


Q1 Which Park Design Option do you prefer OVERALL?


Answered: 275 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Option 1	22.91% 63
Option 2	46.18% 127
Option 3	30.91% 85
TOTAL	275

Q2 Which Park Design Option do you prefer for PLANTINGS?


Answered: 275 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1	20.00%	55
Option 2	41.82%	115
Option 3	38.18%	105
TOTAL		275

Q3 Which Park Design Option do you prefer for SEATING OPTIONS?


Answered: 274 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1	32.85%	90
Option 2	40.51%	111
Option 3	26.64%	73
TOTAL		274

Q4 Which Park Design Option do you prefer for PLAY OPTIONS?


Answered: 275 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1	18.91%	52
Option 2	40.00%	110
Option 3	41.09%	113
TOTAL		275

Q5 Which Park Design Option do you prefer for PET AREA LOCATION?

Answered: 275 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Option 1	28.00%	77
Option 2	41.45%	114
Option 3	30.55%	84
TOTAL		275

Q6 What is your zip code?

Answered: 275 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	98116	2/12/2018 2:35 PM
2	98136	2/12/2018 2:25 PM
3	98116	2/12/2018 1:41 PM
4	98116	2/12/2018 11:12 AM
5	98116	2/12/2018 9:27 AM
6	98126	2/11/2018 4:07 PM
7	98136	2/11/2018 12:44 PM
8	98116	2/10/2018 7:44 PM
9	98146	2/10/2018 6:29 PM
10	98136	2/10/2018 2:24 PM
11	98116	2/10/2018 1:15 PM
12	98116	2/9/2018 4:44 PM
13	98126	2/9/2018 2:25 PM
14	98106	2/9/2018 1:32 PM
15	98146	2/9/2018 11:24 AM
16	98136	2/9/2018 9:33 AM
17	98146	2/9/2018 8:24 AM
18	98126	2/9/2018 8:07 AM
19	98383	2/9/2018 2:43 AM
20	98106	2/8/2018 11:08 PM
21	98116	2/8/2018 8:20 PM
22	98115	2/8/2018 7:47 PM
23	98136	2/8/2018 6:36 PM
24	98116	2/8/2018 6:31 PM
25	98146	2/8/2018 6:21 PM
26	98116	2/8/2018 3:57 PM
27	98136	2/8/2018 2:36 PM
28	98116	2/8/2018 11:22 AM
29	98126	2/8/2018 10:16 AM
30	98116	2/8/2018 10:01 AM
31	98136	2/8/2018 9:41 AM
32	98126	2/7/2018 10:52 PM
33	98126	2/7/2018 9:47 PM
34	98126	2/7/2018 9:42 PM
35	98116	2/7/2018 8:21 PM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

36	98116	2/7/2018 7:47 PM
37	98136	2/7/2018 6:57 PM
38	98136	2/7/2018 1:28 PM
39	98226	2/6/2018 11:41 PM
40	98126	2/6/2018 6:09 PM
41	70131	2/6/2018 3:17 PM
42	98104	2/6/2018 3:15 PM
43	98126	2/6/2018 3:15 PM
44	98126	2/6/2018 2:51 PM
45	98104	2/6/2018 1:55 PM
46	98117	2/6/2018 1:31 PM
47	98126	2/6/2018 1:19 PM
48	98116	2/6/2018 12:25 PM
49	98126	2/6/2018 12:11 PM
50	98126	2/6/2018 10:26 AM
51	98144	2/6/2018 10:24 AM
52	98136	2/6/2018 10:14 AM
53	98101	2/6/2018 10:00 AM
54	98136	2/6/2018 9:52 AM
55	98106	2/6/2018 9:33 AM
56	98116	2/6/2018 9:31 AM
57	98146	2/6/2018 9:15 AM
58	98106	2/6/2018 9:01 AM
59	98126	2/6/2018 8:27 AM
60	98126	2/6/2018 8:07 AM
61	98116	2/6/2018 7:28 AM
62	63119	2/6/2018 7:12 AM
63	98126	2/6/2018 7:08 AM
64	98146	2/6/2018 6:39 AM
65	98116	2/6/2018 12:08 AM
66	98126	2/6/2018 12:02 AM
67	98126	2/5/2018 11:59 PM
68	98106	2/5/2018 11:48 PM
69	98126	2/5/2018 11:29 PM
70	98136	2/5/2018 10:46 PM
71	98146	2/5/2018 10:44 PM
72	98106	2/5/2018 10:44 PM
73	97116	2/5/2018 9:51 PM
74	98116	2/5/2018 9:38 PM
75	98116	2/5/2018 9:19 PM
76	98125	2/5/2018 8:58 PM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

