



RECOMMENDATION OF THE NORTHEAST DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

Record Number:	3023900-LU
Address:	909 N 39TH ST
Applicant:	Robert Humble for Hybrid Architecture
Date of Meeting:	Monday, October 29, 2018
Board Members Present:	Brian Bishop, Chair Dan Rusler Katy Haima Anita Jeerage
Board Members Absent:	James Marria
SDCI Staff Present:	Holly J. Godard, planner

SITE & VICINITY

Site Zone: Commercial (C1-40)

Nearby Zones: (North) C1-40 (South) C1-40 (East) Low Rise (LR3) (West) C1-40

Lot Area: 27,746 sq. ft.



Current Development:

The development site is comprised of three parcels at the southeast corner of Aurora Ave N. and N. 39th St. Two are occupied by a commercial building while the corner parcel is vacant.

Surrounding Development and Neighborhood Character:

Aurora Ave North forms a narrow strip of commercially zoned corridor. The properties further from Aurora transition to Low Rise then Single-Family zones. Development along this block is mostly comprised of older single-family homes and some pockets of three to four story mixed-use and multi-family development. Aurora is divided in this location.

Access:

Vehicular access occurs at the alley, Winslow Place. There are existing sidewalks along Aurora.

Environmentally Critical Areas:

There are no ECA conditions present.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is for a 4-story structure containing 142 residential units, eight live-work units, 1,904 square feet of commercial area, and parking for 58 vehicles. Existing structures to be demolished.

The design packet includes information presented at the meeting, and is available online by entering the record number at this website: http://www.seattle.gov/DPD/aboutus/news/events/DesignReview/SearchPastReviews/default.aspx

The packet is also available to view in the file, by contacting the Public Resource Center at SDCI:

MailingPublic Resource CenterAddress:700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000P.O. Box 34019Seattle, WA 98124-4019

Email: <u>PRC@seattle.gov</u>

EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE July 11, 2016

PUBLIC COMMENT

SDCI staff also summarized design related comments received in writing prior to the meeting:

- The alley (Winslow Place) is used for pedestrian movement and should be designed for safety.
- The reduced level of parking may lead to congestion on 39th Street.
- The ground level should be designed for site security due to the presence of transients.
- Vehicles should come in from Aurora.
- The west facing façade will be exposed to the sun and may be quite hot.
- Art should be integrated into the project.
- The applicant should consider artist lofts for live/work units or alley facing units.
- The roof deck should be designed to maintain privacy for homes to the east.

One purpose of the design review process is for the Board and City to receive comments from the public that help to identify feedback and concerns about the site and design concept, identify applicable citywide and neighborhood design guidelines of highest priority to the site and explore conceptual design, siting alternatives and eventual architectural design. Concerns with off-street parking, traffic and construction impacts are reviewed as part of the environmental review conducted by SDCI and are not part of this review. Concerns with building height calculations and bicycle storage standards are addressed under the City's zoning code and are not part of this review.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the record number-EG: <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following siting and design guidance.

- 1. Massing:
 - a. The Board favored Option 3 due to the three distinct masses defined by large courtyards and alley setbacks that allow for ample penetration of natural light into residential units. (CS1-B & DC3-B)
 - b. The Board strongly supported the randomized window patterns shown in precedent images and discussed by the applicant. (DC2-B)
- 2. Aurora Façade: The Board noted the harsh pedestrian conditions along Aurora due the road speed and lack of parallel parking that could act as a buffer.
 - a. The Board lauded the high level of detail shown on Aurora façade and liked the layering of planters and open space courtyards. (DC-4)

