

CREDIT OPINION

19 December 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

Contacts

William Oh 415-274-1739
AVP-Analyst
 william.oh@moodys.com

Sam Feldman-Crough 415-274-1706
Associate Analyst
 samuel.feldman@moodys.com

Matthew A. Jones 415-274-1735
Senior Vice President
 matthew.jones@moodys.com

Seattle (City of) WA Water Enterprise

New Issue: Moody's assigns Aa1 to Seattle, WA's water revenue bonds

Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned an Aa1 rating to Seattle, Washington's \$195.8 million Water System Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, 2017. Moody's maintains an Aa1 rating on the water enterprise's parity revenue bonds. The outlook is stable.

The Aa1 rating reflects the water enterprise's broad and economically diverse service area, ample water supply and capacity margin, satisfactory and stable debt service coverage, liquidity that is buttressed by access to the city's \$1.9 billion investment pool, and manageable debt burden. The rating also incorporates satisfactory legal provisions providing bondholder protections, as well as a strong management team and culture as demonstrated by strong capital planning and prudent historical rate adjustments.

Credit Strengths

- » Strong service area that is economically diverse, relatively affluent, and growing
- » Ample water supply
- » Strong management team and culture

Credit Challenges

- » Long-term trend of declining water consumption
- » High combined utility cost to consumers may limit future rate increases

Rating Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Moody's view that debt service coverage will remain healthy, supported by a strong service area and prudent management actions, including regular rate increases. Moody's also expects capital projects and the use of leverage will be manageable.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Significant increase in debt service coverage
- » Material decline in outstanding debt

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Decline in debt service coverage

» Weakening in the enterprise's ability to access additional liquidity

Key Indicators

Exhibit 1

Seattle (City of) WA Water Enterprise

System Characteristics					
Asset Condition (Net Fixed Assets / Annual Depreciation)	28 years				
System Size - O&M (in \$000s)	143,563				
Service Area Wealth: MFI % of US median	144.5%				
Legal Provisions					
Rate Covenant (x)	1.25				
Debt Service Reserve Requirement	DSRF funded at lesser of standard 3-prong test				
Financial Strength					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Operating Revenue (\$000)	194,573	213,474	235,594	242,947	251,977
O&M (\$000)	108,435	114,983	126,622	132,979	143,563
Long-Term Debt (\$000)	977,160	919,640	887,010	853,465	851,565
Annual Debt Service Coverage (x)	1.46	1.70	1.86	1.93	1.87
Cash on Hand	25 days	40 days	83 days	120 days	108 days
Debt to Operating Revenues (x)	5.0x	4.3x	3.8x	3.5x	3.4x

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Detailed Rating Considerations

Service Area and System Characteristics: Retail and Wholesale Water Provider to Seattle Metro Area

The enterprise provides retail and wholesale water service to a population of more than 1.4 million in the greater Seattle metropolitan area. Retail service is provided to the City of Seattle, portions of the cities of Shoreline, Burien, and Lake Forest Park, and a portion of unincorporated King County. The number of retail accounts have grown by just over 2% between 2011 and 2015 (to 192,633), though much of that growth has come in the last three years as the region began rapidly recovering from the recession. Wholesale water is provided to 21 suburban water districts and municipalities, and the Cascade Water Alliance.

Wholesale water represents slightly more than half of water demand, followed by commercial use (28%), and residential (19%). No single retail customer represents more than 2.46% of total revenues, and the ten largest retail customers represent just 10.67% of total revenue. 13 wholesale customers receive water under full-requirements contracts that extend through 2060. Four wholesale customers purchase water under partial-requirements contracts, in which the purchased water supplements their own supplies. These contracts carry the same terms and duration as the full-requirements ones. The enterprise also provides water under block contracts with Cascade Water Alliance (CWA) and Northshore Utility District, which have been established on a take or pay basis. The enterprise provides CWA a specified minimum block (base block) and supplemental water (supplemental block) through 2039, at which point the block volume begins to decline.

Total annual billed water consumption has fallen over multiple decades, driven by the implementation of water conservation efforts, improved efficiency in fixtures, and increased residential density. However, enterprise managers expect per capita consumption to level out moving forward, with some variability driven by year-to-year changes in hydrological conditions. In 2015, for example, drought conditions resulted in a 4.5% increase in total billed water use (to 43,415 million gallons).

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Water supply comes from two surface sources (the Cedar River and the Tolt River) and one well field (Seattle Well Fields). Currently, these sources provide an average annual yield 172 million gallons per day (MGD). The water system can store 105 million gallons within its distribution system, predominantly in its six reservoirs, and the enterprise also has access to more than 130,000 acre-feet of raw water stored in the Cedar River and South Fork Tolt Watersheds (equal to roughly 42,345 MG, or about one year of water consumption). Given existing supply and demand forecasts, managers have determined that no significant new sources of water are necessary before 2060.

The transmission and distribution system is comprised of three main transmission lines, 1,690 miles of pipeline, and 16 pumping stations. The city estimates water loss at about 6.2 MGD, with total non-revenue producing water at 6.6 MGD. The current capital improvement plan (CIP) through 2022 includes \$556.9 million in projects, primarily for shared cost projects (such as the Alaskan Way Viaduct and Seawall Replacement Program) and improvements to the distribution system. This total is expected to decline as system managers look for less costly alternatives to a \$44 million project to bypass the Tolt 2 transmission line, which is suffering from deflection caused by slope movement.

