pa			ake – cinct (28)	First (10	
	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0198	14	0.0146	15	0.0274	9	0.0235	9	0.0057	15
City Politics	0.0537	4	0.0529	4	0.0266	10	0.1027	1	0.0455	6
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0344	20	0.0339	20	0.0216	25	0.0866	11	0.0177	22
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0120	35	0.0138	33	0.0087	32	0.0000	19	0.0120	28
Unsupportive of city council	0.1147	2	0.1109	2	0.0494	14	0.2215	3	0.1067	3
Drug Activity	0.0244	11	0.0200	13	0.0178	12	0.0904	3	0.0346	7
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0072	38	0.0067	37	0.0000	38	0.0742	12	0.0063	35
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0417	16	0.0332	21	0.0356	16	0.1065	9	0.0628	10
Homelessness	0.0388	8	0.0338	9	0.0421	7	0.0585	5	0.0462	5
Bring back navigation team	0.0122	34	0.0143	32	0.0037	36	0.0000	19	0.0289	20
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0877	7	0.0829	7	0.0860	7	0.0000	19	0.0876	7
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0188	26	0.0204	26	0.0052	35	0.0954	10	0.0143	27
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0672	11	0.0500	14	0.0961	5	0.1970	5	0.1003	4
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0079	37	0.0012	39	0.0197	26	0.0000	19	0.0000	38
Lawlessness	0.0229	12	0.0272	11	0.0104	14	0.0371	8	0.0229	12
Crime is on the rise	0.0247	24	0.0312	23	0.0124	29	0.0000	19	0.0293	19
Lawlessness general	0.0131	33	0.0154	30	0.0116	30	0.0000	19	0.0057	37
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0311	23	0.0350	19	0.0071	34	0.1113	6	0.0336	18
Police Capacity	0.0497	6	0.0446	6	0.0425	6	0.0946	2	0.0539	3
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0152	29	0.0160	28	0.0033	37	0.0000	19	0.0389	16
More police community outreach needed	0.0243	25	0.0243	25	0.0328	19	0.0000	19	0.0099	29
More police needed	0.0892	5	0.0769	8	0.1084	4	0.2746	1	0.0824	8
Slow or no police response	0.0318	22	0.0301	24	0.0279	20	0.0000	19	0.0451	14
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0880	6	0.0754	9	0.0399	15	0.1982	4	0.0931	6
Police Legitimacy	0.0556	1	0.0636	1	0.0615	3	0.0128	11	0.0304	10
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0140	31	0.0111	35	0.0334	18	0.0000	19	0.0000	38
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0732	9	0.0970	4	0.0518	13	0.0329	17	0.0150	24
Lack of police accountability	0.0514	12	0.0555	12	0.0570	11	0.0000	19	0.0431	15
Lack of police professionalism	0.0416	17	0.0491	15	0.0695	9	0.0000	19	0.0099	29
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0185	27	0.0190	27	0.0240	23	0.0693	15	0.0099	29
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0703	10	0.0733	10	0.0782	8	0.0000	19	0.0621	11
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0082	36	0.0090	36	0.0087	33	0.0000	19	0.0063	34
Unsupportive of SPD	0.1679	1	0.1953	1	0.1694	1	0.0000	19	0.0967	5

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents whose partial or full comment was coded as a theme (e.g., a value of 0.0370 shows that 3.7% of comments, for respondents who commented, could be coded for a specific theme). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .0220 shows that averaged across all respondents who commented, 2.2% of themes included within an index were coded to a comment). <sup>1</sup>The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes themes identified more often by respondents in their comments than an index with a ranking of 2).

Police Reform	0.0370	9	0.0404	7	0.0373	8	0.0194	10	0.0220	13
Demilitarize Police	0.0140	30	0.0146	31	0.0116	31	0.0000	19	0.0057	36
Lack of adequate police training	0.0462	15	0.0438	16	0.0648	10	0.0582	16	0.0256	21
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0507	13	0.0628	11	0.0355	17	0.0000	19	0.0347	17
Property Crime	0.0519	5	0.0402	8	0.0688	1	0.0534	7	0.0619	2
Protests	0.0418	7	0.0565	2	0.0063	15	0.0000	12	0.0319	9
Public Order Crime	0.0552	2	0.0504	5	0.0520	5	0.0582	6	0.0954	1
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0544	3	0.0542	3	0.0554	4	0.0812	4	0.0503	4
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0134	32	0.0120	34	0.0247	22	0.0000	19	0.0099	29
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0393	18	0.0402	17	0.0166	27	0.1113	6	0.0163	23
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0986	4	0.0969	5	0.1130	2	0.0742	14	0.1095	2
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1027	3	0.1004	3	0.1110	3	0.1072	8	0.1174	1
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0359	19	0.0320	22	0.0136	28	0.0323	18	0.0537	12
Public parks are unsafe	0.0497	14	0.0538	13	0.0546	12	0.0742	12	0.0150	26
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0170	28	0.0155	29	0.0230	24	0.0000	19	0.0150	25
Supportive of defunding police	0.0785	8	0.0830	6	0.0868	6	0.2508	2	0.0659	9
SPD Supportive	0.0201	13	0.0200	12	0.0127	13	0.0000	12	0.0288	11
SPD doing a great job	0.0062	39	0.0046	38	0.0000	38	0.0000	19	0.0063	33
Supportive of SPD	0.0339	21	0.0355	18	0.0255	21	0.0000	19	0.0514	13
Traffic Safety	0.0171	15	0.0193	14	0.0240	11	0.0000	12	0.0099	14
Violent Crime	0.0327	10	0.0299	10	0.0632	2	0.0000	12	0.0336	8

**Judkins Park/** Madison Park **Miller Park** Madrona / Montlake / North Beacon Hill (49) Index / Item (47) Leschi (55) (10) Portage Bay (31) Mean Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Rank **Behavioral Crisis** 0.0638 4 0.0669 4 0.0166 11 0.0000 10 0.0338 8 **City Politics** 0.0723 0.0803 2 0.0801 0.0000 10 3 1 1 0.1180 City politics decreasing public safety 0.0137 29 0.0587 10 0.0615 9 0.0000 10 0.0890 11 0.0000 32 0.0000 30 0.0190 23 0.0000 10 0.0192 25 Mayor & city council not adequately addressing homelessness 2 2 1 0.0000 10 0.2033 0.1821 0.1598 0.2459 1 Unsupportive of city council 5 5 **Drug Activity** 0.0607 0.0223 13 0.0288 8 0.0516 0.0203 11 32 30 32 17 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 10 0.0407 Drugs are a public health issue 7 15 6 30 0.1215 0.0447 0.0577 10 0.1031 0.0000 Drugs are a public safety issue 5 0.0524 7 5 0.0351 7 0.0460 6 0.0692 Homelessness 0.0556 32 27 32 30 0.0000 0.0127 0.0000 0.0000 10 0.0000 Bring back navigation team 8 0.1562 3 0.0764 5 0.1269 4 0.1877 2 0.1042 Encampments are decreasing public safety 0.0000 32 0.0000 30 0.0109 31 0.0000 10 0.0792 12 Homelessness is a public health issue 0.1224 6 0.0967 5 0.0311 20 0.1031 6 0.0599 14 Homelessness is a public safety issue 0.0352 19 0.0127 29 0.0572 12 0.0000 10 0.0192 26 RV & car camping decrease public safety 12 11 10 14 0.0245 15 Lawlessness 0.0206 0.0040 0.0000 0.0098 0.0000 32 0.0349 18 0.0000 32 0.0000 10 0.0000 30 Crime is on the rise 23 32 30 0.0216 24 0.0194 0.0000 0.0000 10 0.0000 Lawlessness general 16 0.0194 23 0.0121 29 0.0000 10 0.0294 20 Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety 0.0403 1 3 0.0206 9 0.0852 4 **Police Capacity** 0.0694 3 0.0921 0.0533 32 30 24 16 0.0000 0.0000 0.0190 0.0000 10 0.0407 More foot and bicycle police needed 0.0000 0.0246 21 0.0000 30 0.0153 28 10 0.1061 5 More police community outreach needed 0.0757 10 0.1049 4 0.0000 10 4 4 0.1061 0.1625 More police needed 0.0376 18 0.0490 13 0.0190 25 0.1031 6 0.0487 15 Slow or no police response 3 0.2094 1 0.3065 1 0.1068 0.0000 10 0.0679 13 Unsupportive of defunding police 7 9 **Police Legitimacy** 0.0500 9 0.0263 10 0.0352 6 0.0308 0.0240 0.0403 17 0.0000 30 0.0109 30 0.0000 10 0.0300 18 Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias 0.0188 25 0.0273 20 0.0335 0.0000 10 0.0300 18 18 Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force 0.0464 15 0.0672 8 0.0420 16 0.0000 10 0.0000 30 Lack of police accountability 0.0216 23 0.0000 30 0.0000 32 0.0000 10 0.0000 30 Lack of police professionalism 0.0188 25 0.0141 25 0.0000 32 0.0000 10 0.0000 30 Lack of trust in police, generally

9

30

4

0.0222

0.0127

0.0666

21

26

9

0.0631

0.0000

0.1320

0.0906

0.0123

0.1510

Lack of trust in SPD, specifically

Unsupportive of SPD

Organizational culture / stability in leadership

8

32

2

0.2466

0.0000

0.0000

1

10

10

0.0294

0.0000

0.1026

20

30

7

Police Reform	0.0213	11	0.0457	7	0.0434	4	0.0218	8	0.0136	13
Demilitarize Police	0.0123	30	0.0000	30	0.0370	17	0.0655	9	0.0192	26
Lack of adequate police training	0.0515	14	0.0925	6	0.0464	15	0.0000	10	0.0000	30
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0000	32	0.0444	16	0.0468	14	0.0000	10	0.0214	23
Property Crime	0.0513	8	0.0699	3	0.0636	2	0.1031	1	0.1761	1
Protests	0.0137	13	0.0394	8	0.0121	14	0.1031	1	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.0540	6	0.0461	6	0.0166	11	0.0000	10	0.1661	2
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0721	2	0.0358	9	0.0401	5	0.0530	4	0.0688	6
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0188	27	0.0000	30	0.0166	27	0.0000	10	0.0214	24
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0652	13	0.0380	17	0.0566	13	0.0000	10	0.1039	6
More city services/resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1266	5	0.0476	14	0.0764	6	0.1486	2	0.1004	9
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1670	3	0.0000	30	0.0574	11	0.1486	2	0.1851	3
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0731	11	0.0498	12	0.0636	7	0.0000	10	0.1013	8
Public parks are unsafe	0.0338	20	0.0774	7	0.0166	26	0.1269	4	0.0192	26
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0216	22	0.0514	11	0.0000	32	0.0000	10	0.0192	26
Supportive of defunding police	0.0704	12	0.0222	21	0.0335	19	0.0000	10	0.0000	30
SPD Supportive	0.0094	14	0.0223	12	0.0252	9	0.0000	10	0.0637	7
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	32	0.0320	19	0.0275	21	0.0000	10	0.0271	22
Supportive of SPD	0.0188	27	0.0127	28	0.0230	22	0.0000	10	0.1004	10
Traffic Safety	0.0000	15	0.0000	14	0.0145	13	0.0000	10	0.0214	10
Violent Crime	0.0260	10	0.0000	14	0.0190	10	0.1031	1	0.0192	12

#### Appendix: North Public Safety Concerns

Index / Item	Nor	th	Ballard	(North)	Ballard (	(South)	Bitter	ake	Frem	ont
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank <sup>†</sup>	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2817	4	0.3192	4	0.4151	4	0.2737	5	0.2226	6
Alcohol use in public	0.2225	42	0.2372	42	0.3378	40	0.2190	42	0.1663	42
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.5226	9	0.6022	8	0.7064	5	0.5566	5	0.4121	12
Drug houses	0.1691	51	0.2161	44	0.2648	45	0.1239	61	0.1287	52
Drug use in public	0.4075	19	0.4823	19	0.5910	17	0.3964	19	0.2970	24
Marijuana use in public	0.1905	46	0.1644	49	0.2228	52	0.1671	49	0.1837	39
Open air drug markets	0.1892	47	0.2273	43	0.3610	37	0.1891	47	0.1059	56
Public intoxication	0.2702	33	0.3046	31	0.4219	29	0.2639	32	0.2643	31
Homelessness	0.4704	1	0.5477	1	0.6280	1	0.4136	2	0.3939	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.2697	34	0.3151	29	0.4134	32	0.1943	46	0.2132	36
Car/RV camping	0.5446	6	0.6541	4	0.7364	2	0.5458	6	0.4747	5
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.6477	2	0.7399	2	0.7959	1	0.5696	3	0.5446	2
Squatting	0.3110	27	0.3598	24	0.4697	25	0.2409	38	0.2513	32
Transient Camps	0.5792	3	0.6696	3	0.7245	4	0.5173	8	0.4857	4
Police Capacity	0.4613	3	0.5333	2	0.5601	3	0.4455	1	0.3991	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4426	16	0.5407	14	0.5593	19	0.4527	15	0.4312	8
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.5186	10	0.6301	6	0.6034	11	0.5151	9	0.4226	9
Inadequate police staffing	0.4735	14	0.5282	16	0.5476	20	0.4912	11	0.3787	17
Lack of police follow-up	0.3775	22	0.3848	23	0.4928	22	0.3080	25	0.3452	21
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4941	12	0.5829	11	0.5972	13	0.4605	14	0.4178	11
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2555	5	0.2776	5	0.3288	5	0.2492	6	0.2339	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.1967	43	0.2152	45	0.2589	46	0.1866	48	0.1671	41
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2552	38	0.2817	37	0.3341	41	0.2510	36	0.2091	38
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0532	69	0.0657	66	0.0741	67	0.0707	68	0.0658	62
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1373	59	0.1503	52	0.1657	55	0.1355	58	0.1365	50
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5431	7	0.5926	9	0.6562	8	0.5322	7	0.4939	3
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2814	32	0.2962	34	0.3514	38	0.2754	31	0.2825	28
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1888	48	0.2133	46	0.2503	47	0.1587	52	0.1636	44
Not enough public safety resources in the	0.3882	21	0.4055	21	0.5399	21	0.3835	20	0.3527	19
neighborhood										
Property Crime	0.4615	2	0.5200	3	0.5870	2	0.3921	3	0.3788	3
Auto theft	0.4856	13	0.5461	13	0.6141	10	0.3969	18	0.3925	15
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.7049	1	0.7841	1	0.7350	3	0.6578	1	0.5975	1
Commercial burglary	0.2259	41	0.2744	39	0.3986	35	0.1199	63	0.2436	33
Non-residential property crime	0.2683	35	0.2968	33	0.4401	28	0.2136	43	0.1636	45
Property crime – general	0.5547	4	0.6116	7	0.6798	7	0.4936	10	0.4313	7
Property damage	0.4541	15	0.4984	17	0.5941	15	0.3714	21	0.4003	14
Residential burglary	0.5293	8	0.6312	5	0.5943	14	0.4796	12	0.3515	20
Theft	0.4996	11	0.5474	12	0.6332	9	0.4774	13	0.4200	10
Vandalism	0.4316	17	0.4904	18	0.5935	16	0.3189	24	0.4085	13

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2).

### 2020 Public Safety Survey Results • Seattle-Citywide • Seattle Police Department • 95

#### • • •

Public Order Crime	0.2012	8	0.1988	8	0.2684	7	0.2404	7	0.1583	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.2848	31	0.2980	32	0.4847	23	0.2603	34	0.2103	37
Fireworks	0.1943	45	0.1595	50	0.1389	57	0.2570	35	0.1632	46
Graffiti	0.3966	20	0.4648	20	0.4843	24	0.2791	30	0.3822	16
Illegal sex work	0.1534	55	0.0878	59	0.1687	53	0.5655	4	0.0892	59
Illegal street vending	0.0358	71	0.0394	71	0.0690	68	0.0543	70	0.0113	71
Indecent exposure	0.1408	58	0.1294	54	0.2352	51	0.1343	59	0.1174	53
Public Order Crime – general	0.1811	49	0.2089	47	0.2735	43	0.1627	50	0.1358	51
Trespassing	0.3316	24	0.3278	28	0.4406	27	0.3463	23	0.2834	27
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0929	64	0.0737	62	0.1209	62	0.1042	65	0.0318	69
Quality of Life	0.2128	7	0.2256	7	0.2941	6	0.2113	8	0.1738	7
Civility issues - general	0.3567	23	0.4017	22	0.5981	12	0.2604	33	0.2944	25
Crowd Behavior	0.0748	67	0.0727	63	0.1139	63	0.0470	71	0.0491	64
Dogs off-leash	0.1416	57	0.1516	51	0.1379	58	0.1205	62	0.1491	47
Dogs on the Beach	0.0407	70	0.0866	60	0.0609	70	0.0579	69	0.0116	70
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2963	30	0.3296	27	0.4085	33	0.3054	26	0.2655	30
Issues in the Parks	0.4294	18	0.5343	15	0.5866	18	0.4367	16	0.2721	29
Littering/dumping	0.5456	5	0.5905	10	0.6970	6	0.5899	2	0.4724	6
Loitering	0.2681	36	0.2857	36	0.4171	30	0.2306	41	0.2326	34
Noise levels	0.1595	52	0.1032	57	0.2466	49	0.1488	55	0.1431	49
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1959	44	0.1927	48	0.2957	42	0.1519	54	0.1120	54
Soliciting	0.1431	56	0.1208	56	0.1665	54	0.2970	27	0.0825	60
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0190	72	0.0031	72	0.0066	72	0.0049	72	0.0095	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0959	63	0.0607	68	0.0885	66	0.0958	66	0.1654	43
Traffic Safety	0.2493	6	0.2447	6	0.2680	8	0.2761	4	0.2398	4
Bicycle safety	0.3281	25	0.3569	25	0.4014	34	0.2403	39	0.3620	18
Drag racing	0.0895	65	0.0701	64	0.0681	69	0.1397	56	0.0383	65
Parking issues	0.3097	28	0.3081	30	0.4134	31	0.2468	37	0.3114	22
Pedestrian safety	0.2963	29	0.2762	38	0.2717	44	0.4352	17	0.2923	26
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2411	40	0.2413	41	0.3414	39	0.2968	28	0.2259	35
Traffic safety	0.1576	53	0.1290	55	0.1318	59	0.2023	44	0.1475	48
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3225	26	0.3316	26	0.2484	48	0.3713	22	0.3015	23
Violent Crime	0.1469	9	0.1230	9	0.1977	9	0.1695	9	0.0803	9
Assault	0.2571	37	0.2713	40	0.4637	26	0.2388	40	0.1747	40
Domestic violence	0.1022	62	0.0632	67	0.1118	64	0.1316	60	0.0552	63
Gang activity	0.0882	66	0.0574	69	0.1105	65	0.1357	57	0.0365	68
Gun violence	0.1200	60	0.0697	65	0.1263	60	0.1568	53	0.0382	66
Homicide	0.0690	68	0.0406	70	0.0550	71	0.1150	64	0.0382	67
Robbery	0.2496	39	0.2862	35	0.3896	36	0.2010	45	0.1094	55
Sexual assault	0.1109	61	0.0923	58	0.1517	56	0.0943	67	0.0804	61
Shots fired	0.1706	50	0.0835	61	0.1252	61	0.2913	29	0.0933	58
Violent crime - general	0.1546	54	0.1433	53	0.2460	50	0.1608	51	0.0965	57

