



**City of Seattle**

---

**Department of Planning and Development**  
D. M. Sugimura, Director

**CITY OF SEATTLE  
ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR OF  
THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**Project Number:** 3013531  
**Applicant:** Michael Pollard  
**Address of Proposal:** 1525 19<sup>th</sup> Ave

**SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL**

Land Use Application to allow two single family residences and one 3-unit townhouse. Parking for six vehicles to be provided at grade. Existing structure to be demolished.

The following approval is required:

**SEPA Environmental Threshold Determination (SMC Chapter 25.05)**

**DPD SEPA DETERMINATION:**

Determination of Non-significance

- No mitigating conditions of approval are imposed.
- Pursuant to SEPA substantive authority provided in SMC 25.06.660, the proposal has been conditioned to mitigate environmental impacts

**BACKGROUND**

The project has gone through the Streamline Design Review (SDR) process which is required when more than two townhouse units are being proposed for development. The SDR report was finished on November 20<sup>th</sup>, 2012. A copy of the report is located on DPD's website at, Resource Center/Records/Permit & Property Record Search, for project # 3013531 with the heading Decision/recommendation.

**SITE AND VICINITY**

Site Location: The Capitol Hill neighborhood is a mixture of multifamily structures built over the last decades and single family houses built in the early 1900's. To the north are apartment structures and a church.

Zoning: Lowrise 1 (LR1)  
Parcel Size: 7,860 sq. ft.  
Existing Use: A single story duplex structure.  
ECA: Steep Slope

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

The proposed project is for the design and construction of two three-story single family residences facing 19th Avenue and three three-story townhouses in a structure behind the single family residences. Six surface parking stalls will be accessed off the alley. All the units will have roof decks and at grade open space.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

The public comment period ended on December 19, 2012 . There were no public comments for the SEPA review. Public comments were received as part of the SDR process. The SDR report lists the issues and concerns of the comments.

**ANALYSIS – SEPA**

Environmental review resulting in a Threshold Determination is required pursuant to the Seattle State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), WAC 197-11, and the Seattle SEPA Ordinance (Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 25.05).

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist submitted by the applicant on October 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012. The Department of Planning and Development has analyzed and annotated the environmental checklist submitted by the project applicant; reviewed the project plans and any additional information in the file and any pertinent comments which may have been received regarding this proposed action have been considered. As indicated in the checklist, this action may result in adverse impacts to the environment. However, due to their temporary nature and limited effects, the impacts are not expected to be significant

City codes and/or ordinances apply to the proposal and will provide mitigation for some of the identified impacts. Specifically these are: the Stormwater Code (SMC 22.800-808), the Grading Code (SMC 22.170), the Street Use Ordinance (SMC Title 15), the Seattle Building Code, and Regulations for Environmentally Critical Areas (SMC 25.09).

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665) clarifies the relationship between codes, policies, and environmental review. Specific policies for each element of the environment, and certain neighborhood plans and other policies explicitly referenced, may serve as the basis for exercising substantive SEPA authority. The Overview Policy states, in part, “*Where City regulations have been adopted to address and environmental impact, it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation*” subject to some limitations. Under such limitations or circumstances (SMC 25.05.665 D) mitigation can be considered. Thus, a more detailed discussion of some of the impacts is appropriate. Short-term and long-term adverse impacts are anticipated from the proposal.

### **SHORT TERM IMPACTS**

The following temporary or construction-related impacts are expected: temporary soil erosion; decreased air quality due to increased dust and other suspended air particulates during excavation, filling and transport of materials to and from the site; increased noise and vibration from construction operations and equipment; increased traffic and parking demand from construction personnel traveling to and from the work site; consumption of renewable and non-renewable resources; disruption of utilities serving the area; and conflict with normal pedestrian movement adjacent to the site. Compliance with applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most adverse short-term impacts to the environment.

#### Earth / Soils

The ECA Ordinance and Director’s Rule ([DR 18-2011](#)) require submission of a soils report to evaluate the site conditions and provide recommendations for safe construction in landslide prone areas. Pursuant to this requirement the applicant submitted a geotechnical engineering study by Geotech Consultants on July, 25<sup>th</sup> 2012. The study has been reviewed and approved by DPD’s geotechnical experts, who will require what is needed for the proposed work to proceed without undue risk to the property or to adjacent properties.

#### Greenhouse gas emissions

Construction activities including construction worker commutes, truck trips, the operation of construction equipment and machinery, and the manufacture of the construction materials themselves result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from this project.

No further conditioning or mitigation is warranted pursuant to specific environmental policies or the SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665).

### **LONG TERM IMPACTS**

Long term or use-related impacts are also anticipated as a result of this proposal, including: increased surface water runoff due to greater site coverage by impervious surfaces; increased bulk and scale on the site; increased traffic in the area and increased demand for parking; increased demand for public services and utilities; loss of plant and animal habitat; and increased light and glare. Compliance with applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most adverse long-term impacts to the environment.

Greenhouse gas emissions

Operational activities, primarily vehicular trips associated with the project and the projects' energy consumption, are expected to result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from this project.

Historic Preservation

An existing structure on site more than 50 years old was referred to the Department of Neighborhoods for examination of potential landmark eligibility. The structure was deemed unlikely to qualify for landmark status. LPB 65/13

No further conditioning or mitigation is warranted pursuant to specific environmental policies or the SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665).

**DECISION – SEPA**

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of the lead agency of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this declaration is to satisfy the requirement of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21.C), including the requirement to inform the public of agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

- Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21.030(2) (c).

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW [43.21C.030](#) (2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC [197-11-355](#) and Early review DNS process in SMC 25.05.355. There is no further comment period on the DNS.

**CONDITIONS – SEPA**

None required.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ (signature on file) \_\_\_\_\_ Date March, 28, 2013  
Beth Hartwick , Land Use Planner  
Department of Planning and Development

BH:drm