77	98116	2/5/2018 8:38 PM
78	98116	2/5/2018 8:22 PM
79	98116	2/5/2018 8:21 PM
80	98116	2/5/2018 7:11 PM
81	98116	2/5/2018 6:38 PM
82	98144	2/5/2018 5:20 PM
83	98116	2/5/2018 3:48 PM
84	98136	2/5/2018 2:59 PM
85	98134	2/5/2018 2:28 PM
86	98116	2/5/2018 12:30 PM
87	98106	2/5/2018 11:58 AM
88	98126	2/5/2018 10:13 AM
89	98136	2/5/2018 10:10 AM
90	98136	2/5/2018 9:55 AM
91	98116	2/5/2018 9:50 AM
92	98136	2/5/2018 9:23 AM
93	98126	2/5/2018 7:46 AM
94	98116	2/5/2018 7:41 AM
95	98116	2/5/2018 7:22 AM
96	98116	2/5/2018 7:09 AM
97	98126	2/5/2018 6:50 AM
98	98116	2/5/2018 4:36 AM
99	98136	2/4/2018 10:09 PM
100	98136	2/4/2018 9:47 PM
101	98116	2/4/2018 9:15 PM
102	98116	2/4/2018 8:32 PM
103	98116	2/4/2018 8:01 PM
104	98136	2/4/2018 7:14 PM
105	98126	2/4/2018 7:06 PM
106	98116	2/4/2018 6:44 PM
107	98126	2/4/2018 6:21 PM
108	98136	2/4/2018 6:18 PM
109	98116	2/4/2018 4:05 PM
110	98116	2/4/2018 3:20 PM
111	98126	2/4/2018 2:11 PM
112	98116	2/4/2018 1:22 PM
113	98126	2/4/2018 1:08 PM
114	98116	2/4/2018 1:04 PM
115	98116	2/4/2018 1:03 PM
116	98126	2/4/2018 12:33 PM
117	98106	2/4/2018 12:09 PM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

118	98136	2/4/2018 12:06 PM
119	98116	2/4/2018 11:58 AM
120	98136	2/4/2018 11:34 AM
121	98116	2/4/2018 11:34 AM
122	98116	2/4/2018 11:25 AM
123	98116	2/4/2018 11:24 AM
124	98116	2/4/2018 11:16 AM
125	98116	2/4/2018 11:12 AM
126	98106	2/4/2018 10:32 AM
127	98126	2/4/2018 10:32 AM
128	01106	2/4/2018 10:17 AM
129	98136	2/4/2018 10:00 AM
130	98116	2/4/2018 9:58 AM
131	98116	2/4/2018 9:45 AM
132	98116	2/4/2018 9:15 AM
133	98116	2/4/2018 9:14 AM
134	98116	2/4/2018 9:03 AM
135	98116	2/4/2018 8:57 AM
136	98116	2/4/2018 8:57 AM
137	98116	2/4/2018 8:38 AM
138	98136	2/4/2018 8:30 AM
139	98116	2/4/2018 8:23 AM
140	98116	2/4/2018 8:14 AM
141	98136	2/4/2018 7:56 AM
142	98116	2/4/2018 7:45 AM
143	98106	2/4/2018 7:45 AM
144	98136	2/4/2018 7:42 AM
145	98116	2/4/2018 7:23 AM
146	98106	2/4/2018 6:51 AM
147	98116	2/4/2018 6:46 AM
148	98116	2/4/2018 6:40 AM
149	98126	2/4/2018 6:36 AM
150	98136	2/4/2018 5:41 AM
151	98116	2/4/2018 5:31 AM
152	98116	2/4/2018 5:23 AM
153	98106	2/4/2018 3:47 AM
154	98116	2/4/2018 2:30 AM
155	98116	2/4/2018 1:01 AM
156	98106	2/4/2018 12:50 AM
157	98126	2/3/2018 11:56 PM
158	98136	2/3/2018 11:55 PM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

