



## RECOMMENDATION OF THE NORTHEAST DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

Record Number:	3033671-LU
Address:	4215 Brooklyn Ave. NE
Applicant:	Jodi Patterson, Permit Consultants Northwest
Date of Meeting:	Monday, February 03, 2020
Board Members Present:	Katie Haima (alt. Chair), Tim Carter, Dan Rusler
Board Members Absent:	James Marria, Brian Bishop, recused
SDCI Staff Present:	Joseph Hurley, Senior Land Use Planner

#### **SITE & VICINITY**

Site Zone: Seattle Mixed Use/Residential with a height limit of 75' to 240' and an MHA designation of M1 (SM-U/R 75-240 (M1))

Nearby Zones: (North) SM-U/R 95-320 (M1) (South) MIO-105-MR (East) SM-U/R 75-240 (M1) (West) SM-U/R 75-240 (M1)

Lot Area: 41,200 square feet

#### **Current Development:**

Two and three-story multifamily structures

#### Surrounding Development and Neighborhood Character:

The site is located within the University District Northwest Urban Center Village. The University District is largely

characterized by an eclectic mix of older commercial and residential buildings and new mixeduse development and student housing.

#### Access:

Vehicle and pedestrian access are from Brooklyn Ave. NE and the alley.



## **Environmentally Critical Areas:**

There are no ECAs mapped on the site.

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Land Use Application to allow a 7-story, 24-unit apartment building with retail. No parking proposed. Existing building to be demolished. Design Review Guidance done under 3033566-EG.

The design packet includes information presented at the meeting, and is available online by entering the record number at this website:

<u>http://www.seattle.gov/DPD/aboutus/news/events/DesignReview/SearchPastReviews/default.aspx</u> Any recording of the Board meeting is available in the project file. This meeting report summarizes the meeting and is not a meeting transcript.

The packet is also available to view in the file, by contacting the Public Resource Center at SDCI:

Mailing Public Resource Center

Address: 700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000 P.O. Box 34019 Seattle, WA 98124-4019

Email: <u>PRC@seattle.gov</u>

## EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE March 11, 2019

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- Concerned with size of retail spaces.
- Wanted to see more reasons for people to stay longer in the neighborhood, more opportunities for families, more than just student fast food shops and smoke shops.
- Would like to see more family size units (3 bedrooms, 4 bedrooms). Studio apartments and 1 bedrooms are everywhere already.
- Would like the project to reflect context, forms in the neighborhood are simple but well ornamented and well detailed
- Early outreach was appreciated, and the applicant's summary of comments was very helpful.
- Stated that everything going on with mid-block passage is all really great.
- Would like the Board to consider that the alley goes straight north into the steps into the UW tower.
- Stated that Canterbury Court has parking garages which are a safety concern

SDCI staff also summarized design related comments received in writing prior to the meeting:

• Concerned about loading, delivery, drop-off zones

One purpose of the design review process is for the Board and City to receive comments from the public that help to identify feedback and concerns about the site and design concept, identify applicable Seattle Design Guidelines and Neighborhood Design Guidelines of highest priority to the site and explore conceptual design, siting alternatives and eventual architectural design. Concerns with off-street parking, traffic and construction impacts are reviewed as part of the environmental review conducted by SDCI and are not part of this review.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the record number: <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

## **PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS**

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following recommendations.

- 1. The Three Schemes: The Board agreed that Scheme 3 was the strongest of those presented, finding this simple form to be an appropriate response to the scale of the block and its University District context. (CS2-A, CS2-D, CS3-A, CS3-I)
  - a. The Board suggested that this scheme could be strengthened by carrying the masonry material and expression at the upper levels down to grade on Brooklyn Ave NE and asked that this option be explored for the next meeting.
  - b. The Board supported the continued development of this strong simple massing to be an iconic marker of both the project and the mid-block connection that will be developed with the project to the west.

## 2. The Mid-block Connection:

- a. The Board supported the development of this element as a meandering path punctuated by interest and activity, open to the sky and visually connected from end to end. (DC3, DC1, PL2, PL3)
- b. The Board asked for more complete details of the north edge of this path (Canterbury Court) to understand how the new space would be stitched together with the existing context. This information will be required in the Recommendation phase of review. (DC3, DC1, PL2, PL3)

## 3. Façade Composition and Materiality

- a. The Board supported the composition of the façade and the proposed materials as shown in the character sketches provided. (DC2-B, DC4-A, DC2-D)
- b. In particular, the Board supported the simple forms and composition, high-quality detailing, the depth and shadow created by window recesses and the texture provided by the masonry elements. (DC2-A, DC2-B, DC4-A)

## **RECOMMENDATION February 3, 2020**

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- Pleased with the muted colors, noting they fit the context better.
- Concerned about the lights hanging between the buildings as a safety concern.
- Concerned about solid waste collection and the potential to attract unsavory characters.

One purpose of the design review process is for the Board and City to receive comments from the public that help to identify feedback and concerns about the site and design concept, identify applicable Seattle Design Guidelines and Neighborhood Design Guidelines of highest priority to the site and explore conceptual design, siting alternatives and eventual architectural design. Concerns with off-street parking, traffic and construction impacts are reviewed as part of the environmental review conducted by SDCI and are not part of this review.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the record number: <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

## **PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS**

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following recommendations.