Greenwood Lake Citv Northqate **Phinney Ridge** Index / Item Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank 0.2657 0.3720 0.3220 0.1835 4 4 4 6 Drugs & Alcohol 0.1758 46 0.3680 28 0.2280 48 0.1118 53 Alcohol use in public 4 0.5543 0.5375 8 0.5947 4 0.4396 13 Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia 0.1673 49 0.2369 47 0.2164 50 0.0874 58 Drug houses 25 0.4040 18 0.5212 9 0.4316 16 0.3026 Drug use in public 0.1746 47 0.2424 46 0.2560 44 0.1120 52 Marijuana use in public 55 0.1514 53 0.3033 36 0.2519 45 0.0959 Open air drug markets 38 45 0.2322 0.3945 23 0.2751 39 0.1354 Public intoxication 3 0.4364 2 0.5274 1 0.4620 0.4212 1 Homelessness 43 0.3238 35 41 0.1906 0.2650 42 0.1427 Aggressive panhandling 0.5129 9 0.5631 6 0.5078 10 0.5887 3 Car/RV camping 2 2 1 2 0.6299 0.7186 0.6598 0.5939 Homeless encampments (non-regulated) 0.3040 28 0.3771 26 31 0.3115 31 0.2381 Squatting 0.5447 5 0.6545 2 0.5659 6 0.5426 7 **Transient Camps** 0.4666 1 0.4534 3 0.4577 2 0.4301 2 **Police Capacity** 0.4233 16 0.4513 19 0.3964 20 0.3878 18 Delayed police response to emergency calls 0.5319 7 0.4831 12 0.5259 9 0.5293 9 Delayed police response to non-emergency calls 0.4731 13 0.4799 13 0.4771 12 0.4369 14 Inadequate police staffing 0.4034 19 29 0.4230 17 0.4051 Lack of police follow-up 0.3679 15 0.4662 17 0.5015 11 0.4848 11 15 0.3916 Not enough police in the neighborhood 0.2597 5 0.2775 5 0.2618 6 0.2316 4 Community & Public Safety Capacity 44 0.2271 49 0.1714 60 0.1621 39 0.1845 Delay in answering emergency calls 0.2383 37 0.2485 0.2563 0.2630 27 43 43 Delay in answering non-emergency calls 0.0390 69 0.0570 69 0.0518 70 0.0459 64 Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers 57 0.1214 60 0.1492 61 0.1426 65 0.0898 Lack of crime prevention education 3 7 7 5 0.5965 0.5390 0.5406 0.5607 Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness 0.3486 24 0.2959 37 0.2910 34 0.2590 28 Lack of resources related to social services 0.1775 45 0.2278 48 0.2384 47 0.1361 44 No block watch or safety related neighborhood group Not enough public safety resources in the 0.3713 22 0.4754 15 0.4021 19 0.3362 21 neighborhood 3 0.4580 2 0.4566 **Property Crime** 0.4356 3 0.4506 1 0.4691 14 0.4639 18 0.4686 14 0.5404 8 Auto theft Car prowls (something stolen from within your car) 0.6880 1 0.6538 3 0.7234 1 0.7370 1 0.1699 48 0.1988 54 0.1942 54 0.2268 32 Commercial burglary 0.2289 39 0.3293 34 0.2163 51 0.2382 30 Non-residential property crime 0.5295 8 0.5715 5 0.5971 3 0.5550 6 Property crime - general 0.4475 15 0.4786 0.4735 16 14 13 0.3935 Property damage 0.5038 10 0.5090 10 0.4958 11 0.5707 4 Residential burglary

0.4734

0.4440

16

21

0.5385

0.4021

8

18

0.4567

0.3370

12

20

0.4797

0.4043

Theft

Vandalism

12

17

Public Order Crime	0.2272	7	0.2357	8	0.2392	7	0.1458	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.2478	36	0.3784	25	0.3058	32	0.1861	36
Fireworks	0.2552	34	0.2145	51	0.2687	40	0.1387	43
Graffiti	0.3799	20	0.4087	22	0.3130	29	0.3232	23
Illegal sex work	0.3754	21	0.1564	57	0.3304	26	0.1181	51
Illegal street vending	0.0379	70	0.0433	70	0.0526	69	0.0219	70
Indecent exposure	0.1460	56	0.1654	56	0.1807	59	0.0762	60
Public Order Crime – general	0.1533	52	0.2508	42	0.1843	58	0.1227	48
Trespassing	0.3451	25	0.3479	32	0.3465	25	0.2850	26
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1037	63	0.1563	58	0.1711	62	0.0406	66
Quality of Life	0.1811	8	0.2496	7	0.2239	9	0.1595	7
Civility issues - general	0.2830	31	0.4662	17	0.3502	24	0.2099	33
Crowd Behavior	0.0421	68	0.1169	66	0.0813	68	0.0329	67
Dogs off-leash	0.1035	64	0.1132	67	0.1387	67	0.1183	50
Dogs on the Beach	0.0261	71	0.0082	71	0.0205	71	0.0090	71
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2627	33	0.3682	27	0.3117	30	0.1959	35
Issues in the Parks	0.3231	26	0.4498	20	0.3634	22	0.4642	10
Littering/dumping	0.5376	6	0.6229	4	0.5795	5	0.4577	11
Loitering	0.2669	32	0.3872	24	0.2916	33	0.1738	38
Noise levels	0.1498	54	0.2083	53	0.1928	55	0.0979	54
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1445	57	0.2639	39	0.2510	46	0.1397	42
Soliciting	0.1464	55	0.1331	64	0.1859	56	0.1223	49
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	72	0.0018	72	0.0016	72	0.0071	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0688	67	0.1046	68	0.1419	66	0.0452	65
Traffic Safety	0.2516	6	0.2604	6	0.2785	5	0.2300	5
Bicycle safety	0.2996	29	0.2474	44	0.2839	36	0.3302	22
Drag racing	0.0886	66	0.1503	60	0.1581	63	0.0235	69
Parking issues	0.2552	35	0.2805	38	0.3301	27	0.3069	24
Pedestrian safety	0.3188	27	0.3620	30	0.3168	28	0.2502	29
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2833	30	0.2592	40	0.2771	37	0.1748	37
Traffic safety	0.1533	51	0.1765	55	0.1985	53	0.1582	40
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3621	23	0.3470	33	0.3854	21	0.3664	19
Violent Crime	0.1519	9	0.2043	9	0.2347	8	0.0914	9
Assault	0.2053	42	0.2557	41	0.2763	38	0.1294	47
Domestic violence	0.1260	59	0.1277	65	0.1999	52	0.0575	61
Gang activity	0.1103	62	0.1545	59	0.1712	61	0.0516	62
Gun violence	0.1555	50	0.2128	52	0.2671	41	0.0512	63
Homicide	0.0893	65	0.1372	62	0.1852	57	0.0281	68
Robbery	0.2202	40	0.2436	45	0.2850	35	0.1966	34
Sexual assault	0.1279	58	0.1349	63	0.1479	64	0.0813	59
Shots fired	0.2193	41	0.3565	31	0.3599	23	0.0954	56
Violent crime - general	0.1135	61	0.2157	50	0.2196	49	0.1317	46

#### Roosevelt / Ravenna Sand Point **University District** Wallingford Index / Item Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank 0.1707 0.2838 0.2285 6 0.1360 8 4 6 Drugs & Alcohol 0.1232 48 0.0921 54 0.3146 28 0.1514 48 Alcohol use in public 7 9 0.3743 15 0.2960 21 0.4429 0.4950 Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia 0.1080 51 0.0410 65 0.1350 61 0.1047 56 Drug houses 21 0.2620 27 0.1825 32 0.3875 14 0.3503 Drug use in public 0.1416 45 0.1756 34 0.2655 37 0.1517 47 Marijuana use in public 0.0633 61 0.0690 57 0.1216 63 0.1067 55 Open air drug markets 0.1222 49 0.0957 52 0.3199 27 0.2394 34 Public intoxication 0.3940 1 0.3279 3 0.3474 3 0.4741 1 Homelessness 35 0.1335 0.3246 0.1844 44 24 0.3003 27 Aggressive panhandling 0.4478 7 0.4459 10 0.2697 36 0.5314 5 Car/RV camping 2 7 3 2 0.5828 0.4924 0.4857 0.6404 Homeless encampments (non-regulated) 28 0.2378 41 0.2277 0.1479 42 0.3197 24 Squatting 0.5273 3 0.4197 13 0.4193 10 0.5787 4 **Transient Camps** 0.3884 3 0.4579 1 0.3499 2 0.4230 3 **Police Capacity** 0.3571 17 0.4201 12 0.3222 26 0.3807 18 Delayed police response to emergency calls 0.4231 11 0.5273 3 0.3574 18 0.4925 10 Delayed police response to non-emergency calls 5 0.4118 13 0.5173 0.3309 23 0.4701 13 Inadequate police staffing 0.3409 0.3077 22 0.3389 18 0.3101 29 22 Lack of police follow-up 8 0.4856 8 0.4287 9 0.4421 0.4308 15 Not enough police in the neighborhood 0.1943 5 0.1723 5 0.2548 5 0.2431 5 Community & Public Safety Capacity 0.1589 42 0.1836 31 0.1962 48 0.1716 45 Delay in answering emergency calls 32 0.2376 0.2287 42 0.2348 35 0.2023 26 Delay in answering non-emergency calls 0.0178 71 0.0375 67 0.0680 68 0.0410 65 Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers 53 0.0969 54 0.0942 53 0.2016 47 0.1261 Lack of crime prevention education 6 2 3 0.4677 0.3012 20 0.4986 0.5944 Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness 0.2023 33 0.1321 45 0.2921 32 0.2912 30 Lack of resources related to social services 0.1357 46 0.1218 48 0.1758 52 0.1520 46 No block watch or safety related neighborhood group Not enough public safety resources in the 0.2731 25 0.2704 24 0.3774 15 0.3336 23 neighborhood 2 0.4537 2 0.3858 2 **Property Crime** 0.3905 1 0.4401 0.4069 14 0.5131 6 0.3691 16 0.4802 11 Auto theft Car prowls (something stolen from within your car) 0.7237 1 0.7696 1 0.5280 1 0.6901 1 0.1431 44 0.1634 37 0.2277 43 0.1779 42 Commercial burglary 0.1706 38 0.2292 27 0.2625 38 0.2505 33 Non-residential property crime 0.4876 5 0.5249 4 0.4461 6 0.5166 7 Property crime - general 0.3225 21 0.4208 11 0.4466 5 0.4261 16 Property damage 0.6267 0.3677 0.5008 4 2 17 0.5145 8 Residential burglary 0.4233 10 0.4554 9 0.4312 8 0.4743 12 Theft 0.3360 18 0.3804 14 0.3936 13

Vandalism

0.4311

14

Public Order Crime	0.1402	8	0.1422	7	0.1938	9	0.1666	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.1522	43	0.1155	49	0.3507	20	0.2280	37
Fireworks	0.1879	34	0.2247	28	0.1408	59	0.2347	36
Graffiti	0.3707	16	0.3351	19	0.3950	12	0.4142	17
Illegal sex work	0.0319	67	0.0286	69	0.0540	70	0.0294	69
Illegal street vending	0.0147	72	0.0213	71	0.0267	71	0.0057	71
Indecent exposure	0.0857	58	0.0421	64	0.1928	49	0.1007	57
Public Order Crime – general	0.1185	50	0.1568	40	0.1385	60	0.1335	51
Trespassing	0.2696	26	0.2819	23	0.3037	31	0.3124	26
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0304	68	0.0740	56	0.1422	58	0.0407	66
Quality of Life	0.1669	7	0.1556	6	0.2217	7	0.1898	7
Civility issues - general	0.2251	29	0.1584	38	0.4178	11	0.2997	28
Crowd Behavior	0.0399	65	0.0572	61	0.1435	57	0.0538	64
Dogs off-leash	0.1677	39	0.2094	30	0.0824	67	0.1723	44
Dogs on the Beach	0.0433	64	0.0662	59	0.0177	72	0.0026	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2038	31	0.1784	33	0.3076	30	0.2675	32
Issues in the Parks	0.4193	12	0.3762	15	0.2754	34	0.3775	19
Littering/dumping	0.4285	9	0.3633	17	0.4848	4	0.5298	6
Loitering	0.1310	47	0.1220	47	0.2909	33	0.2242	38
Noise levels	0.1021	53	0.1570	39	0.2455	40	0.1080	54
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1709	37	0.1076	50	0.1879	50	0.1756	43
Soliciting	0.1070	52	0.1457	43	0.1672	53	0.1000	58
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0366	66	0.0577	60	0.1004	65	0.0225	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0951	55	0.0240	70	0.1610	55	0.1344	50
Traffic Safety	0.2403	4	0.2172	4	0.2221	6	0.2434	4
Bicycle safety	0.3275	20	0.2831	22	0.3515	19	0.3613	20
Drag racing	0.0463	63	0.1566	41	0.0632	69	0.0881	61
Parking issues	0.3067	23	0.2387	25	0.3364	22	0.2801	31
Pedestrian safety	0.2955	24	0.2177	29	0.2702	35	0.2982	29
Safety issues at bus stops	0.1595	41	0.0903	55	0.2505	39	0.2232	39
Traffic safety	0.2114	30	0.1692	36	0.1020	64	0.1344	49
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3350	19	0.3646	16	0.1811	51	0.3182	25
Violent Crime	0.0853	9	0.0740	9	0.2052	8	0.1039	9
Assault	0.1602	40	0.1303	46	0.3474	21	0.2151	40
Domestic violence	0.0884	56	0.0406	66	0.1522	56	0.0696	63
Gang activity	0.0288	69	0.0510	62	0.1228	62	0.0379	68
Gun violence	0.0537	62	0.0496	63	0.1668	54	0.0929	60
Homicide	0.0185	70	0.0201	72	0.0859	66	0.0382	67
Robbery	0.1843	36	0.1754	35	0.3222	25	0.1782	41
Sexual assault	0.0705	60	0.0327	68	0.2217	45	0.0719	62
Shots fired	0.0876	57	0.0974	51	0.2034	46	0.1329	52
Violent crime - general	0.0759	59	0.0686	58	0.2247	44	0.0981	59

#### Appendix: North Weighted Themes

In days (Hears	North (2	2399)	Ballard N	orth (390)	Ballard S	South (339)	Bitterl	ake (105)	Frem	ont (114)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank <sup>†</sup>	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0277	10	0.0342	9	0.0465	10	0.0410	7	0.0362	10
City Politics	0.0804	3	0.0725	4	0.0860	4	0.0765	3	0.0540	6
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0505	13	0.0295	25	0.0365	20	0.0440	16	0.0257	28
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0318	23	0.0346	23	0.0392	18	0.0441	15	0.0106	36
Unsupportive of city council	0.1590	2	0.1534	2	0.1825	2	0.1412	3	0.1258	2
Drug Activity	0.0461	7	0.0593	7	0.0577	6	0.0361	9	0.0568	5
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0186	31	0.0287	26	0.0164	28	0.0151	32	0.0322	25
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0737	10	0.0899	6	0.0989	6	0.0571	14	0.0815	9
Homelessness	0.0707	4	0.0909	2	0.0875	3	0.0675	5	0.0801	1
Bring back navigation team	0.0182	32	0.0201	29	0.0162	29	0.0201	27	0.0258	27
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1721	1	0.2022	1	0.2007	1	0.2075	2	0.1722	1
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0344	22	0.0437	19	0.0591	12	0.0064	38	0.0576	15
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0987	6	0.1390	3	0.1143	5	0.0814	9	0.1222	3
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0299	25	0.0498	16	0.0472	14	0.0221	26	0.0229	29
Lawlessness	0.0352	8	0.0403	8	0.0468	9	0.0286	12	0.0109	15
Crime is on the rise	0.0291	27	0.0266	27	0.0245	25	0.0411	17	0.0053	38
Lawlessness general	0.0306	24	0.0401	20	0.0334	22	0.0127	33	0.0273	26
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0460	14	0.0542	13	0.0825	9	0.0320	19	0.0000	39
Police Capacity	0.0607	5	0.0602	6	0.0525	7	0.0690	4	0.0773	2
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0133	34	0.0182	31	0.0053	39	0.0100	35	0.0712	11
More police community outreach needed	0.0188	30	0.0088	36	0.0125	32	0.0164	30	0.0573	16
More police needed	0.1181	3	0.1150	4	0.1309	3	0.2090	1	0.0952	5
Slow or no police response	0.0403	19	0.0507	14	0.0386	19	0.0247	23	0.0598	13
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1133	4	0.1082	5	0.0753	10	0.0898	5	0.1028	4
Police Legitimacy	0.0313	9	0.0262	10	0.0194	11	0.0307	11	0.0467	8
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0226	28	0.0309	24	0.0090	36	0.0238	25	0.0402	22
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0406	17	0.0375	22	0.0265	23	0.0188	28	0.0853	7
Lack of police accountability	0.0297	26	0.0264	28	0.0246	24	0.0297	20	0.0430	19
Lack of police professionalism	0.0179	33	0.0082	37	0.0152	30	0.0157	31	0.0347	24
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0124	35	0.0100	35	0.0055	37	0.0000	39	0.0185	33
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0360	20	0.0196	30	0.0229	27	0.0589	13	0.0733	10
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0122	36	0.0051	38	0.0109	35	0.0114	34	0.0151	35
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0787	9	0.0716	10	0.0404	17	0.0873	7	0.0638	12

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents whose partial or full comment was coded as a theme (e.g., a value of 0.0370 shows that 3.7% of comments, for respondents who commented, could be coded for a specific theme). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .0220 shows that averaged across all respondents who commented, 2.2% of themes included within an index were coded to a comment). <sup>1</sup>The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes themes identified more often by respondents in their comments than an index with a ranking of 2).

Police Reform	0.0253	12	0.0231	11	0.0176	13	0.0277	13	0.0317	11
Demilitarize Police	0.0110	37	0.0112	33	0.0054	38	0.0327	18	0.0173	34
Lack of adequate police training	0.0427	15	0.0440	18	0.0354	21	0.0242	24	0.0562	17
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0221	29	0.0141	32	0.0120	33	0.0262	21	0.0216	31
Property Crime	0.0985	1	0.0875	3	0.1359	1	0.1063	2	0.0729	3
Protests	0.0151	15	0.0115	15	0.0172	14	0.0000	14	0.0275	14
Public Order Crime	0.0901	2	0.1001	1	0.0947	2	0.2020	1	0.0673	4
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0597	6	0.0604	5	0.0638	5	0.0558	6	0.0492	7
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0098	38	0.0100	34	0.0119	34	0.0171	29	0.0081	37
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0528	12	0.0506	15	0.0576	13	0.0600	12	0.0378	23
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0956	7	0.0847	8	0.0882	8	0.0846	8	0.0846	8
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0996	5	0.0766	9	0.0883	7	0.0995	4	0.0917	6
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0414	16	0.0681	11	0.0407	16	0.0616	11	0.0226	30
Public parks are unsafe	0.0848	8	0.0858	7	0.1220	4	0.0894	6	0.0595	14
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0404	18	0.0584	12	0.0592	11	0.0251	22	0.0421	21
Supportive of defunding police	0.0529	11	0.0486	17	0.0430	15	0.0087	36	0.0476	18
SPD Supportive	0.0210	14	0.0211	13	0.0188	12	0.0384	8	0.0311	12
SPD doing a great job	0.0076	39	0.0039	39	0.0143	31	0.0064	37	0.0200	32
Supportive of SPD	0.0344	21	0.0384	21	0.0234	26	0.0705	10	0.0423	20
Traffic Safety	0.0213	13	0.0203	14	0.0092	15	0.0000	14	0.0362	9
Violent Crime	0.0263	11	0.0227	12	0.0480	8	0.0307	10	0.0297	13

la dev / Ken	Greenwood (184)		Lak	Lake City (199)		Northgate (221)		Phinney Ridge (90)	
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	
Behavioral Crisis	0.0609	7	0.0137	13	0.0164	15	0.0067	13	
City Politics	0.0865	2	0.0944	1	0.0891	1	0.0696	4	
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0721	11	0.0696	11	0.0644	9	0.0539	16	
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0176	29	0.0406	15	0.0421	15	0.0399	22	
Unsupportive of city council	0.1699	1	0.1730	2	0.1607	1	0.1150	7	
Drug Activity	0.0635	6	0.0324	8	0.0389	10	0.0359	9	
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0186	28	0.0030	37	0.0136	33	0.0381	24	
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.1083	6	0.0619	12	0.0641	10	0.0337	28	
Homelessness	0.0408	8	0.0707	3	0.0488	5	0.1012	1	
Bring back navigation team	0.0065	38	0.0235	26	0.0069	36	0.0376	25	
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1063	7	0.1809	1	0.1290	2	0.1900	1	
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0188	25	0.0389	18	0.0054	38	0.0673	10	
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0564	13	0.0894	7	0.0767	8	0.1569	3	
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0159	31	0.0205	27	0.0257	23	0.0543	15	
Lawlessness	0.0175	12	0.0376	7	0.0220	13	0.0414	8	
Crime is on the rise	0.0187	27	0.0403	16	0.0162	30	0.0440	21	
Lawlessness general	0.0083	36	0.0393	17	0.0251	24	0.0250	31	
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0255	22	0.0333	21	0.0247	25	0.0551	14	
Police Capacity	0.0637	5	0.0492	6	0.0444	7	0.0591	5	
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0094	33	0.0030	37	0.0000	39	0.0067	36	
More police community outreach needed	0.0171	30	0.0205	28	0.0141	32	0.0117	34	
More police needed	0.1379	3	0.0810	8	0.0876	5	0.0928	8	
Slow or no police response	0.0316	18	0.0258	24	0.0161	31	0.0579	13	
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1227	5	0.1156	5	0.1045	3	0.1267	5	
Police Legitimacy	0.0295	10	0.0300	9	0.0390	9	0.0354	10	
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0188	26	0.0328	23	0.0263	22	0.0351	27	
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0274	20	0.0332	22	0.0594	11	0.0492	19	
Lack of police accountability	0.0311	19	0.0113	30	0.0275	20	0.0462	20	
Lack of police professionalism	0.0145	32	0.0087	32	0.0271	21	0.0702	9	
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0194	24	0.0053	35	0.0241	26	0.0000	38	
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0387	16	0.0457	13	0.0459	14	0.0221	32	
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0094	33	0.0094	31	0.0216	28	0.0067	36	
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0763	9	0.0941	6	0.0800	7	0.0538	17	