159	98136	2/3/2018 11:50 PM
160	98106	2/3/2018 10:44 PM
161	98136	2/3/2018 10:33 PM
162	98126	2/3/2018 10:32 PM
163	08116	2/3/2018 10:09 PM
164	98126	2/3/2018 9:44 PM
165	98136	2/3/2018 9:42 PM
166	98116	2/3/2018 9:28 PM
167	98126	2/3/2018 9:09 PM
168	98136	2/3/2018 8:54 PM
169	98126	2/3/2018 8:46 PM
170	98116	2/3/2018 8:32 PM
171	98136	2/3/2018 8:13 PM
172	98126	2/3/2018 8:11 PM
173	98116	2/3/2018 7:25 PM
174	98116	2/3/2018 7:21 PM
175	98116	2/3/2018 7:10 PM
176	98116	2/3/2018 7:10 PM
177	98136	2/3/2018 7:00 PM
178	98126	2/3/2018 6:54 PM
179	98136	2/3/2018 6:50 PM
180	98148	2/3/2018 6:42 PM
181	98126	2/3/2018 6:35 PM
182	98126	2/3/2018 6:35 PM
183	98106	2/3/2018 6:32 PM
184	98116	2/3/2018 6:30 PM
185	98116	2/3/2018 6:30 PM
186	98116	2/3/2018 6:30 PM
187	98136	2/3/2018 6:28 PM
188	98136	2/3/2018 6:27 PM
189	98136	2/3/2018 6:22 PM
190	98116	2/3/2018 6:07 PM
191	98106	2/3/2018 6:02 PM
192	98136	2/3/2018 5:59 PM
193	98116	2/3/2018 5:37 PM
194	98136	2/3/2018 4:59 PM
195	98146	2/3/2018 4:55 PM
196	98126	2/3/2018 4:50 PM
197	98116	2/3/2018 4:45 PM
198	99127	2/3/2018 4:40 PM
199	98126	2/3/2018 4:36 PM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

200	98126	2/3/2018 4:33 PM
201	98116	2/3/2018 4:32 PM
202	98116	2/3/2018 4:32 PM
203	98146	2/3/2018 4:30 PM
204	98106	2/3/2018 4:28 PM
205	98126	2/3/2018 4:27 PM
206	98116	2/3/2018 4:25 PM
207	98116	2/3/2018 4:24 PM
208	98136	2/3/2018 4:22 PM
209	98116	2/3/2018 4:17 PM
210	98136	2/3/2018 4:15 PM
211	98126	2/3/2018 4:11 PM
212	98136	2/3/2018 4:01 PM
213	98116	2/3/2018 4:00 PM
214	98136	2/3/2018 3:54 PM
215	98116	2/3/2018 3:54 PM
216	98136	2/3/2018 3:52 PM
217	98116	2/3/2018 3:47 PM
218	98136	2/3/2018 3:40 PM
219	98136	2/3/2018 3:35 PM
220	98116	2/3/2018 3:34 PM
221	98126	2/3/2018 3:31 PM
222	98116	2/3/2018 3:31 PM
223	98136	2/3/2018 3:28 PM
224	98146	2/3/2018 3:27 PM
225	98136	2/3/2018 3:26 PM
226	98136	2/3/2018 3:23 PM
227	98136	2/3/2018 3:08 PM
228	98106	2/3/2018 3:05 PM
229	98136	2/3/2018 3:01 PM
230	98116	2/3/2018 2:58 PM
231	98116 aka two blocks away	2/3/2018 2:56 PM
232	98116	2/3/2018 2:52 PM
233	98116	2/3/2018 2:50 PM
234	98136	2/3/2018 2:49 PM
235	98106	2/3/2018 2:46 PM
236	98116	2/3/2018 2:42 PM
237	98126	2/3/2018 2:42 PM
238	98116	2/3/2018 2:39 PM
239	98126	2/3/2018 2:37 PM
240	98106	2/3/2018 2:26 PM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