- 1. Massing and Design Concept: The Board recommended approval of the massing, finding this simple form to be an appropriate response to the scale of the block and its University District context. (CS2-A, CS2-D, CS3-A, CS3-I)
  - a. At EDG, the Board suggested that this scheme could be strengthened by carrying the masonry material and expression at the upper levels down to grade on Brooklyn Ave NE and asked that this option be explored. After reviewing this exploration, the Board agreed that the additional area and openness at ground level made the original design preferable and recommended its approval. (CS2, PL1-I)

## 2. The Mid-block Connection:

a. The Board recommended approval of this element as designed; a meandering path punctuated by interest and activity, open to the sky and visually connected from end to end. The Board suggested a move toward another for the color of the landscape accent band but did not make it a condition. (DC3, DC1, PL2, PL3)

## 3. Façade Composition and Materiality

a. The Board supported the simple forms and composition, high-quality materials and detailing, the depth and shadow created by window recesses and the texture provided by the masonry elements and recommended approval of the composition

of the façade and the proposed materials as shown in the renderings and materials board. (DC2-B, DC4-A, DC2-D)

- b. The Board recognized the dimensional constraints but recommended a condition to explore additional options to create a more open and porous edge condition at the front porch area along Brooklyn Avenue. (PL3-C-1)
- c. The Board recommended approval of the art walls provided at the south property line but agreed that they need not be the same size and that a carefully considered asymmetry could reflect the eclectic character of the University district. (CS3-1)
- d. The Board asked the design team to revisit their choices in the following areas but declined to recommend a condition that they be changed. (DC2)
  - i. The lack of wood cladding at the upper window heads on the east and north elevations.
  - ii. The continuity of soffit material at the mid-block where the cladding material above changes from wood to brick.
- e. The Board agreed that they would support a larger departure request (to within four feet of the property line) for the alley setback if it would allow the design team to simplify the massing at this edge and strengthen and clarify the design concept. The Board agreed that this could be done in a number of ways but noted in particular the following possibilities: (CS3, DC2, DC4)
  - i. Removal of the projecting bay in favor of the brick mass moving closer to the property line.
  - ii. Revise cladding of projecting bay to be masonry and pull north edge back to allow full size window bay from above to continue down.
  - iii. Carry projecting bay element to ground and clad it in masonry.

## **DEVELOPMENT STANDARD DEPARTURES**

The Board's recommendation on the requested departure(s) was based on the departure's potential to help the project better meet these design guidelines priorities and achieve a better overall project design than could be achieved without the departure(s).

At the time of the RECOMMENDATION review, the following departures were requested:

1. Upper Level Setbacks (SMC 23.48.645 - B): The Code requires portions of a structure above 45 feet in height to have a 10 foot setback at rear lot lines abutting an alley. The applicant proposes a setback of 7 feet beginning above 35 feet.

The Board agreed that the net setback provided by this change was similar in magnitude to that required by code and that this design would provide sufficient scale mitigation to better meet the intent of CS2-D Height, Bulk, and Scale.

2. Street Level Standards (SMC 23.48.040.C.3): The Code requires that street level uses have a minimum height of 13 feet and extend 30 feet in depth. The applicant proposes a portion of the space to be 21'-8" deep.

The Board noted the unusual L-shape of this retail space, with some areas as deep as 46

feet and agreed that this solution would provide better street level interaction and porosity, better meeting the intent of Design Guidelines PL3-C Retail Edges and PL3-II Human Activity.

## **DESIGN REVIEW GUIDELINES**

The Seattle Design Guidelines and Neighborhood Design Guidelines recognized by the Board as Priority Guidelines are identified above. All guidelines remain applicable and are summarized below. For the full text please visit the <u>Design Review website</u>.

## **CONTEXT & SITE**

# CS1 Natural Systems and Site Features: Use natural systems/features of the site and its surroundings as a starting point for project design.

## CS1-A Energy Use

**CS1-A-1. Energy Choices:** At the earliest phase of project development, examine how energy choices may influence building form, siting, and orientation, and factor in the findings when making siting and design decisions.

## CS1-B Sunlight and Natural Ventilation

**CS1-B-1. Sun and Wind:** Take advantage of solar exposure and natural ventilation. Use local wind patterns and solar gain to reduce the need for mechanical ventilation and heating where possible.

**CS1-B-2.** Daylight and Shading: Maximize daylight for interior and exterior spaces and minimize shading on adjacent sites through the placement and/or design of structures on site.

**CS1-B-3. Managing Solar Gain:** Manage direct sunlight falling on south and west facing facades through shading devices and existing or newly planted trees.

## CS1-C Topography

**CS1-C-1. Land Form:** Use natural topography and desirable landforms to inform project design.

**CS1-C-2. Elevation Changes:** Use the existing site topography when locating structures and open spaces on the site.

## CS1-D Plants and Habitat

**CS1-D-1. On-Site Features:** Incorporate on-site natural habitats and landscape elements into project design and connect those features to existing networks of open spaces and natural habitats wherever possible. Consider relocating significant trees and vegetation if retention is not feasible.

