

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
This is non-project action -Transportation Strategic Plan Update (TSP Update) (2004)
2. Name of applicant:
City of Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) Policy, Planning and Major Projects Division
Attn.: Barbara Gray
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
700-Fifth Ave, Suite 3900, P.O Box 34996
Seattle WA 98014
(206) 615-0872
4. Date checklist prepared: February 25, 2005
5. Agency requesting checklist:
City of Seattle Department of Transportation (SDOT) Policy, Planning and Major Projects Division
6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
Preparation of TSP Update and submittal to City Council: March 2005
7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No.
8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

City of Seattle Comprehensive Plan Environmental Impact Statement (March 1994)
City of Seattle, SDOT, SEPA DNS for the Transportation Strategic Plan, 1998
Sound Transit, Central Link Light Rail Transit Project FEIS, 1999
Seattle Monorail, The Seattle Monorail Green Line FEIS, 2004
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

No. This is non-project action.
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

TSP Update 2004 to be adopted as City of Seattle Resolution.
11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the site of the project. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

CITY OF SEATTLE SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

The proposed non-project action is the adoption of the Transportation Strategic Plan Update of 2004 that will guide the City's future transportation activities. The TSP Update is SDOT's 20-year functional plan, describing the strategies and policies that would implement the City's Comprehensive Plan-Transportation Element, and the Puget Sound Regional Council Destination 2030 Plan.

This is a programmatic-level SEPA checklist review analyzing potential environmental impacts of a non-project action.

The Transportation Strategic Plan Update is designed to implement the recently amended Comprehensive Plan's Transportation Element. This Comprehensive Plan element calls for the City to institute policies that reduce automobile use and increase transit ridership and frequency of bicycling and walking trips.

The TSP Update sets the following *principles*, consistent with the Comprehensive Plan:

1. Make the best use of the streets we have to move people, goods and services.
2. Increase transportation choices: Demand Management (car/transit/ferry/bicycle/walk/manage parking wisely)
3. Increase Transportation Choices: Make Transit a real choice
4. Increase Transportation Choices: Encourage walking and bicycling – healthy ways to get around
5. Price and manage parking wisely
6. Promote the economy by moving goods and services
7. Improve the environment
8. Connect to the region
9. Protect the infrastructure
10. Make the most of transportation investments (both private and public)

The TSP Update is divided into five chapters: 1) Introduction 2) State of the City's transportation system, 3) Plan elements, 4) Funding the TSP Update and 5) Performance reporting. Refer to attachment.

The Introduction defines the goals, and principles that set the tone for the strategies, programs, projects and services described in the TSP Update. Chapter Two, describes the *existing conditions* of the City's transportation system, through data, maps and other information often used by elected officials, City staff, transportation community stakeholders, and the general public.

Chapter Three includes the TSP elements by the principles listed above. Each section contains the relevant Comprehensive Plan goals and policies and the implementing TSP strategies without going to the level of specific program or project. Refer to the attached TSP Update for details.

The 2005 *Seattle Transit Plan*, which is a “policy plan” to enable the City to be more proactive on the future of transit and to work better with partner agencies, is part of the Transit section in Chapter Two. The Seattle Transit Plan is primarily a policy plan but does have implementation strategies, such as the performance measures. For details refer to Chapter Three.

The Freight Mobility Strategic Action Agenda is also part of Chapter Three. The document was prepared originally in 2002 by SDOT at the request of the Freight community. It is a task list of items that is updated annually and it is not a formal plan. Refer to the “Promoting the Economy: Moving Goods and Services” section of the attached TSP Update for details.

Chapter Four describes the funding of the TSP based on the adopted *Investing in the Transportation System Chapter* of the Seattle’s 2004 Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element. The funding strategies presented are for the next 20 years.

Chapter Five describes the performance reporting currently conducted by SDOT. Reporting is done on a quarterly or annual basis, describing the actions taken by the department in the preceding time period. Examples of the current performance measures are: mode choice, pavement management and transit span of service.

The TSP includes two appendices: Projects and Programs that support TSP Strategies and Identifying Projects for Future Funding.

The TSP Update itself will not cause impacts to the environment; some of the future actions in the TSP Update could be carried out in various ways, or transportation modes, that may cause different environmental impacts.

