**City of Seattle**

**Department of Planning and Development**
Diane M. Sugimura, Director

**CITY OF SEATTLE**
**ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR**
**OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**Application Number**: 3013280

**Applicant Name**: Sunny Ausink for ATT

**Address of Proposal**: 4515 M L King Jr Way S

## SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ACTION

Land Use Application to expand a minor communication utility (AT&T) by adding three additional panel antennas located within existing modified shroud enclosure and supporting equipment and on the rooftop of an existing multifamily and retail structure.

The following approval is required:

**SEPA - Environmental Determination** – Chapter 25.05, Seattle Municipal Code (SMC)

**SEPA DETERMINATION:** [ ] Exempt [X] DNS [ ] MDNS [ ] EIS

[ ] DNS with conditions

[ ] DNS involving non-exempt grading or demolition or involving another agency with jurisdiction.

## BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Site and Vicinity Description

The subject site is located on Martin Luther King Jr Way S between S Columbian Way and S Snoqualmie St on the roof of an existing apartment building. The facility is located in a Neighborhood Commercial Two zone with a 40-foot height limit (NC2-40'). Properties immediately within the surrounding area are generally zoned NC1-40' with the exception of property to the west being zoned LR3/RC.

Public Comment Period

The public comment period ended on May 9, 2012. No comment letters were received.

## SEPA ANALYSIS

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist submitted by the applicant. The information in the checklist and the experience of the lead agency with review of similar projects form the basis for this analysis and decision.

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.554D) clarifies the relationship between codes, policies, and environmental review. Specific policies for each element of the environment, certain neighborhood plans, and other policies explicitly referenced may serve as the basis for exercising substantive SEPA authority.

The Overview Policy states, in part: *"Where City regulations have been adopted to address an environmental impact, it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation,"* subject to some limitations. Under such limitations/circumstances (SMC 25.05.665 D1-7) mitigation can be considered. Thus, a more detailed discussion of some of the impacts is appropriate.

Short-term Impacts

The following temporary construction-related impacts are expected: 1) decreased air quality due to the increase dust and other suspended particulates from building activities; 2) increased noise and vibration from construction operations and equipment; 3) increased traffic and parking demand from construction personnel; 4) blockage of streets by construction vehicles/activities; 5) conflict with normal pedestrian movement adjacent to the site; and 6) consumption of renewable and non-renewable resources. Although not significant, the impacts are adverse although mitigation measures are not necessary.

City codes and/or ordinances apply to the proposal and will provide mitigation for some of the identified impacts. Specifically, these are: 1) Street Use Ordinance (watering streets to suppress dust, obstruction of the pedestrian right-of-way during construction, construction along the street right-of-way, and sidewalk repair); 2) Building Code (construction measures in general), and 3) Noise Ordinance (construction hours and noise levels). Compliance with these applicable codes and ordinances will be adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation and further mitigation by imposing specific conditions is not necessary for these impacts. The other short-term impacts not noted here as mitigated by codes, ordinances or conditions (e.g., increased traffic during construction, additional parking demand generated by construction personnel and equipment, increased use of energy and natural resources) are not sufficiently adverse to warrant further mitigation or discussion.

*Greenhouse Gas*

Construction activities including construction worker commutes, truck trips, the operation of construction equipment and machinery, and the manufacture of the construction materials themselves result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from this project.

Long-term Impacts

Long-term or use-related impacts are also anticipated, as a result of approval of this proposal including: increased traffic in the area and increased demand for parking due to maintenance of the facility; and increased demand for public services and utilities. These impacts are minor in scope and do not warrant additional conditioning pursuant to SEPA policies.

*Environmental Health*

The applicant has submitted "Personal Wireless Service Facility Applicant's Statement of FCC Compliance" and "Non-ionizing Electromagnetic Exposure Analysis & Engineering Certification" reports and engineering certification for this proposed facility giving the calculations of radiofrequency power density at roof and ground levels expected from this proposal and attesting to the qualifications of the professional engineer who made this assessment. This complies with the Seattle Municipal Code Section 25.10.300 that contains Electromagnetic Radiation standards with which the proposal must conform. The City of Seattle, in conjunction with Seattle King County Department of Public Health, has determined that Personal Communication Systems (PCS) operate at frequencies far below the Maximum Permissible Exposure standards established by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and therefore, do not warrant any conditioning to mitigate for adverse impacts.

Furthermore, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has pre-empted state and local governments from regulating personal wireless service facilities on the basis of environmental effects of radio frequency emissions. As such, no mitigation measures are warranted pursuant to the SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665).

*Greenhouse Gas*

Operational activities, primarily vehicular trips associated with the project and the projects' energy consumption, are expected to result in increases in carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions which adversely impact air quality and contribute to climate change and global warming. While these impacts are adverse, they are not expected to be significant due to the relatively minor contribution of greenhouse gas emissions from this project.

## DECISION - SEPA

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of the lead agency of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this declaration is to satisfy the requirement of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21.C), including the requirement to inform the public of agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

[X] Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 (2)(C).

## CONDITIONS - SEPA

None.

Signature: (signature on file) Date: June 21, 2012
Jerry Suder, Supervising Land Use Planner
Department of Planning and Development

JS:drm

Suder/Doc/3013280 SEPA 4515 M L King Jr Way S ATT telecom.docx