**CS1-D-2. Off-Site Features:** Provide opportunities through design to connect to off-site habitats such as riparian corridors or existing urban forest corridors. Promote continuous habitat, where possible, and increase interconnected corridors of urban forest and habitat where possible.

## CS1-E Water

**CS1-E-1. Natural Water Features:** If the site includes any natural water features, consider ways to incorporate them into project design, where feasible

**CS1-E-2.** Adding Interest with Project Drainage: Use project drainage systems as opportunities to add interest to the site through water-related design elements.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## CS1-I Streetscape Compatibility

**CS1-I-i. Solar Exposure:** Minimizing shadow impacts is important in the University neighborhood. The design of a structure and its massing on the site can enhance solar exposure for the project and minimize shadow impacts onto adjacent public areas between March 21st and September 21st. This is especially important on blocks with narrow rights-of-way relative to other neighborhood streets, including University Way, south of NE 50th Street.

## CS1-II Landscape Design to Address Special Site Conditions

**CS1-II-i. Existing Trees:** Retain existing large trees wherever possible. This is especially important on the wooded slopes in the Ravenna Urban Village. The Board is encouraged to consider design departures that allow retention of significant trees. Where a tree is unavoidably removed, it should be replaced with another tree of appropriate species, 2 ½ inch caliper minimum size for deciduous trees, or minimum size of 4' height for evergreen trees.

**CS2-II-ii. 17th Ave NE Boulevard Character:** The 17th Avenue NE (boulevard) character, with landscaped front yards and uniform street trees, is an important neighborhood feature to be maintained.

# CS2 Urban Pattern and Form: Strengthen the most desirable forms, characteristics, and patterns of the streets, block faces, and open spaces in the surrounding area.

## CS2-A Location in the City and Neighborhood

CS2-A-1. Sense of Place: Emphasize attributes that give a distinctive sense of place.
Design the building and open spaces to enhance areas where a strong identity already exists, and create a sense of place where the physical context is less established.
CS2-A-2. Architectural Presence: Evaluate the degree of visibility or architectural presence that is appropriate or desired given the context, and design accordingly.

## CS2-B Adjacent Sites, Streets, and Open Spaces

**CS2-B-1. Site Characteristics:** Allow characteristics of sites to inform the design, especially where the street grid and topography create unusually shaped lots that can add distinction to the building massing.

**CS2-B-2. Connection to the Street:** Identify opportunities for the project to make a strong connection to the street and public realm.

**CS2-B-3. Character of Open Space:** Contribute to the character and proportion of surrounding open spaces.

## CS2-C Relationship to the Block

**CS2-C-1. Corner Sites:** Corner sites can serve as gateways or focal points; both require careful detailing at the first three floors due to their high visibility from two or more streets and long distances.

**CS2-C-2. Mid-Block Sites:** Look to the uses and scales of adjacent buildings for clues about how to design a mid-block building. Continue a strong street-edge and respond to datum lines of adjacent buildings at the first three floors.

**CS2-C-3. Full Block Sites:** Break up long facades of full-block buildings to avoid a monolithic presence. Provide detail and human scale at street-level, and include repeating elements to add variety and rhythm to the façade and overall building design.

## CS2-D Height, Bulk, and Scale

**CS2-D-1. Existing Development and Zoning:** Review the height, bulk, and scale of neighboring buildings as well as the scale of development anticipated by zoning for the area to determine an appropriate complement and/or transition.

**CS2-D-2.** Existing Site Features: Use changes in topography, site shape, and vegetation or structures to help make a successful fit with adjacent properties.

**CS2-D-3. Zone Transitions:** For projects located at the edge of different zones, provide an appropriate transition or complement to the adjacent zone(s). Projects should create a step in perceived height, bulk and scale between the anticipated development potential of the adjacent zone and the proposed development.

**CS2-D-4. Massing Choices:** Strive for a successful transition between zones where a project abuts a less intense zone.

**CS2-D-5. Respect for Adjacent Sites:** Respect adjacent properties with design and site planning to minimize disrupting the privacy of residents in adjacent buildings.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## CS2-I Responding to Site Characteristics

**CS2-I-i. Views Along Burke Gilman Trail:** For properties facing the Burke Gilman Trail, new buildings should be located to minimize impacts to views of Mount Rainier, Cascade Mountains and Lake Washington, and allow for sunlight along the trail and increase safety and access.

## CS2-II Respect for Adjacent Sites

**CS2-II-i. Zone Edge Areas:** Special attention should be paid to projects in the zone edge areas as depicted in Map 2 of the full Guidelines to ensure impacts to Lowrise zones are minimized.

## **CS2-III** Corner Lots

**CS2-III-i. Special Site Features:** For new buildings located on a corner, including, but not limited to the corner locations identified in Map 3 of the full Guidelines, consider providing special building elements distinguishable from the rest of the building such as a tower, corner articulation or bay windows. Consider a special site feature such as diagonal orientation and entry, a sculpture, a courtyard, or other device. Corner entries should be set back to allow pedestrian flow and good visibility at the intersection.

