

**FREMONT BRIDGE APPROACHES
FOR
SEATTLE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
CITY OF SEATTLE**

**HAZARDOUS MATERIAL DISCIPLINE STUDY
ADDENDUM NO. 2
July 2004**

This addendum provides changes developed in response to Washington State Department of Transportation review comments to the Fremont Bridge Approaches Hazardous Material Discipline Report, received July 1, 2004.

Page 16, Section 2.3.1

Change the first sentence to read:

“Sanborn maps were reviewed and the information gained is included in this section.”

Page 28, Section 4.1 Project Involvement Summary

Revise to read:

“At this point in time exact easements required for both temporary and permanent easements have not been fully identified. It is believed that properties identified within this report for additional testing are representative of those properties that will ultimately be acquired for easements.”

Page 32, Section 4.6.2

Add the following:

“Waste designation procedures for State-regulated dangerous wastes are most likely to occur at or near the Seattle Department of Transportation Operations and Maintenance Building, given its past history. Any dangerous wastes uncovered or generated during construction will need to be handled in accordance with WAC 173-303. Any transportation of dangerous waste off site will need to comply with procedures listed in WAC 173-303-240 through WAC 173-303-250.

Reporting requirements for any release of dangerous materials such as spills or discharge to the adjacent waterway require immediate reporting to State and federal agencies and carry significant fines.”

Page 33, Section 4.6.4

Delete in its entirety.

Page 35, Section 4.6.11

Delete the second and third paragraphs in their entirety.

Page 36, Section 4.6.14

Add the following two paragraphs:

“The Puget Sound Clean Air Agency (PSCAA) requires a written notice of intent to abate or remove ACMs prior to beginning any asbestos removal, disturbance, or building demolition. The only exceptions are asbestos projects involving less than 48 square feet and the removal of non-friable ACM roofing material. An AHERA building inspector or competent person must make the determination if the material is non-friable. There is a notification waiting period and fee that must be included in planning any asbestos abatement work. ACMs removed from the building must be disposed of at a landfill approved to accept ACM materials.

PSCAA must be notified when asbestos abatement is completed and prior to commencement of any demolition work. Penalties for asbestos violations routinely run into the tens of thousands of dollars.”

Page 38, Section 5.2.1

Revise the first sentence of the first bulleted item to read:

- “Soils containing contamination below MTCA action cleanup levels may be used in parking areas or under roadways, if the soil meets geotechnical requirements.”

Page 39, Section 5.2.3

Revise the third sentence of the second paragraph to read,

“Two possible disposal facilities are Philip Services and Teris, LLP (formally known as ENSCO, Inc).”

Page 39, Section 5.3

Change the first sentence to read:

“Demolition debris, classified as “inert waste” under WAC 173-350-990 will be recycled to the maximum extent possible.”

Page 39, Section 5.3

Add the following at the end of the first paragraph:

“The disposal facility for all inert waste demolition debris shall meet the requirements of WAC 173-350-410.

Page 40, Section 5.4

Add the following:

“The Contractor will be required to comply with BMP’s outlined in C1.80 Spill Control Planning and Cleanup in accordance with the City of Seattle’s Volume 2: Construction Stormwater Control Technical Requirements Manual, Title 22.800 Stormwater, Grading & Drainage Control Code.”