

From: Dan Kruzich [<mailto:truncate@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2014 11:21 PM
To: Glowacki, Margaret
Cc: SLAeditors@gmail.com
Subject: SMP changes

I suggest these changes to the adoption of the Shoreline Management Proposal.

A. In every case where an appeal to the Director's decisions is made to the hearing examiner, a further appeal may be made to a jury of one's peers in a civil court of competent jurisdiction.

B. A clause that states that the existence of features of a vessel such as bunks, heads, and galleys do not of themselves establish the vessel as having a dwelling unit.

C. A clause that states that a vessel that is built for its intended purpose as it is described, marketed, or conveyed is the presumed purpose of that vessel and that it is the Director's burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it is otherwise.

D. None of the Department of Ecology's suggested rules be adopted unless a full and thorough public process of hearings and testimony be conducted.

Please incorporate these changes in the rules that you adopt. Thank you. Dan Kruzich.