



RECOMMENDATION OF THE SOUTHWEST DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

Project Number: 3024558

Address: 2222 SW Barton Street

Applicant: Justin Kliewer, Playhouse Design Group

Date of Meeting: Thursday, September 07, 2017

Board Members Present: Matt Zinski (Chair)
Donald Caffrey
Crystal Loya

Board Members Absent: Alexandra Moravec
Robin Murphy

SDCI Staff Present: Crystal Torres, Land Use Planner (for Carly Guillory)

SITE & VICINITY

Site Zone: Low Rise 3 (LR3)

Nearby Zones: (North) Single Family (SF 7200)
(South) SF 5000
(East) LR3
(West) SF 7200

Lot Area: 15,506 sq. ft.

Current Development:

The site is triangular and slopes down approximately 25 feet from the southeast to the northwest. A three-story multi-family structure constructed in 1979 occupies the site.



Surrounding Development and Neighborhood Character:

The neighborhood is primarily single family with a mix of homes dating from the 1940's to contemporary townhouses. Apartment buildings are dispersed along Delridge, SW Barton, 16th, 25th, and 27th. The neighborhood does not have a unifying architectural style. Neighborhood amenities include Roxhill and Fauntleroy Parks, the Westwood Shopping Center and a local library. The project is also located near the transition between Seattle and White Center.

Access:

Vehicular access is accomplished from Barton Street. Sidewalks are present on the Barton Place frontage and none are in place on Barton Street edge of the subject property.

Environmentally Critical Areas:

The site contains a Steep Slope ECA.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is for an 80-unit apartment building. Existing structures to be demolished.

The design packet includes information presented at the meeting, and is available online by entering the project number at this website:

<http://www.seattle.gov/DPD/aboutus/news/events/DesignReview/SearchPastReviews/default.aspx>

The packet is also available to view in the file, by contacting the Public Resource Center at SDCI:

Mailing Public Resource Center

Address: 700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000

P.O. Box 34019

Seattle, WA 98124-4019

Email: PRC@seattle.gov

EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE September 15, 2016

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- The project is too large for the site.
- The site is very visible.
- Entrances need to be more clearly defined.
- Walkability and wayfinding need to be improved. (PL-2)
- The massing at the corner is overwhelming. (CS-2)
- As designed, the project is not compatible with nearby single-family homes. (CS-3)
- The schemes that are too open may be a problem with site safety and security. (PL-1)
- The project needs more landscaping and should reference the Longfellow Creek watershed.

- The level of tree canopy should match with neighborhood.
- The unit count is too dense for the area.
- There is a high level of pedestrian traffic and the sidewalk is grade separated from the bulk of the site at Barton Place.
- The size of the building could create shading on the Barton Place sidewalk leading to unsafe conditions such as ice.
- Larger units should be provided for families.
- There are no walkable amenities in the neighborhood.
- All guidelines under CS-2 are important for the project.
- Neighborhood infrastructure won't support the proposed density.
- The corner should have windows, not a stair tower.
- Crosswalks are needed for pedestrian safety.
- Concerned this may be the first apartment without parking.
- Concerned about fire department access on narrow streets.

The following design related comments were received in writing prior to the meeting:

- Opposed to proposed density and lack of parking.
- Concerned about increases in traffic, crime, and litter.
- The additional residents could contribute to additional car accidents.
- The type of housing proposed is too close to a single-family neighborhood.
- The steeply sloping lot is not safe for development.
- Concerned with impacts on views from the nearby apartments.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the project number: <http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/>

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following siting and design guidance.

1. **Height Bulk and Scale:** The Board acknowledged the unique challenges of the site with respect to its shape, topography and prominence. They favored design Option 2 as it would allow for a simple timeless form and they felt Options 1 & 2 were over modulated for the site and its context. (CS1-C, CS2-A, CS2-B, CS2-C, CS2-D, DC2-A, DC2-B, DC2-D, and DC4-A)
 - a. The building should be thoughtfully detailed with high quality materials and secondary features.
 - b. The Board approved of the stepping down of the structure at the alley and supported the public concerns related to the bulk of the proposed structure and agreed the building should step down further to assist in neighborhood compatibility and to help reduce the appearance of an overbearing structure, especially at Barton Street.
 - c. The prominent corner should be highly glazed as depicted in the precedent image of the Madison Flats.
2. **Tree Canopy and Landscape:** The Board heard public concerns regarding the loss of tree canopy and felt the project should strive to replicate the landscaping present in the neighborhood re-

creating the level of tree canopy present in the vicinity. Plantings from the Longfellow Creek open space should be used for the site's plant palette. (CS3-A, DC3-A, DC3-C, and DC4-D)

