



City of Seattle

Gregory J. Nickels, Mayor  
Department of Planning and Development  
Diane M. Sugimura, Director

**CITY OF SEATTLE  
ANALYSIS AND DECISION OF THE DIRECTOR  
OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**Application Number:** 3007782  
**Applicant Name:** Britanni Ard  
**Address of Proposal:** 3054 24<sup>th</sup> Avenue W

**SUMMARY OF PROPOSED ACTION**

Land Use Application to allow four ground related dwelling units with parking for four vehicles in an environmentally critical area. Existing multi-family structure and accessory structure to be demolished.

The following approval is required:

**SEPA - Environmental Determination**  
(Chapter 25.05, Seattle Municipal Code (SMC))


- SEPA DETERMINATION** [ ] Exempt [X] DNS [ ] MDNS [ ] EIS
- [ ] DNS with conditions
- [ ] DNS involving non-exempt grading or demolition or involving another agency with jurisdiction.

**BACKGROUND DATA**

Site and Vicinity Description

The 7000 square foot project site, at the corner of W. Dravus Street and 24<sup>th</sup> Avenue W, is zoned Lowrise 2 (L-2) and developed with a single family residence. Other properties along Dravus in both directions are also zoned L2. SF zoning and development prevails to the south.

The site topography slopes down to the east, and is designated as a steep slope Environmentally Critical Area (ECA). An exemption to steep slope standards has been granted pursuant to Permit No. 6134194.



### Proposal

The proposal is to construct four, 3-story single family residences with rooftop decks. Parking for four vehicles would be located in 2 carports and two surface parking spaces. Project includes demolition of existing single family residence. Vehicular access will be from 24<sup>th</sup> Avenue West, due to steep topography on the alley side.

### Public Comment

None.

### **ANALYSIS - SEPA**

The proposal site is located in a steep slope area, thus the application is not exempt from SEPA review. However, SMC 25.05.908 provides that the scope of environmental review of projects within critical areas shall be limited to: 1) documenting whether the proposal is consistent with the City's Environmentally Critical Areas (ECA) regulations in SMC 25.09; and 2) evaluating potentially significant impacts on the critical area resources not adequately addressed in the ECA regulations. This review includes identifying additional mitigation measures needed to protect the ECA in order to achieve consistency with SEPA and other applicable environmental laws.

Environmental review resulting in a Threshold Determination is required pursuant to the Seattle State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), WAC 197-11, and the Seattle SEPA Ordinance (Seattle Municipal Code Chapter 25.05).

The initial disclosure of the potential impacts from this project was made in the environmental checklist submitted by the applicant. The information in the checklist and the experience of the lead agency with review of similar projects form the basis for this analysis and decision.

The SEPA Overview Policy (SMC 23.05.665) discusses the relationship between the City's code/policies and environmental review. The Overview Policy states, in part, "Where City regulations have been adopted to address an environmental impact; it shall be presumed that such regulations are adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation subject to some limitation". The Overview Policy in SMC 23.05.665 D1-7, states that in limited circumstances it may be appropriate to deny or mitigate a project based on adverse environmental impacts.

The policies for specific elements of the environment (SMC 25.05.675) describe the relationship with the Overview Policy and indicate when the Overview Policy is applicable. Not all elements of the environment are subject to the Overview Policy (e.g., Traffic and Transportation, Plants and Animals and Shadows on Open Spaces).

### Short-term Impacts

Construction of the proposed townhouse structure may have short-term impacts on the environment; however, temporary soil erosion impacts on the identified critical areas (steep slope/landslide prone) will be limited and relatively minor.

Several adopted codes and/or ordinances provide mitigation for temporary soil erosion. The Stormwater, Grading and Drainage Control Code regulates site excavation for foundation purposes and requires that soil erosion control techniques be initiated for the duration of construction. The ECA ordinance and DR 3-93 and 3-94 regulate development and construction techniques in designated ECA's with identified geologic hazards. The Building Code provides for construction measures and life safety issues. Compliance with these applicable codes and ordinances will reduce or eliminate most short-term impacts to the environment. Due to the fact that grading will be undertaken during construction, additional analysis of earth and soils impacts are warranted.

### Earth/Soils

The ECA Ordinance and Directors Rule (DR) 3-93 require submission of a soil report to evaluate the site conditions and provide recommendations for safe construction in areas with landslide potential and/or a history of unstable soil conditions. The applicant submitted a soils report dated 18 October 2006 prepared by GEO Group Northwest, Inc., and the report was reviewed by the DPD Land Use Planner and DPD Geotechnical staff. The construction plans, including shoring of excavations as needed and erosion control techniques are being reviewed by DPD to ensure compliance with the ECA regulations. Any additional information required showing conformance with applicable ordinances and codes (ECA ordinance, The Stormwater, Grading and Drainage Control Code, DR 3-93, and 3-94) will be required prior to issuance of the building permit. Applicable codes and ordinance provide extensive conditioning authority and prescriptive construction methodology to assure safe construction techniques are used; therefore, no additional conditioning is warranted pursuant to SEPA policies.

### Long-term Impacts

Long-term or use-related impacts are also anticipated as a result of approval of this proposal including: increased surface water runoff due to greater site coverage by impervious surfaces and loss of plant and animal habitat.

Several adopted City codes and/or ordinances provide mitigation for some of the identified impacts. Specifically these are: the ECA Ordinance, the Stormwater, Grading and Drainage Control Code which requires provisions for controlled tightline release to an approved outlet and may require additional design elements to prevent isolated flooding. Compliance with these applicable codes and ordinances is adequate to achieve sufficient mitigation of long term impacts on the identified environmentally critical area.

The proposal is consistent with the City's Environmentally Critical Areas (ECA) regulations and no further conditioning is necessary.

### **DECISION - SEPA**

This decision was made after review by the responsible official on behalf of the lead agency of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the responsible department. This constitutes the Threshold Determination and form. The intent of this

declaration is to satisfy the requirements of the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21C), including the requirement to inform the public agency decisions pursuant to SEPA.

Determination of Non-Significance. This proposal has been determined to not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is not required under RCW 43.21C.030 2c.

Determination of Significance. This proposal has or may have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. An EIS is required under RCW 43.21C.030 2c.

**CONDITIONS –SEPA**

None.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ (signature on file) Date: January 28, 2008

Paul Janos  
Land Use Planner

PJ:bg