



FINAL RECOMMENDATION OF THE NORTHWEST DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

Project Number:	3036782-LU
Address:	1447 NW 65 th St
Applicant:	Nora Pena Kenner, BEJELIT RE Development Consulting
Date of Meeting:	Monday, July 25, 2022
Board Members Present:	Brian Johnson, Chair Penn DiJulio Adrienne Watkins Joe Reilly (substitute)
Board Members Absent:	Phoebe Bogert Christina Lin
SDCI Staff Present:	Theresa Neylon, Senior Land Use Planner

SITE & VICINITY

Site Zone: Neighborhood Commercial P3-55(M) [NC3P-55 (M)]

Nearby Zones: (North) NC3P-55(M) & Low Rise 2 (M) [LR2(M)] (East) Low Rise 3 (M) [LR3 (M)] (South) NC3P-55 (M) & LR3(M) (West) NC3P-55(M)



Overlay: Ballard Hub Urban Village

Lot Area: 15,089 sq. ft.

Current Development:

The project site is a corner lot currently occupied by a one story commercial structure and surface parking. There is also a commercial billboard located along the south property line.

The north frontage along NW 65th St is relatively flat; the 15th Ave NW frontage slopes down from north to south at approx. 3.5 % slope. The site has no alley access.

Surrounding Development and Neighborhood Character:

Ballard High School is directly north of the site across NW 65th St. To the east of the subject site is a three-story apartment building constructed in 1984. Further east along NW 65th St are multifamily structures that vary in age and size. Directly to the south in the NC3P-55 zone is a new 5-story commercial structure. Across 15th Ave NW to the west is a three-story office and commercial structure built in 2000.

15th Ave NW is a busy north-south arterial that connects the northwestern neighborhoods of Seattle to areas south of the Ship Canal and downtown. NW 65th St is an east-west arterial that connects the neighborhoods to the west to Phinney Ridge and Greenlake. A Rapid-ride bus line runs along 15th Ave NW with bus stops within a block. This stretch of 15th Ave NW previously consisted of older one- or two-story commercial structures with surface parking; the area is being steadily redeveloped after re-zoning in 2019 with 4-5-story mixed use and residential structures.

Access:

Vehicle access is from 15th Ave NW and NW 65th St.

Environmentally Critical Areas:

None mapped.

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND DESCRIPTION

A mixed-use (residential and commercial) project went through the Design Review process in 2016-2018 (with one EDG and two Recommendation meetings with the Northwest Board; the previous Recommendation review was conducted under 3024352-LU). At that time, the project was proposing a contract rezone of the site from NC3P-40 to NC3P-55. The Recommendation report was published in early April, 2019, just before major zoning revisions to the Municipal Code that changed the zone on this site to NC3P-55. The project stalled and the MUP was never published on the original project number.

In the interim time period, there have been ownership, and design team changes on the project. When the project filed under a different record number (3036782-LU) was submitted for MUP review in late 2020, SDCI determined that, although the project retains the design direction of the previous submittal, the project should be reviewed by the DR Board to confirm design direction with current site conditions and zoning and to approve conditions noted at the time of the second Recommendation meeting. Additionally, the MUP application no longer includes a contract rezone.

Over the last 18 months, the project has gone through MUP review for current Code compliance. The applicant has also coordinated with SDOT and SPU Solid Waste to ensure compliance with those agencies' current standards.

The current project is a Land Use application to allow a 5-story, 60-unit apartment building with retail. Parking for 30 vehicles proposed. Existing structure to be demolished. Early Design Guidance conducted under 3024352-EG.

The design packet includes information presented at the meeting, and is available online by entering the record number (3036782-LU) at this website: http://www.seattle.gov/DPD/aboutus/news/events/DesignReview/SearchPastReviews/default.a spx

The packet is also available to view in the file, by contacting the Public Resource Center at SDCI:

Mailing Public Resource Center Address: 700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000 P.O. Box 34019 Seattle, WA 98124-4019

Email: <u>PRC@seattle.gov</u>

EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE September 19, 2016

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- Questioned if the request to shift the building mass was due to the power line.
- Stated that they do not support the use of look of cement board panels with wood and that they encourage the use of brick siding in keeping with the materials of Ballard High School.
- Encouraged preserving trees and air space.
- Questioned the intent to keeping El Camino as a tenant. [The project team stated they have an option to stay if they want.]
- Supported the design concept with the angle with open space.
- Encouraged a plaza.