- b. Gates and lighting along Aurora are necessary for security, but should be well designed and integrated into the overall composition of the building. (PL2-B & DC4-C)
- c. The Board acknowledged that the public comment noted a preference for vehicular access from the street and not alley. The Board clarified, however, that street access from Aurora is not possible due to the busy nature of the major arterial street and the zoning code. (DC1-C)
- d. The Board would support smaller windows or other departures on the Aurora façade to make the live/work units more pleasant. Larger screening from planters and additional ground level setbacks could reduce impacts from Aurora. (PL3-B&C)
- **3.** Winslow Façade: The Board agreed that the alley should be designed to encourage pedestrian movement and slower vehicular travel for safety. Character sketches of pedestrian experience at the alley should be included at the Recommendation phase.
 - a. The Board acknowledged public comments about the alley's use for pedestrian travel and agreed that the alley should be treated more like a woonerf to reduce impact from vehicles. (CS2-C)
 - b. SDOT wants paving to property line. The Board recommended pursuing a different paving type nearest building to delineate a place for pedestrians or consider a sidewalk on the applicant's side of the alley. (CS2-B)
 - c. Units facing the alley could have stoops to create a residential character and alert motorists to drive slower. In response to public comment, the Board acknowledged that ground level artist lofts facing the alley could be an interesting use. (PL3-A)
 - d. A mural along the alley façade could be used to reinforce its residential nature.
 - e. The Board encouraged moving the vehicle entrance to north courtyard to move it closer to 39th Street, consolidating motorist activity. A steeper ramp departure would be supported to accomplish this change. (DC1-C)
- 4. 39th Street:
 - a. The Board agreed that to the maximum extent possible, the trees on 39th specifically the Chestnut Tree, should be preserved. (DC4-A)
 - b. The lobby is located on 39th Street and this façade should emphasize the residential entry and character. Wayfinding for the lobby should be an aspect of the more detailed recommendation materials. (PL4-A & DC4-B)

RECOMMENDATION October 29, 2018

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following public comments were offered at this meeting:

- More landscape area along Winslow would help buffer the project in the neighborhood.
- The amenity space on the roof allows users to come close to the edge of the building and thus seems to intrude on the residences across Winslow.
- Garage entry impacts should be reduced by using quiet mechanisms, no announcements or buzzers or bells. The roll up door should be as quiet as possible.

• A pedestrian walkway or sidewalk on Winslow would be a good addition.

No additional comments were received prior to the meeting.

One purpose of the design review process is for the Board and City to receive comments from the public that help to identify feedback and concerns about the site and design concept, identify applicable citywide and neighborhood design guidelines of highest priority to the site and explore conceptual design, siting alternatives and eventual architectural design. Concerns with off-street parking, traffic and construction impacts are reviewed as part of the environmental review conducted by SDCI and are not part of this review. Concerns with building height calculations and bicycle storage standards are addressed under the City's zoning code and are not part of this review.

All public comments submitted in writing for this project can be viewed using the following link and entering the record number-LU: <u>http://web6.seattle.gov/dpd/edms/</u>

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following recommendations.

- Massing: The Board reacted favorably to the design development of the three-building massing concept presented at the EDG meeting. They liked the insets or linking gaskets between the larger masses and the restraint of façade frames and ornamentation. (CS1-B & DC2-B,DC3-B)
- 2. **Aurora Façade:** The Aurora façade is set back a small amount from the sidewalk edge so that there can be a planting strip, sidewalk and back of sidewalk planting area. The Board noted that the back of sidewalk at grade planting will be difficult to maintain at this busy location and subject to doggy trampling etc. The Board decided that if SDOT allows doggy rocks or a curb along the at-grade right-of-way planting it would help sustain the plants. The Board recommended a condition to explore the idea with SDOT.

The Board liked the random window placement and large windows on the facade. The Board asked about the materials and liked the choice of materials and colors. The Board was interested in seeing the metal panel material in the notch setbacks between the three masses. The Board recommended a condition to retain the metal siding as shown in the packet along the base and in the inset areas.