## CS2-IV Height, Bulk, and Scale

**CS2-IV-i. Reduce Visual Bulk:** Special attention should be paid to projects in Map 4 of the full Guidelines to minimize impacts of increased height, bulk and scale as stated in the Seattle Design Guideline. In order to reduce the impacts of apparent building height and bulk at specified zone edges listed above, the following alternatives should be considered:

 Along zone edges and specified streets, step back upper floors above 40', or modify the roofline to reduce the negative effects of the allowable height limit.
 Along specified corridors, a gradual setback of the building's acade above 40' in height from the street, alley or property line may be considered.

3. In exchange for setting back the building facade, the Board may allow a reduction in the open space requirement.

4. Access to commercial parking on corner lots should be sited and designed in a manner that minimizes impact on adjacent residential uses.

CS3 Architectural Context and Character: Contribute to the architectural character of the neighborhood.

## CS3-A Emphasizing Positive Neighborhood Attributes

**CS3-A-1. Fitting Old and New Together:** Create compatibility between new projects, and existing architectural context, including historic and modern designs, through building articulation, scale and proportion, roof forms, detailing, fenestration, and/or the use of complementary materials.

**CS3-A-2. Contemporary Design:** Explore how contemporary designs can contribute to the development of attractive new forms and architectural styles; as expressed through use of new materials or other means.

**CS3-A-3. Established Neighborhoods:** In existing neighborhoods with a well-defined architectural character, site and design new structures to complement or be compatible with the architectural style and siting patterns of neighborhood buildings.

**CS3-A-4.** Evolving Neighborhoods: In neighborhoods where architectural character is evolving or otherwise in transition, explore ways for new development to establish a positive and desirable context for others to build upon in the future.

## CS3-B Local History and Culture

**CS3-B-1. Placemaking:** Explore the history of the site and neighborhood as a potential placemaking opportunity. Look for historical and cultural significance, using neighborhood groups and archives as resources.

**CS3-B-2. Historical/Cultural References:** Reuse existing structures on the site where feasible as a means of incorporating historical or cultural elements into the new project.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## **CS3-I** Architectural Elements and Materials

**CS3-I-i.** Incorporate Local Architectural Character: Although no single architectural style or character emerges as a dominant direction for new construction in the University Community, project applicants should show how the proposed design incorporates elements of the local architectural character especially when there are buildings of local historical significance or landmark status in the vicinity.

**CS3-I-ii. Ravenna Urban Village:** Within the Ravenna Urban Village, particularly along 25<sup>th</sup> Ave NE, the style of architecture is not as important so long as it emphasizes pedestrian orientation and avoids large-scale, standardized and auto-oriented characteristics.

**CS3-I-iii. Historical Character:** When the defined character of a block, including adjacent or facing blocks, is comprised of historic buildings, or groups of buildings of local historic importance and character, as well as street trees or other significant vegetation (as identified in the 1975 Inventory and subsequent updating), the architectural treatment of new development should respond to this local historical character. New buildings should feature a combination of traditional and contemporary materials employed in a manner that reflects the character of historic buildings in the vicinity.

## PUBLIC LIFE

# PL1 Connectivity: Complement and contribute to the network of open spaces around the site and the connections among them.

## PL1-A Network of Open Spaces

PL1-A-1. Enhancing Open Space: Design the building and open spaces to positively contribute to a broader network of open spaces throughout the neighborhood.
 PL1-A-2. Adding to Public Life: Seek opportunities to foster human interaction through an increase in the size and quality of project-related open space available for public life.

## PL1-B Walkways and Connections

**PL1-B-1. Pedestrian Infrastructure:** Connect on-site pedestrian walkways with existing public and private pedestrian infrastructure, thereby supporting pedestrian connections within and outside the project.

**PL1-B-2. Pedestrian Volumes:** Provide ample space for pedestrian flow and circulation, particularly in areas where there is already heavy pedestrian traffic or where the project is expected to add or attract pedestrians to the area.

**PL1-B-3. Pedestrian Amenities:** Opportunities for creating lively, pedestrian oriented open spaces to enliven the area and attract interest and interaction with the site and building should be considered.

## PL1-C Outdoor Uses and Activities

**PL1-C-1. Selecting Activity Areas:** Concentrate activity areas in places with sunny exposure, views across spaces, and in direct line with pedestrian routes.

**PL1-C-2. Informal Community Uses:** In addition to places for walking and sitting, consider including space for informal community use such as performances, farmer's markets, kiosks and community bulletin boards, cafes, or street vending.

**PL1-C-3. Year-Round Activity:** Where possible, include features in open spaces for activities beyond daylight hours and throughout the seasons of the year, especially in neighborhood centers where active open space will contribute vibrancy, economic health, and public safety.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## PL1-I Residential Open Space

**PL1-I-i. Active, Ground-Level Open Space:** The ground-level open space should be designed as a plaza, courtyard, play area, mini-park, pedestrian open space, garden, or similar occupiable site feature. The quantity of open space is less important than the

provision of functional and visual ground-level open space. Successfully designed ground level open space should meet these objectives:

a. Reinforces positive streetscape qualities by providing a landscaped front yard, adhering to common setback dimensions of neighboring properties, and providing a transition between public and private realms.

b. Provides for the comfort, health, and recreation of residents.

c. Increases privacy and reduce visual impacts to all neighboring properties. **PL1-I-ii. Central Courtyards:** A central courtyard in cottage or townhouse developments may provide better open space than space for each unit. In these cases, yard setbacks may be reduced if a sensitive transition to neighbors is maintained.