SDCI staff also summarized design related comments received in writing prior to the meeting:

- Encouraged a development more in keeping with the height, bulk & scale of the nearby existing structures.
- Encouraged wider sidewalks be provided to accommodate better sight lines and safety for pedestrians.
- Concerned that the proposed structure will block light and adversely impact the tress across NW 65th St.
- Objected to the rezone from 40' in height to 65' as the of height, bulk & scale of the project would adversely affect the abutting property to the east.
- Concerned about the impact on traffic congestion.
- Encouraged additional parking be provided.

The following comments were provided from SDOT:

- Supported the location of the curb cut for access to parking.
- Street trees will be required along both street frontages.
- Appreciated the attention to public life, and pedestrian volumes and supports providing sidewalks wider than what is required to accommodate Ballard High School pedestrian traffic.
- Supported the provision of down lighting to provide illumination at the sidewalk level.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the project number (3034352-EG): <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following siting and design guidance.

- 1. **Height, Bulk, and Scale:** The project is seeking a contract rezone to raise the allowable height from 40' to 65'. It was explained to the Board that they may give guidance on the relationship of the project to the surrounding context and less intensive zone(LR3) as not only is there a zone edge transition but with the rezone the allowable height difference will be up to 25' or more. The Board agreed with public comment that a transition to the LR zone is necessary and gave the following guidance. (CS2.D.1&4)
 - a. The proposed project does not relate to the context of the surrounding sites and is too tall. (CS2.D.3&4)
 - b. More relief should be provided at the zone edge by stepping the building and setting back the upper floors. (CS2.D.1,3,4&5)
- 2. Massing and Design: The Board supported the preferred massing Option C layout. There was discussion that the upper story angle along NW 15th St was being driven not by a design concept but by the location of the existing power lines and the required setback. The Board also noted that there needed to be more of a transition to the abutting residential sites (see above). The Board provided the following guidance:
 - Provide a meaningful design concept that is not simply driven by accommodating the power lines. The massing and setback along NW 65th St should embrace the design concept and not simply be the result of setbacks from the power lines. (DC2.A.1, DC2.B.1)
 - b. Provide more relief at the zone edge by stepping the building and setting back the upper floors. (CS2.D.3&4)
 - c. Design the elevations with primary and secondary façade elements. (DC2.B.1)
 - d. Consider a massing along NW 65th St that has more interesting proportions than the 3-story upper and lower massing shown. (DC2.B.1)
 - e. Echoing public comment on materiality, the Board encouraged the use of brick or higher quality such as wood panels at a 3rd story band. (DC2.B.1, DC4.A.1)
 - f. On the west elevation consider a design that provides more horizontality instead of the 'cubes' as shown in the packet. (DC2.B.1)

- g. Design the 'floating' mass at the SW corner of the structure to appear more civic and less residential to embrace this high visible corner due to the jog in the street alignment at this intersection.
- h. The treatment of the blank wall along the south property line is very important as it will be visible along 15th Ave NW and should be intentionally designed. (DC2.A.1, DC2.B.1)
- i. The roof amenity area should be configured away from the LR zone and focus the active rooftop uses at the SW corner. (CS2.D.5)
- **3.** Corner Design: The Board noted that the corner at 15th Ave NW and NW 65th St was an important corner as it prominent and should have a strong design presence. (CS2.A.2, DC2.B.1)
 - a. Provide a greater setback at the street level for pedestrian relief and emphasize the upper stories with materiality or design treatment. (PL1.B.2, DC2.D.2)
 - b. Consider the different neighborhood contexts and uses along 15th Ave and NW 65th Street in the design of the corner as it wraps toward the residential lobby and residential uses along NW 65th St. (DC2.A.1, DC2.B.1)
- **4. Street-Level Treatment:** The Board agreed that the proposed location of the curb cut and access to below grade parking near the east property line was the best location.

(DC1.B.1) The Board gave the following guidance related to the street-level:

- a. Provide a greater setback at 15th Ave NW at street level. (PL1.B.2, DC3.B.3)
- b. Design the residential entry and the commercial entries to be different. (PL3.A.1)
- c. Along NW 65th St, transition the design with the uses along NW 65th St. (DC2.E.1)
- d. Screen the staging area for solid waste storage, if it is located along the east property line. (DC1.C.4)

For the Recommendation meeting:

- Provide elevation studies showing the relationship of the proposed windows to the windows of the existing structures in the LR3 zone.
- Provide graphics that show the relationship of the live/work units to the sidewalk.