241	98116	2/3/2018 2:22 PM
242	98136	2/3/2018 2:19 PM
243	98116	2/3/2018 2:17 PM
244	98126	2/3/2018 2:17 PM
245	98136	2/3/2018 2:15 PM
246	98116	2/3/2018 2:13 PM
247	98136	2/3/2018 2:08 PM
248	98146	2/3/2018 1:53 PM
249	98136	2/3/2018 1:50 PM
250	98126	2/3/2018 1:45 PM
251	98116	2/3/2018 1:37 PM
252	98116	2/3/2018 1:31 PM
253	98116	2/3/2018 1:30 PM
254	98126	2/3/2018 1:28 PM
255	98116	2/3/2018 1:26 PM
256	98116	2/3/2018 1:17 PM
257	98126	2/3/2018 1:16 PM
258	98136	2/3/2018 1:13 PM
259	98136	2/3/2018 1:10 PM
260	98116	2/3/2018 1:09 PM
261	98126	2/3/2018 1:08 PM
262	99106	2/3/2018 1:07 PM
263	98136	2/3/2018 1:05 PM
264	98116	2/3/2018 12:59 PM
265	09116	2/3/2018 12:58 PM
266	98116	2/3/2018 12:57 PM
267	98136	2/3/2018 12:53 PM
268	98116	2/3/2018 12:45 PM
269	98136	2/3/2018 12:43 PM
270	98136	2/3/2018 12:41 PM
271	98136	2/3/2018 12:41 PM
272	98136	2/3/2018 12:38 PM
273	98116	2/3/2018 12:37 PM
274	98125	2/3/2018 10:52 AM
275	98103	2/2/2018 1:13 PM

Q7 Additional comments:

Answered: 82 Skipped: 193

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Option 3 is very cool too but I think the climbing walls would be hard to upkeep and be very germey. Option 2 would be easiest to upkeep and looks the nicest overall, and most all-age friendly, with a very calming nature feel.	2/12/2018 2:35 PM
2	Would be nice if there was a location for a food truck. Options should be shown with the current buildings around them in a more cleaner way. Its really hard to see how the Park interacts with its surroundings.	2/12/2018 11:12 AM
3	Adventure wall and boulders sound awesome!	2/10/2018 7:44 PM
4	Thanks for letting us know about this. As someone involved in storm water management I like the coastal design and what looks like maybe a rain garden.	2/10/2018 6:29 PM
5	While I love all the plantings, I worry a bit about sight lines and blocking views into the park	2/9/2018 1:32 PM
6	Option 2 best reflects our Northwest features.	2/9/2018 2:43 AM
7	Love the play options with #2, but I loved the pollinator garden so much in option #3 and seating in #1 that it made it a tough choice.	2/8/2018 11:08 PM
8	Lose the pet area. No value. Dogs go where they want to go. Preferably the street margin.	2/8/2018 7:47 PM
9	Fabulous designs!	2/8/2018 6:36 PM
10	Option 3 really seems to have the best play area for the kids. We are solely lacking in this type of feature in the Junction. Love the hill, tunnel, and climbing wall features. We DON'T need a Pet Area in this park...let's dogs go elsewhere.	2/8/2018 2:36 PM
11	While I like the first design Architecturally, the play option in Option 3 is exciting to me as a parent. I also appreciate the wood materiality in the second option. The trike track is a great idea and could potentially be integrated into any of these options moving forward. Option 3- color palette is a bit garish and the plantings are too colorful.	2/8/2018 10:16 AM
12	Good job.	2/8/2018 10:01 AM
13	This space will be better utilized if it had a covered area for kids to play under and parents can sit under. There is also not enough play spaces in the northern WS area and a growing number of kids. Maybe adding swings at the Charleston water tower would help a little.	2/7/2018 10:52 PM
14	We really need some covered play spaces for kids and parents.	2/7/2018 9:42 PM
15	Option 3 has considerable benefits over the other two options. More color, flexible play area that ages well, and open spaces.	2/7/2018 7:47 PM
16	I do like the play structures from option 2 as well.	2/6/2018 6:09 PM
17	Always like more parks, this looks great. I like the birch trees, and the play wall a lot. Picnic seating is nice as well	2/6/2018 3:15 PM
18	Options 2&3 had the same pet space	2/6/2018 1:19 PM
19	That neighborhood needs more kid paly options.	2/6/2018 12:25 PM
20	I like the continuous ribbon in Opt1. It provides a permeable barrier that visually defines and contains the park. It as more "iconic" visually as well, creating a place that is memorable. It also provides more "pockets of activation" than Opt2.	2/6/2018 10:26 AM
21	pet space in number 3 is in a bad location.	2/6/2018 10:00 AM
22	Option 3 looks like other parks I've seen in the Seattle area... Thus, I'm a proponent of Option 2 (& 1 ranked 2nd).	2/6/2018 9:52 AM
23	partially covered park would be great for rainy months	2/6/2018 9:33 AM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