- a. Landscaping at the edges of the site should work with topography and create a transition between the building and the street/neighborhood.
 - b. The Board acknowledged the concerns of the neighbors regarding stormwater drainage. They encouraged the applicant to minimize hardscape and noted the proposed amenity space was unlikely to be used and perhaps is best viewed as a light well for units.
3. **Site Design:** The Board agreed with public comment and wants to see a visually prominent entry at Barton Street and detailed information about required street improvements. Street improvements and landscaping should emphasize the entrance while softening the edge of the building. They felt that a defined drop-off area for car share services should be integrated into any required street improvements as the project does not have any on-site parking. (PL2-A, PL2-B, PL3-A, PL4-A, PL4-B, DC1-B and DC4-C)
- a. The Board acknowledged public comment about safety and security. They would like to see a lighting plan at Recommendation. Any window wells should be designed to discourage theft without overt security features, such as bars.
 - b. The design of the trash enclosure needs to be included at the Recommendation phase as it is located along the alley bordering the adjacent single-family neighborhood.

RECOMMENDATION September 7, 2017

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- Appreciated the integration of public and Board comments from EDG into the design.
- Appreciated the improvements made to emphasize the entry; however, expressed concern with the entry being sunken below sidewalk grade.
- Concerned with the drainage impacts to the surrounding properties especially at the alley.
- Appreciated the proposed landscape design; however, encouraged thoughtful selection of plant material that would deter loitering.
- Encouraged the integration of pet friendly landscaping.

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following siting and design guidance.

1. Façade and Material Composition

- a. The Board discussed the design evolution since EDG, and was supportive of the composition and classic proportions utilized (DC2-B).
- b. The Board further discussed, and unanimously agreed, that the material application along the highly trafficked street frontages of SW Barton Place and Street warranted higher quality material treatment. Concern was expressed about the application of fiber-cement panel in these locations (DC2-B).
- c. The Board supported the treatment of the dark grey plinth along the west elevation, noting the success of the panel size and spacing in creating a rigorous architectural

expression. To continue the rigorous architectural language from SW Barton Place to SW Barton Street, the Board recommended a condition to refine the material application with higher quality materials, and replace the green colored fiber-cement panel with cedar and extend the plinth around to the entrance. (DC2-B)

- d. To further refine the elevations to ensure a rigorous architectural expression and avoid material imperfections, the Board recommended a condition to reduce the size of the white and light grey fiber-cement panels along all facades and apply with a tighter panel spacing, similar to that proposed within the dark grey plinth. (DC2-B)
- e. The Board discussed the alley façade, which had a different architectural expression. The Board had some concern that the language of this façade was too different, however, after a thoughtful discussion agreed the façade composition was successful in breaking up the long façade and offering interesting modulation. The modules were described as successful in breaking up the large swaths of white fiber-cement panel with their asymmetrical placement. (DC2-B)

2. Landscaping and Streetscape:

- a. The Board discussed the courtyard, sharing support of the design and landscaping which worked for the small space. (DC4-D)
- b. The Board discussed the streetscape, echoing public comment, the Board recommended a condition that landscaping and lighting along the street respond to safety concerns by incorporating a thoughtful selection of landscaping and lighting which would deter loitering. (PL2-B)

- 3. **Entry:** The Board discussed the design response to early guidance regarding providing a visually prominent building entry. Although the Board was supportive of the improvements since EDG, the Board recommended a condition to add further visual prominence to the building entry. Suggesting possible solutions including extending the canopy and integrating signage. (PL3-A)

DEVELOPMENT STANDARD DEPARTURES

The Board's recommendation on requested departure is based on the departure's potential to help the project better meet these design guidelines priorities and achieve a better overall project design than could be achieved without the departure. The Board's recommendation is reserved until the final Board meeting.