FIRST RECOMMENDATION October 2, 2017

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- Concerned about the narrowness of the sidewalk along NW 65th St.
- Stated that the shadow diagrams don't show the true impact of shade across the street.
- Concerned about safety given the sight triangle departure request due to the high volume of high school students in the area.
- Appreciated the design but stated concern about environmental impacts to the neighborhood.
- Concerned about the height in relationship to Ballard High school and suggested the proposed brick should be a slightly different color.
- Stated the bulk is too heavy and should be moved back from NW 65th St.

The following comments were provided by City staff from the Office of Planning and Community Development (OPCD):

• Background information regarding the multi-year community planning project led to the <u>Ballard Urban Design and Transportation</u> Framework (UDTF) in 2016. The effort reviewed this site and considered potential zoning through an extensive community engagement process. City staff decided not to upzone this site to 65' for the following reasons:

Zoning Height

- Concerned that the scale of the adjoining residential development is substantially lower as the majority of zoning in the area is L1, L2, L3 and SF. Adding a NC3-65 zone would create a more dramatic transition in scale.
- Concerned that the NC3-65 zone would overshadow Ballard high school which is a major community land mark, and scale defining element.
- During the MHA process, OPCD staff determined that the proposed NC-55MHA was an appropriate zone given the outcome of the recent planning effort. Preferred alternative is 55' as it would be a better fit to the neighborhood context.

Code Requirements

The UDTF created new development standards to mitigate concerns about scale, that are in code section SMC23.47A.009.F. Since these are specific to the Ballard Urban Village, departures should receive careful review, and respond to the design guidance in the UDTF and citywide design guidelines if departures are considered.

UDTF Recommendations About Design

- Gateway Reinforce the desired gateway character through street level uses, landscaping, building character. Respond to the pedestrian's speed.
 Noted this area is identified as an important emerging gateway and new development at this location should embrace a landmark quality reflective of its important location and adjacency to the high school.
- Street Level Uses Prioritize commercial uses on the street level.
- Design and Materials. The UDTF *encourages development to integrate form, function, and material into a coherent design.*

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the project number (3034352-LU): <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following siting and design guidance.

1. Overall Massing and Design:

a. The Board noted that their previous guidance to provide additional setbacks from the east property line beyond what a code compliant design would require was provided. However, the Board discussed that even with these setbacks the building will be taller than, and out of scale with, the neighborhood context, and the design does not provide for a comfortable relationship with Ballard High School. (CS2-D-1, CS2-D-3, CS2-D-4, CS3-A-1)

- b. The Board expressed concerns about the design of the cornice and railings at the roof. (DC2-A-2)
- c. The Board provided the following recommendations:
 - i. Maintain the setbacks of the east façade. (CS2-D-3, CS2-D-4)
 - ii. Consider a cornice design that will help mitigate height and bulk. (DC2-A-2)
 - iii. Pull back the railings on the roof and locate them where needed to help mitigate bulk. (DC2-C-2)
 - iv. Provide consistency of the railings at the balconies and parapet. (DC2-B-1, DC2-D-1)
 - v. Explore the use of a different brick. (CS3-A-2)

2. NW 65th Street Massing and Design:

- a. The Board was not supportive of the design of the upper levels along the NW 65th St. north elevation, noting that the setback was not a part of an intentional design concept, an approach they clearly stated at the previous meeting. Rather, the setback was obviously dictated by following the required setbacks from the overhead power lines. (DC2-B-1, DC2- C-3)
- b. The choice of materials of the upper levels was not supported as they were not high quality and one Board member stated that the use of a light colored material to mitigate the bulk of the upper levels was gratuitous. The Board encouraged that as much care should be given to the design of the upper levels as was evident by the more successful use of materials and protrusions and vertical "bars" of the lower three levels. (DC4-A-1)
- c. The following guidance was given:
 - i. Design the north façade massing as part of a larger, coherent, design concept that is not simply driven by the power line location. (DC2-B-1)
 - ii. Provide better quality materials on the upper levels of the façade. (DC4-A-1)
 - Revisit the pedestrian entry notch and carry the notch down to grade, providing a setback at the ground level. Maintain the notch depth but provide a greater width. (DC2-B-1)
 - iv. Consider using only one material for the protrusions and panels at the vertical bars, on levels 2 and 3. (DC2-B-1, DC4-A-1)
- 3. 15th Ave NW Massing and Design: The west elevation, facing 15th Ave NW, did not meet code required setbacks at the two highest levels. The Board was not supportive of the design team's argument that providing setbacks at the east facade justified not meeting code required setbacks at the west façade. They noted that further justification was needed on how allowing departures from these Ballard specific setback requirements, would make the project better meet the intent of the design guidelines. (CS2-D-1, CS3-A-1)