Future specific project actions or plan updates described in the TSP Update 2004 are subject to SEPA requirements. They will require specific environmental review at the time when more specific information is known about them, or when the plan update is ready for Council action. An addendum to this SEPA checklist may be appropriate in some cases.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The TSP Update 2004 applies to the entire city of Seattle.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. **Earth**
 - a. General description of the site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other:

CITY OF SEATTLE
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

The TSP Update applies to the entire city of Seattle. Topography varies from flat to rolling hills including steep slopes in some areas.

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The city of Seattle has a variety of steep slopes. Steepest slope are up to 40% or greater, in some areas, according to DPD Environmental Critical Areas Folios.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

The city of Seattle has a variety of soil types, mostly glacial in nature.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

When specific projects, or plan updates, are ready for implementation, analysis of soils type will be conducted during the specific environmental review.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Filling and grading may be required for the completion of projects listed in the TSP Update. When specific projects, or plan updates, are ready for implementation filling and grading requirements will be evaluated.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Erosion could occur as a result of clearing, construction or use for projects associated with this plan update. Erosion will be evaluated when specific projects or plan updates are ready for implementation. .

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Impervious surface calculations will be prepared when specific projects are ready for implementation during the project-specific environmental review.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

When projects are ready for implementation, they will follow City of Seattle latest version of City of Seattle Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge and Municipal Construction for erosion and sediment control.

2. Air

- a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

The TSP Update will reduce air emissions related to automobile use since demand management strategies are being implemented, such as, making transit a real choice and encouraging people to walk and bicycle to work. Refer to Chapter 3, Section 3.3 and 3.4 for more details. The approximate quantities will relate to the type/mode and extent of the actions that reduce automobile trips and vehicle miles traveled.

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

No

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

See above. Refer to Chapter 3 of the attached TSP Update for specific strategies.

3. Water

- a. Surface:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

The city of Seattle contains numerous bodies of water among them Puget Sound, Lake Washington, and Lake Union.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Projects associated with the TSP Update 2004 may require work adjacent to waters described above. When projects are ready for implementation they will be designed to meet the City's Stormwater Drainage and Grading Code (SMC 22.80).

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

N/A

CITY OF SEATTLE
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

N/A.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

No

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

No. However, some of the TSP Update strategies include projects that could reduce automobile vehicle miles traveled, or improvements for non-motorized facilities, which would have an accompanying reduction in non-point source pollution to surface water. Refer to the attached TSP Update, Chapter 3, Section 3.3 for details.

b. Ground:

1) Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

No

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals ...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

N/A

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

See above respond to item B.3.a.6 (water -surface). When specific projects or plan updates, are evaluated specific SEPA review will be prepared.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No. When specific projects or plan updates, or projects, are evaluated specific SEPA review will be prepared.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, or runoff water impacts, if any:

Projects associated with the TSP Update 2004 will follow the Best Management Practices set in the City of Seattle *Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge and Municipal Construction*.

Refer also to the attached TSP Update, Chapter 3, Section 3.5 "Improving the Environment" for more details.

4. Plants

- a. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:

deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
 evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
 shrubs, grass, pasture, crop or grain
 wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
 water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
 other types of vegetation

There are a number of vegetation types within the city of Seattle boundaries.

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

N/A

- c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

N/A

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Refer to the attached TSP Update, Chapter 3, Section 3.6 Protect our Infrastructure, where several operation and maintenance strategies are describe to clean and maintain green infrastructure, such as, develop and preserve landscaped areas in the city.

5. Animals

- a. Circle any birds and animals that have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:

mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:

fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:

- b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Chinook salmon, bull trout, bald eagles are present in or near the city of Seattle. Impacts to threatened or endangered species will be evaluated when projects or plan updates are implemented.

CITY OF SEATTLE
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Portions of the City of Seattle serve as migration corridor for birds and fish such as the South Ship Canal in north Seattle.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Refer to the attached TSP Update, Chapter 3, Section 3.5 "Protect our Environment", where several strategies are to accomplish the SDOT environmental mission, including to incorporate environmental excellence in to every decision, project and program as well as to promote the livability of our neighborhoods and communities.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The implementation projects resulting from the TSP Update could use several energy sources, including oil (automobiles), electric (buses, light rail, electric), diesel (commuter rail), compressed natural gas (buses) and other energy forms.