At the time of the Recommendation meeting, no departures were requested.

DESIGN REVIEW GUIDELINES

The priority Citywide and Neighborhood guidelines identified as Priority Guidelines are summarized below, while all guidelines remain applicable. For the full text please visit the [Design Review website](#).

CONTEXT & SITE

CS1 Natural Systems and Site Features: Use natural systems/features of the site and its surroundings as a starting point for project design.

CS1-C Topography

CS1-C-1. Land Form: Use natural topography and desirable landforms to inform project design.

CS1-C-2. Elevation Changes: Use the existing site topography when locating structures and open spaces on the site.

CS2 Urban Pattern and Form: Strengthen the most desirable forms, characteristics, and patterns of the streets, block faces, and open spaces in the surrounding area.

CS2-A Location in the City and Neighborhood

CS2-A-1. Sense of Place: Emphasize attributes that give a distinctive sense of place. Design the building and open spaces to enhance areas where a strong identity already exists, and create a sense of place where the physical context is less established.

CS2-A-2. Architectural Presence: Evaluate the degree of visibility or architectural presence that is appropriate or desired given the context, and design accordingly.

CS2-B Adjacent Sites, Streets, and Open Spaces

CS2-B-1. Site Characteristics: Allow characteristics of sites to inform the design, especially where the street grid and topography create unusually shaped lots that can add distinction to the building massing.

CS2-B-2. Connection to the Street: Identify opportunities for the project to make a strong connection to the street and public realm.

CS2-B-3. Character of Open Space: Contribute to the character and proportion of surrounding open spaces.

CS2-C Relationship to the Block

CS2-C-1. Corner Sites: Corner sites can serve as gateways or focal points; both require careful detailing at the first three floors due to their high visibility from two or more streets and long distances.

CS2-C-2. Mid-Block Sites: Look to the uses and scales of adjacent buildings for clues about how to design a mid-block building. Continue a strong street-edge and respond to datum lines of adjacent buildings at the first three floors.

CS2-C-3. Full Block Sites: Break up long facades of full-block buildings to avoid a monolithic presence. Provide detail and human scale at street-level, and include repeating elements to add variety and rhythm to the façade and overall building design.

CS2-D Height, Bulk, and Scale

CS2-D-1. Existing Development and Zoning: Review the height, bulk, and scale of neighboring buildings as well as the scale of development anticipated by zoning for the area to determine an appropriate complement and/or transition.

CS2-D-2. Existing Site Features: Use changes in topography, site shape, and vegetation or structures to help make a successful fit with adjacent properties.

CS2-D-3. Zone Transitions: For projects located at the edge of different zones, provide an appropriate transition or complement to the adjacent zone(s). Projects should create a step in perceived height, bulk and scale between the anticipated development potential of the adjacent zone and the proposed development.

CS2-D-4. Massing Choices: Strive for a successful transition between zones where a project abuts a less intense zone.

CS2-D-5. Respect for Adjacent Sites: Respect adjacent properties with design and site planning to minimize disrupting the privacy of residents in adjacent buildings.

CS3 Architectural Context and Character: Contribute to the architectural character of the neighborhood.

CS3-A Emphasizing Positive Neighborhood Attributes

CS3-A-1. Fitting Old and New Together: Create compatibility between new projects, and existing architectural context, including historic and modern designs, through building articulation, scale and proportion, roof forms, detailing, fenestration, and/or the use of complementary materials.

CS3-A-2. Contemporary Design: Explore how contemporary designs can contribute to the development of attractive new forms and architectural styles; as expressed through use of new materials or other means.

CS3-A-3. Established Neighborhoods: In existing neighborhoods with a well-defined architectural character, site and design new structures to complement or be compatible with the architectural style and siting patterns of neighborhood buildings.

CS3-A-4. Evolving Neighborhoods: In neighborhoods where architectural character is evolving or otherwise in transition, explore ways for new development to establish a positive and desirable context for others to build upon in the future.

PUBLIC LIFE

PL2 Walkability: Create a safe and comfortable walking environment that is easy to navigate and well-connected to existing pedestrian walkways and features.