Additional guidance was given:

a. Explore the composition of the "protruding" massing blocks. (DC2-B-1)

- b. Consider a lighter material on the massing block at the southwest corner. (DC2-B-1)
- c. Provide a design with the required upper setbacks along 15th Ave NW or make a strong design justification for a design with reduced setbacks. (CS2-D-1, CS3-A-1)
- **4. South Façade:** During discussion of the south façade the design team clarified that the Hardi panels will be 2' to 4' spans with a metal reveal and clear glass railing. The following guidance was given:
 - a. Use a lighter color material on the lower levels to help mitigate the impact to the existing residential structure to the south. (DC4-A-1)

5. Residential Lobby Entry:

- a. The Board noted that the entry is a very prominent corner along NW 65th St. and expressed their concern about the proposed design and materials. The Board critiqued the relationship of the canopy above the pedestrian entry, to the 2nd and 3rd level massing above the garage entry, as lacking a cohesive design, and the faux wood as a nominal design move. (PL2-C-2, PL3-A-4, DC4-A-1)
- b. The following guidance was given:
 - i. Move back the residential lobby entry at the ground level. (PL3-A-4)
 - ii. The depth of the notch above the entry should be carried down to the street is suitable, but the width of the notch should be increased. (DC2-B-1)
 - iii. Design the entry with a well composed, pedestrian scale, relationship between the doors, canopy, and garage entry to the east. (PL2-C-2, PL3-A-4)

For the 2nd Recommendation meeting provide the following:

• Provide additional graphics showing the pedestrian context at the two street facing elevations.

SECOND RECOMMENDATION April 2, 2018

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- Encouraged providing a place for benches at the street corner for the community as the project does not seem inviting to the public.
- Concerned with the scale of the project but appreciates the rearrangement of the massing from the previous meeting.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the project number (3034352-LU): <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following recommendations.

- 1. Massing and Design: The Board was very supportive of the changes to the massing and design made by the design team since the Initial Recommendation meeting. They supported the elimination of an entire story and that the upper level massing had been broken into two planes helping to break up the façade along NW 65th St. The Board was supportive of the materials and color scheme as presented. The Board was concerned that the solo balcony at the upper level along 15th Ave NW did not match the balconies around the corner along NW 65th St and encouraged the applicant to examine the possibility of matching balconies on both street frontages. The Board was also concerned about the parapet treatments at different massing sections and advised the applicant to consider a solid parapet at the darker Nichiha massing along 15th Ave NW to match the solid white Hardie parapet at the upper levels, balanced against the parapet treatment at the brick massing as shown on page 15 of the Recommendation packet. (CS2-D-3, DC2-B-1, DC2-C-1, DC4-A-1).
 - The Board recommended a condition to provide the vent shrouds as shown on the elevations in the Recommendation packet, especially at the brick facades. (DC2-B-1)
 - b. The Board recommended a condition to provide the lighting as shown in the Recommendation packet. (DC4-C)
 - c. The Board recommended a condition to provide white vinyl window frames at the white Hardie facades. (DC2-B-1, DC4-A-1)
 - d. Consider having the solo balcony at the upper level along 15th Ave NW match the smaller balconies at the white upper level along NW 65th St. The Board declined to make this a condition of approval. (DC2-B-1, DC2-C-1)
 - e. Consider a solid parapet at the darker massing along 15th Ave NW to match the solid white parapet at the upper levels balanced against the parapet treatment at the brick massing as shown on page 15 of the Recommendation packet. The Board declined to make this a condition of approval. (DC2-B-1)
- 2. **Street-level Treatment:** The Board was supportive of the street-level treatment but in response to public comments, encouraged the design team to work with SDOT on the possibility of moving the curb line along 15th Ave NW near the corner to provide an area for benches to support the community. The Board encouraged providing bike racks in the right-of-way as well as the required bike parking in the building. The Board was supportive of a wider curb cut which will help with safety concerns of cars exiting from the parking garage. With a 22' curb cut only one sight triangle will be required. See Departures below. PL1-B-1, (PL2-B-1, PL4-B-2, DC1-B-1)
 - a. The Board recommended a condition to provide a 22' wide curb cut at the access along NW 65th St to below grade parking. Pull back the structure and other building elements as much as possible to be clear of the required sight triangle area. (PL2-B-1, DC1-B-1)
 - b. The Board encouraged the applicant to work with SDOT to see if moving the curb line along 15th Ave NW at the turning lane near the corner is possible, and to

provide benches for public use in any gained Right-of-Way. The Board declined to make this a condition of approval. (PL1-B-1)