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

N/A.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

There will be a reduction in the use of energy that automobiles use (gas/oil). Refer to the attached TSP Update, Chapter 3, Section 3.5 Protect our Environment, where several strategies are to accomplish the SDOT environmental mission, including to incorporate environmental excellence into every decision, project and program as well to promote the livability of our neighborhoods and communities.

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Refer to the attached TSP Update, Chapter 3, Section 3.5 "Protect our Environment", strategy E.1.3 that describes a strategy to protect SDOT's right-of- way by updating and renaming the Street Improvements Manual, now to be called the Right- Of -Way Improvement Manual. Contaminated soils could be discovered during the implementation of TSP Update 2004 projects. This will be further evaluated during project environmental review.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment operation, other)?

Noise exists through the City. Specific noise impacts of implementing actions of the TSP Update will be considered in the project-level environmental review.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from site.

See above.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Refer to the attached TSP Update, Chapter 3, Section 3.5 "Protect our Environment", where several strategies are to accomplish the SDOT environmental mission, including to incorporate environmental excellence into every decision, project and program as well to promote the livability of our neighborhoods and communities.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

The city of Seattle contains many land uses.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

See above.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

The city of Seattle contains many different structures.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

Specific projects that implement the TSP Update could include demolishing structures. Environmental review will be completed when the specific transportation projects are identified.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The city of Seattle contains many zoning classifications.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The TSP Update 2004 is intended as functional plan to implement the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan 2004.

CITY OF SEATTLE
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

The City of Seattle has several shoreline designations within the boundaries.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

The city of Seattle contains areas that are designated as "environmentally critical sensitive areas". They are located through out the city.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

The city of Seattle has a population of 563, 374 (2000) and 569,241 (2000, full-time equivalent) people work in the city.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

Implementation projects of the TSP Update could cause people to be displaced. Specific project-level SEPA environmental review will be completed when the specific transportation projects are identified.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Specific project-level SEPA environmental review will be completed when the specific transportation projects are identified. The City would complete alternative analysis to avoid or minimize displacement impacts, as appropriate in each project.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and project land uses and plans, if any:

The Transportation Strategic Plan Update 2004 is consistent with the City of Seattle Comprehensive Plan Update 2004.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

The TSP Update calls for coordinating efforts to develop affordable housing with transit improvements, refer to Chapter 3, section 3.3 "Make Transit a Real Choice". No specific housing projects are included in the TSP Update.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Specific housing unit impacts, if any, to be evaluated during the project-level environmental review when the specific transportation projects are identified.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Building Urban Villages is the primary TSP Update element, described in Chapter Three, Section 3.1., that relates to housing and land use activities. The Urban Village concept will shape the City's transportation infrastructure

up to the year 2030. Any specific mitigation measures would be evaluated during the project-level environmental review when specific transportation projects are identified.

Overall the TSP Update reduces the necessity to own an automobile by providing different modes of transportation. There are also parking strategies to reduce minimum parking requirements to average parking demand. Requiring a more appropriate amount of off-street parking for housing projects can help to make housing more affordable.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

The city of Seattle has a variety of building heights.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

View impacts, if any, to be evaluated during the project-level environmental review when the specific transportation projects are identified.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

See above.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

N/A. This would be evaluated during the project-level environmental review when the specific transportation projects are identified.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

See above.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

See above.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

See above.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

The city of Seattle has many designated and informal recreational opportunities within the boundaries.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

CITY OF SEATTLE
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

N/A. This would be evaluated during the project-level SEPA environmental review when the specific transportation projects are identified.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

See response above.

13. Historic and Cultural Preservation

- a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.

The city of Seattle has many places and objects listed on national, state, or local preservation registers.

- b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

The city of Seattle has landmarks or historic, archeological, scientific, cultural importance within the boundaries.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

To the extent that specific projects that implement the TSP Update can reduce or control impacts to historic and cultural preservation, environmental review and the appropriate mitigation measures, will be analyzed when the specific-project level environmental review is prepared.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe the proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The TSP Update 2004 affects the entire city's transportation system.