Police Reform	0.0365	9	0.0175	11	0.0241	12	0.0473	6
Demilitarize Police	0.0091	35	0.0030	36	0.0069	35	0.0502	18
Lack of adequate police training	0.0746	10	0.0417	14	0.0240	27	0.0649	12
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0256	21	0.0076	34	0.0416	16	0.0267	30
Property Crime	0.0803	3	0.0728	2	0.0679	3	0.0853	2
Protests	0.0033	15	0.0106	14	0.0399	8	0.0000	15
Public Order Crime	0.1340	1	0.0624	5	0.0740	2	0.0419	7
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0698	4	0.0632	4	0.0468	6	0.0783	3
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	39	0.0030	39	0.0068	37	0.0204	33
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0216	23	0.0778	9	0.0391	17	0.0292	29
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.1408	2	0.1199	4	0.0835	6	0.1190	6
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.1372	4	0.1476	3	0.0529	12	0.1292	4
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0335	17	0.0336	20	0.0494	13	0.0387	23
Public parks are unsafe	0.0711	12	0.0708	10	0.0329	18	0.1870	2
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0509	14	0.0346	19	0.0178	29	0.0671	11
Supportive of defunding police	0.1033	8	0.0182	29	0.0920	4	0.0360	26
SPD Supportive	0.0265	11	0.0171	12	0.0204	14	0.0037	14
SPD doing a great job	0.0065	37	0.0087	33	0.0086	34	0.0000	38
Supportive of SPD	0.0465	15	0.0256	25	0.0323	19	0.0074	35
Traffic Safety	0.0138	14	0.0191	10	0.0298	11	0.0169	11
Violent Crime	0.0170	13	0.0034	15	0.0567	4	0.0117	12

#### Roosevelt / Ravenna (283) Sand Point (161) **University District (135)** Wallingford (178) Index / Item Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank 0.0224 15 **Behavioral Crisis** 13 0.0107 0.0068 15 0.0099 13 3 0.1137 2 3 4 City Politics 0.0776 0.0648 0.0656 0.0373 19 0.0783 10 0.0612 7 0.0675 10 City politics decreasing public safety City politicians not adequately addressing 0.0481 16 0.0141 32 0.0099 35 0.0128 30 homelessness 0.1473 2 0.2487 1 0.1234 3 0.1166 2 Unsupportive of city council **Drug Activity** 0.0458 7 0.0487 8 0.0078 14 0.0334 7 0.0186 29 0.0292 23 0.0044 38 0.0085 35 Drugs are a public health issue 0.0731 11 0.0682 12 0.0112 33 0.0582 11 Drugs are a public safety issue 0.0757 4 0.0627 5 0.0222 10 0.0685 3 Homelessness 0.0387 18 0.0190 29 0.0000 39 0.0034 38 Bring back navigation team 3 0.2000 1 0.1594 0.0482 11 0.1946 1 Encampments are decreasing public safety 0.0336 21 0.0226 26 0.0138 31 0.0263 22 Homelessness is a public health issue 0.0884 8 0.1086 6 0.0390 18 0.0804 8 Homelessness is a public safety issue 37 RV & car camping decrease public safety 0.0178 31 0.0037 0.0099 34 0.0379 18 Lawlessness 0.0307 11 0.0582 6 0.0412 6 0.0325 9 28 21 0.0213 0.0541 14 0.0493 10 0.0326 Crime is on the rise 0.0185 30 0.0746 11 0.0428 14 0.0103 32 Lawlessness general 0.0523 14 0.0459 21 0.0545 13 18 0.0315 Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety 5 3 0.0715 2 6 Police Capacity 0.0654 0.0929 0.0481 33 35 26 24 0.0151 0.0042 0.0174 0.0203 More foor and bicycle police needed 33 0.0297 24 0.0358 22 0.0145 30 0.0093 More police community outreach needed 0.1247 4 0.1570 4 0.1055 4 0.0967 5 More police needed 0.0272 26 0.0861 8 0.0435 13 0.0415 17 Slow or no police response 2 0.0727 9 Unsupportive of defunding police 0.1302 3 0.1813 0.1767 1 9 0.0328 10 0.0412 7 0.0325 8 Police Legitimacy 0.0348 0.0157 32 0.0405 21 0.0068 37 0.0067 36 Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias 0.0444 17 0.0440 19 0.0396 17 0.0445 15 Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force 0.0137 0.0493 15 0.0509 17 0.0146 29 29 Lack of police accountability 38 22 23 0.0054 0.0165 31 0.0253 0.0263 Lack of police professionalism 0.0126 36 0.0095 34 0.0390 19 0.0089 34 Lack of trust in police, generally 0.0323 22 0.0189 30 0.0479 12 0.0530 14 Lack of trust in SPD, specifically 37 25 25 0.0098 0.0197 28 0.0186 0.0178 Organizational culture / stability in leadership 0.1089 6 0.0626 13 0.1380 2 0.0890 7 Unsupportive of SPD

#### 0.0202 **Police Reform** 0.0352 8 0.0224 12 11 0.0174 12 0.0136 35 0.0000 38 0.0122 32 0.0051 37 **Demilitarize** Police 0.0434 20 0.0156 0.0343 0.0567 13 28 20 Lack of adequate police training Review of SPD's crowd management practices 0.0352 20 0.0238 25 0.0327 20 0.0127 31 needed **Property Crime** 0.1055 1 0.1293 1 0.0863 1 0.1252 1 Protests 0.0152 15 0.0162 13 0.0226 9 0.0071 15 Public Order Crime 0.0921 2 0.0709 4 0.0571 4 0.0765 2 Public Safety & Community Capacity 0.0651 6 0.0518 7 0.0475 5 0.0589 5 0.0149 34 0.0037 36 0.0089 36 0.0170 27 Issues w/ 911 dispatch 0.0684 12 0.0823 9 0.0410 15 0.0443 16 Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street More city services / resources needed to respond to 9 7 0.0884 6 0.0794 0.1028 0.1006 4 behavioral crises 7 More city services / resources needed to respond to 5 0.1085 0.1098 0.0920 5 0.1097 3 homelessness 25 27 8 0.0290 0.0226 0.0607 0.0144 28 Police are being prevented from doing their job 0.1186 5 0.0520 15 0.0201 24 0.0930 6 Public parks are unsafe 27 0.0249 0.0288 24 0.0157 27 0.0372 19 Public spaces are unsafe 33 0.0535 Supportive of defunding police 0.0774 10 0.0122 9 0.0551 12 **SPD Supportive** 14 11 0.0310 8 14 0.0181 0.0257 0.0088 39 38 0.0217 23 39 0.0042 0.0000 0.0000 SPD doing a great job 23 26 0.0319 0.0515 16 0.0403 16 0.0176 Supportive of SPD 10 11 9 12 **Traffic Safety** 0.0322 0.0442 0.0112 0.0205 Violent Crime 0.0234 12 0.0140 14 0.0089 13 0.0226 10

#### Appendix: South Public Safety Concerns

lus de sc. / Menus	South		<b>Brighton / Dunlap</b>		Claremo	Claremont / Rainier Vista		Columbia City	
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2402	7	0.2336	9	0.4125	2	0.1229	8	
Alcohol use in public	0.2227	46	0.1742	62	0.5923	10	0.0784	65	
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.3624	21	0.3515	28	0.5132	15	0.1756	41	
Drug houses	0.1641	59	0.1984	60	0.0577	56	0.1075	58	
Drug use in public	0.2865	29	0.2984	41	0.6678	4	0.1774	40	
Marijuana use in public	0.2134	49	0.2188	56	0.3275	28	0.1218	53	
Open air drug markets	0.1841	55	0.1142	65	0.3357	25	0.0825	61	
Public intoxication	0.2482	41	0.2795	49	0.3934	23	0.1168	56	
Homelessness	0.3354	3	0.2821	6	0.4053	3	0.2237	4	
Aggressive panhandling	0.2225	47	0.2838	46	0.1153	51	0.2174	31	
Car/RV camping	0.4288	9	0.3078	39	0.4112	21	0.2579	20	
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4378	5	0.3787	22	0.5444	11	0.2399	23	
Squatting	0.2069	50	0.1458	64	0.2913	29	0.1843	36	
Transient Camps	0.3808	17	0.2945	43	0.6643	5	0.2188	29	
Police Capacity	0.4125	1	0.4232	2	0.5693	1	0.3044	1	
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4278	11	0.4805	11	0.6643	5	0.3657	5	
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4354	7	0.3748	25	0.6643	5	0.3668	4	
Inadequate police staffing	0.4223	13	0.4439	17	0.6511	9	0.2589	19	
Lack of police follow-up	0.3396	22	0.3927	20	0.3357	26	0.2650	17	
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4372	6	0.4238	18	0.5312	12	0.2656	16	
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2564	5	0.3153	5	0.1478	9	0.2124	5	
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2276	44	0.3219	35	0.2566	38	0.1496	45	
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2550	38	0.2673	51	0.0577	56	0.2166	32	
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0768	69	0.0411	69	0.0000	63	0.0812	63	
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1746	56	0.3455	30	0.0000	63	0.1670	42	
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.4655	3	0.5948	3	0.3944	22	0.4060	3	
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2717	32	0.3354	34	0.1990	40	0.2351	25	
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1901	54	0.1548	63	0.1955	48	0.1644	43	
Not enough public safety resources in the	0.3899	16	0.4619	15	0.0791	53	0.2789	15	
neighborhood									
Property Crime	0.3838	2	0.4019	3	0.3181	4	0.2795	2	
Auto theft	0.4200	14	0.4825	10	0.2566	31	0.2880	14	
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5686	1	0.5957	2	0.7301	1	0.4690	1	
Commercial burglary	0.2051	51	0.2148	58	0.1990	40	0.1162	57	
Non-residential property crime	0.2310	43	0.2817	48	0.1990	40	0.1470	48	
Property crime – general	0.4495	4	0.3801	21	0.2566	31	0.3232	8	
Property damage	0.4008	15	0.3766	24	0.4521	20	0.3374	7	
Residential burglary	0.3799	18	0.4542	16	0.0577	56	0.2185	30	
Theft	0.4234	12	0.4689	13	0.4556	17	0.2960	12	
Vandalism	0.3763	19	0.3624	26	0.2566	31	0.3206	9	

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2).

#### 2020 Public Safety Survey Results • Seattle-Citywide • Seattle Police Department • 107

### • • •

Public Order Crime	0.1980	9	0.2500	7	0.2098	7	0.1206	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.2646	34	0.3425	32	0.3934	23	0.1473	47
Fireworks	0.2614	36	0.4686	14	0.1990	40	0.1833	37
Graffiti	0.3708	20	0.3974	19	0.5265	13	0.2897	13
Illegal sex work	0.1070	66	0.0904	67	0.1990	40	0.0401	69
Illegal street vending	0.0622	70	0.0246	70	0.0000	63	0.0178	72
Indecent exposure	0.0832	68	0.0246	70	0.0577	56	0.0563	68
Public Order Crime – general	0.2170	48	0.3426	31	0.4556	17	0.0975	60
Trespassing	0.2621	35	0.2390	53	0.0000	63	0.1230	52
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1536	60	0.3201	36	0.0577	56	0.1308	51
Quality of Life	0.2056	8	0.2456	8	0.2005	8	0.1577	7
Civility issues - general	0.3065	26	0.3194	38	0.5132	15	0.2253	28
Crowd Behavior	0.1155	65	0.2412	52	0.0577	56	0.0345	70
Dogs off-leash	0.1696	57	0.2333	54	0.1990	40	0.1203	54
Dogs on the Beach	0.0375	71	0.0587	68	0.0000	63	0.0233	71
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2995	27	0.2920	44	0.6643	5	0.2313	27
Issues in the Parks	0.2516	39	0.3492	29	0.1990	40	0.1391	50
Littering/dumping	0.5413	2	0.5587	5	0.5265	13	0.4152	2
Loitering	0.2717	31	0.3004	40	0.1944	50	0.1594	44
Noise levels	0.1972	53	0.2850	45	0.1153	51	0.1877	35
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1999	52	0.2321	55	0.0791	53	0.1494	46
Soliciting	0.1185	64	0.1052	66	0.0577	62	0.1028	59
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0333	72	0.0000	72	0.0000	63	0.0577	67
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1312	63	0.2178	57	0.0000	63	0.2040	33
Traffic Safety	0.2792	4	0.3702	4	0.2535	6	0.2485	3
Bicycle safety	0.2247	45	0.2124	59	0.0000	63	0.2407	22
Drag racing	0.1653	58	0.2751	50	0.1955	48	0.1796	39
Parking issues	0.3079	24	0.3201	37	0.2566	31	0.2517	21
Pedestrian safety	0.3078	25	0.5455	6	0.3357	26	0.3040	11
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2701	33	0.2966	42	0.4556	17	0.1801	38
Traffic safety	0.2506	40	0.3572	27	0.2566	31	0.2338	26
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4282	10	0.5847	4	0.2746	30	0.3496	6
Violent Crime	0.2445	6	0.4396	1	0.2659	5	0.1660	6
Assault	0.2823	30	0.3787	23	0.2566	31	0.1942	34
Domestic violence	0.1317	62	0.2819	47	0.0000	63	0.0798	64
Gang activity	0.2883	28	0.4850	9	0.2566	38	0.2372	24
Gun violence	0.3278	23	0.5396	7	0.6725	2	0.2635	18
Homicide	0.1500	61	0.3415	33	0.0791	53	0.0818	62
Robbery	0.2568	37	0.4930	8	0.2566	31	0.1401	49
Sexual assault	0.0931	67	0.1866	61	0.0000	63	0.0635	66
Shots fired	0.4350	8	0.7737	1	0.6725	2	0.3162	10
Violent crime - general	0.2355	42	0.4761	12	0.1990	40	0.1177	55

Rank

7

40

19

### Lakewood / Seward Park Genesee Georgetown Hillman City Index / Item Mean\* Rank<sup>†</sup> Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean 9 0.1015 8 0.4136 4 0.1115 0.2102 0.1091 51 0.3054 40 0.0951 53 0.2282 Alcohol use in public 38 4 46 0.3650 Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia 0.1666 0.6664 0.1287 0 0603 60 0 2053 12 0 1/51 12 0 1250

Drugs & Alcohol

Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.1000	30	0.0004	4	0.1207	40	0.3650	19
Drug houses	0.0693	60	0.2953	42	0.1451	42	0.1350	60
Drug use in public	0.1451	43	0.5770	10	0.1132	49	0.1720	49
Marijuana use in public	0.1094	50	0.2317	51	0.1580	37	0.3185	26
Open air drug markets	0.0464	63	0.4222	23	0.0597	62	0.1086	63
Public intoxication	0.0645	61	0.3969	29	0.0806	57	0.1440	53
Homelessness	0.2352	4	0.5259	1	0.1329	7	0.3473	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.1036	52	0.3268	34	0.0716	61	0.1573	51
Car/RV camping	0.3458	12	0.6267	5	0.1879	31	0.5289	4
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.3325	13	0.7081	2	0.1481	40	0.4442	10
Squatting	0.0874	55	0.3575	31	0.1244	47	0.1733	47
Transient Camps	0.3068	16	0.6104	7	0.1326	45	0.4329	11
Police Capacity	0.3784	1	0.5139	3	0.2336	2	0.3391	3
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.3994	7	0.4494	21	0.1877	32	0.2833	29
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.3741	10	0.5173	13	0.2839	15	0.3624	21
Inadequate police staffing	0.4905	2	0.5699	11	0.2244	20	0.4780	7
Lack of police follow-up	0.1664	39	0.4295	22	0.2088	22	0.1809	46
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4617	5	0.6037	8	0.2633	16	0.3911	16
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2634	3	0.2792	5	0.1999	5	0.2042	8
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2432	24	0.2547	48	0.0859	55	0.1664	50
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2185	28	0.2830	44	0.1242	48	0.2463	39
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0446	65	0.0971	67	0.0383	66	0.0612	67
Lack of crime prevention education	0.2201	27	0.1950	53	0.2028	24	0.1202	62
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5102	1	0.4768	20	0.4171	4	0.4026	13
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3626	11	0.2983	41	0.3386	9	0.1489	52
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2054	29	0.1133	64	0.0785	58	0.1432	54
Not enough public safety resources in the	0.3025	18	0.5154	14	0.3141	11	0.3445	22
neighborhood	0.0000	0	0.5400	0	0.0050		0.0740	4
Property Crime	0.3223	2	0.5182	2	0.2050	4	0.3740	1
Auto theft	0.4687	4	0.4808	19	0.2026	25	0.4511	9
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.4739	3	0.6723	3	0.3693	7	0.5741	3
Commercial burglary	0.1680	37	0.5110	15	0.0362	67	0.1248	61
Non-residential property crime	0.0874	56	0.3372	33	0.1359	43	0.2188	42
Property crime – general	0.3812	8	0.6209	6	0.2043	23	0.4028	12
Property damage	0.3022	19	0.5447	12	0.1946	30	0.3367	24
Residential burglary	0.4059	6	0.4102	28	0.3264	10	0.5875	2
Theft	0.3080	15	0.5912	9	0.2224	21	0.3989	15
Vandalism	0.3051	17	0.4953	17	0.1530	38	0.2715	33

Public Order Crime	0.1231	7	0.2773	6	0.1303	8	0.1529	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.1880	34	0.4175	25	0.1062	51	0.1353	58
Fireworks	0.2319	26	0.0792	69	0.4569	3	0.3710	17
Graffiti	0.2385	25	0.4949	18	0.2946	14	0.2193	41
Illegal sex work	0.0000	67	0.2884	43	0.0313	68	0.0697	66
Illegal street vending	0.0284	66	0.1511	58	0.0000	71	0.0000	70
Indecent exposure	0.0000	67	0.1476	59	0.0743	59	0.0000	70
Public Order Crime – general	0.1319	45	0.3464	32	0.1046	52	0.2086	43
Trespassing	0.2002	31	0.4125	26	0.0869	54	0.1733	48
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0892	54	0.1581	57	0.0181	70	0.1986	44
Quality of Life	0.1633	6	0.2409	8	0.1639	6	0.2466	6
Civility issues - general	0.1500	42	0.5060	16	0.0827	56	0.2576	37
Crowd Behavior	0.0000	67	0.0892	68	0.0208	69	0.0280	69
Dogs off-leash	0.2860	21	0.1104	65	0.1622	35	0.3244	25
Dogs on the Beach	0.1237	46	0.0000	72	0.0416	65	0.1392	55
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2878	20	0.3597	30	0.1841	33	0.2963	28
Issues in the Parks	0.2643	22	0.3154	38	0.1122	50	0.4708	8
Littering/dumping	0.3308	14	0.7351	1	0.5624	1	0.6019	1
Loitering	0.1928	33	0.4104	27	0.1769	34	0.2773	32
Noise levels	0.1605	41	0.1764	55	0.2339	19	0.4023	14
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1006	53	0.2426	49	0.1348	44	0.3018	27
Soliciting	0.1733	36	0.1317	63	0.0730	60	0.0730	64
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	67	0.0067	71	0.0000	71	0.0000	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0531	62	0.0480	70	0.3462	8	0.0339	68
Traffic Safety	0.1838	5	0.2664	7	0.2651	1	0.2657	5
Bicycle safety	0.0788	58	0.1922	54	0.2457	18	0.2831	30
Drag racing	0.1986	32	0.1705	56	0.1978	26	0.1377	56
Parking issues	0.2527	23	0.4177	24	0.1970	28	0.1376	57
Pedestrian safety	0.0770	59	0.2797	45	0.3123	12	0.3675	18
Safety issues at bus stops	0.1787	35	0.2659	46	0.1511	39	0.2641	35
Traffic safety	0.1227	47	0.2232	52	0.2545	17	0.1920	45
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3782	9	0.3158	37	0.4973	2	0.4782	6
Violent Crime	0.0979	9	0.2208	9	0.2107	3	0.2718	4
Assault	0.1176	48	0.3133	39	0.1970	27	0.2478	38
Domestic violence	0.0855	57	0.1077	66	0.1960	29	0.1353	59
Gang activity	0.1158	49	0.1415	60	0.3073	13	0.3410	23
Gun violence	0.1445	44	0.2426	50	0.3780	6	0.3627	20
Homicide	0.0000	67	0.1369	61	0.0497	64	0.2585	36
Robbery	0.1660	40	0.3249	35	0.1584	36	0.2645	34
Sexual assault	0.0000	67	0.1352	62	0.0535	63	0.0721	65
Shots fired	0.2053	30	0.3236	36	0.4104	5	0.4812	5
Violent crime - general	0.0464	64	0.2613	47	0.1463	41	0.2830	31