FINAL RECOMMENDATION July 25, 2022

PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comments were offered at this meeting.

One written public comment was submitted to SDCI before the meeting:

• Noted support for the project design that fits well into the neighborhood context.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the project number (3036782-LU) : <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

The Board limited their comments to how the project has met the conditions of the earlier Recommendation meeting, with additional updates to the design relating to current zoning (and other) requirements. After considering the design revisions provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following recommendations.

1. Facade Composition

- a. The Board recommended approval of the integration of the vent shrouds at the brick façade, as recommended at the previous Recommendation meeting and as shown in the Recommendation design packet. However, the Board questioned the effectiveness of the design of the vent shrouds at the upper level panel facades. Thus, the Board recommended a condition to work with the planner to refine and minimize the protrusion of the vent shrouds at the upper level panel façade to minimize their visual impact. **PL2-C-2. Design Integration**
- b. The Board recommended approval of the lighting plan that continues the design from the previous Recommendation meeting. **DC4-C-1. Functions**
- c. The Board discussed whether using black windows at the white façade would be preferable, but ultimately recommended approval of the use of white windows, as recommended at the previous Recommendation meeting and as shown in the Recommendation design packet. **DC2-B-1. Façade Composition**
- d. The Board recommended approval of the revision of one balcony on the 15th Ave NW upper-level white façade to match the juliet balconies on the NW 65th St façade, as noted in the previous Recommendation report. DC2-B-1. Façade Composition

2. Site

a. The Board recommended approval of the widened sidewalk on NW 65th St, to an 8' width including a 3' dedication as required by SDOT during their 60% Street Improvement Permit review, to accommodate the busy pedestrian use in this area. PL1-B Walkways and Connections

b. The Board voiced support for a street improvement design inclusive of street tree plantings intended to increase canopy coverage within the rights-of-way. All landscaping within the right-of-way is within SDOT's purview. Thus, the Board suggested increased street tree quantity, if possible, as the applicant continues to work with SDOT on right-ofway design. DC4-D-1. Choice of Plant Materials

DEVELOPMENT STANDARD DEPARTURES

The Board's recommendation on the requested departures were based on the departure's potential to help the project better meet these design guidelines priorities and achieve a better overall project design than could be achieved without the departures.

1. **Curb Cut Width (SMC 23.54.030.F.1.b):** The Code allows one curb cut greater than 10 feet but in no case greater than 20 feet in width may be substituted for each two curb cuts permitted by subsection 23.54.030.F.1.a. The applicant has proposed a 22' wide curb cut.

This Board agreed that this departure would provide an overall design that would better meet the intent of Design Guidelines **DC1-B-1 Access Location and Design and PL2-B-1 Eyes on the Street** by having a wider curb cut, a wider line of sight for exiting vehicles, which will help to minimize conflict between vehicles and pedestrians (many of them Ballard High School students) on the sidewalk.

The Board voted unanimously to continue to recommend approval of this departure. This design feature was a recommended condition of approval during the second Recommendation meeting.

2. Sight Triangle (SMC 23.54.030.G.2 & 3): The Code requires that for two-way driveways 22 feet wide or more, a sight triangle on the side of the driveway used as an exit shall be provided, and shall be kept clear of any obstruction for a distance of 10 feet from the intersection of the driveway with a sidewalk. The entrance and exit lanes shall be clearly identified. The sight triangle shall also be kept clear of obstructions in the vertical spaces between 32 inches and 82 inches from the ground.

The applicant requested a structural column and metal screening to be located in the exit side sight triangle area for a distance of 1'-8''.