24	MORE GRASS!! In one of the renderings, you show people laying down on what looks like a big wooden deck - that will not happen in real life. Perhaps if you added lounge seating (ie seating that you can lay down on), but otherwise, that large patch of wood will likely go unused (ie wasted space).	2/6/2018 9:15 AM
25	I believe all three concepts would be successful	2/6/2018 7:12 AM
26	the idea of a covered play area given the seattle rain was floating around the mom group. is that not an option.	2/6/2018 7:08 AM
27	I wish there were better children's play options. I like that you aren't doing a traditional play structure, but I'm not sure that children would actually use any of these structures. All these parks are set up in such a nice way for parents to relax while kids play. It would be so nice to have something kids would actually use.	2/6/2018 6:39 AM
28	Please have either a covered play or dining area.	2/5/2018 11:29 PM
29	Covered play area for rainy days	2/5/2018 10:44 PM
30	Really like the tricycle track.	2/5/2018 9:51 PM
31	How about something semi covered for rainy weather	2/5/2018 9:38 PM
32	Would love to see more play structures for kids.	2/5/2018 8:22 PM
33	Please include an off leash section for the dogs.	2/5/2018 7:11 PM
34	Given nearby drainage issues, option 2' rain garden is nice and makes sense.	2/5/2018 3:48 PM
35	The more options for seating the better, I like the benches	2/5/2018 11:58 AM
36	Make sure all lights only show light downwards, not on any neighboring buildings	2/5/2018 9:50 AM
37	no restroom options? perhaps a pay toilets like they have in SF to discourage homeless camping inside...door opens automatically after 15 mins.	2/5/2018 7:41 AM
38	A someone with both a dog, elementary age children and who lives within a couple of blocks, I greatly prefer Option #3	2/4/2018 10:09 PM
39	Need to keep out homeless so taxpaying residents can enjoy this park. (When is the last time you saw taxpaying residents enjoying junction plaza park. Exactly.)	2/4/2018 9:15 PM
40	Thank you, these look great! Can't wait to use the park with my kids.	2/4/2018 8:32 PM
41	I like the uniqueness of option 2.	2/4/2018 8:01 PM
42	I'm thinking about amateur graffiti artists and what is least likely to be tagged. The ribbon seems like it'd be prime for scratching and ink.	2/4/2018 6:18 PM
43	I prefer Option 2, however, all the options have far too much pavement. Option 2 could be improved by having more green space.	2/4/2018 4:05 PM
44	sad about all the hard surfaces in all 3 designs	2/4/2018 3:20 PM
45	If hardscape elements from Option 1 could be combined with the landscaping and play elements from Option 2, I believe the best of both options would be fantastic.	2/4/2018 1:04 PM
46	Play areas for kids is sorely lacking in the Junction. Please incorporate a good play area!!!	2/4/2018 11:25 AM
47	In general, all of the ideas are fantastic. Coming from a person who loves the outdoors and served on the parks and rec committee in my hometown, I greatly appreciate the work and effort put into connecting with the communities desires and requesting their input. Some suggestions: The layout/design of option 1 is great but lacking in features for children. Option 2 has great features for kids (somewhat redundant) but aesthetically not as nice or urban which I think this park is. Option 3 incorporates native flowering plants along with a great climbing option for kids with a green space for running/picnics but lacks the layout/artistic design with the ribbon of option 1. The layout of the garden beds amid the concrete "path" seems choppy and not a great use of space. If option 3 could add a slide to the hill/rock wall and/or a rope to pull up the wall, replace a bench or two with a picnic table and add another, smaller green space off to the right it would be ideal. Thank you!	2/4/2018 11:16 AM
48	Great designs! It was difficult choosing between the plantings in options 1 and 3, but ultimately I prefer having trees to flowers. Option 1 is my overall favorite, but I would support most any combination of these designs.	2/4/2018 10:32 AM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey


