



City of Seattle
Edward B. Murray, Mayor

Department of Construction and Inspections
Nathan Torgelson, Director

**CITY OF SEATTLE
ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR OF
THE SEATTLE DEPARTMENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND INSPECTIONS**

Application Number: 3022156
Applicant Name: Shaun Novion
Address of Proposal: 3449 9th Ave W

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

Land Use Application to allow 3, three-story single family residences in an environmentally critical area. Parking for 3 vehicles to be provided. Existing structure to be demolished. Environmental review includes future unit lot subdivision.

The following approval is required:

SEPA Environmental Threshold Determination (SMC Chapter 25.05)

Seattle DCI SEPA DETERMINATION:

Determination of Non-significance

- No mitigating conditions of approval are imposed.
- Pursuant to SEPA substantive authority provided in SMC 25.06.660, the proposal has been conditioned to mitigate environmental impacts

SITE AND VICINITY

Site Location: 3449 9th Ave. W.
Zoning: Low Rise (LR1)
Parcel Size: 4,996 sq. ft.
Existing Use: Single-family residential
ECA: Steep Slope and Potential Slide



PROJECT AND SITE DESCRIPTION:

The site is located on the southwest corner of 9th Ave and W. Emerson a T-shaped intersection. The property descends approximately 24 feet from the west to the east. Based on topography Seattle DCI classifies parts of the site as ECA Steep Slope. An ECA exemption was granted under the project's associated building permit, 6491169. The site is also mapped as a Potential Slide Area ECA, a condition requiring additional review during the building permit phase of the project. The zoning ordinance requires alley access when possible. The right-of-way for alley access exists. Due to topographic constraints the applicant was granted an exception to take access from 9th Ave W.

Structures in the vicinity of the subject property are predominantly larger multi-family buildings located within the LR1 zone. The subject property is situated on the western edge of LR1 zoning. Zoning transitions to Single Family (SF5000) to the west across the alley where there are single-family residences, two to three stories in height. To the south of the site down 9th Ave are a series of two story duplex units with bunker garages. To the north are multi-family structures 2 to 3 stories in height. East of the subject property are larger multi-family structures three stories in height.

The project is comprised, of three single-family residences each three stories in height. Three surface parking spots are access from 9th Avenue W. An existing single family home constructed in 1949 will be demolished with this request.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

The public comment period ended on November 18, 2015, two comments were received. A neighbor submitted concerns with the closure of the alley during construction. The second comment was from the health department regarding best management practices for lead based paints within the existing home.

ANALYSIS – SEPA

The proposal site is located in an environmentally critical area, as noted above. Proposals located in landslide prone areas (i.e. known landslide areas, potential landslide areas, and steep slopes), wetlands, and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas may require environmental review (SMC 25.05.908), thus this application is not exempt from SEPA review. However, the scope of environmental review of projects within these critical areas is limited to: 1) Documenting whether the proposal is consistent with the City's Environmentally Critical Areas (ECA) regulations in SMC 25.09; and 2) Evaluating potentially significant impacts on the critical area resources not adequately addressed in the ECA regulations.

This review includes identifying additional mitigation measures needed to protect the ECA in order to achieve consistency with SEPA and other applicable environmental laws.

Environmental review resulting in a Threshold Determination is required pursuant to the Seattle State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), WAC 197-11, and the Seattle SEPA Ordinance (Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 25.05).

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist submitted by the applicant. The Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections has analyzed and annotated the environmental checklist submitted by the project applicant; reviewed the project plans and any additional information in the file and any pertinent comments which may have been received regarding this proposed action have been considered. As indicated in the checklist, this action may result in adverse impacts to the environment. However, due to their temporary nature and limited effects, the impacts are not expected to be significant

City codes and/or ordinances apply to the proposal and will provide mitigation for some of the identified impacts. Specifically these are: the Stormwater Code (SMC 22.800-808), the Grading Code (SMC 22.170), the Street Use Ordinance (SMC Title 15), the Seattle Building Code, and Regulations for Environmentally Critical Areas (SMC 25.09).

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665) clarifies the relationship between codes, policies, and environmental review. Specific policies for each element of the environment, and certain neighborhood plans and other policies explicitly referenced, may serve as the basis for exercising substantive SEPA authority. The Overview Policy states, in part, “Where City regulations have been adopted to address and environmental impact, it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation” subject to some limitations. Under such limitations or circumstances (SMC 25.05.665 D) mitigation can be considered. Thus, a more detailed discussion of some of the impacts is appropriate. Short-term and long-term adverse impacts are anticipated from the proposal.

Short-term Impacts

The following temporary or construction-related impacts on the environmentally critical area are expected: 1) temporary soil erosion; and 2) increased vibration from construction operations and equipment. These impacts are not considered significant because they are temporary and/or minor in scope (SMC 25.05.794).

Earth / Soils

The ECA Ordinance and Director’s Rule (DR) 18-2011 require submission of a soils report to evaluate the site conditions and provide recommendations for safe construction in landslide prone areas. Pursuant to this requirement the applicant submitted a geotechnical engineering study. The study has been reviewed and approved by Seattle DCI’s geotechnical experts, who will condition proposed work to proceed without undue risk to the property or to adjacent properties.

No additional conditioning is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

Long -term Impacts

Long term or use-related impacts on the environmentally critical area are also anticipated as a result of this proposal, including: increased surface water runoff due to greater site coverage by impervious surfaces; loss of plant and animal habitat. Compliance with applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most adverse long-term impacts to the environment.

No additional conditioning is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

DECISION – SEPA

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of the lead agency of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this declaration is to satisfy the requirement of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21.C), including the requirement to inform the public of agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

- Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21.030(2) (c).

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355 and Early review DNS process in SMC 25.05.355. There is no further comment period on the DNS.

CONDITIONS – SEPA

None.

Josh Johnson, Land Use Planner
Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections

Date: February 25, 2016

JJ:rgc
K:\Decisions-Signed\3022156.docx

IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR ISSUANCE OF YOUR MASTER USE PERMIT

Master Use Permit Expiration and Issuance

The appealable land use decision on your Master Use Permit (MUP) application has now been published. At the conclusion of the appeal period, your permit will be considered “approved for issuance”. (If your decision is appealed, your permit will be considered “approved for issuance” on the fourth day following the City Hearing Examiner’s decision.) Projects requiring a Council land use action shall be considered “approved for issuance” following the Council’s decision.

The “approved for issuance” date marks the beginning of the **three year life** of the MUP approval, whether or not there are outstanding corrections to be made or pre-issuance conditions to be met. The permit must be issued by Seattle DCI within that three years or it will expire and be cancelled (SMC 23-76-028). (Projects with a shoreline component have a **two year life**. Additional information regarding the effective date of shoreline permits may be found at 23.60.074.)

All outstanding corrections must be made, any pre-issuance conditions met and all outstanding fees paid before the permit is issued. You will be notified when your permit has issued.

Questions regarding the issuance and expiration of your permit may be addressed to the Public Resource Center at prc@seattle.gov or to our message line at 206-684-8467.