Mid Beacon Hill Mount Baker **New Holly** North Beacon Hill Index / Item Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank 0.1497 0.2352 0.2380 9 0.2476 5 6 6 **Drugs & Alcohol** 0.1174 59 0.2492 36 0.3409 20 0.2339 36 Alcohol use in public 0.3785 0.4660 8 0.3294 24 0.3703 21 15 Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia 61 0.1250 61 0.0561 0.0804 61 0.1138 61 Drug houses 37 0.1560 52 0.2463 0.3411 19 0.2411 34 Drug use in public 53 0.2553 35 0.2521 31 0.0946 58 Marijuana use in public 0.1418 38 0.0733 64 0.2441 0.0632 58 0.1965 46 Open air drug markets 0.1161 60 0.2427 39 0.2145 41 0.3536 22 Public intoxication 0.4024 3 3 0.2897 2 0.3409 3 0.3589 Homelessness 31 54 0.3008 0.2721 0.1786 26 0.1094 56 Aggressive panhandling 0.5581 2 0.5119 3 0.2271 35 0.4263 12 Car/RV camping 3 5 3 0.5510 0.4965 0.3866 14 0.5227 Homeless encampments (non-regulated) 42 51 0.0561 0.1981 45 0.1960 0.1886 61 Squatting 0.4345 15 0.4187 14 0.4777 7 0.4481 11 **Transient Camps** 0.4606 1 0.4132 2 0.3748 1 0.4178 1 **Police Capacity** 0.5039 7 0.4010 16 0.4987 6 0.4100 16 Delayed police response to emergency calls 0.4716 11 0.4609 7 0.2201 38 0.4499 10 Delayed police response to non-emergency calls 0.4225 17 0.4164 15 0.3008 26 0.4124 15 Inadequate police staffing 0.4034 23 0.3493 0.3935 18 Lack of police follow-up 18 0.3495 17 8 0.5050 5 0.4230 13 0.5019 0.4380 11 Not enough police in the neighborhood 5 0.2314 7 0.2583 5 0.2457 4 0.2407 Community & Public Safety Capacity 46 0.2054 48 0.1896 42 0.2061 41 0.1773 Delay in answering emergency calls 30 0.2227 37 0.2816 28 0.2019 41 0.2623 Delay in answering non-emergency calls 0.1330 57 0.0470 69 0.0561 61 0.0478 69 Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers 0.1209 58 0.1098 63 0.3089 25 0.1204 54 Lack of crime prevention education 2 0.4454 14 0.4193 13 0.3112 24 0.5315 Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness 0.3113 27 0.2569 34 0.2751 29 0.1800 49 Lack of resources related to social services 0.1748 48 0.1636 58 0.1896 43 0.2470 32 No block watch or safety related neighborhood group Not enough public safety resources in the 0.3611 22 0.3866 19 0.5132 4 0.3511 23 neighborhood 2 0.1999 2 **Property Crime** 0.4283 0.4135 1 8 0.4116 0.4947 9 0.4539 8 0.1007 52 0.5127 4 Auto theft Car prowls (something stolen from within your car) 0.5848 1 0.6651 1 0.2271 34 0.7008 1 0.1341 56 0.1998 49 0.0561 61 0.1250 53 Commercial burglary 0.2294 38 0.2268 45 0.0632 0.2037 42 60 Non-residential property crime 0.5348 4 0.5106 4 0.3383 21 0.4531 9 Property crime - general 0.3900 18 0.2201 0.3894 19 0.4615 13 40 Property damage 0.2271 0.4083 0.4945 10 0.4522 9 35 17 Residential burglary 0.5327 5 0.4295 12 0.3466 0.4177 14 18 Theft

0.3935

17

0.2201

38

0.4932

5

0.3886

Vandalism

20

Public Order Crime	0.1685	8	0.1957	8	0.1522	9	0.1874	7
Disorderly Behavior	0.2144	40	0.2238	46	0.4274	9	0.2166	37
Fireworks	0.2836	29	0.2605	31	0.1007	53	0.2026	44
Graffiti	0.4245	16	0.3820	20	0.3383	21	0.4665	7
Illegal sex work	0.0201	71	0.1283	60	0.0000	69	0.0765	62
Illegal street vending	0.0477	68	0.0618	68	0.0375	68	0.0674	64
Indecent exposure	0.0493	67	0.0744	67	0.0561	61	0.0570	66
Public Order Crime – general	0.0880	63	0.2070	47	0.1193	49	0.2071	40
Trespassing	0.3179	25	0.2354	42	0.1338	48	0.3296	25
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0706	65	0.1879	52	0.1569	45	0.0629	65
Quality of Life	0.1901	7	0.1938	9	0.2010	7	0.1761	9
Civility issues - general	0.2552	32	0.2848	28	0.4017	11	0.2560	30
Crowd Behavior	0.0349	69	0.1508	59	0.2459	32	0.0559	67
Dogs off-leash	0.1682	49	0.1959	50	0.0911	56	0.1413	51
Dogs on the Beach	0.0306	70	0.0461	70	0.0000	69	0.0103	72
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3474	23	0.2570	33	0.1487	47	0.1854	48
Issues in the Parks	0.2322	36	0.2373	41	0.3153	23	0.3443	24
Littering/dumping	0.5115	6	0.5595	2	0.5680	3	0.4810	6
Loitering	0.2325	35	0.2287	44	0.4114	10	0.3247	26
Noise levels	0.1408	54	0.1677	55	0.2751	29	0.1115	55
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1959	43	0.1670	56	0.0000	69	0.2130	39
Soliciting	0.1366	55	0.1058	64	0.0561	61	0.0408	70
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	72	0.0229	72	0.0000	69	0.0330	71
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1857	45	0.0955	65	0.0992	55	0.0915	59
Traffic Safety	0.3002	4	0.2843	4	0.2713	3	0.2391	5
Bicycle safety	0.2835	30	0.2302	43	0.0632	57	0.2849	27
Drag racing	0.1657	50	0.1186	62	0.1825	44	0.1008	57
Parking issues	0.3787	21	0.2886	27	0.3583	16	0.2132	38
Pedestrian safety	0.3142	26	0.3336	24	0.1558	46	0.2713	29
Safety issues at bus stops	0.1920	44	0.3263	25	0.4017	11	0.2030	43
Traffic safety	0.2980	28	0.2424	40	0.1183	51	0.2396	35
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4695	12	0.4506	10	0.6192	2	0.3607	21
Violent Crime	0.2025	6	0.2416	6	0.2646	4	0.1765	8
Assault	0.2346	34	0.2847	29	0.3008	26	0.1323	52
Domestic violence	0.1748	47	0.0955	66	0.0632	58	0.0693	63
Gang activity	0.2394	33	0.3063	26	0.4355	8	0.1855	47
Gun violence	0.1585	51	0.3608	22	0.4015	13	0.1755	50
Homicide	0.1038	62	0.1670	57	0.0551	67	0.0546	68
Robbery	0.2169	39	0.2590	32	0.1007	53	0.2524	31
Sexual assault	0.0634	66	0.0436	71	0.1193	50	0.0891	60
Shots fired	0.4017	19	0.4734	6	0.6593	1	0.3834	20
Violent crime - general	0.2296	37	0.1843	53	0.2457	33	0.2468	33

**Rainier Beach Rainier View** SODO South Beacon Hill Index / Item Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank 0.2096 0.4974 0.1444 9 0.2218 8 4 8 **Drugs & Alcohol** 0.2000 56 0.2280 39 0.5351 21 0.0583 59 Alcohol use in public 0.6980 3 27 0.2502 43 0.1572 52 0.2549 Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia 0.2204 51 0.2491 37 0.1968 54 0.2532 28 Drug houses 0.2591 39 0.2109 43 0.5870 15 0.1872 41 Drug use in public 0.2257 49 0.3845 17 0.4031 36 0.0941 52 Marijuana use in public 0.1318 65 0.1187 60 0.4363 32 0.0583 59 Open air drug markets 0.1801 58 0.2039 48 0.6251 8 0.1047 50 Public intoxication 0.2436 6 0.2321 6 0.6087 1 6 0.1984 Homelessness 0.1515 61 0.1618 51 0.5604 17 0.0443 65 Aggressive panhandling 0.3307 24 0.4335 12 0.7025 2 0.2457 31 Car/RV camping 55 1 8 0.3112 28 0.1462 0.7299 0.3764 Homeless encampments (non-regulated) 0.2044 47 0.4436 0.0654 57 0.1376 64 31 Squatting 0.2870 34 0.2145 41 0.6071 12 0.2602 25 **Transient Camps** 0.4275 1 0.4919 1 0.5113 3 0.3429 1 **Police Capacity** 0.4937 6 0.4581 9 0.5014 26 0.3909 5 Delayed police response to emergency calls 0.4631 10 0.4499 10 0.5199 23 0.3777 7 Delayed police response to non-emergency calls 0.3891 16 0.6015 4 0.6105 10 0.2622 24 Inadequate police staffing 0.4034 0.3252 0.3786 Lack of police follow-up 0.3693 18 15 42 6 6 0.5994 0.3050 19 0.4223 0.5465 14 Not enough police in the neighborhood 14 5 0.2685 4 0.2984 7 0.2601 3 0.2999 Community & Public Safety Capacity 36 33 0.3491 40 0.1897 40 0.2812 0.2643 Delay in answering emergency calls 38 0.3128 0.2434 32 0.2606 26 0.3587 39 Delay in answering non-emergency calls 0.1193 67 0.1463 54 0.0603 69 0.0528 62 Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers 37 0.2237 50 0.1438 56 0.1600 62 0.2077 Lack of crime prevention education 5 0.5582 0.5080 0.3591 20 18 0.3444 15 Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness 0.3292 25 0.2086 44 0.2465 47 0.3194 17 Lack of resources related to social services 0.2183 52 0.4421 11 0.1678 58 0.3485 14 No block watch or safety related neighborhood group Not enough public safety resources in the 0.4585 11 0.2712 31 0.4864 29 0.3747 9 neighborhood 3 2 0.5353 2 2 **Property Crime** 0.3579 0.3556 0.2771 0.4160 15 0.4238 13 0.4866 28 0.3737 10 Auto theft 7 0.4322 Car prowls (something stolen from within your car) 0.4877 0.5822 5 0.6820 5 3 0.1404 63 0.0902 62 0.5109 25 0.0926 53 Commercial burglary 46 0.0300 68 0.4982 27 0.0721 55 0.2372 Non-residential property crime 0.4377 12 0.3940 16 0.6561 6 0.2917 21 Property crime - general 23 0.6886 0.2652 23 0.3422 0.3339 24 4 Property damage 0.3555 0.4727 9 0.6239 2 0.1160 65 13 Residential burglary 0.3744 17 0.3665 0.6051 13 0.3579 18 11 Theft 0.5746 0.3125 27 0.3556 22 16 0.2530 29 Vandalism

Public Order Crime	0.2214	7	0.1828	9	0.3311	5	0.0936	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.2787	37	0.2062	46	0.5438	20	0.1173	49
Fireworks	0.4317	13	0.4676	8	0.0191	71	0.3099	18
Graffiti	0.2912	33	0.3310	25	0.6085	11	0.0589	58
Illegal sex work	0.1035	68	0.1248	58	0.2465	48	0.0126	69
Illegal street vending	0.0629	71	0.0000	71	0.1683	57	0.0443	66
Indecent exposure	0.0839	69	0.0000	71	0.2821	44	0.0000	70
Public Order Crime – general	0.2551	41	0.1545	53	0.4047	35	0.0840	54
Trespassing	0.2567	40	0.2952	28	0.5185	24	0.0528	61
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.2287	47	0.0662	64	0.1885	55	0.1625	46
Quality of Life	0.2165	8	0.2287	7	0.2676	8	0.1944	7
Civility issues - general	0.2525	42	0.2788	29	0.6106	9	0.2089	35
Crowd Behavior	0.2001	55	0.2709	32	0.1873	56	0.0993	51
Dogs off-leash	0.1920	57	0.2714	30	0.0891	68	0.1806	43
Dogs on the Beach	0.0669	70	0.0601	65	0.0000	72	0.0000	70
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3543	19	0.2278	40	0.4252	33	0.3286	16
Issues in the Parks	0.3024	31	0.1142	61	0.1603	61	0.2805	22
Littering/dumping	0.5116	4	0.6338	1	0.6256	7	0.5647	1
Loitering	0.2048	54	0.2561	34	0.5554	19	0.0709	56
Noise levels	0.2428	44	0.3103	27	0.1166	64	0.2585	26
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1746	59	0.3351	23	0.2571	46	0.2975	20
Soliciting	0.1429	62	0.1248	58	0.2309	51	0.0528	62
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0166	72	0.0300	68	0.1642	60	0.0000	70
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1527	60	0.0600	67	0.0570	70	0.1851	42
Traffic Safety	0.3294	4	0.2775	3	0.3005	6	0.2140	4
Bicycle safety	0.2147	53	0.3589	21	0.2093	53	0.1289	48
Drag racing	0.2418	45	0.2126	42	0.1112	67	0.0252	67
Parking issues	0.3511	21	0.2542	36	0.4729	30	0.1932	39
Pedestrian safety	0.2932	32	0.2065	45	0.3772	37	0.2282	34
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3535	20	0.1293	57	0.4064	34	0.2470	30
Traffic safety	0.3258	26	0.2378	38	0.2347	49	0.1751	44
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.5260	3	0.5432	7	0.2919	43	0.5004	2
Violent Crime	0.3582	2	0.2413	5	0.2622	9	0.2007	5
Assault	0.3458	22	0.2561	35	0.5263	22	0.2422	33
Domestic violence	0.2283	48	0.1676	50	0.1114	66	0.0196	68
Gang activity	0.4786	8	0.4218	14	0.2206	52	0.1746	45
Gun violence	0.5471	2	0.3620	19	0.2313	50	0.3557	12
Homicide	0.3044	30	0.0262	70	0.1346	63	0.1554	47
Robbery	0.2850	35	0.1875	49	0.3642	38	0.1952	38
Sexual assault	0.1291	66	0.0601	66	0.1672	59	0.0516	64
Shots fired	0.5998	1	0.6148	3	0.2647	45	0.4039	4
Violent crime - general	0.3056	29	0.0753	63	0.3393	41	0.2082	36

### **Appendix: South Weighted Themes**

	S	outh	Bright	on / Dunlap	Claremo	ont / Rainier Vista	Colu	Columbia City		
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank <sup>†</sup>	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank		
Behavioral Crisis	0.0189	14	0.0967	3	0.0000	6	0.0260	11		
City Politics	0.0627	1	0.0500	7	0.0326	5	0.0357	6		
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0268	22	0.0322	12	0.0000	7	0.0335	17		
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0169	28	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0097	32		
Unsupportive of city council	0.1444	1	0.1179	2	0.0979	5	0.0638	8		
Drug Activity	0.0228	13	0.0000	13	0.0000	6	0.0168	12		
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0112	35	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0152	28		
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0344	18	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0183	26		
Homelessness	0.0253	12	0.0064	12	0.1328	1	0.0283	9		
Bring back navigation team	0.0065	38	0.0000	21	0.3320	2	0.0000	37		
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0458	11	0.0000	21	0.3320	2	0.0284	19		
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0119	34	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0187	23		
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0488	10	0.0322	12	0.0000	7	0.0849	6		
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0135	32	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0097	32		
Lawlessness	0.0312	11	0.0458	8	0.0653	3	0.0281	10		
Crime is on the rise	0.0293	21	0.0603	9	0.0000	7	0.0087	36		
Lawlessness general	0.0213	26	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0391	13		
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0431	12	0.0770	7	0.1958	4	0.0364	14		
Police Capacity	0.0621	2	0.0397	10	0.0860	2	0.0570	2		
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0064	39	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0097	35		
More police community outreach needed	0.0171	27	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0187	23		
More police needed	0.1264	2	0.0954	5	0.0000	7	0.1061	5		
Slow or no police response	0.0399	14	0.0847	6	0.0000	7	0.0364	15		
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1209	3	0.0184	20	0.4299	1	0.1141	3		
Police Legitimacy	0.0388	7	0.0429	9	0.0000	6	0.0528	5		
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0390	16	0.0322	12	0.0000	7	0.0473	11		
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0419	13	0.0322	12	0.0000	7	0.0604	9		
Lack of police accountability	0.0314	20	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0395	12		
Lack of police professionalism	0.0149	30	0.0322	12	0.0000	7	0.0133	31		
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0166	29	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0135	30		
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0396	15	0.1414	1	0.0000	7	0.0268	20		
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0261	23	0.0000	21	0.0000	7	0.0152	28		
Unsupportive of SPD	0.1006	4	0.1051	4	0.0000	7	0.2062	1		

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents whose partial or full comment was coded as a theme (e.g., a value of 0.0370 shows that 3.7% of comments, for respondents who commented, could be coded for a specific theme). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .0220 shows that averaged across all respondents who commented, 2.2% of themes included within an index were coded to a comment). <sup>1</sup>The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes themes identified more often by respondents in their comments than an index with a ranking of 2).

