## **CROWN HILL COMMUNITY WORKSHOP 3**

## Refine & Prioritize

June 23, 2019 from 12:30 - 2:30pm @ The Parla Apartments

### **WORKSHOP SUMMARY**

- In general, participants supported the three community priority areas.
- There was significant interest around adding pedestrian space to Mary Ave and 90<sup>th</sup> to create a place for community events and enhanced pedestrian realm.
- Many participants were supportive of more open spaces, green spaces, and social spaces that are
  adjacent to or visible from the public realm (as opposed to private or inward facing courtyards).
- Participants were enthusiastic about wheel-stops as cost-effective pedestrian infrastructure. Many
  had positive reactions to more landscaping and trees, but voiced concerns that neighbors might
  want to retain as much parking as possible.

# Station 1: Welcome & Background

- Crown Hill Loop
  - General support of Loop as a safe, slow, pedestrian-friendly route around the neighborhood
  - Desired elements on loop: open space (12); trees (11); play spaces (8); art (7); pollinator plants (7); rain gardens (6)
  - Notes
    - Somewhere for smaller kids to play
    - Access to light rail extended to Crown Hill
    - Sidewalks from 85<sup>th</sup> to Holman on 13<sup>th</sup>
    - Community gardens

## **Station 2: Creating Holman Grove**

- General support for alternative sidewalk design as a way to create community gathering spaces
- Many liked the idea of limiting vehicles in a small area to make pedestrian-friendly, but noted the challenges of doing so
- Notes
  - Like it the way it is; "not a place where people come" is a positive characteristic
  - Residential-focused is okay
  - Like "around corner" option; something to draw people to businesses
  - Incorporate green space to "stop off" while walking
  - o How can I help?
  - Limit car/vehicular space
  - Keep future light rail expansion in mind
  - o 85<sup>th</sup> & 15<sup>th</sup> bottle neck
  - o People diverting to quieter residential streets to avoid traffic
  - Lack of setbacks undercuts ped focused streets
  - Make more room on street for pedestrian and bikers

- Restrict parking to increase intersection visibility
- Hybrid of 90<sup>th</sup>/around corner; only move on to next phase if busy/growing
  - Phase 1: 90<sup>th</sup>
  - Phase 2: park on grass patch
  - Phase 3: Mary
- o 90<sup>th</sup> dark and drab, needs activated uses to increase foot traffic
- o Canyon-like due to tall buildings; more public space between buildings
- More diversity in built environment
- Too developer-driven
- Mid-block space creates breathing room
- Rapid ride needed on 85<sup>th</sup> (east-west)
- Require green and open space as part of ne housing units
- o Like promenade idea, one big area instead of 2 smaller on each side

# Station 3: Future Redevelopment on Holman Road

- Improving Pedestrian Experience 15<sup>th</sup>/Holman
  - Participants generally in favor of wider setback to increase space for landscaping and/or a wider sidewalk.
  - o Participants generally in favor of mid-block corridors on long blocks
  - Notes
    - Bigger buffer needed on 15<sup>th</sup>
    - More plants
    - Buffer on both sides of sidewalk
    - Even a small buffer can work on side street
    - Landscape buffer needed on Holman
- Shaping Future Redevelopment
  - Notes
    - Support mid-block connections, like Morrow Lane in Greenwood
    - Vary and stagger building height
    - Consider access to sunlight and shadows
- Types of Open Space
  - General support for open space and amenity space types that faces the street/public realm: corner plazas or entry plazas, mid-block pedestrian walkways, patios or stoops at the street
  - Generally not in favor of spaces not visible from the public realm: internal courtyards, rooftop decks
  - Notes:
    - More passive recreation space and community gardens
    - Prioritize public over private
    - Spaces facing street
    - Atriums for using all year round, or covered outdoor spaces
    - Similar to "pedestrian promenade" idea, a small green area for relaxing in the shade, or a pocket park

#### Notes

- Make space for community gardens and passive open spaces.
- Slow traffic down, reduce speeding through neighborhood streets
- Make open spaces publicly accessible
- Make sure public open space is activated
- o Crown Hill Center agreement is changing, we will need a new gathering/community center
- Private spaces for newer development comes at the loss of privacy for existing residents,
   and takes away sunlight
- Development standards should be directly determined by the people of the particular village; livability should be respected even for those who cannot afford to live in Seattle
- Pay attention to the shadow pattern for the different seasons, and prioritize sunlight into open spaces
- Use setbacks to preserve sunlight access
- Use permit fees to finance urban village improvements

0

### Station 4: Where the sidewalk ends

- Where the sidewalk ends
  - Notes
    - Would love to see rapid ride line that goes east/west
    - Connections Ballard to Crown Hill
    - 13<sup>th</sup> needs sidewalk and traffic calming
    - A shared assessment with city participation would work
    - On 92<sup>nd</sup>, cars park close to fence so no room for pedestrians, yes to wheel stops
    - Put trees where cars don't drive
    - Bus only lane on Holman
    - Wheel stops on 13<sup>th</sup>
    - Need wheel stops! Painted pedestrian and bike lanes don't deter cars. And since there is no physical separation between roadway and walkway, a feeling of safety may not be achieved.
    - Prefer speed bumps with cuts for wide trucks and bicycles
- Types of cost effective sidewalks
  - General support for both ideas
    - Participants noted that more neighbors might be supportive of strategy 1, which includes more parking instead of planting strips
  - Notes
    - RPZ needed
    - New senior housing with no parking proposed
    - More likely that neighbors would be supportive of strategy 1
    - Walkway should be permeable
    - Pathways on 92<sup>nd</sup>
    - We need parking because apartments are being built without it

# Station 5: Prioritizing Work + Design Guidelines

- Topics for Design Guidelines
  - Participants generally agreed with the topics and high-level design topics/approaches provided at the meeting (see photos below for dot responses).
  - Notes
    - Space at transit stops
    - More trees
    - Put some teeth into design guidelines to ensure the concerns of the affected neighborhood
    - Buildings should respond to existing density, locations of retail in relation to residential
    - Design guidelines should support future opportunity for light rail in Crown Hill
    - Larger setbacks to preserve trees
    - Open spaces that are kid-friendly
    - Wider planting strips plots
    - Lower speeds on Holman
    - Safe places to walk
    - Discourage cut-through traffic
    - Design buildings to blend in with existing buildings—Tudor, Queen Anne, Mid
       Century Modern, not just boxy Bauhaus designs
    - 15<sup>th</sup> bus stops; 17<sup>th</sup> ped and bike corridor relocation
    - Buildings with varying materials, colors, texture, wood and brick
    - Buildings with access adjacent to sidewalks

### Prioritizing Ideas

- The top priorities were: Green and open spaces to play (13); Increased tree canopy (11); increased sidewalks or landscaping as part of future development (9) green stormwater infrastructure to fix drainage issues (9); increase the amount of green and social spaces associated with new development (8); low-cost sidewalk alternatives (7); mid-block pathways on long blocks (7); and land use tools that shape future redevelopment (6)
- Notes
  - Affordable housing anchor project
  - Green and social space should be for tenant use
  - P-Patches new and keep