Debt Service Coverage and Liquidity: Satisfactory Coverage With Thin Liquidity Buttressed by Access to City's Investment Pool

After some weakness in coverage in 2010 and 2011, the water enterprise has generated satisfactory debt service coverage levels above its target policy of 1.70x. In 2015, coverage was 1.87 times, a slight decrease from 1.93 times in 2014 but on par with 2013 (1.86 times). Top-line revenue growth has been strong, with operating revenues increasing by 3.7% in 2015 (to \$252.0 million), driven by strong drought-driven retail demand and despite no change in statutory rates. Retail operations in 2015 generated 74.2% of operating revenues, marginally higher than in recent years. Although wholesale water service represents 53% of consumption, it represented just 20.7% of operating revenues in 2015.

Operating expenses, including the city's 15.54% utility tax and the state's public utility tax (5.029%), have kept pace with revenue growth, leading to relatively flat net operating income between 2013 and 2015. Absent adjustments for city taxes and other non-operating revenues, 2015 financial performance resulted in a more modest 1.3 times debt service coverage, similar to the average of the prior five year period.

The enterprise is projecting debt service coverage through 2018 to average 1.78 times (after adjustments for city taxes and other non-operating revenues), reflecting rate increases of 2.1% and 2.7% in 2016 and 2017, as well as some one-time money from the Cascade Water Alliance in 2018. Despite the 2.1% rate increase, revenue for 2016 is projected to grow just 1.7%, reflecting a small decline in retail water service revenues resulting from normal hydrological conditions. Under the city's strategic plan for its public utilities, water rates were projected to increase by an average of 3.6% annually between 2015 and 2020, including increases of 5.2% in each of 2016 and 2017. However, given high combined utility costs, projected to increase to \$207 per month by 2020 from \$161 in 2015, large increases by the water utility may be difficult to implement without smaller adjustments by the other enterprises. Positively, management reports low delinquency rates and reasonable affordability given Seattle's strong socioeconomic profile.

LIQUIDITY

Like the city's other utility enterprises, the water enterprise had historically held low levels of cash. The water enterprise has a target year-end operating cash balance equal to 1/12 of the current year's operating expense, with an informal target of 45 days of expenses. At the end of 2015, the water enterprise held \$42.3 million in unrestricted cash and investments, or 108 days of cash on hand. Although satisfactory, this is substantially lower than that of similarly-rated water enterprises nationally. Positively, the enterprise has access to the city's investment pool, which at the end of 2015 held \$1.76 billion in cash and investments. The investment pool could provide a loan for up to 90 days with approval from the city's finance director, and longer loans with approval from the city council.

Debt and Other Liabilities

In addition to its revenue bonds, the water enterprise has \$24.7 million in outstanding loans from the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund program. These loans are subordinate to the current bonds and other parity obligations.

At the end of 2015, the water enterprise reported a net pension liability of \$82.7 million as part of the Seattle City Employees' Retirement System and a unfunded OPEB (other post retirement benefit) liability of \$2.7 million. The enterprise made a pension contribution of \$7.3 million and an OPEB contribution of \$1.1 million.

DEBT STRUCTURE

All of the enterprise's debt is fixed rate and fully amortizes by 2046. Currently, peak debt service is estimated to occur in 2020 at \$83 million, with principal and interest declining rapidly thereafter. The city anticipates layering in an additional \$263.3 million as part of its capital improvement plan over the next six years, which would increase debt service to approximately \$99 million in 2022.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The enterprise has no debt-related derivatives.

Management and Governance

The water enterprise is one of three utilities managed under Seattle Public Utilities (SPU), a department within the City of Seattle. The general manager of SPU reports to the city's mayor, with rates approved by the city council. SPU operates under a strategic plan adopted by the city council, with capital and operating budgets planned over a multi-year horizon.

Legal Security

The bonds are secured by a pledge of the net revenues of the water system. The city has covenanted to establish rates sufficient to generate debt service coverage of at least 1.25 times. The bonds are additionally secured by a common debt service reserve equal to the lesser of the standard three-prong test, funded by a combination of cash and sureties.

Use of Proceeds

About \$100.7 million of the bond proceeds will be used to pay for a portion of enterprise's ongoing capital program. The remainder (\$95.2 million) will be used to refund for savings some or all of the enterprise's 2006 bonds.

Obligor Profile

The water enterprise provides retail and wholesale water services to a population of 1.4 million within the greater Seattle metropolitan area.

Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Municipal Utility Revenue Debt published in December 2014. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on www.moody.com for a copy of this methodology.

Ratings

Exhibit 2

Seattle (City of) WA Water Enterprise

Issue	Rating
Water System Improvement and Refunding Revenue Bonds, 2017	Aa1
Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$195,800,000
Expected Sale Date	01/11/2017
Rating Description	Revenue: Government Enterprise

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1052668

Contacts

William Oh
AVP-Analyst
william.oh@moodys.com

415-274-1739

Sam Feldman-Crough
Associate Analyst
samuel.feldman@moodys.com

415-274-1706

Matthew A. Jones
Senior Vice President
matthew.jones@moodys.com

415-274-1735

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454