











Survey Result for Baker Park Addition

From 2nd Public Meeting on August 12, 2017

Total Completed Survey: 31







Additional Comments:

Swings are huge attraction to children of many ages. There are few parks with swings in this area. Families would come from long distance for the swings.

The artificial grass surface gives me a rug burn and is too hot, I like the net rope play structures, and spinning play elements. I like the poured-in-place rubber. I like the ping pong table, I like the pavers, I like the art elements.

I like the ping pong table because it would give young people an activity. Put in swings but not tot size. Ping pong will bring in all ages. The porous pave might scratch kids' knees. Water bottle filler. Why removal of apple trees, keep fruit trees. Add ping pong table to option b. Watch how much trees you remove, we are lucky to have trees!

I like option B because of the play areas, the layout. I don't like option A because of the lack of play structure and lack of seating. Add ping pong to concept B, lights in the ground, add water bottle filling machine and water fountain for exercise. No point in adding chess board because no one would use it. I play chess, but if I want to play a game against my dad/friends/grandpa, I'm not going to go to the park (to play).

I like Option B because play areas and seating, ping pong. I don't like option A because it is split up. Ping Pong! Water bottle filler for exercise.

I like option A because the playgrounds are next to each other and there are different path options. More butterflies and flowers.

I like option A because it has potential to keep existing spruce and Rhododendrons in East field. I don't like option C because it divides park in halves. Keep every tree you possibly can! Bring in pollinators. Love the lighting improvements. Love the ping pong table.

I like option A because the play areas are next to each other, but still have some space between. I don't like option B because of play spaces too close to each other. I'd like more butterflies.

I like option B because play structures adjacent to each other, diverse seating areas, and play structures for different ages. I don't like option C because of the separated play structures, loop trail taking up unnecessary space.

I like option c because the playground is not right next to the parking lot and more centrally located. I don't like option A because picnic tables and location of playground. I love concept c but would also love to have a ping pong table.

I like option a because open space and loop trails. I don't like option c because swimming pool and too close to fence. I live close to the park. I hope the tree planted outside my fence will not block my view from the window.

I like option C because the flow between the play area and fitness area is ideal. Plus, I like that there will be flowing trees in front of new condo area. I don't like option a because the play area might interfere with the pharmacy/health business creating too much noise near them.

I like option A because the place structure is in the sun. I don't like option A because the play equipment is close together. Can it be spread out a little more in east and west directions?

I like option a because it creates an open park with options for use by multiple age groups. I don't like option C because its confined without an open flow to the layout. Play structure close to the southern border may limit sun exposure.

I like option A or B because of the separate fitness area from the playground area. I would like to add a sandbox area with diggers. Main concern is keeping homeless away and making desirable for neighbors. Possible large checker or chess board.

I like option A because there are more trees and it is shady. Keep the rhododendrons and the fruit trees. No road for cop cars. They can get out and walk with flashlights. Big chess or checkers board.

I like option A because large grass area play structure location. The design options improve the park for use by most groups. Inappropriate activity is not a park issue.

I like option a because it feels more open. Less trees out. Provides buffer for entrance to play area. I don't like option c because it is too separate. Careful of exceptional tree removal - except ones that must go.

I like option B because it keeps a long green space for running, ball games. Play area is more compact. I don't like option c because it is not as compact in how the play area is arranged. I like poured-in-place rubber more than playground grass. Consider line of sight will look like when medical offices because taller building.

I like option A because of the loop trail, separate swing and play area. Seating in between play areas and lots of trees. I don't like option B because there is no loop trail. Keep the rhododendron. Better lighting. Fence between north side and parking lot.

I like option A because it has smaller fitness area, better east-west connection, more trees. I don't like option C because of the concentration of activities in the middle. Less development is better for me. I realize that there are lots of users w/ lots of desired uses. Please do all you can to save trees. Out ping pong? challenging in the wind or rain. Probably turns into a roof to sleep under. Do you bring your own net and ball? Exercise equipment, simple, passive, no moving parts.

I like option A because fewer trees need to be removed. I don't like option C because it involves removing more trees. Don't go too far with the "open-view" effort, please leave as many trees as possible, we don't want a view to Safeway.

I like option B because I don't think people would walk a loop trail. It would be great to have some picnic tables so the park can be used when it is raining, for both picnics as an area where parents can be dry while the kids are playing.

I like option A because it provides the most variety. I don't like option C because of the removal of additional trees. Preservation of most of the trees is preferable.

I like option c because received of long term durability, lowest maintenance.

I like options that retain the fish tiles and family stones.

I like options A + B because of the play area. A is nice but get rid of swing and put in separate 2-5 and older play area from B instead.