- b. Is site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

The Draft Transit Plan contains strategies related to public transit, whether it is in design, planning or operations phases. King County Metro operates a great deal of public transit within the city. In 2002, Seattle, Shoreline and Lake Forest Park (Metro West Subarea) received almost 1.89 million annual service (platform) hours, generating slightly over 80 million annual rides. This is about 71 percent of Metro's total system ridership. In addition, there are strategies in the TSP Update that affects Sound Transit, and the Monorail systems.

- c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

The TSP Update contains a Parking Section, refer to Chapter Three, Section 3.3P "Price and Manage Parking Wisely". Specific parking losses or gains are not identified in the TSP Update. The appropriate project-level environmental review will be completed when the specific parking projects and programs are identified.

- d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

One of the City's highest transportation priorities is to take care of existing infrastructure: Make the Best Use of the Streets We have to Move People, Goods and Services. Refer to Chapter 3, Section 3.2 of the attached TSP Update.

The TSP Update proposes to operate and maintain the City's infrastructure as efficiently as possible. This means repairing streets and bridges before they deteriorate to the point where they must be rebuilt. The City also has to rehabilitate structures (bridges, seawalls, and retaining walls), traffic control devices, and streetlights.

The appropriate project-level environmental review will be completed once the specific transportation projects are identified.

- e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

A section of Chapter Three of the Transportation Strategic Plan Update, Section 3.7, covers connecting to the region via Sound Transit, the regional transit system for the Puget Sound area. The TSP Update also contains a strategy to support a strong regional ferry system that maximizes the movement of people and goods. For details refer to page 72-73 of the attached TSP.

If, and when, other transportation projects are proposed near water, rail, or air transportation, then environmental review will be conducted at the time more specific information is known about the projects.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

Chapter Three, Section 3.3T describes strategies to reduce use of single-occupant vehicles to reduce environmental degradation.

To the extent that the TSP Update actions are implemented, specifically the strategies that reduce automobile use or encourage people to use non-single occupant vehicles, then the daily vehicle trips will be reduced.

- g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any.

Chapter Three, Section 3.3TDM describes Demand Management strategies to reduce use of single-occupant vehicles to reduce environmental degradation.

To the extent that the TSP Update is implemented, environmental review will be conducted once more information about specific projects is available.

CITY OF SEATTLE
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

To the extent that specific projects implemented in the TSP Update result in an increased need for public services, then environmental review will be conducted once more information is available about the specific projects.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

See above.

16. Utilities

- a. Circle utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

There are a number of utilities currently available in the city of Seattle.

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in immediate vicinity which might be needed.

To the extent that specific projects implemented in the TSP Update use utilities, then environmental review will be conducted at the time when more information is available about the specific projects.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Date submitted: _____

This checklist was prepared by:

SDOT, Senior Environmental Specialist

SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

To the extent that specific projects implemented in the TSP Update reduce the environmental impacts of automobiles, then air, water, noise and toxic pollution will be reduced.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

The TSP Update contains a wide variety of implementation strategies that are intended to reduce the use of single-occupant-vehicles, including strategies in the Moving People (encouraging walking, biking, transit, and transportation demand management) and Protecting the Environment (encouraging alternative fuels and noise pollution reduction). A reduction in automobile trips and/or vehicle miles traveled will lead to reductions in air, water, toxic and other pollution types from automobiles. Refer to attached TSP Update Chapter Three for details.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

No impact.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

No impact.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

If specific projects implemented in the TSP Update affect environmentally sensitive areas, or public areas, during the project-level SEPA environmental review analysis adequate mitigation measures will be identified.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

To the extent that specific projects implemented in the TSP Update affect land and shoreline use, SEPA environmental review, including appropriate mitigation measures, will be prepared when the specific transportation projects are identified.

CITY OF SEATTLE
SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The TSP Update contains a variety of strategies which are intended to reduce demands on the automobile travel mode and help increase demand for public transit, non-motorized, and other transportation modes.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

See above. To the extent that the transportation projects resulting from the TSP Update can reduce transportation demand, they will do so.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The Transportation Strategic Plan Update 2005 is **consistent** with the City of Seattle Comprehensive Plan Update 2004.

Attachments: Transportation Strategic Plan Update

TSP Final Checklist 2005.doc