# PL2 Walkability: Create a safe and comfortable walking environment that is easy to navigate and well-connected to existing pedestrian walkways and features.

## PL2-A Accessibility

**PL2-A-1. Access for All:** Provide access for people of all abilities in a manner that is fully integrated into the project design. Design entries and other primary access points such that all visitors can be greeted and welcomed through the front door.

**PL2-A-2. Access Challenges:** Add features to assist pedestrians in navigating sloped sites, long blocks, or other challenges.

## PL2-B Safety and Security

**PL2-B-1. Eyes on the Street:** Create a safe environment by providing lines of sight and encouraging natural surveillance.

**PL2-B-2. Lighting for Safety:** Provide lighting at sufficient lumen intensities and scales, including pathway illumination, pedestrian and entry lighting, and/or security lights. **PL2-B-3. Street-Level Transparency:** Ensure transparency of street-level uses (for uses such as nonresidential uses or residential lobbies), where appropriate, by keeping views open into spaces behind walls or plantings, at corners, or along narrow passageways.

## PL2-C Weather Protection

**PL2-C-1. Locations and Coverage:** Overhead weather protection is encouraged and should be located at or near uses that generate pedestrian activity such as entries, retail uses, and transit stops.

**PL2-C-2. Design Integration:** Integrate weather protection, gutters and downspouts into the design of the structure as a whole, and ensure that it also relates well to neighboring buildings in design, coverage, or other features.

**PL2-C-3. People-Friendly Spaces:** Create an artful and people-friendly space beneath building.

## PL2-D Wayfinding

**PL2-D-1. Design as Wayfinding:** Use design features as a means of wayfinding wherever possible.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## PL2-I Pedestrian Open Spaces and Entrances

**PL2-I-i. Residential Entries:** On Mixed Use Corridors, entries to upper floor residential uses should be accessed from, but not dominate, the street frontage. On corner

locations, the main residential entry should be on the side street with a small courtyard that provides a transition between the entry and the street.

# PL3 Street-Level Interaction: Encourage human interaction and activity at the street-level with clear connections to building entries and edges.

## PL3-A Entries

**PL3-A-1. Design Objectives:** Design primary entries to be obvious, identifiable, and distinctive with clear lines of sight and lobbies visually connected to the street.

**PL3-A-2. Common Entries:** Multi-story residential buildings need to provide privacy and security for residents but also be welcoming and identifiable to visitors.

**PL3-A-3.** Individual Entries: Ground-related housing should be scaled and detailed appropriately to provide for a more intimate type of entry.

**PL3-A-4. Ensemble of Elements:** Design the entry as a collection of coordinated elements including the door(s), overhead features, ground surface, landscaping, lighting, and other features.

## PL3-B Residential Edges

**PL3-B-1. Security and Privacy:** Provide security and privacy for residential buildings through the use of a buffer or semi-private space between the development and the street or neighboring buildings.

**PL3-B-2. Ground-level Residential:** Privacy and security issues are particularly important in buildings with ground-level housing, both at entries and where windows are located overlooking the street.

**PL3-B-3. Buildings with Live/Work Uses:** Maintain active and transparent facades in the design of live/work residences. Design the first floor so it can be adapted to other commercial use as needed in the future.

**PL3-B-4. Interaction:** Provide opportunities for interaction among residents and neighbors.

## PL3-C Retail Edges

**PL3-C-1. Porous Edge:** Engage passersby with opportunities to interact visually with the building interior using glazing and transparency. Create multiple entries where possible and make a physical and visual connection between people on the sidewalk and retail activities in the building.

PL3-C-2. Visibility: Maximize visibility into the building interior and merchandise displays. Consider fully operational glazed wall-sized doors that can be completely opened to the street, increased height in lobbies, and/or special lighting for displays.
PL3-C-3. Ancillary Activities: Allow space for activities such as sidewalk vending, seating, and restaurant dining to occur. Consider setting structures back from the street or incorporating space in the project design into which retail uses can extend.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## PL3-I Entrances Visible from the Street

**PL3-I-i. Entrance Orientation:** On Mixed Use Corridors, primary business and residential entrances should be oriented to the commercial street. Secondary and service entries should be located off the alley, side street or parking lots.

**PL3-I-ii. Walkways Serving Entrances:** In residential projects, except townhouses, it is generally preferable to have one walkway from the street that can serve several building entrances. At least one building entrance, preferably the main one, should be prominently visible from the street. To increase security, it is desirable that other entries also be visible from the street; however, the configuration of existing buildings may preclude this.

**PL3-I-iii. Courtyard Entries:** When a courtyard is proposed for a residential project, the courtyard should have at least one entry from the street. Units facing the courtyard should have a porch, stoop, deck or seating area associated with the dwelling unit. **PL3-I-iv. Fences:** In residential projects, front yard fences over 4 feet in height that reduce visual access and security should be avoided.