49	Would like more seating with chair backs. I don't want to use tables and chairs near pet relief area on a hot summer day due to smell	2/4/2018 9:15 AM
50	2!	2/4/2018 8:30 AM
51	Please add small basketball, sport court fot teens	2/4/2018 8:14 AM
52	Ditch the pet relief area. I'd rather not have a section of such a small park smell like urine in the summer months right next to where people are sitting and/or playing. People will have their pets relieve themselves in any/other parts of the park as they come through anyway. To have it concentrated in one area will smell. It will kill all the grass in that area.	2/4/2018 6:46 AM
53	Love #2	2/4/2018 6:40 AM
54	Some shaded areas would be really nice for families with young children.	2/4/2018 6:36 AM
55	I just do NOT want to see the park becoming a haven for the homeless!!	2/4/2018 5:31 AM
56	Love the Option 2 as it will be lovely in snow or summer seasons. Room for snow angels and photo ops.	2/4/2018 3:47 AM
57	I hope this park gets patrolled so it doesn't turn into a homeless druggie camp	2/4/2018 2:30 AM
58	The natural look of 2 is best, picnic tables are a good option.	2/3/2018 11:55 PM
59	Solar-powered lamps? Perhaps a small water feature for tranquility?	2/3/2018 10:44 PM
60	some covered areas would be nice!!!	2/3/2018 10:32 PM
61	Mostly curious how well lit the park will be in the evening/night time	2/3/2018 7:21 PM
62	I like the plantings in 2 and 3 but wonder if they would be trampled - not so nice then. Either 1 or 3 -	2/3/2018 7:00 PM
63	We need a larger pet area. There are so many pets in the area and not enough area for them.	2/3/2018 6:30 PM
64	I like most things about Option 2, but the plantings. All that spiky grass is very uninviting, kind of jarring visually. What about other ground covers?	2/3/2018 6:28 PM
65	Add some red 'share chairs' like at main parade ground at SF Presidio	2/3/2018 4:32 PM
66	I would prefer a bit more lawn in Option 2, as otherwise the area is mostly plantings. But overall I like all three designs.	2/3/2018 4:25 PM
67	Even though I am a dog owner and walk often around the neighborhood, I am not in favor of a pet area. Inevitably there would be those who do not pick up their dog's poop or keep the dog on leash (just as there is currently demonstrated all around the neighborhood and city parks).	2/3/2018 4:00 PM
68	I like that #2 has more continuity and needs the least editing. Generally trying to pack too much into this space. I support simplifying for more elegance (and less maintenance). Would also support best design for sun penetration.	2/3/2018 3:35 PM
69	Bench seating that doesn't allow for sleeping on would be great	2/3/2018 3:34 PM
70	Option 2 showed very mature trees, which i think may be misleading	2/3/2018 3:28 PM
71	Likeliken the planting's, expecte	2/3/2018 3:08 PM
72	Can rarely make public meetings, so appreciate the online survey - very helpful. Only concern is safety with having dense bushes or tall trees. What will the interior lighting be within the small park? Unfortunately the WS junction is less and less safe, would be nice to have a safety phone box perhaps, or ground lighting as well as light posts. Any information on this would be appreciated.	2/3/2018 2:56 PM
73	I like the signature ribbon. If that could be incorporated with Option 2, I think that would be a perfect park. Either way, Option 2 is by far the best option proposed.	2/3/2018 2:46 PM
74	If you do not have a dog, the idea of having a large area of your park just there for ppl to let their dogs go and not clean up afterwards (which is already common around those apartments), is very repellent.	2/3/2018 2:22 PM
75	Should be a dog park	2/3/2018 2:17 PM
76	Love the idea of birch planting's	2/3/2018 2:15 PM

West Seattle Junction Landbanked Site Park Project Survey

77	I would prefer the second design, but I fear the depression for the coastal plantings and the boardwalk eat too much space out of the center of the park. Perhaps it could be moved closer to the street edge?	2/3/2018 1:50 PM
78	Option two is my second choice	2/3/2018 1:31 PM
79	Boardwalks in #2 are attractive, but wood deteriorates quickly around here.	2/3/2018 1:05 PM
80	Although I really like the idea of the flower/pollinator beds in Option 3, I'd worry about how parents and kids would react to being so close to working bees.	2/3/2018 12:57 PM
81	Minimize hardscape. Maximize plants. Don't put dog toilet right beside human seating (as shown in #1).	2/3/2018 12:53 PM
82	Can't wait!	2/3/2018 12:41 PM

Q8 There is one more public meeting for this project. Do you plan to attend?

Answered: 263 Skipped: 12



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, I plan to attend in person.	11.41%	30
No, I will participate via online survey.	88.59%	233
TOTAL		263