With the Board recommended 22' wide driveway, only one sight triangle is needed, along the east exit drive lane. Although the structural column impedes into the requires site triangle, the widened drive and a perforated screen inboard of the column provides additional viewing space. The Board recommended approval of this departure as it better meets the intent of Design Guidelines **DC1-B-1 Access Location and Design and PL2-B-1 Eyes on the Street** by providing for the lines of sight for exiting vehicles to minimize conflict between vehicles and pedestrians on the sidewalk.

DESIGN REVIEW GUIDELINES

The priority Citywide and Neighborhood guidelines identified as Priority Guidelines are summarized below, while all guidelines remain applicable. For the full text please visit the <u>Design Review website</u>.

CONTEXT & SITE

CS2 Urban Pattern and Form: Strengthen the most desirable forms, characteristics, and patterns of the streets, block faces, and open spaces in the surrounding area.

CS2-A Location in the City and Neighborhood

CS2-A-1. Sense of Place: Emphasize attributes that give a distinctive sense of place. Design the building and open spaces to enhance areas where a strong identity already exists, and create a sense of place where the physical context is less established. **CS2-A-2. Architectural Presence:** Evaluate the degree of visibility or architectural presence that is appropriate or desired given the context, and design accordingly.

CS2-B Adjacent Sites, Streets, and Open Spaces

CS2-B-1. Site Characteristics: Allow characteristics of sites to inform the design, especially where the street grid and topography create unusually shaped lots that can add distinction to the building massing.

CS2-B-2. Connection to the Street: Identify opportunities for the project to make a strong connection to the street and public realm.

CS2-C Relationship to the Block

CS2-C-1. Corner Sites: Corner sites can serve as gateways or focal points; both require careful detailing at the first three floors due to their high visibility from two or more streets and long distances.

CS2-D Height, Bulk, and Scale

CS2-D-1. Existing Development and Zoning: Review the height, bulk, and scale of neighboring buildings as well as the scale of development anticipated by zoning for the area to determine an appropriate complement and/or transition.

CS2-D-3. Zone Transitions: For projects located at the edge of different zones, provide an appropriate transition or complement to the adjacent zone(s). Projects should create a step in perceived height, bulk and scale between the anticipated development potential of the adjacent zone and the proposed development.

CS2-D-4. Massing Choices: Strive for a successful transition between zones where a project abuts a less intense zone.

CS2-D-5. Respect for Adjacent Sites: Respect adjacent properties with design and site planning to minimize disrupting the privacy of residents in adjacent buildings.

CS3 Architectural Context and Character: Contribute to the architectural character of the neighborhood.

CS3-A Emphasizing Positive Neighborhood Attributes

CS3-A-1. Fitting Old and New Together: Create compatibility between new projects, and existing architectural context, including historic and modern designs, through building articulation, scale and proportion, roof forms, detailing, fenestration, and/or the use of complementary materials.

CS3-A-2. Contemporary Design: Explore how contemporary designs can contribute to the development of attractive new forms and architectural styles; as expressed through use of new materials or other means.

CS3-A-4. Evolving Neighborhoods: In neighborhoods where architectural character is evolving or otherwise in transition, explore ways for new development to establish a positive and desirable context for others to build upon in the future.

PUBLIC LIFE

PL1 Connectivity: Complement and contribute to the network of open spaces around the site and the connections among them.

PL1-A Network of Open Spaces

PL1-A-1. Enhancing Open Space: Design the building and open spaces to positively contribute to a broader network of open spaces throughout the neighborhood.
PL1-A-2. Adding to Public Life: Seek opportunities to foster human interaction through an increase in the size and quality of project-related open space available for public life.

PL1-B Walkways and Connections

PL1-B-2. Pedestrian Volumes: Provide ample space for pedestrian flow and circulation, particularly in areas where there is already heavy pedestrian traffic or where the project is expected to add or attract pedestrians to the area.

PL1-B-3. Pedestrian Amenities: Opportunities for creating lively, pedestrian oriented open spaces to enliven the area and attract interest and interaction with the site and building should be considered.

PL2 Walkability: Create a safe and comfortable walking environment that is easy to navigate and well-connected to existing pedestrian walkways and features.

PL2-B Safety and Security

PL2-B-1. Eyes on the Street: Create a safe environment by providing lines of sight and encouraging natural surveillance.

PL2-B-2. Lighting for Safety: Provide lighting at sufficient lumen intensities and scales, including pathway illumination, pedestrian and entry lighting, and/or security lights. **PL2-B-3. Street-Level Transparency:** Ensure transparency of street-level uses (for uses such as nonresidential uses or residential lobbies), where appropriate, by keeping views open into spaces behind walls or plantings, at corners, or along narrow passageways.