### **Police Reform** 0.0333 0.0000 13 0.0000 6 0.0314 8 9 0.0145 31 0.0000 21 0.0000 7 0.0526 10 **Demilitarize** Police 0.0598 8 0.0000 21 0.0000 7 0.0249 21 Lack of adequate police training Review of SPD's crowd management practices 0.0258 24 0.0000 21 0.0000 7 0.0168 27 needed **Property Crime** 0.0408 6 0.0770 5 0.0000 6 0.0316 7 Protests 0.0069 15 0.0000 13 0.0000 6 0.0000 15 Public Order Crime 0.0468 4 0.0674 6 0.0000 6 0.0547 4 Public Safety & Community Capacity 0.0458 5 0.0250 11 0.0000 0.0567 3 6 0.0096 37 0.0000 21 0.0000 7 0.0000 37 Issues w/ 911 dispatch 0.0380 17 0.0000 21 0.0000 7 0.0097 34 Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street More city services / resources needed to respond to 0.0848 6 11 7 0.1109 0.0561 0.0000 4 behavioral crises More city services / resources needed to respond to 7 7 7 0.0771 0.0258 19 0.0000 0.0836 homelessness 12 7 0.0326 19 0.0322 0.0000 0.0335 18 Police are being prevented from doing their job 0.0251 25 0.0281 18 0.0000 7 0.0361 16 Public parks are unsafe 7 0.0133 33 0.0000 21 0.0000 0.0183 25 Public spaces are unsafe 5 10 7 2 Supportive of defunding police 0.0855 0.0580 0.0000 0.1617 SPD Supportive 10 0.0490 0.0124 13 0.0317 0.0898 4 4 3 7 37 0.0104 36 0.1122 0.0000 0.0000 SPD doing a great job Supportive of SPD 0.0530 9 0.0674 8 0.0979 5 0.0249 21 8 2 6 14 **Traffic Safety** 0.0381 0.0997 0.0000 0.0087 Violent Crime 0.0528 3 1 0.0000 0.0877 0.1206 6 1

las desse / literas	Ge	enesee	Geor	getown	Hillma	an City	Lakewood	/ Seward Park
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0931	3	0.0000	12	0.0261	8	0.0239	10
City Politics	0.0976	2	0.0819	3	0.0275	7	0.1042	2
City politics decreasing public safety	0.1112	4	0.0151	23	0.0000	20	0.0419	14
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0000	20	0.0238	19	0.0000	20	0.0903	5
Unsupportive of city council	0.1817	3	0.2067	2	0.0825	9	0.1805	2
Drug Activity	0.0232	10	0.0659	4	0.0130	12	0.0000	12
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0465	13	0.1318	6	0.0261	17	0.0000	21
Homelessness	0.0165	11	0.0495	7	0.0000	13	0.0149	11
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0295	15	0.1016	7	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0265	17	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0239	19
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0000	20	0.0445	15	0.0000	20	0.0505	13
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0265	17	0.1016	7	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Lawlessness	0.0374	5	0.0339	9	0.0200	9	0.0441	5
Crime is on the rise	0.0560	10	0.0629	10	0.0600	13	0.0000	21
Lawlessness general	0.0295	15	0.0151	23	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0265	19	0.0238	18	0.0000	20	0.1322	4
Police Capacity	0.0904	4	0.0846	2	0.0429	5	0.0920	3
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0000	20	0.0151	25	0.0299	14	0.0000	21
More police community outreach needed	0.0730	9	0.0000	26	0.0261	17	0.0000	21
More police needed	0.2377	1	0.1643	5	0.1096	4	0.1507	3
Slow or no police response	0.0560	11	0.0359	16	0.0190	19	0.0365	16
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0856	6	0.2079	1	0.0299	14	0.2730	1
Police Legitimacy	0.0063	12	0.0131	10	0.0776	2	0.0262	9
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0631	12
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0000	20	0.0238	21	0.1626	3	0.0365	18
Lack of police accountability	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0716	11	0.0000	21
Lack of police professionalism	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0716	11	0.0365	16
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0000	20	0.0570	12	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0000	20	0.0238	21	0.0750	10	0.0000	21
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0507	12	0.0000	26	0.2397	1	0.0730	10

Police Reform	0.0000	13	0.0079	11	0.0361	6	0.0301	7
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Lack of adequate police training	0.0000	20	0.0238	20	0.0000	20	0.0903	6
Review of SPD's crowd management practices	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.1082	5	0.0000	21
needed								
Property Crime	0.0265	7	0.1318	1	0.0190	10	0.0000	12
Protests	0.0000	13	0.0000	12	0.0000	13	0.0000	12
Public Order Crime	0.0265	7	0.0000	12	0.0000	13	0.0266	8
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0369	6	0.0602	6	0.0511	3	0.0391	6
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0299	14	0.0000	21
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.1087	5	0.0541	13	0.0000	20	0.0729	11
More city services / resources needed to respond to	0.0730	7	0.1805	3	0.0861	7	0.0870	8
behavioral crises		_				_		_
More city services / resources needed to respond to	0.0730	7	0.1749	4	0.0861	7	0.0870	8
homelessness	0.0000	20	0.0277	17	0.0000	20	0.0419	14
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Public parks are unsafe	0.0000	20	0.0000	26	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0000	14	0.0000	20 14	0.2071	20	0.0239	19
Supportive of defunding police								-
SPD Supportive	0.1044	1	0.0645	5	0.0450	4	0.0441	4
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	20	0.0570	11	0.0000	20	0.0000	21
Supportive of SPD	0.2088	2	0.0721	9	0.0899	6	0.0881	7
Traffic Safety	0.0265	7	0.0000	12	0.0190	10	0.2872	1
Violent Crime	0.0000	13	0.0359	8	0.1124	1	0.0000	12

	Mid E	Beacon Hill	Μοι	Int Baker	Ne	w Holly	North	Beacon Hill
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0000	13	0.0000	15	0.0000	7	0.0000	15
City Politics	0.0766	1	0.0756	3	0.0000	7	0.0588	3
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0000	29	0.0695	11	0.0000	7	0.0562	12
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0843	8	0.0000	35	0.0000	7	0.0128	26
Unsupportive of city council	0.1456	2	0.1573	2	0.0000	7	0.1073	3
Drug Activity	0.0288	8	0.0345	10	0.0000	7	0.0202	12
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	29	0.0140	32	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0576	17	0.0550	16	0.0000	7	0.0404	20
Homelessness	0.0546	3	0.0261	12	0.0501	3	0.0129	13
Bring back navigation team	0.0170	24	0.0140	31	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1062	5	0.0355	18	0.1500	3	0.0243	23
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	29	0.0232	28	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.1330	4	0.0575	15	0.1003	4	0.0404	20
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0170	24	0.0000	35	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Lawlessness	0.0192	11	0.0438	9	0.0000	7	0.0410	6
Crime is on the rise	0.0000	29	0.0458	17	0.0000	7	0.0230	24
Lawlessness general	0.0000	29	0.0161	30	0.0000	7	0.0482	17
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0576	16	0.0695	12	0.0000	7	0.0519	15
Police Capacity	0.0361	6	0.0688	4	0.0338	4	0.0306	9
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0000	29	0.0000	35	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
More police community outreach needed	0.0000	29	0.0092	34	0.0000	7	0.0115	27
More police needed	0.0322	20	0.1252	4	0.0000	7	0.0708	7
Slow or no police response	0.0535	18	0.0881	10	0.1688	1	0.0000	28
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0947	7	0.1217	5	0.0000	7	0.0708	8
Police Legitimacy	0.0626	2	0.0504	7	0.0211	6	0.0601	2
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.1684	1	0.0355	19	0.0000	7	0.0381	22
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0385	19	0.0354	20	0.0000	7	0.0785	5
Lack of police accountability	0.0792	9	0.0253	27	0.0000	7	0.1118	2
Lack of police professionalism	0.0233	22	0.0000	35	0.0000	7	0.0202	25
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0000	29	0.0102	33	0.1688	1	0.0000	28
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0688	11	0.0345	21	0.0000	7	0.0605	9
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0639	12	0.1032	6	0.0000	7	0.0532	14
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0588	15	0.1589	1	0.0000	7	0.1186	1

Police Reform	0.0140	12	0.0244	13	0.0000	7	0.0373	8
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	29	0.0286	25	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Lack of adequate police training	0.0152	28	0.0194	29	0.0000	7	0.0599	11
Review of SPD's crowd management practices	0.0267	21	0.0253	26	0.0000	7	0.0518	16
needed		•	0.4404			_		
Property Crime	0.0233	9	0.1184	1	0.0000	1	0.0636	1
Protests	0.0000	13	0.0092	14	0.0000	7	0.0471	4
Public Order Crime	0.0233	9	0.0776	2	0.0000	7	0.0466	5
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0542	4	0.0679	5	0.0246	5	0.0400	7
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0233	22	0.0323	23	0.0962	6	0.0000	28
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0152	26	0.0987	7	0.0000	7	0.0482	17
More city services / resources needed to respond to	0.1336	3	0.0943	9	0.0000	7	0.0904	4
behavioral crises More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0791	10	0.1266	3	0.0000	7	0.0776	6
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0152	26	0.0323	23	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Public parks are unsafe	0.0625	13	0.0619	14	0.1003	4	0.0605	9
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0000	29	0.0324	22	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Supportive of defunding police	0.1046	6	0.0645	13	0.0000	7	0.0431	19
SPD Supportive	0.0312	7	0.0483	8	0.0000	7	0.0275	10
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	29	0.0000	35	0.0000	7	0.0000	28
Supportive of SPD	0.0625	14	0.0966	8	0.0000	7	0.0549	13
Traffic Safety	0.0000	13	0.0261	11	0.1003	2	0.0115	14
Violent Crime	0.0535	5	0.0636	6	0.1688	1	0.0230	11

la dess / Koss	Rair	ier Beach	Rainie	View	SO	DO	South Be	eacon Hill
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0000	12	0.0000	9	0.0439	5	0.0000	10
City Politics	0.0336	7	0.0999	2	0.1093	1	0.0469	3
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0000	30	0.0000	18	0.0149	22	0.0000	23
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0000	30	0.0000	18	0.0342	14	0.0000	23
Unsupportive of city council	0.1007	4	0.2997	1	0.2788	1	0.1408	2
Drug Activity	0.0000	12	0.0211	6	0.0515	4	0.0279	8
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0000	30	0.0000	18	0.0665	7	0.0279	19
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0000	30	0.0423	9	0.0365	13	0.0279	19
Homelessness	0.0085	10	0.0000	9	0.0393	6	0.0455	4
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	30	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0000	23
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0213	18	0.0000	18	0.0702	6	0.1293	5
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0000	30	0.0000	18	0.0505	11	0.0000	23
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0106	25	0.0000	18	0.0661	8	0.0981	9
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0106	28	0.0000	18	0.0097	26	0.0000	23
Lawlessness	0.0107	9	0.0141	7	0.0379	7	0.0573	2
Crime is on the rise	0.0106	26	0.0000	18	0.0420	12	0.0427	14
Lawlessness general	0.0000	30	0.0423	9	0.0718	5	0.0000	23
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0213	17	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.1293	6
Police Capacity	0.0725	1	0.0771	3	0.0806	3	0.0266	9
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0085	29	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0279	19
More police community outreach needed	0.0229	16	0.1433	4	0.0097	24	0.0000	23
More police needed	0.2077	1	0.0665	6	0.1467	3	0.0427	14
Slow or no police response	0.0385	11	0.0423	9	0.0000	27	0.0311	16
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0846	5	0.1333	5	0.2464	2	0.0311	17
Police Legitimacy	0.0328	8	0.0136	8	0.0112	12	0.0372	7
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0555	7	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0427	13
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0209	19	0.0000	18	0.0171	20	0.0490	12
Lack of police accountability	0.0116	24	0.0423	9	0.0171	18	0.0000	23
Lack of police professionalism	0.0154	22	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0000	23
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0347	13	0.0000	18	0.0210	17	0.0000	23
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0385	11	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0706	11
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0255	14	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0000	23
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0603	6	0.0665	6	0.0341	15	0.1352	3

Police Reform	0.0655	3	0.1096	1	0.0336	8	0.0451	5
Demilitarize Police	0.0249	15	0.0423	9	0.0000	27	0.0000	23
Lack of adequate police training	0.1611	2	0.2866	2	0.0838	4	0.0000	23
Review of SPD's crowd management practices	0.0106	27	0.0000	18	0.0171	20	0.1352	3
needed								
Property Crime	0.0000	12	0.0000	9	0.0097	14	0.0000	10
Protests	0.0000	12	0.0000	9	0.0171	10	0.0000	10
Public Order Crime	0.0569	5	0.0000	9	0.0923	2	0.0427	6
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0376	6	0.0561	4	0.0222	9	0.0702	1
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0000	30	0.0665	8	0.0000	27	0.0000	23
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0174	20	0.0000	18	0.0314	16	0.0981	10
More city services / resources needed to respond to	0.0495	9	0.0423	9	0.0627	9	0.0311	17
behavioral crises								
More city services / resources needed to respond to	0.0541	8	0.0423	9	0.0137	23	0.1173	8
homelessness	0.0174	21	0.2135	3	0.0525	10	0.1293	6
Police are being prevented from doing their job				-		-		-
Public parks are unsafe	0.0000	30	0.0423	9	0.0000	27	0.0279	19
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0462	10	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0000	23
Supportive of defunding police	0.1158	3	0.0423	9	0.0171	19	0.1581	1
SPD Supportive	0.0077	11	0.0000	9	0.0049	15	0.0000	10
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	30	0.0000	18	0.0000	27	0.0000	23
Supportive of SPD	0.0154	22	0.0000	18	0.0097	25	0.0000	23
Traffic Safety	0.0635	4	0.0379	5	0.0097	13	0.0000	10
Violent Crime	0.0659	2	0.0000	9	0.0149	11	0.0000	10

## Appendix: Southwest Public Safety Concerns

	Sou	thwest	Alas	a Junction		Alki	Commerc	ial Duwamish
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank <sup>†</sup>	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2270	6	0.2719	5	0.2654	6	0.3049	4
Alcohol use in public	0.2125	47	0.2853	35	0.3897	25	0.1730	31
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.3324	24	0.3965	20	0.2642	41	0.8901	1
Drug houses	0.1629	57	0.1021	65	0.0500	70	0.0000	50
Drug use in public	0.3033	27	0.3702	25	0.3239	32	0.6771	6
Marijuana use in public	0.2179	45	0.2303	45	0.4070	24	0.1313	40
Open air drug markets	0.1215	62	0.1426	56	0.0765	66	0.1313	40
Public intoxication	0.2387	38	0.3763	23	0.3468	29	0.1313	40
Homelessness	0.3482	3	0.3672	3	0.3189	4	0.4858	2
Aggressive panhandling	0.2262	42	0.3324	30	0.1485	57	0.3860	15
Car/RV camping	0.4816	8	0.4151	18	0.5855	5	0.5040	14
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.4316	13	0.4992	8	0.3068	35	0.8901	1
Squatting	0.2249	43	0.1824	52	0.2104	46	0.1313	40
Transient Camps	0.3768	17	0.4067	19	0.3433	30	0.5173	10
Police Capacity	0.4459	1	0.4307	2	0.4925	1	0.5930	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4294	14	0.3936	21	0.4850	13	0.5857	7
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4897	5	0.4821	9	0.5190	11	0.3860	15
Inadequate police staffing	0.4732	10	0.4427	16	0.5693	6	0.8901	1
Lack of police follow-up	0.3480	21	0.3574	26	0.2893	36	0.2130	27
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.4893	6	0.4779	11	0.6000	4	0.8901	1
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2365	5	0.2303	7	0.2242	8	0.1776	6
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2008	51	0.1738	53	0.2832	37	0.0000	50
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2695	34	0.2507	39	0.2606	42	0.1730	31
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0605	69	0.0204	71	0.0551	69	0.0000	50
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1330	58	0.1065	63	0.1765	53	0.0000	50
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.4673	12	0.5616	2	0.3236	33	0.5173	10
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2318	41	0.2423	40	0.1564	56	0.2130	27
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.1765	55	0.1010	66	0.1910	48	0.3443	20
Not enough public safety resources in the	0.3530	20	0.3858	22	0.3473	28	0.1730	31
neighborhood								
Property Crime	0.4349	2	0.4597	1	0.4217	2	0.3996	3
Auto theft	0.5320	2	0.5122	6	0.4831	15	0.3443	20
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.6979	1	0.7100	1	0.6712	1	0.5173	10
Commercial burglary	0.1899	53	0.2393	42	0.1168	61	0.1730	31
Non-residential property crime	0.2029	50	0.2727	36	0.1441	58	0.1730	31
Property crime – general	0.5200	3	0.5053	7	0.5307	9	0.3043	24
Property damage	0.4220	15	0.4561	12	0.4270	21	0.8901	1
Residential burglary	0.4849	7	0.4812	10	0.4647	19	0.3443	20
Theft	0.4808	9	0.5179	5	0.4842	14	0.5458	9
Vandalism	0.3837	16	0.4431	15	0.4735	17	0.3043	24

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2).

## 2020 Public Safety Survey Results • Seattle-Citywide • Seattle Police Department • 123

### • • •

Public Order Crime	0.1866	9	0.1973	8	0.2398	7	0.0911	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.2930	29	0.4187	17	0.4715	18	0.3443	20
Fireworks	0.3384	22	0.2366	43	0.5050	12	0.0000	50
Graffiti	0.3279	26	0.3409	28	0.4202	22	0.1313	40
Illegal sex work	0.0414	70	0.0512	69	0.0123	72	0.0000	50
Illegal street vending	0.0274	71	0.0213	70	0.0555	68	0.0000	50
Indecent exposure	0.0713	68	0.1451	55	0.0926	65	0.0000	50
Public Order Crime – general	0.1928	52	0.1850	50	0.1813	51	0.0000	50
Trespassing	0.2593	35	0.2549	38	0.2457	43	0.1313	40
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1273	59	0.1217	61	0.1739	54	0.2130	27
Quality of Life	0.2187	7	0.2516	6	0.3094	5	0.1099	8
Civility issues - general	0.3377	23	0.5406	4	0.4746	16	0.1313	40
Crowd Behavior	0.1074	64	0.1294	59	0.4147	23	0.0000	50
Dogs off-leash	0.1803	54	0.1680	54	0.2206	45	0.0000	50
Dogs on the Beach	0.1237	61	0.1404	57	0.2770	40	0.0000	50
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2830	32	0.3169	32	0.2803	39	0.1313	40
Issues in the Parks	0.3734	19	0.4452	13	0.5375	7	0.2130	27
Littering/dumping	0.5047	4	0.5552	3	0.5237	10	0.5173	10
Loitering	0.2728	33	0.3563	27	0.3811	26	0.3043	24
Noise levels	0.2400	37	0.2179	47	0.5325	8	0.0000	50
Problem/nuisance properties	0.2215	44	0.1913	49	0.1936	47	0.1313	40
Soliciting	0.1127	63	0.1275	60	0.0990	64	0.0000	50
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0075	72	0.0039	72	0.0141	71	0.0000	50
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0782	67	0.0786	67	0.0734	67	0.0000	50
Traffic Safety	0.3068	4	0.3072	4	0.4053	3	0.1826	5
Bicycle safety	0.2852	31	0.3356	29	0.3213	34	0.3860	15
Drag racing	0.2925	30	0.2418	41	0.6448	3	0.1730	31
Parking issues	0.3282	25	0.3035	33	0.4350	20	0.3727	19
Pedestrian safety	0.2932	28	0.2635	37	0.3688	27	0.0000	50
Safety issues at bus stops	0.2478	36	0.3762	24	0.1353	60	0.0000	50
Traffic safety	0.2326	39	0.1844	51	0.2826	38	0.1730	31
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4681	11	0.4451	14	0.6493	2	0.1730	31
Violent Crime	0.1899	8	0.1870	9	0.1823	9	0.1418	7
Assault	0.2322	40	0.3231	31	0.1908	49	0.0000	50
Domestic violence	0.1237	60	0.1300	58	0.1386	59	0.0000	50
Gang activity	0.2032	49	0.1199	62	0.2348	44	0.1730	31
Gun violence	0.2075	48	0.2189	46	0.1802	52	0.0000	50
Homicide	0.0854	66	0.0622	68	0.1117	62	0.0000	50
Robbery	0.2168	46	0.2335	44	0.1655	55	0.3860	15
Sexual assault	0.0927	65	0.1040	64	0.1010	63	0.0000	50
Shots fired	0.3748	18	0.2907	34	0.3346	31	0.5857	7
Violent crime - general	0.1726	56	0.2008	48	0.1831	50	0.1313	40

**Commercial Harbor Island** Fauntleroy **High Point Highland Park** Index / Item Mean Mean Rank Mean Rank Rank Mean Rank 0.3652 0.1599 0.2553 5 7 4 0.2470 7 **Drugs & Alcohol** 0.3891 18 0.1189 57 0.2852 27 0.1482 59 Alcohol use in public 26 1.0000 1 0.2482 28 0.2451 34 0.3628 Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia 0.0000 0.1226 56 0.1576 56 0.3798 23 44 Drug houses 0.3891 18 0.2248 30 0.3060 20 0.3256 30 Drug use in public 0.0000 44 0.1941 37 0.2727 29 0.1860 55 Marijuana use in public 0.3891 18 0.0752 63 0.3310 18 0.1545 57 Open air drug markets 0.3891 18 0.1356 55 0.1895 48 0.1724 56 Public intoxication 0.5222 2 0.2763 3 0.1764 8 0.4976 1 Homelessness 0.2218 35 42 0.2015 0.1068 62 0.2689 37 Aggressive panhandling 1.0000 1 0.4525 9 0.2976 26 0.6715 2 Car/RV camping 33 6 0.6109 12 0.3172 17 0.2507 0.5843 Homeless encampments (non-regulated) 0.3891 0.0593 65 18 0.1056 60 0.4324 18 Squatting 0.3891 18 0.3045 19 0.1675 50 0.5308 11 **Transient Camps** 0.5222 3 0.4512 1 0.3783 1 0.4492 3 **Police Capacity** 0.6109 12 0.4078 13 0.4214 7 0.4036 22 Delayed police response to emergency calls 0.6109 12 0.4680 6 0.3609 15 0.4973 13 Delayed police response to non-emergency calls 9 0.6109 12 0.5435 3 0.4106 0.5081 12 Inadequate police staffing 0.3891 0.2993 24 0.2930 18 0.3130 18 34 Lack of police follow-up 0.5236 9 0.3891 18 4 0.3995 11 0.5439 Not enough police in the neighborhood 8 0.2013 5 5 0.2551 5 0.1945 0.2378 **Community & Public Safety Capacity** 0.3891 18 0.2175 32 0.2438 35 0.1949 54 Delay in answering emergency calls 0.3891 21 0.2847 28 0.2902 35 18 0.2960 Delay in answering non-emergency calls 0.0000 44 0.0357 67 0.0571 66 0.0644 68 Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers 0.0000 44 0.0729 64 0.2092 43 0.1082 63 Lack of crime prevention education 19 0.0000 44 0.3947 14 0.3139 0.4891 15 Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness 0.0000 44 0.1674 44 0.2623 31 0.2373 42 Lack of resources related to social services 0.3891 18 0.1387 54 0.1896 47 0.2237 47 No block watch or safety related neighborhood group Not enough public safety resources in the 0.3891 18 0.2873 22 0.3419 16 0.4328 17 neighborhood 1 2 3 0.4879 2 **Property Crime** 0.5927 0.4074 0.3146 1.0000 1 0.5553 2 0.4203 8 0.5742 8 Auto theft 2 Car prowls (something stolen from within your car) 1.0000 1 0.7209 1 0.5810 0.6855 1 51 0.3891 18 0.1450 50 0.1656 0.2191 48 Commercial burglary 0.3891 0.1867 40 0.1538 57 0.2294 43 18 Non-residential property crime 0.6109 12 0.4781 5 0.3691 13 0.6484 3 Property crime - general 0.4290 0.7782 10 0.3023 23 0.4060 8 21 Property damage 0.0000 0.3031 22 0.6368 44 0.4670 7 5 Residential burglary Theft 0.3891 18 0.4175 11 0.3730 12 0.5750 7