PL2-A Accessibility

PL2-A-1. Access for All: Provide access for people of all abilities in a manner that is fully integrated into the project design. Design entries and other primary access points such that all visitors can be greeted and welcomed through the front door.

PL2-A-2. Access Challenges: Add features to assist pedestrians in navigating sloped sites, long blocks, or other challenges.

PL2-B Safety and Security

PL2-B-1. Eyes on the Street: Create a safe environment by providing lines of sight and encouraging natural surveillance.

PL2-B-2. Lighting for Safety: Provide lighting at sufficient lumen intensities and scales, including pathway illumination, pedestrian and entry lighting, and/or security lights.

PL2-B-3. Street-Level Transparency: Ensure transparency of street-level uses (for uses such as nonresidential uses or residential lobbies), where appropriate, by keeping views open into spaces behind walls or plantings, at corners, or along narrow passageways.

PL3 Street-Level Interaction: Encourage human interaction and activity at the street-level with clear connections to building entries and edges.

PL3-A Entries

PL3-A-1. Design Objectives: Design primary entries to be obvious, identifiable, and distinctive with clear lines of sight and lobbies visually connected to the street.

PL3-A-2. Common Entries: Multi-story residential buildings need to provide privacy and security for residents but also be welcoming and identifiable to visitors.

PL3-A-4. Ensemble of Elements: Design the entry as a collection of coordinated elements including the door(s), overhead features, ground surface, landscaping, lighting, and other features.

PL4 Active Transportation: Incorporate design features that facilitate active forms of transportation such as walking, bicycling, and use of transit.

PL4-A Entry Locations and Relationships

PL4-A-1. Serving all Modes of Travel: Provide safe and convenient access points for all modes of travel.

PL4-A-2. Connections to All Modes: Site the primary entry in a location that logically relates to building uses and clearly connects all major points of access.

PL4-B Planning Ahead for Bicyclists

PL4-B-1. Early Planning: Consider existing and future bicycle traffic to and through the site early in the process so that access and connections are integrated into the project along with other modes of travel.

PL4-B-2. Bike Facilities: Facilities such as bike racks and storage, bike share stations, shower facilities and lockers for bicyclists should be located to maximize convenience, security, and safety.

PL4-B-3. Bike Connections: Facilitate connections to bicycle trails and infrastructure around and beyond the project.

DESIGN CONCEPT

DC1 Project Uses and Activities: Optimize the arrangement of uses and activities on site.

DC1-B Vehicular Access and Circulation

DC1-B-1. Access Location and Design: Choose locations for vehicular access, service uses, and delivery areas that minimize conflict between vehicles and non-motorists wherever possible. Emphasize use of the sidewalk for pedestrians, and create safe and attractive conditions for pedestrians, bicyclists, and drivers.

DC1-B-2. Facilities for Alternative Transportation: Locate facilities for alternative transportation in prominent locations that are convenient and readily accessible to expected users.

DC2 Architectural Concept: Develop an architectural concept that will result in a unified and functional design that fits well on the site and within its surroundings.

DC2-A Massing

DC2-A-1. Site Characteristics and Uses: Arrange the mass of the building taking into consideration the characteristics of the site and the proposed uses of the building and its open space.

DC2-A-2. Reducing Perceived Mass: Use secondary architectural elements to reduce the perceived mass of larger projects.

DC2-B Architectural and Façade Composition

DC2-B-1. Façade Composition: Design all building façades—including alleys and visible roofs—considering the composition and architectural expression of the building as a whole. Ensure that all façades are attractive and well-proportioned.

DC2-B-2. Blank Walls: Avoid large blank walls along visible façades wherever possible. Where expanses of blank walls, retaining walls, or garage façades are unavoidable, include uses or design treatments at the street level that have human scale and are designed for pedestrians.

DC2-D Scale and Texture

DC2-D-1. Human Scale: Incorporate architectural features, elements, and details that are of human scale into the building façades, entries, retaining walls, courtyards, and exterior spaces in a manner that is consistent with the overall architectural concept

DC2-D-2. Texture: Design the character of the building, as expressed in the form, scale, and materials, to strive for a fine-grained scale, or “texture,” particularly at the street level and other areas where pedestrians predominate.