The Board discussed the four-foot fences and gates as security measures. The members thought the proposal at four feet was the best solution and recommended a condition to keep them at that height project wide. (DC1-C, CS2-B,C)

- 3. **Winslow Façade:** The Board reviewed the Winslow façade carefully. In response to public comment the Board reviewed the nature of the units facing the alley, the two courtyards, the vehicle entry sequence, and the paving at the property line. The Board liked the transparency of the building viewed from Winslow into the courtyards and into the building. The Board asked about the upper level roof top amenity space and in response to public comment thought that the eastern edge of the open space could be pulled back from the parapet edge to a minimum of six (6) feet to reduce the impact on properties across Winslow. The Board recommended a condition to that effect. (DC1-C, CS2-B,C)
- 4. **39th Street:** The applicant described the design intent of the entry, signage, lighting and units along 39th Street. The Board liked the proposal and felt that the northeast corner terraced planting could use more light if a street light is not located nearby to provide additional light. The Board members recommended a condition to add more landscape lighting if a street light is not located nearby. (PL4-A & DC4-A,B)

DEVELOPMENT STANDARD DEPARTURES

The Board's recommendation on the requested departures will be based on the departure's potential to help the project better meet these design guidelines priorities and achieve a better overall project design than could be achieved without the departures.

At the time of the Recommendation meeting the following departures were requested:

1. **Commercial Depth (SMC 23.47A.008B3):** The Code requires 30 feet of average depth for ground level commercial uses. The applicant proposes 28 feet and 6 inches of depth at the commercial retail and commercial live work units. The applicant states that this will allow more landscape buffer along Aurora Avenue.

The Board recommended approval of the departure request since the reduced depth allowed for more visual relief at the east side of the project with additional landscaping. (CS2-B)

 Residential Sidewalk Separation (SMC 23.47A.008D2): The Code requires ground level residential uses to be at least four feet above or below the sidewalk or have a setback of at least 10 feet. The applicant proposes an 8-foot setback at the residential uses at the northeast corner along 39th Street. They justified reduced sidewalk separation with at grade and terraced landscaping to screen and buffer the units.

The Board recommend approval of this departure since 39th Street is a slower, quieter street where the separation required by Code is less important. They felt with careful application of landscaping and buffer area, the unit's privacy could be maintained. (PL3B1)

3. Street-level Development Standards (SMC 23.47A.008A3):

The Code requires street level street facing facades shall be located within 10 feet of the street lot line, unless wider sidewalk, plazas, or other approved landscape or open spaces are provided. The applicant proposes a small entry courtyard with landscaping and some entries.

The Board recommended approval of the departure request which helps break up the massing and formalizes the Board's preferred massing alternative. Additionally, the Board felt the small plazas contributed to a sense of light and air along Aurora Avenue. (CS1-B, DC3-B)

DESIGN REVIEW GUIDELINES

The Citywide and Neighborhood guidelines recognized by the Board as Priority Guidelines are identified above. All guidelines remain applicable and are summarized below. For the full text please visit the <u>Design Review website</u>.

CONTEXT & SITE

CS1 Natural Systems and Site Features: Use natural systems/features of the site and its surroundings as a starting point for project design.

CS1-A Energy Use

CS1-A-1. Energy Choices: At the earliest phase of project development, examine how energy choices may influence building form, siting, and orientation, and factor in the findings when making siting and design decisions.

CS1-B Sunlight and Natural Ventilation

CS1-B-1. Sun and Wind: Take advantage of solar exposure and natural ventilation. Use local wind patterns and solar gain to reduce the need for mechanical ventilation and heating where possible.

CS1-B-2. Daylight and Shading: Maximize daylight for interior and exterior spaces and minimize shading on adjacent sites through the placement and/or design of structures on site.

CS1-B-3. Managing Solar Gain: Manage direct sunlight falling on south and west facing facades through shading devices and existing or newly planted trees.

CS1-C Topography

CS1-C-1. Land Form: Use natural topography and desirable landforms to inform project design.