0.2669

23

0.1628

54

0.4171

19

8

0.7782

Vandalism

Public Order Crime	0.2162	7	0.1390	8	0.1677	9	0.2080	9
Disorderly Behavior	0.3891	18	0.1521	49	0.1966	44	0.2150	50
Fireworks	0.0000	44	0.2539	26	0.5254	3	0.4123	20
Graffiti	0.7782	8	0.2975	20	0.2152	41	0.3706	24
Illegal sex work	0.0000	44	0.0000	71	0.0111	70	0.0700	67
Illegal street vending	0.0000	44	0.0116	70	0.0419	68	0.0447	70
Indecent exposure	0.0000	44	0.0695	65	0.0111	70	0.0560	69
Public Order Crime – general	0.3891	18	0.1540	48	0.1342	61	0.2242	46
Trespassing	0.3891	18	0.2002	36	0.1797	49	0.3645	25
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0000	44	0.1124	59	0.1945	46	0.1146	61
Quality of Life	0.2308	6	0.1862	6	0.2102	6	0.2261	8
Civility issues - general	0.6109	12	0.1739	42	0.3629	14	0.2581	39
Crowd Behavior	0.0000	44	0.0286	68	0.1377	59	0.0771	66
Dogs off-leash	0.3891	18	0.2493	27	0.1638	52	0.2027	53
Dogs on the Beach	0.0000	44	0.2082	34	0.0731	63	0.0194	71
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.2218	42	0.1938	38	0.2094	42	0.3345	29
Issues in the Parks	0.0000	44	0.3751	16	0.4030	10	0.4519	16
Littering/dumping	1.0000	1	0.4548	8	0.4268	6	0.6455	4
Loitering	0.3891	18	0.1927	39	0.3320	17	0.2374	41
Noise levels	0.0000	44	0.1426	52	0.2605	32	0.2125	51
Problem/nuisance properties	0.0000	44	0.2238	31	0.1629	53	0.3153	32
Soliciting	0.3891	18	0.1401	53	0.0518	67	0.0998	64
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	44	0.0000	71	0.0111	70	0.0000	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0000	44	0.0373	66	0.1380	58	0.0846	65
Traffic Safety	0.3969	4	0.2620	4	0.3237	2	0.3004	4
Bicycle safety	0.0000	44	0.2141	33	0.2417	36	0.2063	52
Drag racing	1.0000	1	0.1614	46	0.1354	60	0.3430	28
Parking issues	0.7782	8	0.3940	15	0.4307	5	0.2690	36
Pedestrian safety	0.0000	44	0.2615	24	0.3045	21	0.2672	38
Safety issues at bus stops	0.0000	44	0.1448	51	0.2708	30	0.2262	45
Traffic safety	0.0000	44	0.2435	29	0.2983	25	0.2972	33
Unsafe driving / Speeding	1.0000	1	0.4149	12	0.5843	1	0.4936	14
Violent Crime	0.1297	9	0.1371	9	0.2060	7	0.2527	6
Assault	0.3891	18	0.1794	41	0.2242	39	0.2276	44
Domestic violence	0.0000	44	0.1155	58	0.1947	45	0.1521	58
Gang activity	0.0000	44	0.1638	45	0.2225	40	0.3477	27
Gun violence	0.0000	44	0.0893	61	0.2277	38	0.2516	40
Homicide	0.0000	44	0.0262	69	0.0693	64	0.1086	62
Robbery	0.3891	18	0.1684	43	0.2331	37	0.3180	31
Sexual assault	0.0000	44	0.0798	62	0.0396	69	0.1154	60
Shots fired	0.0000	44	0.2550	25	0.4831	4	0.5339	10
Violent crime - general	0.3891	18	0.1566	47	0.1602	55	0.2190	49

### North Admiral **North Delridge Pigeon Point** Morgan Index / Item Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank 0.2394 0.0931 8 0.1666 9 0.1929 7 5 Drugs & Alcohol 0.0962 50 0.1433 55 0.1351 54 0.1135 53 Alcohol use in public 32 9 0.1615 36 0.2343 40 0.2709 0.5358 Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia 0.0080 69 0.0145 0.2219 41 0.5322 10 69 Drug houses 38 55 0.1021 49 0.2428 0.2826 31 0.0837 Drug use in public 0.1381 40 0.2479 36 0.2150 43 0.1832 42 Marijuana use in public 0.0383 63 0.0523 66 0.0979 61 0.0441 60 Open air drug markets 42 0.1075 46 0.2312 41 0.1272 58 0.1832 Public intoxication 4 3 0.4126 4 0.1847 0.3096 1 0.3726 Homelessness 56 55 0.1607 37 0.2856 30 0.1336 0.0837 Aggressive panhandling 0.2452 20 0.4283 13 0.6056 2 0.6764 1 Car/RV camping 3 17 0.2302 22 0.3686 16 0.5407 0.4378 Homeless encampments (non-regulated) 30 34 0.0606 58 0.1232 59 0.2869 0.2668 Squatting 0.2267 24 0.3422 19 0.4961 5 0.3983 21 **Transient Camps** 0.3605 2 0.4865 1 0.3637 3 0.5074 1 **Police Capacity** 0.3502 9 0.5367 4 0.3071 27 0.5384 7 Delayed police response to emergency calls 0.4415 6 0.5186 7 0.4626 7 0.5384 7 Delayed police response to non-emergency calls 5 0.3755 8 0.5013 8 0.3568 16 0.5852 Inadequate police staffing 0.2904 0.3534 0.3399 22 13 Lack of police follow-up 15 18 0.4981 0.5226 6 0.3521 18 24 0.3451 10 0.3768 Not enough police in the neighborhood 0.1702 5 5 0.2669 5 0.1934 6 0.2253 Community & Public Safety Capacity 0.0813 55 0.2886 28 0.1313 57 0.3932 22 Delay in answering emergency calls 0.2952 27 0.2600 33 0.3041 0.1798 30 31 Delay in answering non-emergency calls 0.0170 65 0.0543 65 0.1140 59 0.0758 57 Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers 47 57 0.0508 62 0.1616 50 0.1892 0.0758 Lack of crime prevention education 2 8 29 0.5521 0.4464 11 0.4572 0.3149 Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness 0.2003 26 0.1292 58 0.3286 23 0.1001 54 Lack of resources related to social services 0.0844 54 0.1465 54 0.2925 29 0.1391 51 No block watch or safety related neighborhood group Not enough public safety resources in the 0.1956 27 0.2805 31 0.3620 15 0.1442 48 neighborhood 2 2 2 **Property Crime** 0.3728 1 0.4736 0.3695 0.4186 0.5352 3 0.6108 3 0.3427 20 0.4932 15 Auto theft 3 Car prowls (something stolen from within your car) 0.7971 1 0.7653 1 0.6370 1 0.6022 55 0.1257 41 0.2781 32 0.1336 0.0441 60 Commercial burglary 0.1722 32 0.1616 51 0.1494 52 0.2225 41 Non-residential property crime 0.4460 5 0.6217 2 0.4825 6 0.4812 16 Property crime - general 0.2526 19 12 0.3505 19 12 0.4431 0.5022 Property damage

0.5302

0.4937

0.3582

5

9

17

0.4021

0.5081

0.3195

13

4

25

0.6621

0.3226

0.4373

0.4935

0.3042

0.2286

Residential burglary

Theft

Vandalism

4

13

23

2

28

18

Public Order Crime	0.1131	7	0.1690	8	0.1466	9	0.1633	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.1440	39	0.2775	33	0.2473	35	0.2272	40
Fireworks	0.2626	17	0.3247	21	0.2064	45	0.3618	25
Graffiti	0.2066	25	0.3359	20	0.2362	36	0.1278	52
Illegal sex work	0.0000	70	0.0000	70	0.0550	68	0.0441	60
Illegal street vending	0.0090	68	0.0000	70	0.0288	69	0.0441	60
Indecent exposure	0.0336	64	0.0487	67	0.0260	70	0.0441	60
Public Order Crime – general	0.1778	31	0.1774	49	0.2040	46	0.0441	60
Trespassing	0.1238	42	0.2753	34	0.1734	49	0.3932	22
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.0605	59	0.0812	62	0.1424	53	0.1832	42
Quality of Life	0.1288	6	0.1971	6	0.1937	6	0.1856	7
Civility issues - general	0.1136	43	0.3062	25	0.3423	21	0.2436	37
Crowd Behavior	0.0000	70	0.0805	63	0.0588	66	0.0441	60
Dogs off-leash	0.1483	38	0.2432	37	0.0645	65	0.3386	27
Dogs on the Beach	0.1636	35	0.2183	42	0.0000	72	0.0000	68
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.1805	29	0.2349	39	0.4251	10	0.1487	47
Issues in the Parks	0.3406	11	0.4255	14	0.1680	51	0.2532	35
Littering/dumping	0.2420	21	0.3867	15	0.4475	9	0.5858	4
Loitering	0.0954	51	0.1899	46	0.2570	34	0.2526	36
Noise levels	0.1092	45	0.2027	45	0.2319	38	0.1832	42
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1066	47	0.1117	60	0.3548	17	0.2436	37
Soliciting	0.0643	57	0.1368	57	0.0785	63	0.0441	60
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	70	0.0254	68	0.0121	71	0.0000	68
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1103	44	0.0000	70	0.0782	64	0.0758	57
Traffic Safety	0.2525	3	0.2928	4	0.3092	4	0.4185	3
Bicycle safety	0.3284	12	0.3084	24	0.3188	26	0.5430	6
Drag racing	0.0907	52	0.2879	29	0.2286	39	0.4977	14
Parking issues	0.2985	14	0.3170	22	0.4241	11	0.4373	18
Pedestrian safety	0.2875	16	0.2627	35	0.3684	14	0.3618	25
Safety issues at bus stops	0.1669	34	0.1898	47	0.1769	48	0.5322	10
Traffic safety	0.1919	28	0.2077	43	0.2331	37	0.1442	48
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.4036	7	0.4761	10	0.4146	12	0.4131	20
Violent Crime	0.0928	9	0.1781	7	0.1914	8	0.1595	9
Assault	0.1048	48	0.3133	23	0.2131	44	0.1832	42
Domestic violence	0.0605	60	0.0892	61	0.0566	67	0.2436	37
Gang activity	0.0557	61	0.1508	53	0.2217	42	0.2781	32
Gun violence	0.1677	33	0.1793	48	0.2263	40	0.2781	32
Homicide	0.0170	65	0.0646	64	0.0919	62	0.0000	68
Robbery	0.0869	53	0.2076	44	0.3029	28	0.1442	48
Sexual assault	0.0141	67	0.1552	52	0.1109	60	0.0000	68
Shots fired	0.2608	18	0.3042	26	0.3281	24	0.3085	30
Violent crime - general	0.0674	56	0.1383	56	0.1715	50	0.0000	68

	South	Delridge		South Park	Roxhill / W	estwood / Arbor Heights
Index / Item	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.2939	6	0.3332	5	0.1528	8
Alcohol use in public	0.2157	53	0.2802	40	0.1275	53
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.5283	8	0.5715	12	0.2408	28
Drug houses	0.1638	60	0.4704	22	0.1243	55
Drug use in public	0.4624	19	0.4139	24	0.2244	31
Marijuana use in public	0.1825	55	0.1853	59	0.1092	59
Open air drug markets	0.1746	57	0.1529	62	0.0979	61
Public intoxication	0.3300	35	0.2582	45	0.1452	47
Homelessness	0.4080	3	0.4383	3	0.3172	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.2374	49	0.2185	50	0.2003	34
Car/RV camping	0.4184	24	0.5904	9	0.4493	9
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.5121	11	0.5794	11	0.3888	14
Squatting	0.3643	30	0.4249	23	0.2185	33
Transient Camps	0.5078	12	0.3782	29	0.3288	19
Police Capacity	0.5224	1	0.4943	2	0.4412	1
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4526	20	0.5368	14	0.4324	12
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.5218	9	0.6018	8	0.4792	6
Inadequate police staffing	0.5335	7	0.3736	30	0.4826	5
Lack of police follow-up	0.5486	6	0.4803	20	0.3345	18
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.5557	5	0.4789	21	0.4774	7
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.3123	4	0.3196	6	0.2169	4
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2329	50	0.1983	55	0.1634	42
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2703	45	0.3382	36	0.2555	23
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.1522	61	0.1557	61	0.0536	66
Lack of crime prevention education	0.2667	47	0.1928	56	0.0877	63
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5172	10	0.5369	13	0.4692	8
Lack of resources related to social services	0.3093	39	0.3648	33	0.2461	27
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.3279	36	0.2457	47	0.1631	43
Not enough public safety resources in the neighborhood	0.4217	23	0.5244	15	0.2967	21
Property Crime	0.4722	2	0.5114	1	0.4099	2
Auto theft	0.6196	3	0.6668	2	0.5417	2
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.6690	1	0.7228	1	0.6940	1
Commercial burglary	0.2462	48	0.2592	44	0.1425	50
Non-residential property crime	0.2214	52	0.2605	42	0.1623	45
Property crime – general	0.6020	4	0.4972	18	0.5075	3
Property damage	0.4984	15	0.5813	10	0.3619	16
Residential burglary	0.4326	21	0.4980	17	0.4913	4
Theft	0.4655	18	0.6041	7	0.4392	10
Vandalism	0.4950	16	0.5127	16	0.3492	17

### • • •

Public Order Crime	0.2434	9	0.2418	8	0.1629	7
Disorderly Behavior	0.4924	17	0.2723	41	0.1656	41
Fireworks	0.2912	40	0.3820	27	0.4288	13
Graffiti	0.3838	27	0.4932	19	0.2539	24
Illegal sex work	0.0509	68	0.1903	58	0.0136	70
Illegal street vending	0.0101	70	0.0613	69	0.0136	70
Indecent exposure	0.0194	69	0.0723	68	0.0504	68
Public Order Crime – general	0.3420	33	0.2385	48	0.1837	37
Trespassing	0.4309	22	0.3212	38	0.2518	25
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1703	58	0.1452	64	0.1049	60
Quality of Life	0.2497	8	0.2105	9	0.1711	6
Civility issues - general	0.3950	26	0.3192	39	0.1684	39
Crowd Behavior	0.0955	67	0.0107	72	0.0380	69
Dogs off-leash	0.1465	62	0.1429	65	0.1624	44
Dogs on the Beach	0.0000	71	0.0395	70	0.1207	58
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3668	29	0.3496	35	0.2272	30
Issues in the Parks	0.3252	38	0.1915	57	0.2610	22
Littering/dumping	0.6227	2	0.6275	4	0.4352	11
Loitering	0.4120	25	0.2067	53	0.2272	29
Noise levels	0.3372	34	0.2057	54	0.2000	35
Problem/nuisance properties	0.3268	37	0.3257	37	0.1845	36
Soliciting	0.1086	65	0.1395	66	0.1426	49
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0000	71	0.0288	71	0.0000	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1100	64	0.1487	63	0.0568	65
Traffic Safety	0.2970	5	0.4206	4	0.1992	5
Bicycle safety	0.2668	46	0.3735	31	0.1667	40
Drag racing	0.1774	56	0.6062	6	0.1405	51
Parking issues	0.2909	41	0.3529	34	0.1584	46
Pedestrian safety	0.2803	43	0.3948	26	0.2481	26
Safety issues at bus stops	0.5064	13	0.2238	49	0.2192	32
Traffic safety	0.1894	54	0.3816	28	0.1446	48
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.3675	28	0.6111	5	0.3167	20
Violent Crime	0.2642	7	0.2973	7	0.1405	9
Assault	0.3487	31	0.2595	43	0.1238	56
Domestic violence	0.1663	59	0.1608	60	0.0901	62
Gang activity	0.2866	42	0.4100	25	0.1377	52
Gun violence	0.3471	32	0.3668	32	0.1217	57
Homicide	0.1160	63	0.2535	46	0.0769	64
Robbery	0.2742	44	0.2157	52	0.1695	38
Sexual assault	0.1045	66	0.1352	67	0.0534	67
Shots fired	0.5015	14	0.6571	3	0.3657	15
Violent crime - general	0.2325	51	0.2172	51	0.1255	54

### **Appendix: Southwest Weighted Themes**

	Southwe	st (606)	Alaska Juno	ction (129)	Alki (	77)	Commercial Duwamish (0)
Index / Item	Mean*	Rank <sup>†</sup>	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	
Behavioral Crisis	0.0211	14	0.0357	8	0.0000	15	
City Politics	0.0938	1	0.0705	3	0.0862	3	
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0741	4	0.0535	13	0.0523	8	
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0125	34	0.0118	30	0.0225	23	
Unsupportive of city council	0.1950	1	0.1463	2	0.1839	2	
Drug Activity	0.0269	10	0.0327	10	0.0197	11	
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0136	33	0.0082	32	0.0225	24	
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0401	17	0.0573	12	0.0169	26	
Homelessness	0.0364	7	0.0488	7	0.0362	6	
Bring back navigation team	0.0137	32	0.0205	27	0.0000	33	
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0606	8	0.0739	7	0.0444	10	
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0086	36	0.0071	33	0.0000	33	
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.0729	5	0.1071	3	0.1368	3	
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0264	21	0.0354	20	0.0000	33	
Lawlessness	0.0266	11	0.0208	13	0.0338	7	
Crime is on the rise	0.0238	24	0.0319	21	0.0198	25	
Lawlessness general	0.0262	22	0.0000	37	0.0329	16	
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0298	20	0.0305	22	0.0487	9	
Police Capacity	0.0799	2	0.0808	2	0.1030	2	
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0077	39	0.0047	36	0.0078	29	
More police community outreach needed	0.0197	26	0.0288	23	0.0321	18	
More police needed	0.1529	3	0.0835	4	0.2396	1	
Slow or no police response	0.0618	7	0.0620	10	0.1013	5	
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1575	2	0.2251	1	0.1339	4	
Police Legitimacy	0.0290	8	0.0279	12	0.0220	9	
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0309	19	0.0696	9	0.0235	22	
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0436	15	0.0501	14	0.0372	13	
Lack of police accountability	0.0517	10	0.0476	15	0.0078	30	
Lack of police professionalism	0.0158	30	0.0071	34	0.0321	18	
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0083	37	0.0000	37	0.0000	33	
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0211	25	0.0213	25	0.0276	21	
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0168	28	0.0071	34	0.0137	27	
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0436	16	0.0206	26	0.0338	15	

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents whose partial or full comment was coded as a theme (e.g., a value of 0.0370 shows that 3.7% of comments, for respondents who commented, could be coded for a specific theme). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .0220 shows that averaged across all respondents who commented, 2.2% of themes included within an index were coded to a comment). <sup>1</sup>The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes themes identified more often by respondents in their comments than an index with a ranking of 2).

