



**CITY OF SEATTLE
ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR OF
THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

Application Number: 3018241
Applicant Name: Weber Julian
Address of Proposal: 1729 25th Avenue

SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL

Land Use Application to allow two single family residences in an environmentally critical area. Surface parking for two vehicles to be provided. Existing single family residence to be demolished. Environmental review includes future unit lot subdivision.

The following approval is required:

SEPA Environmental Determination (SMC Chapter 25.05)

DPD SEPA DETERMINATION:

Determination of Non-significance

- No mitigating conditions of approval are imposed.
- Pursuant to SEPA substantive authority provided in SMC 25.06.660, the proposal has been conditioned to mitigate environmental impacts

SITE AND VICINITY

Site Zoning: Lowrise One (LR1)
Nearby Zones: Lowrise One (LR1), Lowrise Two (LR2)
Existing Use: Single Family residence



Lot Area: 3,599 sq. ft.

Site Characteristics: The site is mapped as an Environmentally Critical Area (ECA) Steep Slope along the east property line.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The applicant proposes a two single family residence and a future unit lot subdivision. Proposed vehicular access is located off alley.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

No public comments were received during the public comment period ending on October 1, 2014.

ANALYSIS - SEPA

The proposal site is located in a mapped environmentally critical area (ECA) due to steep slopes. However, the subject site received a limited exemption from the development standards for steep slopes on August 12, 2014. The property is still subject to all other ECA standards and is regulated as a potential landslide site, thus the application is not exempt from SEPA review. However, SMC 25.05.908 provides that the scope of environmental review of projects within critical areas shall be limited to: 1) documenting whether the proposal is consistent with the City's Environmentally Critical Areas (ECA) regulations in SMC 25.09; and 2) evaluating potentially significant impacts on the critical area resources not adequately addressed in the ECA regulations. This review includes identifying additional mitigation measures needed to protect the ECA in order to achieve consistency with SEPA and other applicable environmental laws.

Environmental review resulting in a Threshold Determination is required pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), WAC 197-11, and the Seattle SEPA Ordinance (Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 25.05).

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist submitted by the applicant and signed November 7th, 2014. A geotechnical report dated August 11, 2014, was submitted. The information in the checklist, the geotechnical report, supplemental information submitted by the applicant, and the experience of the lead agency with the review of similar projects form the basis for this analysis and decision.

The Department of Planning and Development has analyzed and annotated the environmental checklist submitted by the project applicant; reviewed additional information in the file; and any comments which may have been received regarding this proposed action have been considered. As indicated in this analysis, this action will result in adverse impacts to the environmentally critical area. However, due to their temporary nature and limited effects, the impacts are not expected to be significant.

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665) clarifies the relationship between codes, policies, and environmental review. Specific policies for each element of the environment, and certain neighborhood plans and other policies explicitly referenced, may serve as the basis for exercising substantive SEPA authority. The Overview Policy states, in part, “*Where City regulations have been adopted to address and environmental impact, it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation,*” subject to some limitations. Short-term adverse impacts are anticipated from the proposal. No adverse long-term impacts on the environmentally critical area are anticipated.

Short Term Impacts

The following temporary or construction-related impacts are expected: temporary soil erosion; decreased air quality due to increased dust and other suspended air particulates during excavation, filling and transport of materials to and from the site; and increased vibration from construction operations and equipment. Compliance with applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most adverse short-term impacts to the environment.

Earth / Soils - The ECA Ordinance and Directors Rule (DR) 18-2011 require submission of a soils report to evaluate the site conditions and provide recommendations for safe construction in landslide prone areas. Pursuant to this requirement the applicant submitted a geotechnical report (Geotech Consultants, Inc., August 11, 2014). The geotechnical study has been reviewed by DPD’s geotechnical experts who determined that the impacts to soils can be sufficiently mitigated through the Grading Code and Stormwater Code review by the Geotechnical Engineer during the Building Permit phase of review. The applicant will be required to submit geotechnical studies and any other information to determine compliance with those Codes during Building Permit review. No additional mitigation is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

Long Term Impacts

Long term or use-related impacts on the environmentally critical area are also anticipated as a result of this proposal and future unit lot subdivision, including: increased surface water runoff due to greater site coverage by impervious surfaces; and loss of plant and animal habitat. Compliance with applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most adverse long-term impacts to the environment.

No further conditioning or mitigation is warranted pursuant to specific environmental policies or the SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665).

DECISION – SEPA

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of the lead agency of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible

department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this declaration is to satisfy the requirement of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21.C), including the requirement to inform the public of agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

- Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21.030(2) (c).

CONDITIONS - SEPA

None required.

Signature: _____ (signature on file) Date: November 17, 2014
Magda Hogness, Land Use Planner
Department of Planning and Development

MH:bg

Hogness/3018241 ECA SEPA.docx