PL2-C Weather Protection

PL2-C-1. Locations and Coverage: Overhead weather protection is encouraged and should be located at or near uses that generate pedestrian activity such as entries, retail uses, and transit stops.

PL2-C-2. Design Integration: Integrate weather protection, gutters and downspouts into the design of the structure as a whole, and ensure that it also relates well to neighboring buildings in design, coverage, or other features.

PL3 Street-Level Interaction: Encourage human interaction and activity at the street-level with clear connections to building entries and edges.

PL3-A Entries

PL3-A-1. Design Objectives: Design primary entries to be obvious, identifiable, and distinctive with clear lines of sight and lobbies visually connected to the street. **PL3-A-4. Ensemble of Elements:** Design the entry as a collection of coordinated elements

including the door(s), overhead features, ground surface, landscaping, lighting, and other features.

PL3-B Residential Edges

PL3-B-3. Buildings with Live/Work Uses: Maintain active and transparent facades in the design of live/work residences. Design the first floor so it can be adapted to other commercial use as needed in the future.

PL3-C Retail Edges

PL3-C-1. Porous Edge: Engage passersby with opportunities to interact visually with the building interior using glazing and transparency. Create multiple entries where possible and make a physical and visual connection between people on the sidewalk and retail activities in the building.

PL3-C-2. Visibility: Maximize visibility into the building interior and merchandise displays. Consider fully operational glazed wall-sized doors that can be completely opened to the street, increased height in lobbies, and/or special lighting for displays.

PL3-C-3. Ancillary Activities: Allow space for activities such as sidewalk vending, seating, and restaurant dining to occur. Consider setting structures back from the street or incorporating space in the project design into which retail uses can extend.

PL4 Active Transportation: Incorporate design features that facilitate active forms of transportation such as walking, bicycling, and use of transit.

PL4-A Entry Locations and Relationships

PL4-A-2. Connections to All Modes: Site the primary entry in a location that logically relates to building uses and clearly connects all major points of access.

PL4-B Planning Ahead for Bicyclists

PL4-B-2. Bike Facilities: Facilities such as bike racks and storage, bike share stations, shower facilities and lockers for bicyclists should be located to maximize convenience, security, and safety.

PL4-C Planning Ahead For Transit

PL4-C-1. Influence on Project Design: Identify how a transit stop (planned or built) adjacent to or near the site may influence project design, provide opportunities for placemaking.

DESIGN CONCEPT

DC1 Project Uses and Activities: Optimize the arrangement of uses and activities on site.

DC1-A Arrangement of Interior Uses

DC1-A-1. Visibility: Locate uses and services frequently used by the public in visible or prominent areas, such as at entries or along the street front.

DC1-A-3. Flexibility: Build in flexibility so the building can adapt over time to evolving needs, such as the ability to change residential space to commercial space as needed.

DC1-B Vehicular Access and Circulation

DC1-B-1. Access Location and Design: Choose locations for vehicular access, service uses, and delivery areas that minimize conflict between vehicles and non-motorists wherever possible. Emphasize use of the sidewalk for pedestrians, and create safe and attractive conditions for pedestrians, bicyclists, and drivers.

DC1-C Parking and Service Uses

DC1-C-1. Below-Grade Parking: Locate parking below grade wherever possible. Where a surface parking lot is the only alternative, locate the parking in rear or side yards, or on lower or less visible portions of the site.

DC1-C-2. Visual Impacts: Reduce the visual impacts of parking lots, parking structures, entrances, and related signs and equipment as much as possible.

DC1-C-4. Service Uses: Locate and design service entries, loading docks, and trash receptacles away from pedestrian areas or to a less visible portion of the site to reduce possible impacts of these facilities on building aesthetics and pedestrian circulation.

DC2 Architectural Concept: Develop an architectural concept that will result in a unified and functional design that fits well on the site and within its surroundings.

DC2-A Massing

DC2-A-1. Site Characteristics and Uses: Arrange the mass of the building taking into consideration the characteristics of the site and the proposed uses of the building and its open space.

DC2-A-2. Reducing Perceived Mass: Use secondary architectural elements to reduce the perceived mass of larger projects.

DC2-B Architectural and Facade Composition

DC2-B-1. Façade Composition: Design all building facades—including alleys and visible roofs— considering the composition and architectural expression of the building as a whole. Ensure that all facades are attractive and well-proportioned.