### • • •

Police Reform	0.0281	9	0.0335	9	0.0189	12	
Demilitarize Police	0.0139	31	0.0195	28	0.0078	32	
Lack of adequate police training	0.0532	9	0.0728	8	0.0401	12	
Review of SPD's crowd management practices	0.0173	27	0.0082	31	0.0087	28	
needed	<u> </u>						
Property Crime	0.0689	3	0.0893	1	0.0169	13	
Protests	0.0165	15	0.0047	15	0.0408	5	
Public Order Crime	0.0449	5	0.0685	4	0.0547	4	
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0376	6	0.0497	6	0.0300	8	
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0166	29	0.0247	24	0.0353	14	
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0485	12	0.0474	16	0.0431	11	
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0626	6	0.0819	5	0.0575	7	
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0498	11	0.0612	11	0.0322	17	
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0449	13	0.0402	19	0.0643	6	
Public parks are unsafe	0.0242	23	0.0472	17	0.0000	33	
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0102	35	0.0189	29	0.0078	30	
Supportive of defunding police	0.0437	14	0.0766	6	0.0000	33	
SPD Supportive	0.0238	13	0.0202	14	0.0143	14	
SPD doing a great job	0.0082	38	0.0000	37	0.0000	33	
Supportive of SPD	0.0395	18	0.0405	18	0.0285	20	
Traffic Safety	0.0546	4	0.0558	5	0.1359	1	
Violent Crime	0.0242	12	0.0316	11	0.0216	10	

	Commercial Harbor Island (0)	Fauntler	оу (47)	High Poi	nt (27)	Highland I	Park (79)
Index / Item		Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis		0.07418	4	0.0926	1	0.0160	14
City Politics		0.09621	2	0.0400	4	0.1317	1
City politics decreasing public safety		0.1280	4	0.0000	17	0.0835	5
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness		0.0000	34	0.0000	17	0.0310	22
Unsupportive of city council		0.1606	3	0.1199	5	0.2807	1
Drug Activity		0.04814	9	0.0000	10	0.0266	11
Drugs are a public health issue		0.0194	25	0.0000	17	0.0265	25
Drugs are a public safety issue		0.0768	10	0.0000	17	0.0267	24
Homelessness		0.05116	6	0.0000	10	0.0385	6
Bring back navigation team		0.0127	30	0.0000	17	0.0000	32
Encampments are decreasing public safety		0.1021	7	0.0000	17	0.0606	9
Homelessness is a public health issue		0.0194	25	0.0000	17	0.0101	31
Homelessness is a public safety issue		0.1215	5	0.0000	17	0.0911	4
RV & car camping decrease public safety		0.0000	34	0.0000	17	0.0309	23
Lawlessness		0.03995	10	0.0000	10	0.0377	7
Crime is on the rise		0.0675	12	0.0000	17	0.0341	19
Lawlessness general		0.0127	31	0.0000	17	0.0449	16
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety		0.0396	19	0.0000	17	0.0341	20
Police Capacity		0.08813	3	0.0723	2	0.0618	3
More foor and bicycle police needed		0.0000	34	0.0000	17	0.0000	32
More police community outreach needed		0.0142	28	0.0000	17	0.0000	32
More police needed		0.2231	1	0.1568	2	0.1574	2
Slow or no police response		0.0223	21	0.0000	17	0.0380	18
Unsupportive of defunding police		0.1811	2	0.2046	1	0.1136	3
Police Legitimacy		0.03438	11	0.0304	6	0.0363	9
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias		0.0350	20	0.0251	14	0.0000	32
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force		0.0447	18	0.0477	9	0.0698	7
Lack of police accountability		0.0992	8	0.0622	8	0.0570	11
Lack of police professionalism		0.0194	24	0.0000	17	0.0513	14
Lack of trust in police, generally		0.0000	34	0.0000	17	0.0193	28
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically		0.0000	34	0.0345	12	0.0248	26
Organizational culture / stability in leadership		0.0223	21	0.0000	17	0.0200	27
Unsupportive of SPD		0.0545	15	0.0741	6	0.0482	15
Police Reform		0.04908	7	0.0653	3	0.0225	12
Demilitarize Police		0.0675	13	0.0000	17	0.0000	32
Lack of adequate police training		0.0127	33	0.0647	7	0.0544	13
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed		0.0670	14	0.1312	4	0.0132	30
Property Crime		0.15514	1	0.0000	10	0.0704	2
Protests		0.01417	15	0.0251	8	0.0292	10
Public Order Crime		0.06577	5	0.0000	10	0.0151	15

### Public Safety & Community Capacity 0.04849 0.0364 8 0.0302 7 8 0.0000 34 0.0000 17 0.0000 32 Issues w/ 911 dispatch 0.0768 10 0.0000 17 0.0615 8 Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street More city services / resources needed to respond to 0.1115 6 0.1321 3 0.0704 6 behavioral crises More city services / resources needed to respond to 0.0988 9 0.0396 10 0.0572 10 homelessness 0.0142 28 0.0300 13 0.0160 29 Police are being prevented from doing their job 0.0449 17 0.0000 17 0.0314 21 Public parks are unsafe 32 0.0194 25 0.0000 17 0.0000 Public spaces are unsafe 0.0223 21 0.0396 0.0548 12 11 Supportive of defunding police **SPD Supportive** 12 9 13 0.03139 0.0226 0.0199 32 SPD doing a great job 0.0127 0.0226 16 0.0000 32 16 0.0398 17 0.0501 0.0226 15 Supportive of SPD **Traffic Safety** 13 5 0.0482 4 0.02689 0.0396 **Violent Crime** 0.01417 14 0.0000 10 0.0439 5

### Morgan (39) North Admiral (43) North Delridge (34) **Pigeon Point (7)** Index / Item Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Rank 15 **Behavioral Crisis** 0.0236 13 0.0000 0.0000 11 0.0000 11 2 2 3 1 City Politics 0.0763 0.1396 0.0611 0.1204 0.1144 3 0.1348 5 0.0178 20 0.1011 5 City politics decreasing public safety City politicians not adequately addressing 0.0000 31 0.0000 22 0.0000 21 0.0000 11 homelessness 0.1144 3 0.2841 1 0.1655 1 0.2602 1 Unsupportive of city council **Drug Activity** 0.0086 15 0.0227 5 0.0428 4 0.0454 6 0.0000 31 0.0000 22 0.0272 17 0.0907 Drugs are a public health issue 6 0.0172 26 0.0453 10 0.0585 12 0.0000 11 Drugs are a public safety issue Homelessness 0.0251 11 0.0212 6 0.0448 3 0.0000 11 0.0000 31 0.0242 14 0.0747 6 0.0000 11 Bring back navigation team 8 6 0.0596 10 0.0607 0.0747 0.0000 11 Encampments are decreasing public safety 0.0270 20 0.0000 22 0.0000 21 0.0000 11 Homelessness is a public health issue 0.0236 21 0.0211 18 0.0000 21 0.0000 11 Homelessness is a public safety issue 6 RV & car camping decrease public safety 0.0154 27 0.0000 22 0.0747 0.0000 11 5 Lawlessness 0.0323 8 0.0116 14 0.0419 0.0302 7 21 0.0236 22 0.0211 16 0.0000 0.0000 11 Crime is on the rise 0.0326 18 0.0138 21 0.0747 9 0.0000 11 Lawlessness general 0.0000 22 0.0511 15 0.0907 6 0.0407 15 Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety 2 2 0.0657 1 9 Police Capacity 0.0865 0.1142 0.0277 0.0000 22 21 0.0582 11 0.0000 0.0000 11 More foor and bicycle police needed 0.0154 0.0000 31 19 0.0544 13 0.0000 11 More police community outreach needed 0.1463 1 0.1714 3 0.1530 2 0.0000 11 More police needed 0.1067 5 0.1439 4 0.0000 21 0.1386 2 Slow or no police response 2 2 3 Unsupportive of defunding police 0.1210 0.2404 0.1209 0.0000 11 7 0.0154 12 0.0229 9 0.0287 8 Police Legitimacy 0.0331 0.0000 31 0.0000 22 0.0000 21 0.0907 6 Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias 0.0000 22 0.0000 31 0.0312 16 0.1386 2 Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force 22 0.0660 7 0.0000 0.0897 4 0.0000 11 Lack of police accountability 19 22 21 0.0308 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 11 Lack of police professionalism 0.0154 29 0.0000 22 0.0000 21 0.0000 11 Lack of trust in police, generally 0.0579 12 0.0211 17 0.0000 21 0.0000 11 Lack of trust in SPD, specifically 0.0292 21 0.0442 14 13 0.0000 0.0000 11 Organizational culture / stability in leadership 0.0506 13 0.0733 6 0.0625 11 0.0000 11 Unsupportive of SPD

Police Reform	0.0263	10	0.0167	11	0.0091	10	0.0462	5
Demilitarize Police	0.0154	29	0.0000	22	0.0272	17	0.0000	11
Lack of adequate police training	0.0634	8	0.0502	9	0.0000	21	0.1386	2
Review of SPD's crowd management practices	0.0000	31	0.0000	22	0.0000	21	0.0000	11
needed								
Property Crime	0.0905	1	0.0641	3	0.0272	7	0.0907	4
Protests	0.0172	14	0.0154	13	0.0000	11	0.1011	3
Public Order Crime	0.0407	6	0.0211	8	0.0272	8	0.2294	1
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0246	12	0.0173	10	0.0287	6	0.0227	10
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0390	16	0.0000	22	0.0000	21	0.0907	6
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to	0.0154	27	0.0641	7	0.0000	21	0.0907	6
street								
More city services / resources needed to	0.0236	24	0.0349	12	0.0544	13	0.0000	11
respond to behavioral crises					0.0057	4.0		
More city services / resources needed to	0.0236	24	0.0000	22	0.0657	10	0.0000	11
respond to homelessness	0.0000	47	0.0454	10	0.0100	10	0.0000	4.4
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0326	17	0.0154	19	0.0198	19	0.0000	11
Public parks are unsafe	0.0625	9	0.0000	22	0.0000	21	0.0000	11
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0000	31	0.0000	22	0.0000	21	0.0000	11
Supportive of defunding police	0.0000	31	0.0242	14	0.0897	5	0.0000	11
SPD Supportive	0.0645	5	0.0190	9	0.0000	11	0.0000	11
SPD doing a great job	0.0236	22	0.0000	22	0.0000	21	0.0000	11
Supportive of SPD	0.1054	6	0.0380	11	0.0000	21	0.0000	11
Traffic Safety	0.0270	9	0.0591	4	0.0000	11	0.0000	11
Violent Crime	0.0698	4	0.0211	7	0.0000	11	0.0000	11

### South Delridge (28) South Park (38) Roxhill / Westwood / Arbor Heights (55) Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean 0.0000 14 9 0.0000 0.0000

Rank

14

Index / Item

**Behavioral Crisis** 

City Politics	0.1252	2	0.0327	3	0.1439	1
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0912	11	0.0159	19	0.1209	3
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0000	26	0.0000	24	0.0192	21
Unsupportive of city council	0.2845	1	0.0822	3	0.2915	1
Drug Activity	0.0788	5	0.0000	9	0.0167	12
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0332	24	0.0000	24	0.0000	31
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.1244	6	0.0000	24	0.0335	17
Homelessness	0.0632	7	0.0151	7	0.0328	8
Bring back navigation team	0.0000	26	0.0159	19	0.0167	25
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.0469	16	0.0439	9	0.0676	11
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0332	24	0.0000	24	0.0110	30
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.1224	7	0.0000	24	0.0302	18
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.1134	10	0.0159	19	0.0387	15
Lawlessness	0.0156	13	0.0053	8	0.0354	7
Crime is on the rise	0.0000	26	0.0000	24	0.0192	21
Lawlessness general	0.0000	26	0.0159	19	0.0761	10
Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0469	16	0.0000	24	0.0110	29
Police Capacity	0.0858	4	0.0716	1	0.0560	3
More foor and bicycle police needed	0.0000	26	0.0319	11	0.0000	31
More police community outreach needed	0.0381	20	0.0243	16	0.0114	28
More police needed	0.1460	4	0.1988	1	0.0950	7
Slow or no police response	0.1599	2	0.0487	8	0.0000	31
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.0851	14	0.0543	6	0.1736	2
Police Legitimacy	0.0482	8	0.0306	4	0.0281	9
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.1145	8	0.0243	14	0.0167	27
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.1145	8	0.0000	24	0.0555	13
Lack of police accountability	0.0851	12	0.0000	24	0.1055	4
Lack of police professionalism	0.0000	26	0.0000	24	0.0000	31
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0000	26	0.0771	4	0.0000	31
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0332	23	0.0243	16	0.0000	31
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0000	26	0.0279	13	0.0277	20
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0381	21	0.0911	2	0.0192	23

Police Reform	0.0411	11	0.0187	6	0.0224	11
Demilitarize Police	0.0000	26	0.0159	19	0.0000	31
Lack of adequate police training	0.0851	12	0.0403	10	0.0673	12
Review of SPD's crowd management practices	0.0381	21	0.0000	24	0.0000	31
needed						
Property Crime	0.1710	1	0.0000	9	0.0633	2
Protests	0.0000	14	0.0000	9	0.0110	13
Public Order Crime	0.0469	9	0.0559	2	0.0277	10
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0404	12	0.0223	5	0.0550	4
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0416	19	0.0243	14	0.0000	31
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0000	26	0.0279	12	0.0985	6
More city services / resources needed to respond to	0.0000	26	0.0000	24	0.0792	8
behavioral crises						
More city services / resources needed to respond to	0.0000	26	0.0560	5	0.0792	8
homelessness	0.1584	3	0.0177	18	0.1028	5
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.1384	26	0.0000	24	0.1028	5 19
Public parks are unsafe		-				-
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0469	16	0.0000	24	0.0167	25
Supportive of defunding police	0.0764	15	0.0523	7	0.0360	16
SPD Supportive	0.0645	6	0.0000	9	0.0358	6
SPD doing a great job	0.0000	26	0.0000	24	0.0523	14
Supportive of SPD	0.1291	5	0.0000	24	0.0192	24
Traffic Safety	0.1183	3	0.0000	9	0.0448	5
Violent Crime	0.0416	10	0.0000	9	0.0000	14

## Appendix: West Public Safety Concerns

Index / Item	West Belltown			Downtown C	ommercial District	Eastla	Eastlake (West Precinct)	
index / item	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.3626	4	0.4006	4	0.4911	4	0.2320	6
Alcohol use in public	0.3350	34	0.3550	32	0.4877	24	0.2222	45
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.5692	6	0.5922	5	0.6792	2	0.5188	6
Drug houses	0.1197	65	0.1001	65	0.1348	63	0.1080	60
Drug use in public	0.5061	14	0.5694	10	0.6637	4	0.3231	24
Marijuana use in public	0.3042	40	0.3579	31	0.4272	34	0.2398	40
Open air drug markets	0.2826	43	0.3587	30	0.4485	30	0.0389	70
Public intoxication	0.4216	21	0.4710	19	0.5968	13	0.1730	53
Homelessness	0.4771	1	0.4781	1	0.5179	1	0.3617	3
Aggressive panhandling	0.4579	17	0.4936	17	0.6326	6	0.2238	44
Car/RV camping	0.3589	29	0.2617	48	0.3015	48	0.3439	21
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.6643	1	0.7096	1	0.6612	5	0.5335	3
Squatting	0.3353	33	0.3401	35	0.4032	38	0.2588	36
Transient Camps	0.5692	5	0.5856	7	0.5913	14	0.4486	14
Police Capacity	0.4656	3	0.4492	3	0.4969	3	0.4218	2
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4295	19	0.3974	25	0.4528	29	0.4875	9
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.4832	16	0.4642	20	0.4867	25	0.4881	8
Inadequate police staffing	0.5069	13	0.4616	21	0.5820	18	0.2934	28
Lack of police follow-up	0.3410	32	0.3442	34	0.3413	44	0.3471	20
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.5675	7	0.5786	9	0.6216	10	0.4931	7
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.2764	5	0.2931	5	0.3017	8	0.2379	4
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2261	52	0.1982	56	0.2655	54	0.2556	37
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.2714	45	0.2316	50	0.2865	51	0.2252	42
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.0672	70	0.0644	70	0.0656	70	0.0692	66
Lack of crime prevention education	0.1657	58	0.1873	57	0.1824	61	0.2048	48
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5438	9	0.5955	3	0.5842	17	0.3877	17
Lack of resources related to social services	0.2842	42	0.3374	37	0.3066	47	0.2308	41
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2346	50	0.2748	44	0.2259	58	0.2130	46
Not enough public safety resources in the	0.4185	22	0.4555	22	0.4971	23	0.3171	25
neighborhood								
Property Crime	0.4756	2	0.4674	2	0.5132	2	0.4698	1
Auto theft	0.4018	24	0.3269	39	0.3665	40	0.5214	4
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.6244	2	0.5893	6	0.5774	19	0.7033	1
Commercial burglary	0.3427	30	0.3269	38	0.4395	31	0.3694	18
Non-residential property crime	0.3687	28	0.3523	33	0.4849	26	0.3298	23
Property crime – general	0.5716	3	0.5571	11	0.6225	9	0.5440	2
Property damage	0.5528	8	0.5812	8	0.6659	3	0.4520	13
Residential burglary	0.3822	25	0.4028	24	0.2409	57	0.3031	27
Theft	0.5172	11	0.5176	14	0.5899	15	0.5207	5
Vandalism	0.5188	10	0.5522	12	0.6308	7	0.4848	10 R public safety concern). For

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents who selected a public safety concern (e.g., a value of 0.3370 shows that 33.7% of respondents identified that issue as a public safety concern). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .2020 shows that averaged across all respondents, 20.2% of items included within an index were identified as public safety concerns). The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes items identified more often by respondents as public safety concerns than an index with a ranking of 2).

•	•	٠

Public Order Crime	0.2522	8	0.2549	8	0.3378	6	0.2343	5
Disorderly Behavior	0.4405	18	0.4947	16	0.6092	12	0.3959	15
Fireworks	0.1223	64	0.1039	64	0.1166	67	0.3313	22
Graffiti	0.4949	15	0.5503	13	0.6117	11	0.3887	16
Illegal sex work	0.0992	68	0.0736	68	0.1300	65	0.0631	68
Illegal street vending	0.1085	67	0.1154	63	0.1803	62	0.0374	71
Indecent exposure	0.2224	53	0.2063	54	0.3223	45	0.1136	59
Public Order Crime – general	0.2867	41	0.2664	46	0.4173	36	0.2718	32
Trespassing	0.3416	31	0.3378	36	0.3654	41	0.3628	19
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1542	60	0.1455	62	0.2878	50	0.1444	57
Quality of Life	0.2636	7	0.2894	6	0.3281	7	0.1930	8
Civility issues - general	0.5111	12	0.6165	2	0.6919	1	0.2688	34
Crowd Behavior	0.2265	51	0.2274	51	0.4262	35	0.1979	50
Dogs off-leash	0.1247	63	0.0886	67	0.0863	68	0.1008	62
Dogs on the Beach	0.0368	72	0.0306	71	0.0176	72	0.0520	69
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.3785	26	0.4254	23	0.5160	21	0.2434	39
Issues in the Parks	0.3738	27	0.3653	29	0.3694	39	0.3166	26
Littering/dumping	0.5702	4	0.5926	4	0.6246	8	0.4624	12
Loitering	0.4223	20	0.5148	15	0.5601	20	0.2745	31
Noise levels	0.2478	49	0.3841	27	0.2775	52	0.1823	51
Problem/nuisance properties	0.1997	55	0.2070	53	0.2430	56	0.1750	52
Soliciting	0.2047	54	0.2137	52	0.3084	46	0.1564	55
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.0612	71	0.0240	72	0.0841	69	0.0072	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.0691	69	0.0719	69	0.0599	71	0.0712	65
Traffic Safety	0.2650	6	0.2706	7	0.2953	9	0.2304	7
Bicycle safety	0.3270	36	0.3858	26	0.2880	49	0.2710	33
Drag racing	0.1488	62	0.2324	49	0.1250	66	0.1150	58
Parking issues	0.3159	38	0.2029	55	0.3614	42	0.4833	11
Pedestrian safety	0.3053	39	0.2728	45	0.4061	37	0.2243	43
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3285	35	0.3810	28	0.5033	22	0.1611	54
Traffic safety	0.1719	57	0.1555	61	0.1895	60	0.1452	56
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.2577	47	0.2639	47	0.1939	59	0.2126	47
Violent Crime	0.2363	9	0.2520	9	0.3793	5	0.1784	9
Assault	0.4075	23	0.4744	18	0.5896	16	0.2762	30
Domestic violence	0.1146	66	0.0924	66	0.1338	64	0.0736	64
Gang activity	0.1855	56	0.1811	58	0.3584	43	0.0690	67
Gun violence	0.2519	48	0.2831	42	0.4370	33	0.2667	35
Homicide	0.1502	61	0.1618	60	0.2690	53	0.0845	63
Robbery	0.3236	37	0.3220	40	0.4690	28	0.2462	38
Sexual assault	0.1585	59	0.1670	59	0.2485	55	0.1014	61
Shots fired	0.2590	46	0.3083	41	0.4370	32	0.2881	29
Violent crime - general	0.2758	44	0.2779	43	0.4716	27	0.1999	49