## PL3-II Human Activity

**PL3-II-i. Recessed Entries:** On Mixed Use Corridors, where narrow sidewalks exist (less than 15' wide), consider recessing entries to provide small open spaces for sitting, street musicians, bus waiting, or other pedestrian activities. Recessed entries should promote pedestrian movement and avoid blind corners.

# PL4 Active Transportation: Incorporate design features that facilitate active forms of transportation such as walking, bicycling, and use of transit.

## PL4-A Entry Locations and Relationships

**PL4-A-1. Serving all Modes of Travel:** Provide safe and convenient access points for all modes of travel.

**PL4-A-2. Connections to All Modes:** Site the primary entry in a location that logically relates to building uses and clearly connects all major points of access.

## PL4-B Planning Ahead for Bicyclists

**PL4-B-1. Early Planning:** Consider existing and future bicycle traffic to and through the site early in the process so that access and connections are integrated into the project along with other modes of travel.

**PL4-B-2. Bike Facilities:** Facilities such as bike racks and storage, bike share stations, shower facilities and lockers for bicyclists should be located to maximize convenience, security, and safety.

**PL4-B-3. Bike Connections:** Facilitate connections to bicycle trails and infrastructure around and beyond the project.

## PL4-C Planning Ahead For Transit

**PL4-C-1. Influence on Project Design:** Identify how a transit stop (planned or built) adjacent to or near the site may influence project design, provide opportunities for placemaking.

**PL4-C-2. On-site Transit Stops:** If a transit stop is located onsite, design project-related pedestrian improvements and amenities so that they complement any amenities provided for transit riders.

**PL4-C-3. Transit Connections:** Where no transit stops are on or adjacent to the site, identify where the nearest transit stops and pedestrian routes are and include design features and connections within the project design as appropriate.

## **DESIGN CONCEPT**

## DC1 Project Uses and Activities: Optimize the arrangement of uses and activities on site. DC1-A Arrangement of Interior Uses

**DC1-A-1. Visibility:** Locate uses and services frequently used by the public in visible or prominent areas, such as at entries or along the street front.

**DC1-A-2. Gathering Places:** Maximize the use of any interior or exterior gathering spaces.

**DC1-A-3. Flexibility:** Build in flexibility so the building can adapt over time to evolving needs, such as the ability to change residential space to commercial space as needed. **DC1-A-4. Views and Connections:** Locate interior uses and activities to take advantage of views and physical connections to exterior spaces and uses.

## **DC1-B** Vehicular Access and Circulation

**DC1-B-1. Access Location and Design:** Choose locations for vehicular access, service uses, and delivery areas that minimize conflict between vehicles and non-motorists wherever possible. Emphasize use of the sidewalk for pedestrians, and create safe and attractive conditions for pedestrians, bicyclists, and drivers.

**DC1-B-2. Facilities for Alternative Transportation:** Locate facilities for alternative transportation in prominent locations that are convenient and readily accessible to expected users.

## DC1-C Parking and Service Uses

**DC1-C-1. Below-Grade Parking:** Locate parking below grade wherever possible. Where a surface parking lot is the only alternative, locate the parking in rear or side yards, or on lower or less visible portions of the site.

**DC1-C-2. Visual Impacts:** Reduce the visual impacts of parking lots, parking structures, entrances, and related signs and equipment as much as possible.

**DC1-C-3. Multiple Uses:** Design parking areas to serve multiple uses such as children's play space, outdoor gathering areas, sports courts, woonerf, or common space in multifamily projects.

**DC1-C-4. Service Uses:** Locate and design service entries, loading docks, and trash receptacles away from pedestrian areas or to a less visible portion of the site to reduce possible impacts of these facilities on building aesthetics and pedestrian circulation.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## DC1-I Parking and Vehicle Access

**DC1-I-i. Driveway Width:** In Lowrise residential developments, single-lane driveways (approximately 12 feet in width) are preferred over wide or multiple driveways where feasible.

## DC1-II Design of Parking Lots Near Sidewalks

**DC1-II-i. Views to Businesses:** Screening of surface parking lots should allow views of businesses.

**DC1-II-ii. Screen Type:** On Mixed Use Corridors, walls rather than shrub screens are generally preferred because walls require less space and landscaping can be difficult to maintain in congested areas. If walls are provided, they must be made of "permanent" materials such as masonry.

**DC2-II-iii. Surface Lots:** When adjacent to residential zones, surface parking lots adjacent to sidewalks should be screened with shrubs and double rows of street trees for a more sheltered, residential feel.

## **DC2-III Visual Impacts of Parking Structures**

**DC2-III-i. Ground-Level Commercial Use:** The preferred solution for parking structures is to incorporate commercial uses at the ground level. Below-grade parking is the next best solution.

**DC2-III-ii. Access to Street Network:** There should be careful consideration of the surrounding street system when locating auto access. When the choice is between an arterial and a lower volume, residential street, access should be placed on the arterial. **DC2-III-iii. Residential Area Consideration:** Structured parking façades facing the street and residential areas should be designed and treated to minimize impacts, including sound transmission from inside the parking structure.

