

Attachment C: Major Projects Challenge Fund – Scoring Criteria

MPCF Project: _____

Criteria	Possible Points	Score	Rationale
Located in an underserved and/or under represented community	20		<p>High (20 pts): Scores 6-7 on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.</p> <p>Medium (14 pts): Scores 3-5 on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.</p> <p>Low (7 pts): Scores 1-2 on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.</p> <p>Zero (0 pts): Scores 0 or below on the Equitable Prioritization Criteria.</p>
Match	10-30		<p>70% match = 30 points 50% match = 20 points 30% match = 10 points</p>
Community Support	20		<p>High (20 pts): The project is consistent with a Parks & Recreation approved plan or other recent SPR planning documents such as Vegetation Management Plans, 2011 Parks Development Plan, Neighborhood Matching Fund Plan.</p> <p>Medium (14 pts): The project is identified in the City of Seattle Comprehensive Plan or in a plan adopted by the City Council such as the North Downtown Park Plan, Ballard Open Space Plan, or Livable South Downtown Planning Study.</p> <p>Low (7 pts): The project has demonstrated a high degree of neighborhood support or involvement as demonstrated through a public review process such as Letters of support from: Neighborhood or Community Council, District Council or other organization representing a neighborhood that is recognized by the City's Department of Neighborhoods.</p> <p>Zero (0 pts): The project is not consistent with any approved plans and</p>

Attachment C: Major Projects Challenge Fund – Scoring Criteria

Criteria	Possible Points	Score	Rationale
			has no documented neighborhood support.
Restoration or significantly extend the life of a current park or facility	10		<p>High (10 pts): The project repairs, replaces or upgrades aging infrastructure or facilities, extending their life at least 20 years.</p> <p>Medium (7 pts): The project repairs, replaces or upgrades aging infrastructure or facilities, extending their life at least 10 years.</p> <p>Low (3 pts): The project repairs, replaces or upgrades aging infrastructure or facilities, extending their life at least 3 years.</p> <p>Zero (0 pts) : No restoration or no extension of life of current park or facility</p>
Reduce maintenance and operation costs	10		<p>High (10 pts): No net increase in the City’s maintenance and operating costs.</p> <p>Medium (7 pts): The project increases the City’s maintenance and operating costs and a business, non-profit or existing approved community group has agreed to take on all maintenance responsibilities for a period of at least 5 years.</p> <p>Low (3 pts): The project has minor increase to the City’s maintenance and operating costs and a business, non-profit or existing approved community group has agreed to take on some maintenance responsibilities for a period of at least 5 years with a net result being reduction of maintenance costs for the Department.</p> <p>Zero (0 pts): The project will significantly increase maintenance and operating costs.</p>

Attachment C: Major Projects Challenge Fund – Scoring Criteria

Criteria	Possible Points	Score	Rationale
Community benefit	20		<p>Projects which will be used by the greater community and not just a limited audience will score higher.</p> <p>Excellent (20 pts), Very Good (17 pts), Good (14 pts), Adequate (10 pts), Questionable (6 pts), Unacceptable (0 pts)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project includes meaningful effort to create community participation. • Clear community partnerships and support. • Reaches diverse audience. • Demonstrates significant impact for community served. (Cultural, Economic, Educational...etc.) • Evidence that the facility is well used by the community.
Maximum points	110		