DC3 Open Space Concept: Integrate open space design with the building design so that they complement each other.

DC3-A Building-Open Space Relationship

DC3-A-1. Interior/Exterior Fit: Develop an open space concept in conjunction with the architectural concept to ensure that interior and exterior spaces relate well to each other and support the functions of the development.

DC3-B-3. Connections to Other Open Space: Site and design project-related open spaces to connect with, or enhance, the uses and activities of other nearby public open space where appropriate.

DC3-B-4. Multifamily Open Space: Design common and private open spaces in multifamily projects for use by all residents to encourage physical activity and social interaction.

DC3-C Design

DC3-C-1. Reinforce Existing Open Space: Where a strong open space concept exists in the neighborhood, reinforce existing character and patterns of street tree planting, buffers or treatment of topographic changes. Where no strong patterns exist, initiate a strong open space concept that other projects can build upon in the future.

DC3-C-2. Amenities/Features: Create attractive outdoor spaces suited to the uses envisioned for the project.

DC3-C-3. Support Natural Areas: Create an open space design that retains and enhances onsite natural areas and connects to natural areas that may exist off-site and may provide habitat for wildlife.

DC4 Exterior Elements and Finishes: Use appropriate and high quality elements and finishes for the building and its open spaces.

DC4-A Exterior Elements and Finishes

DC4-A-1. Exterior Finish Materials: Building exteriors should be constructed of durable and maintainable materials that are attractive even when viewed up close. Materials that have texture, pattern, or lend themselves to a high quality of detailing are encouraged.

DC4-A-2. Climate Appropriateness: Select durable and attractive materials that will age well in Seattle's climate, taking special care to detail corners, edges, and transitions.

DC4-C Lighting

DC4-C-1. Functions: Use lighting both to increase site safety in all locations used by pedestrians and to highlight architectural or landscape details and features such as entries, signs, canopies, plantings, and art.

DC4-C-2. Avoiding Glare: Design project lighting based upon the uses on and off site, taking care to provide illumination to serve building needs while avoiding off-site night glare and light pollution.

DC4-D Trees, Landscape, and Hardscape Materials

DC4-D-1. Choice of Plant Materials: Reinforce the overall architectural and open space design concepts through the selection of landscape materials.

DC4-D-2. Hardscape Materials: Use exterior courtyards, plazas, and other hard surfaced areas as an opportunity to add color, texture, and/or pattern and enliven public areas through the use of distinctive and durable paving materials. Use permeable materials wherever possible.

DC4-D-3. Long Range Planning: Select plants that upon maturity will be of appropriate size, scale, and shape to contribute to the site as intended.

DC4-D-4. Place Making: Create a landscape design that helps define spaces with significant elements such as trees.

BOARD DIRECTION

At the conclusion of the RECOMMENDATION meeting, the Board recommended approval of the project with conditions.

The recommendation summarized above was based on the design review packet dated July 11, 2017, and the materials shown and verbally described by the applicant at the September 7, 2017 Design Recommendation meeting. After considering the site and context, hearing public comment, reconsidering the previously identified design priorities and reviewing the materials, the three Design Review Board members recommended APPROVAL of the subject design and departures with the following conditions:

1. Refine the material application along SW Barton Place and SW Barton Street with higher quality materials, and continue the rigorous architectural language from SW Barton Place to SW Barton Street. Replace the green fiber-cement panel on the west elevation with cedar and extend the plinth around to the entrance. (DC2-B *Architectural and Facade Composition*)
2. The size of the white and light grey fiber-cement panels along all facades shall be reduced and applied with a tighter panel spacing, similar to that proposed within the dark grey plinth, to avoid material imperfections. (DC2-B *Architectural and Facade Composition*)
3. Add further visual prominence to the entry. Suggested solutions included extending the canopy and integrating signage. (PL3-A *Entries*)
4. Landscaping and lighting along the street and alley should respond to safety concerns by incorporating a thoughtful selection of landscaping and lighting which would deter loitering. (DC4-C *Lighting*, DC4-D *Trees, Landscape, and Hardscape Materials*)