



City of Seattle

Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor

Department of Planning and Development

D. M. Sugimura, Director

**CITY OF SEATTLE
ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR OF
THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

Application Number:	3008297
Applicant Name:	Anne Van Dyne, Tangent Architects
Address of Proposal:	539 M L King Jr Way S

SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ACTION

Land Use Action to allow construction of two duplex townhouse structures and one single family residence with one single family residence to remain, for a total of six residential units. Parking for five vehicles within the structures and one surface space is proposed to be provided. One existing single family is to be removed.

The following approval is required:

SEPA – Environmental Determination- Chapter 23.05 Seattle Municipal Code

- SEPA DETERMINATION:** Exempt DNS MDNS EIS
 DNS with conditions
 DNS involving non-exempt grading or demolition or involving another agency with jurisdiction.

BACKGROUND DATA

Site & Area Description

The subject site is located to the south east block that is bound by M L King Jr. Way S to the east, S Lane St to the south, 26th Ave S to the west, and S King St to the north. The site is generally flat with very slight rolling slopes. The subject site is located in an L1 (Lowrise 1) zone. The surrounding zone to the subject site is Lowrise Duplex/Triplex to the west and Single Family Residential to the east. The development site encompasses a land area of approximately 3,600 square feet or 0.08 acres. The site is rectangle in shape, parallel to South Lane Street. There is one existing residential structure on site that is proposed to be demolished.

Proposal

The project proposal consists of the demolition of one existing residential structure on site. There will be construction of two duplex townhouse buildings, and one new single family residence, with enclosed garage parking for each unit. Surface parking for the existing single family residence is proposed to remain.

Public Comment:

Date of Notice of Application:	March 27, 2008
Date End of Comment Period:	November 10, 2004
# Letters	0
Issues:	No comment letters were received for this project.

ANALYSIS - SEPA

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist submitted by the applicant (October 30, 2007) and annotated by the Land Use Planner. The information in the checklist, the supplemental information submitted by the applicant and the experience of the lead agency with the review of similar projects form the basis for this analysis and decision.

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 25.05.665) clarifies the relationship between codes, policies and environmental review. Specific policies for each element of the environment, certain neighborhood plans, and other policies explicitly referenced may serve as the basis for exercising substantive SEPA authority.

The Overview Policy states, in part, "Where City regulations have been adopted to address an environmental impact, it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation" subject to some limitations. Under such limitations/circumstances (SMC 25.05.665) mitigation can be considered.

Short-term Impacts

Construction activities could result in the following adverse impacts: construction dust and storm water runoff, erosion, emissions from construction machinery and vehicles, increased particulate levels, increased noise levels, occasional disruption of adjacent vehicular and pedestrian traffic, and a small increase in traffic and parking impacts due to construction workers' vehicles. Existing City codes and ordinances applicable to the project such as: The Noise Ordinance, the Storm-water Grading and Drainage Control Code, the Street Use Ordinance, and the Building Code, would mitigate several construction-related impacts. Following is an analysis of the air, water quality, streets, parking, and construction-related noise impacts as well as mitigation.

Construction of the project is proposed to last for several months. Parking utilization along streets in the vicinity is moderate and the demand for parking by construction workers during construction is not anticipated to reduce the supply of parking in the vicinity. Parking demand for construction personnel can be accommodated at the development site and any spillover can be managed within the M L King Jr Way S and S Lane St. rights-of-way. Therefore, no further mitigation will be required.

The development site is located adjacent to a residential area where construction of this scale would impact the noise levels. The SEPA Noise Policy (Section 25.05.675B SMC) lists mitigation measures for construction noise impacts. It is the department's conclusion that limiting hours of construction beyond the requirements of the Noise Ordinance is necessary to mitigate impacts that would result from the proposal on surrounding properties, because existing City ordinances do not adequately mitigate such impacts. This is due to the density of residential units in the area and the proximity of these structures to the subject site. The proposal is, therefore, conditioned to limit construction activity to non-holiday weekday hours between 7:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M. After the structure is enclosed, interior construction may be done in compliance with the noise ordinance. The department may modify this condition to allow work of an emergency nature or which cannot otherwise be accomplished during these hours by prior written approval of the Land Use Planner.

Construction is expected to temporarily add particulates to the air and will result in a slight increase in auto-generated air contaminants from construction worker vehicles; however, this increase is not anticipated to be significant. Federal auto emission controls are the primary means of mitigating air quality impacts from motor vehicles as stated in the Air Quality Policy (Section 25.05.675 SMC). No unusual circumstances exist, which warrant additional mitigation, per the SEPA Overview Policy.

There are no short term impacts identified with the creation of the future (unit lot) subdivisions. Short term impacts are associated with the construction of the structures and have been analyzed and discussed with no further conditioning is warranted.

Long-term Impacts

Long-term or use-related impacts are also anticipated from the proposal: increased surface water runoff from greater site coverage by impervious surfaces; increased bulk and scale on the site; increased demand on public services and utilities; increased light and glare; loss of vegetation; and increased energy consumption. These long-term impacts are not considered significant because the impacts are minor in scope.

The long-term impacts are typical of multifamily structures and will in part be mitigated by the City's adopted codes and/or ordinances. Specifically these are: Storm-water, Grading and Drainage Control Code (storm-water runoff from additional site coverage by impervious surface); Land Use Code (height; setbacks; parking); and the Seattle Energy Code (long-term energy consumption). Additional land use impacts which may result in the long-term are discussed below.

There are no long term impacts identified with the future creation of (unit lot) subdivisions. Long term impacts have been analyzed and discussed above with no further conditioning warranted.

CONCLUSION - SEPA

In conclusion, several adverse effects on the environment are anticipated resulting from the proposal, which are non-significant. The conditions imposed below are intended to mitigate specific impacts identified in the foregoing analysis, or to control impacts not regulated by codes or ordinances, per adopted City policies.

DECISION - SEPA

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of DPD as the lead agency of the completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this declaration is to satisfy the requirement of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21.C), including the requirement to inform the public of agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

- [X] Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C).

- [] Determination of Significance. This proposal has or may have a significant adverse impact upon the environment with respect to transportation, circulation, parking. An EIS limited in scope to this specific area of the environment was therefore required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(C).

SEPA CONDITIONS

During Construction

The owner(s) and/or responsible party(s) shall:

The following condition(s) to be enforced during construction shall be posted at the site in a location on the property line that is visible and accessible to the public and to construction personnel from the street right-of-way. If more than one street abuts the site, conditions shall be posted at each street. The conditions will be affixed to placards prepared by DPD. The placards will be issued along with the building permit set of plans. The placards shall be laminated with clear plastic or other weatherproofing material and shall remain in place for the duration of construction.

1. In order to further mitigate the noise impacts during construction, the owner(s) and/or responsible party(s) shall limit the hours of construction to non-holiday weekdays between 7:00 AM and 6:00 PM and Saturdays between 9:00 AM and 6:00 PM. This condition may be modified by the Department to permit work of an emergency nature of to allow low noise exterior work (e.g., installation of landscaping) after approval from the Land Use Planner. After the structures are enclosed, interior work may proceed at any time in compliance with the Noise Ordinance.

Signature: (signature on file)
 Laura Kim, Land Use Planner
 Department of Planning and Development
 Land Use Services

Date: July 21, 2008