# DC2 Architectural Concept: Develop an architectural concept that will result in a unified and functional design that fits well on the site and within its surroundings.

## **DC2-A Massing**

**DC2-A-1. Site Characteristics and Uses:** Arrange the mass of the building taking into consideration the characteristics of the site and the proposed uses of the building and its open space.

**DC2-A-2. Reducing Perceived Mass:** Use secondary architectural elements to reduce the perceived mass of larger projects.

## DC2-B Architectural and Facade Composition

**DC2-B-1. Façade Composition:** Design all building facades—including alleys and visible roofs— considering the composition and architectural expression of the building as a whole. Ensure that all facades are attractive and well-proportioned.

**DC2-B-2. Blank Walls:** Avoid large blank walls along visible façades wherever possible. Where expanses of blank walls, retaining walls, or garage facades are unavoidable, include uses or design treatments at the street level that have human scale and are designed for pedestrians.

## **DC2-C** Secondary Architectural Features

**DC2-C-1. Visual Depth and Interest:** Add depth to facades where appropriate by incorporating balconies, canopies, awnings, decks, or other secondary elements into the façade design. Add detailing at the street level in order to create interest for the pedestrian and encourage active street life and window shopping (in retail areas). **DC2-C-2. Dual Purpose Elements:** Consider architectural features that can be dual purpose— adding depth, texture, and scale as well as serving other project functions.

**DC2-C-3. Fit With Neighboring Buildings:** Use design elements to achieve a successful fit between a building and its neighbors.

## **DC2-D Scale and Texture**

**DC2-D-1. Human Scale:** Incorporate architectural features, elements, and details that are of human scale into the building facades, entries, retaining walls, courtyards, and exterior spaces in a manner that is consistent with the overall architectural concept **DC2-D-2. Texture:** Design the character of the building, as expressed in the form, scale, and materials, to strive for a fine-grained scale, or "texture," particularly at the street level and other areas where pedestrians predominate.

## DC2-E Form and Function

**DC2-E-1. Legibility and Flexibility:** Strive for a balance between building use legibility and flexibility. Design buildings such that their primary functions and uses can be readily determined from the exterior, making the building easy to access and understand. At the same time, design flexibility into the building so that it may remain useful over time even as specific programmatic needs evolve.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## **DC2-I** Architectural Elements and Materials

**DC2-I-i. Modulate Facade Widths:** On Mixed Use Corridors, consider breaking up the façade into modules of not more than 50 feet (measured horizontally parallel to the street) on University Way and 100 feet on other corridors, corresponding to traditional platting and building construction. (Note: This should not be interpreted as a prescriptive requirement. Larger parcels may characterize some areas of the University Community, such as lower Roosevelt.)

**DC2-I-ii. Fine-Grained Architectural Character:** Buildings in Lowrise zones should provide a "fine-grained" architectural character. The fine grain may be established by using building modulation, articulation and/or details which may refer to the modulation, articulation and/or details of adjacent buildings. To better relate to any established architectural character encountered within the community, consider the following building features:

- a. Pitched roof;
- b. Covered front porch;
- c. Vertically proportioned windows;
- d. Window trim and eave boards;
- e. Elements typical of common house forms.

DC3 Open Space Concept: Integrate open space design with the building design so that they complement each other.

## DC3-A Building-Open Space Relationship

**DC3-A-1. Interior/Exterior Fit:** Develop an open space concept in conjunction with the architectural concept to ensure that interior and exterior spaces relate well to each other and support the functions of the development.

## **DC3-B** Open Space Uses and Activities

**DC3-B-1. Meeting User Needs:** Plan the size, uses, activities, and features of each open space to meet the needs of expected users, ensuring each space has a purpose and function.

**DC3-B-2. Matching Uses to Conditions:** Respond to changing environmental conditions such as seasonal and daily light and weather shifts through open space design and/or programming of open space activities.

**DC3-B-3. Connections to Other Open Space:** Site and design project-related open spaces to connect with, or enhance, the uses and activities of other nearby public open space where appropriate.

**DC3-B-4. Multifamily Open Space:** Design common and private open spaces in multifamily projects for use by all residents to encourage physical activity and social interaction.

## DC3-C Design

**DC3-C-1. Reinforce Existing Open Space:** Where a strong open space concept exists in the neighborhood, reinforce existing character and patterns of street tree planting, buffers or treatment of topographic changes. Where no strong patterns exist, initiate a strong open space concept that other projects can build upon in the future.

**DC3-C-2. Amenities/Features:** Create attractive outdoor spaces suited to the uses envisioned for the project.

**DC3-C-3. Support Natural Areas:** Create an open space design that retains and enhances onsite natural areas and connects to natural areas that may exist off-site and may provide habitat for wildlife.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## DC3-I Pedestrian Open Spaces and Entrances

**DC3-I-i. Plaza Location:** Plazas should be centrally located, on major avenues, close to bus stops, or where there are strong pedestrian flows on neighboring sidewalks. **DC3-I-ii. Plaza Proportioning:** Plazas should be sensitively proportioned and designed. For example: not more than 60 feet across and no more than 3 feet above or below the sidewalk.