DC2-B-2. Blank Walls: Avoid large blank walls along visible façades wherever possible. Where expanses of blank walls, retaining walls, or garage facades are unavoidable, include uses or design treatments at the street level that have human scale and are designed for pedestrians.

DC2-C Secondary Architectural Features

DC2-C-1. Visual Depth and Interest: Add depth to facades where appropriate by incorporating balconies, canopies, awnings, decks, or other secondary elements into the façade design. Add detailing at the street level in order to create interest for the pedestrian and encourage active street life and window shopping (in retail areas). **DC2-C-2. Dual Purpose Elements:** Consider architectural features that can be dual purpose— adding depth, texture, and scale as well as serving other project functions.

DC2-D Scale and Texture

DC2-D-1. Human Scale: Incorporate architectural features, elements, and details that are of human scale into the building facades, entries, retaining walls, courtyards, and exterior spaces in a manner that is consistent with the overall architectural concept **DC2-D-2. Texture:** Design the character of the building, as expressed in the form, scale, and materials, to strive for a fine-grained scale, or "texture," particularly at the street level and other areas where pedestrians predominate.

DC2-C-3. Fit With Neighboring Buildings: Use design elements to achieve a successful fit between a building and its neighbors.

DC2-E Form and Function

DC2-E-1. Legibility and Flexibility: Strive for a balance between building use legibility and flexibility. Design buildings such that their primary functions and uses can be readily determined from the exterior, making the building easy to access and understand. At the same time, design flexibility into the building so that it may remain useful over time even as specific programmatic needs evolve.

DC3 Open Space Concept: Integrate open space design with the building design so that they complement each other.

DC3-A Building-Open Space Relationship

DC3-A-1. Interior/Exterior Fit: Develop an open space concept in conjunction with the architectural concept to ensure that interior and exterior spaces relate well to each other and support the functions of the development.

DC3-B Open Space Uses and Activities

DC3-B-1. Meeting User Needs: Plan the size, uses, activities, and features of each open space to meet the needs of expected users, ensuring each space has a purpose and function.

DC3-B-3. Connections to Other Open Space: Site and design project-related open spaces to connect with, or enhance, the uses and activities of other nearby public open space where appropriate.

DC3-C Design

DC3-C-2. Amenities/Features: Create attractive outdoor spaces suited to the uses envisioned for the project.

DC4 Exterior Elements and Finishes: Use appropriate and high quality elements and finishes for the building and its open spaces.

DC4-A Exterior Elements and Finishes

DC4-A-1. Exterior Finish Materials: Building exteriors should be constructed of durable and maintainable materials that are attractive even when viewed up close. Materials that have texture, pattern, or lend themselves to a high quality of detailing are encouraged.

DC4-B Signage

DC4-B-1. Scale and Character: Add interest to the streetscape with exterior signs and attachments that are appropriate in scale and character to the project and its environs.

DC4-C Lighting

DC4-C-1. Functions: Use lighting both to increase site safety in all locations used by pedestrians and to highlight architectural or landscape details and features such as entries, signs, canopies, plantings, and art.

DC4-C-2. Avoiding Glare: Design project lighting based upon the uses on and off site, taking care to provide illumination to serve building needs while avoiding off-site night glare and light pollution.

DC4-D Trees, Landscape, and Hardscape Materials

DC4-D-1. Choice of Plant Materials: Reinforce the overall architectural and open space design concepts through the selection of landscape materials.

DC4-D-2. Hardscape Materials: Use exterior courtyards, plazas, and other hard surfaced areas as an opportunity to add color, texture, and/or pattern and enliven public areas through the use of distinctive and durable paving materials. Use permeable materials wherever possible.

DC4-D-3. Long Range Planning: Select plants that upon maturity will be of appropriate size, scale, and shape to contribute to the site as intended.

BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendation summarized below was based on the design review packet dated Monday July 25, 2022, and the materials shown and verbally described by the applicant at the Monday, July 25, 2022 Design Recommendation meeting. After considering the site and context, hearing public comment, reconsidering the previously identified design priorities and reviewing the materials, four Design Review Board members recommended **APPROVAL** of the subject design and of the requested departures with the following condition:

1. Refine and minimize the protrusion of the vent shrouds at the upper level white panel façades to minimize their visual impacts. **PL2-C-2.**