Index / Item	Chinatown In Distr		Magn	Magnolia		Square	Queen	Anne	South Lake Union / Cascade	
	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Drugs & Alcohol	0.4373	4	0.2134	4	0.5278	4	0.2454	6	0.3192	4
Alcohol use in public	0.4281	27	0.1663	44	0.5526	23	0.2018	45	0.2859	33
Discarded needles / Drug paraphernalia	0.6091	3	0.4478	15	0.6856	9	0.4772	9	0.5352	5
Drug houses	0.2157	64	0.1253	50	0.1887	65	0.0965	60	0.0823	63
Drug use in public	0.5559	9	0.2951	26	0.7044	5	0.3528	19	0.5041	7
Marijuana use in public	0.3514	42	0.1409	47	0.4604	35	0.1928	46	0.2434	38
Open air drug markets	0.3851	34	0.1333	48	0.4337	39	0.1206	57	0.1937	49
Public intoxication	0.5158	16	0.1853	39	0.6690	13	0.2761	30	0.3896	22
Homelessness	0.5068	1	0.4745	3	0.6089	1	0.4079	3	0.4719	1
Aggressive panhandling	0.5088	18	0.1854	38	0.7031	6	0.3278	25	0.4892	11
Car/RV camping	0.3663	39	0.7148	2	0.3698	50	0.3449	21	0.2829	34
Homeless encampments (non-regulated)	0.7007	1	0.6236	4	0.7965	1	0.6066	2	0.6904	1
Squatting	0.3742	37	0.2651	29	0.4827	32	0.2632	32	0.3229	26
Transient Camps	0.5842	6	0.5836	7	0.6921	8	0.4973	6	0.5741	2
Police Capacity	0.4947	2	0.5216	1	0.5713	2	0.4128	2	0.4047	2
Delayed police response to emergency calls	0.4955	20	0.5002	11	0.5461	25	0.3714	17	0.3581	24
Delayed police response to non-emergency calls	0.5244	14	0.5562	9	0.5942	20	0.4529	14	0.3976	21
Inadequate police staffing	0.5208	15	0.5592	8	0.6054	19	0.4620	11	0.4568	15
Lack of police follow-up	0.4070	31	0.3702	18	0.4407	38	0.2965	28	0.2960	30
Not enough police in the neighborhood	0.5257	13	0.6222	5	0.6702	12	0.4812	8	0.5151	6
Community & Public Safety Capacity	0.3404	7	0.2030	5	0.3612	9	0.2460	5	0.2658	5
Delay in answering emergency calls	0.2993	49	0.1853	40	0.3067	54	0.2081	43	0.1882	50
Delay in answering non-emergency calls	0.3936	33	0.2862	28	0.3971	45	0.2540	35	0.2150	44
Issues with 9-1-1 dispatchers	0.1203	69	0.0316	68	0.1187	68	0.0572	65	0.0851	62
Lack of crime prevention education	0.2435	57	0.1092	53	0.1479	67	0.1384	53	0.1694	51
Lack of resources for individuals with mental illness	0.5523	10	0.3937	17	0.6961	7	0.5054	5	0.5577	4
Lack of resources related to social services	0.4211	28	0.1489	46	0.4010	44	0.2374	38	0.2613	36
No block watch or safety related neighborhood group	0.2833	52	0.1780	43	0.2389	61	0.2336	40	0.2190	43
Not enough public safety resources in the	0.4096	30	0.2908	27	0.5832	22	0.3341	24	0.4310	17
neighborhood		-								-
Property Crime	0.4763	3	0.5089	2	0.5434	3	0.4468	1	0.3833	3
Auto theft	0.4303	26	0.5332	10	0.4191	42	0.4551	13	0.3033	29
Car prowls (something stolen from within your car)	0.5878	4	0.7672	1	0.7084	4	0.6631	1	0.4877	12
Commercial burglary	0.4477	24	0.3310	23	0.4308	40	0.2524	36	0.2429	39
Non-residential property crime	0.4065	32	0.3325	22	0.4891	31	0.2680	31	0.3132	27
Property crime – general	0.5038	19	0.6152	6	0.6523	15	0.5545	3	0.4561	16
Property damage	0.5366	11	0.4638	14	0.6776	10	0.4594	12	0.5010	8
Residential burglary	0.2952	50	0.6367	3	0.2461	60	0.5057	4	0.2290	41
Theft	0.5099	17	0.4849	13	0.6187	18	0.4737	10	0.4178	19
Vandalism	0.5693	7	0.4157	16	0.6485	16	0.3894	15	0.4986	10

Public Order Crime	0.3410	6	0.1554	8	0.3752	7	0.1786	8	0.2109	8
Disorderly Behavior	0.5299	12	0.1786	42	0.6653	14	0.2857	29	0.4207	18
Fireworks	0.0919	71	0.1867	37	0.0436	71	0.1428	51	0.0493	69
Graffiti	0.5873	5	0.3361	21	0.5873	21	0.3756	16	0.4864	13
Illegal sex work	0.3443	44	0.0298	69	0.2311	63	0.0530	68	0.0746	65
Illegal street vending	0.2740	54	0.0343	66	0.1633	66	0.0426	71	0.0639	66
Indecent exposure	0.3267	46	0.0769	57	0.5133	27	0.1274	56	0.2125	46
Public Order Crime – general	0.3064	48	0.1881	36	0.4078	43	0.2108	42	0.2315	40
Trespassing	0.4202	29	0.3233	24	0.4747	34	0.3221	26	0.2277	42
Youth intimidation or criminal activity	0.1883	65	0.0447	62	0.2902	56	0.0469	70	0.1312	58
Quality of Life	0.3038	8	0.1676	7	0.3814	6	0.2004	7	0.2413	6
Civility issues - general	0.5671	8	0.1965	34	0.7721	2	0.3435	22	0.5003	9
Crowd Behavior	0.2429	58	0.0318	67	0.3098	53	0.1055	59	0.2115	47
Dogs off-leash	0.1166	70	0.2534	31	0.0790	70	0.1676	48	0.0764	64
Dogs on the Beach	0.0272	72	0.1112	52	0.0035	72	0.0359	72	0.0241	71
General community safety and quality of life issues	0.4570	23	0.1825	41	0.5466	24	0.2605	34	0.3526	25
Issues in the Parks	0.3631	40	0.3470	19	0.3796	48	0.3694	18	0.4679	14
Littering/dumping	0.6395	2	0.4930	12	0.7510	3	0.4837	7	0.5644	3
Loitering	0.4869	22	0.1913	35	0.6440	17	0.2606	33	0.4138	20
Noise levels	0.2947	51	0.0948	54	0.3820	47	0.1699	47	0.1533	55
Problem/nuisance properties	0.2202	62	0.1580	45	0.3832	46	0.1371	54	0.1537	54
Soliciting	0.2256	60	0.0875	56	0.3712	49	0.1331	55	0.1443	57
Sporting event issues (or other large events)	0.1877	66	0.0059	72	0.2577	59	0.0487	69	0.0150	72
Too many police in the neighborhood	0.1206	68	0.0264	70	0.0790	69	0.0897	62	0.0598	68
Traffic Safety	0.2754	9	0.1971	6	0.3719	8	0.2516	4	0.2345	7
Bicycle safety	0.2378	59	0.2627	30	0.4432	37	0.3500	20	0.3116	28
Drag racing	0.1384	67	0.0478	61	0.2328	62	0.1468	50	0.1159	59
Parking issues	0.3584	41	0.2994	25	0.4263	41	0.3375	23	0.2878	32
Pedestrian safety	0.3836	35	0.2161	33	0.4496	36	0.2381	37	0.2932	31
Safety issues at bus stops	0.3091	47	0.0924	55	0.4988	29	0.2298	41	0.2676	35
Traffic safety	0.2818	53	0.1179	51	0.2891	57	0.1501	49	0.1610	53
Unsafe driving / Speeding	0.2189	63	0.3434	20	0.2632	58	0.3086	27	0.2043	48
Violent Crime	0.3419	5	0.0774	9	0.4326	5	0.1171	9	0.1658	9
Assault	0.4921	21	0.1328	49	0.6720	11	0.2351	39	0.3873	23
Domestic violence	0.2254	61	0.0722	58	0.2125	64	0.1154	58	0.0638	67
Gang activity	0.3277	45	0.0367	65	0.3004	55	0.0563	66	0.1475	56
Gun violence	0.3693	38	0.0436	63	0.5101	28	0.0771	64	0.1655	52
Homicide	0.2566	55	0.0174	71	0.3661	51	0.0557	67	0.0482	70
Robbery	0.4311	25	0.2167	32	0.4826	33	0.2046	44	0.2476	37
Sexual assault	0.2532	56	0.0429	64	0.3376	52	0.0791	63	0.1040	61
Shots fired	0.3766	36	0.0711	59	0.4973	30	0.0913	61	0.1145	60
Violent crime - general	0.3451	43	0.0634	60	0.5145	26	0.1391	52	0.2143	45

## **Appendix: West Weighted Themes**

Index / Item	West (1744)		Belltown (354)		Downtown Commercial District (387)		Eastlake - West Precinct (36)	
	Mean*	Rank⁺	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank	Mean	Rank
Behavioral Crisis	0.0415	9	0.0480	8	0.0404	7	0.0000	14
City Politics	0.0935	2	0.0752	3	0.1276	1	0.0417	7
City politics decreasing public safety	0.0645	11	0.0312	22	0.1094	4	0.0318	19
City politicians not adequately addressing homelessness	0.0317	20	0.0335	19	0.0323	19	0.0000	27
Unsupportive of city council	0.1842	1	0.1610	2	0.2413	1	0.0933	8
Drug Activity	0.0480	7	0.0507	7	0.0491	4	0.0501	6
Drugs are a public health issue	0.0134	35	0.0177	30	0.0058	36	0.0544	11
Drugs are a public safety issue	0.0827	8	0.0837	7	0.0924	7	0.0457	16
Homelessness	0.0568	5	0.0656	5	0.0400	8	0.0136	12
Bring back navigation team	0.0093	37	0.0166	32	0.0048	38	0.0000	27
Encampments are decreasing public safety	0.1246	3	0.1537	3	0.0780	8	0.0498	15
Homelessness is a public health issue	0.0239	25	0.0353	18	0.0128	30	0.0000	27
Homelessness is a public safety issue	0.1061	5	0.1042	4	0.0991	5	0.0185	23
RV & car camping decrease public safety	0.0201	28	0.0180	29	0.0054	37	0.0000	27
Lawlessness	0.0420	8	0.0414	9	0.0490	5	0.0763	3
Crime is on the rise	0.0459	14	0.0407	17	0.0574	12	0.1331	3
Lawlessness general	0.0292	22	0.0236	26	0.0414	15	0.0958	6
Moving out of Seattle due to crime / public safety	0.0508	13	0.0600	11	0.0482	13	0.0000	27
Police Capacity	0.0692	4	0.0766	2	0.0737	2	0.0589	5
More foot and bicycle police needed	0.0230	26	0.0424	15	0.0243	21	0.0000	27
More police community outreach needed	0.0154	31	0.0153	33	0.0137	28	0.0000	27
More police needed	0.1550	2	0.1823	1	0.1682	2	0.1566	1
Slow or no police response	0.0400	17	0.0594	12	0.0192	24	0.0332	18
Unsupportive of defunding police	0.1125	4	0.0834	8	0.1432	3	0.1046	5
Police Legitimacy	0.0248	12	0.0234	14	0.0226	15	0.0677	4
Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias	0.0153	32	0.0251	25	0.0064	34	0.0949	7
Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force	0.0276	23	0.0334	20	0.0164	27	0.1494	2
Lack of police accountability	0.0300	21	0.0212	28	0.0380	17	0.1171	4
Lack of police professionalism	0.0142	34	0.0112	36	0.0164	26	0.0544	12
Lack of trust in police, generally	0.0069	38	0.0059	38	0.0102	32	0.0166	24
Lack of trust in SPD, specifically	0.0256	24	0.0212	27	0.0320	20	0.0254	21
Organizational culture / stability in leadership	0.0181	29	0.0142	34	0.0235	22	0.0000	27
Unsupportive of SPD	0.0604	12	0.0551	13	0.0381	16	0.0835	9

\*The mean proportion for items represents the average number of respondents whose partial or full comment was coded as a theme (e.g., a value of 0.0370 shows that 3.7% of comments, for respondents who commented, could be coded for a specific theme). For indices, the mean proportion represents an aggregate average (e.g., a value of .0220 shows that averaged across all respondents who commented, 2.2% of themes included within an index were coded to a comment). <sup>1</sup>The rank represents the relative order of the indices and items in comparison to each other (e.g., an index with a ranking of 1 includes themes identified more often by respondents in their comments than an index with a ranking of 2).

Police Reform	0.0223	14	0.0225	15	0.0227	14	0.0201	10
Demilitarize Police	0.0068	39	0.0030	39	0.0060	35	0.0000	27
Lack of adequate police training	0.0429	15	0.0471	14	0.0425	14	0.0351	17
Review of SPD's crowd management practices needed	0.0173	30	0.0175	31	0.0197	23	0.0254	21
Property Crime	0.0727	3	0.0668	4	0.0295	9	0.1625	1
Protests	0.0238	13	0.0401	10	0.0292	10	0.0000	14
Public Order Crime	0.0942	1	0.1229	1	0.0688	3	0.0332	8
Public Safety & Community Capacity	0.0513	6	0.0536	6	0.0412	6	0.0288	9
Issues w/ 911 dispatch	0.0100	36	0.0141	35	0.0024	39	0.0000	27
Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street	0.0690	9	0.0632	9	0.0985	6	0.0000	27
More city services / resources needed to respond to behavioral crises	0.0870	6	0.1030	5	0.0737	9	0.0798	10
More city services / resources needed to respond to homelessness	0.0831	7	0.1004	6	0.0611	10	0.0507	14
Police are being prevented from doing their job	0.0652	10	0.0601	10	0.0584	11	0.0000	27
Public parks are unsafe	0.0372	18	0.0329	21	0.0099	33	0.0166	26
Public spaces are unsafe	0.0226	27	0.0255	24	0.0126	31	0.0291	20
Supportive of defunding police	0.0360	19	0.0297	23	0.0132	29	0.0544	13
SPD Supportive	0.0272	11	0.0248	13	0.0254	12	0.0083	13
SPD doing a great job	0.0144	33	0.0074	37	0.0172	25	0.0166	24
Supportive of SPD	0.0401	16	0.0421	16	0.0336	18	0.0000	27
Traffic Safety	0.0199	15	0.0286	11	0.0229	13	0.0185	11
Violent Crime	0.0303	10	0.0266	12	0.0264	11	0.0836	2

### South Lake Union / Cascade Chinatown / Magnolia (225) **Pioneer Square** Queen Anne (365) International (118)(157) Index / Item District (101) Mean Rank Mean Rank Mean Mean Rank Mean Rank Rank **Behavioral Crisis** 0.0347 0.0352 0.0717 4 0.0296 0.0576 5 8 10 12 5 2 0.0467 3 0.0883 0.0769 City Politics 0.1213 1 0.0770 3 0.0091 29 0.0805 9 0.0544 15 0.0720 10 0.0396 17 City politics decreasing public safety City politicians not adequately addressing 0.0315 16 0.0496 16 0.0227 26 0.0297 23 0.0193 25 homelessness 0.0996 5 0.2337 1 0.1539 1 0.1633 1 0.1718 1 Unsupportive of city council **Drug Activity** 0.0555 4 0.0488 7 0.0356 11 0.0456 7 0.0480 8 Drugs are a public health issue 0.0000 33 0.0121 34 0.0164 29 0.0198 26 0.0058 34 0.0713 0.0901 Drugs are a public safety issue 0.1110 2 0.0855 7 0.0549 14 11 7 0.0564 3 0.0850 3 0.0411 7 0.0539 5 0.0665 3 Homelessness Bring back navigation team 0.0000 33 0.0114 36 0.0000 37 0.0144 32 0.0038 37 0.0977 2 16 3 2 Encampments are decreasing public safety 6 0.2050 0.0482 0.1226 0.1557 0.0066 31 0.0477 17 0.0321 19 0.0133 33 0.0260 21 Homelessness is a public health issue 3 0.0996 3 Homelessness is a public safety issue 0.1555 1 0.0848 8 0.1252 5 0.1470 22 11 37 38 0.0224 0.0763 0.0000 0.0194 28 0.0000 RV & car camping decrease public safety 9 11 9 8 0.0369 9 0.0469 Lawlessness 0.0204 0.0412 0.0392 0.0303 18 0.0398 19 0.0238 25 0.0401 19 0.0578 11 Crime is on the rise 22 26 0.0309 17 0.0305 22 0.0286 0.0205 25 0.0148 Lawlessness general 0.0000 33 0.0533 15 0.0651 10 0.0502 14 0.0682 9 Moving out of seattle due to crime / public safety 4 **Police Capacity** 0.0442 6 0.0797 4 0.0705 5 0.0612 4 0.0624 0.0243 23 18 0.0073 37 0.0081 32 20 0.0233 0.0337 More foor and bicycle police needed 0.0208 25 0.0210 25 0.0359 17 0.0114 35 0.0058 33 More police community outreach needed 0.0831 8 0.1739 3 0.1356 2 0.1490 2 0.1087 5 More police needed 0.0066 32 0.0344 20 0.0716 9 0.0403 18 0.0539 13 Slow or no police response 7 4 8 0.0980 Unsupportive of defunding police 0.0861 0.1457 0.0758 6 0.1354 4 0.0315 9 13 13 0.0326 11 0.0178 13 Police Legitimacy 0.0129 0.0249 Concerns about selective enforcement / racial bias 0.0208 24 0.0067 38 0.0078 35 0.0158 31 0.0097 30 22 33 30 0.0298 22 0.0251 Concerns about use of force / excessive use of force 0.0509 14 0.0123 0.0155 0.0196 37 0.0377 20 0.0302 20 0.0299 19 26 0.0000 Lack of police accountability 0.0091 30 0.0183 28 0.0319 20 0.0062 38 0.0089 31 Lack of police professionalism 0.0195 26 0.0000 39 0.0134 32 0.0050 39 0.0000 38 Lack of trust in police, generally 27 0.0195 27 0.0140 31 0.0089 34 0.0431 17 0.0125 Lack of trust in SPD, specifically 0.0243 21 0.0139 32 0.0146 31 0.0228 24 0.0117 28 Organizational culture / stability in leadership

29

0.1070

6

0.1001

4

0.0443

16

0.0781

Unsupportive of SPD

9

0.0183

### Police Reform 0.0109 13 0.0165 0.0325 12 0.0245 13 0.0244 11 11 0.0000 33 0.0114 35 0.0197 27 0.0077 36 0.0050 35 **Demilitarize** Police 0.0463 0.0224 23 0.0306 21 0.0598 12 16 0.0462 14 Lack of adequate police training Review of SPD's crowd management practices 0.0104 28 0.0073 37 0.0182 28 0.0196 27 0.0218 23 needed **Property Crime** 0.0353 7 0.0990 2 0.0384 9 0.1293 1 0.0528 6 Protests 0.0059 14 0.0059 0.0359 10 0.0102 15 0.0386 10 15 Public Order Crime 0.1042 1 0.0731 5 0.0907 1 0.1020 2 0.1140 1 Public Safety & Community Capacity 0.0616 2 0.0583 0.0603 6 0.0518 0.0510 7 6 6 0.0000 33 0.0144 30 0.0256 23 0.0126 34 0.0043 36 Issues w/ 911 dispatch 0.0629 12 0.0882 6 0.0586 13 0.0479 15 0.0582 10 Lack of prosecution, returning offenders to street More city services / resources needed to respond to 3 5 5 7 15 0.1107 0.0891 0.1095 0.0891 0.0457 behavioral crises More city services / resources needed to respond to 8 0.1015 4 0.0788 10 0.1183 4 0.0793 9 0.0824 homelessness 7 8 0.0563 13 0.0742 12 0.0758 0.0814 0.0553 12 Police are being prevented from doing their job 0.0574 0.0051 36 0.0334 21 0.1051 6 0.0649 11 14 Public parks are unsafe 0.0315 15 0.0419 18 0.0244 24 0.0161 29 0.0197 24 Public spaces are unsafe 0.0652 10 0.0228 24 0.0651 11 0.0546 12 0.0376 18 Supportive of defunding police 15 14 10 12 SPD Supportive 0.0000 0.0458 8 0.0194 0.0338 0.0233 21 30 29 0.0000 33 0.0192 27 0.0286 0.0161 0.0105 SPD doing a great job 0.0000 33 0.0723 13 0.0102 33 0.0516 13 0.0360 19 Supportive of SPD 15 15 12 12 14 Traffic Safety 0.0196 0.0159 0.0057 0.0239 0.0000 Violent Crime 0.0249 10 0.0067 14 0.0817 2 8 0.0160 14 0.0381