**DC3-I-iii. Seating:** Plazas should have plenty of benches, steps, and ledges for seating. For example: at least one linear foot of seating per 30 square feet of plaza area should be provided; seating should have a minimum depth of 16 inches.

**DC3-I-iv. Plaza Frontage:** Locate the plaza in a sunny spot and encourage public art and other amenities. For example: at least 50% of the total frontage of building walls facing a plaza should be occupied by retail uses, street vendors, building entrances, or other pedestrian-oriented uses.

**DC3-I-v. Planting Beds:** Provide plenty of planting beds for ground cover or shrubs. For example: one tree should be provided for every 200 square feet and at a maximum spacing of 25 feet apart. Special precaution must be taken to prevent trees from blocking the sun.

DC4 Exterior Elements and Finishes: Use appropriate and high quality elements and finishes for the building and its open spaces.

## **DC4-A Exterior Elements and Finishes**

**DC4-A-1. Exterior Finish Materials:** Building exteriors should be constructed of durable and maintainable materials that are attractive even when viewed up close. Materials that have texture, pattern, or lend themselves to a high quality of detailing are encouraged.

**DC4-A-2. Climate Appropriateness:** Select durable and attractive materials that will age well in Seattle's climate, taking special care to detail corners, edges, and transitions.

## DC4-B Signage

**DC4-B-1. Scale and Character:** Add interest to the streetscape with exterior signs and attachments that are appropriate in scale and character to the project and its environs. **DC4-B-2. Coordination with Project Design:** Develop a signage plan within the context of architectural and open space concepts, and coordinate the details with façade design, lighting, and other project features to complement the project as a whole, in addition to the surrounding context.

## **DC4-C** Lighting

**DC4-C-1. Functions:** Use lighting both to increase site safety in all locations used by pedestrians and to highlight architectural or landscape details and features such as entries, signs, canopies, plantings, and art.

**DC4-C-2.** Avoiding Glare: Design project lighting based upon the uses on and off site, taking care to provide illumination to serve building needs while avoiding off-site night glare and light pollution.

## DC4-D Trees, Landscape, and Hardscape Materials

**DC4-D-1. Choice of Plant Materials:** Reinforce the overall architectural and open space design concepts through the selection of landscape materials.

**DC4-D-2. Hardscape Materials:** Use exterior courtyards, plazas, and other hard surfaced areas as an opportunity to add color, texture, and/or pattern and enliven public areas through the use of distinctive and durable paving materials. Use permeable materials wherever possible.

**DC4-D-3. Long Range Planning:** Select plants that upon maturity will be of appropriate size, scale, and shape to contribute to the site as intended.

**DC4-D-4. Place Making:** Create a landscape design that helps define spaces with significant elements such as trees.

## DC4-E Project Assembly and Lifespan

**DC4-E-1. Deconstruction:** When possible, design the project so that it may be deconstructed at the end of its useful lifetime, with connections and assembly techniques that will allow reuse of materials.

## University Supplemental Guidance:

## **DC4-I** Exterior Finish Materials

**DC4-I-i. Desired Materials:** See full Guidelines for list of desired materials. **DC4-I-ii. Relate to Campus/Art Deco Architecture:** Sculptural cast stone and decorative tile are particularly appropriate because they relate to campus architecture and Art Deco buildings. Wood and cast stone are appropriate for moldings and trim. **DC4-I-iii. Discouraged Materials:** See full Guidelines for list of discouraged materials. **DC4-I-iv. Anodized Metal:** Where anodized metal is used for window and door trim, then care should be given to the proportion and breakup of glazing to reinforce the building concept and proportions.

**DC4-I-v. Fencing:** Fencing adjacent to the sidewalk should be sited and designed in an attractive and pedestrian oriented manner.

**DC4-I-vi. Awnings:** Awnings made of translucent material may be backlit, but should not overpower neighboring light schemes. Lights, which direct light downward, mounted from the awning frame are acceptable. Lights that shine from the exterior down on the awning are acceptable.

**DC4-I-vii. Light Standards:** Light standards should be compatible with other site design and building elements.

## **DC4-II** Exterior Signs

**DC4-II-i. Encouraged Sign Types:** The following sign types are encouraged, particularly along Mixed Use Corridors:

a. Pedestrian-oriented shingle or blade signs extending from the building front just above pedestrians.

b. Marquee signs and signs on pedestrian canopies.

c. Neon signs.

d. Carefully executed window signs, such as etched glass or hand painted signs.

e. Small signs on awnings or canopies.

DC4-II-ii. Discouraged Sign Types: Post mounted signs are discouraged.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

At the conclusion of the RECOMMENDATION meeting, the Board recommended approval of the project with conditions.

The recommendation summarized above was based on the design review packet dated Monday, February 03, 2020, and the materials shown and verbally described by the applicant at the Monday, February 03, 2020 Design Recommendation meeting. After considering the site and context, hearing public comment, reconsidering the previously identified design priorities and reviewing the materials, the three Design Review Board members recommended APPROVAL of the subject design and departures with the following conditions:

1. **Roosevelt Street Edge:** Explore additional options to create a more open and porous edge condition at the front porch area along Brooklyn Avenue. (PL3-C-1)