CS1-C-2. Elevation Changes: Use the existing site topography when locating structures and open spaces on the site.

CS1-D Plants and Habitat

CS1-D-1. On-Site Features: Incorporate on-site natural habitats and landscape elements into project design and connect those features to existing networks of open spaces and natural habitats wherever possible. Consider relocating significant trees and vegetation if retention is not feasible.

CS1-D-2. Off-Site Features: Provide opportunities through design to connect to off-site habitats such as riparian corridors or existing urban forest corridors. Promote

continuous habitat, where possible, and increase interconnected corridors of urban forest and habitat where possible.

CS1-E Water

CS1-E-1. Natural Water Features: If the site includes any natural water features, consider ways to incorporate them into project design, where feasible **CS1-E-2. Adding Interest with Project Drainage:** Use project drainage systems as opportunities to add interest to the site through water-related design elements.

CS2 Urban Pattern and Form: Strengthen the most desirable forms, characteristics, and patterns of the streets, block faces, and open spaces in the surrounding area.

CS2-A Location in the City and Neighborhood

CS2-A-1. Sense of Place: Emphasize attributes that give a distinctive sense of place.
Design the building and open spaces to enhance areas where a strong identity already exists, and create a sense of place where the physical context is less established.
CS2-A-2. Architectural Presence: Evaluate the degree of visibility or architectural presence that is appropriate or desired given the context, and design accordingly.

CS2-B Adjacent Sites, Streets, and Open Spaces

CS2-B-1. Site Characteristics: Allow characteristics of sites to inform the design, especially where the street grid and topography create unusually shaped lots that can add distinction to the building massing.

CS2-B-2. Connection to the Street: Identify opportunities for the project to make a strong connection to the street and public realm.

CS2-B-3. Character of Open Space: Contribute to the character and proportion of surrounding open spaces.

CS2-C Relationship to the Block

CS2-C-1. Corner Sites: Corner sites can serve as gateways or focal points; both require careful detailing at the first three floors due to their high visibility from two or more streets and long distances.

CS2-C-2. Mid-Block Sites: Look to the uses and scales of adjacent buildings for clues about how to design a mid-block building. Continue a strong street-edge and respond to datum lines of adjacent buildings at the first three floors.

CS2-C-3. Full Block Sites: Break up long facades of full-block buildings to avoid a monolithic presence. Provide detail and human scale at street-level, and include repeating elements to add variety and rhythm to the façade and overall building design.

CS2-D Height, Bulk, and Scale

CS2-D-1. Existing Development and Zoning: Review the height, bulk, and scale of neighboring buildings as well as the scale of development anticipated by zoning for the area to determine an appropriate complement and/or transition.

CS2-D-2. Existing Site Features: Use changes in topography, site shape, and vegetation or structures to help make a successful fit with adjacent properties.

CS2-D-3. Zone Transitions: For projects located at the edge of different zones, provide an appropriate transition or complement to the adjacent zone(s). Projects should create a step in perceived height, bulk and scale between the anticipated development potential of the adjacent zone and the proposed development.

CS2-D-4. Massing Choices: Strive for a successful transition between zones where a project abuts a less intense zone.

CS2-D-5. Respect for Adjacent Sites: Respect adjacent properties with design and site planning to minimize disrupting the privacy of residents in adjacent buildings.

CS3 Architectural Context and Character: Contribute to the architectural character of the neighborhood.

CS3-A Emphasizing Positive Neighborhood Attributes

CS3-A-1. Fitting Old and New Together: Create compatibility between new projects, and existing architectural context, including historic and modern designs, through building articulation, scale and proportion, roof forms, detailing, fenestration, and/or the use of complementary materials.

CS3-A-2. Contemporary Design: Explore how contemporary designs can contribute to the development of attractive new forms and architectural styles; as expressed through use of new materials or other means.

CS3-A-3. Established Neighborhoods: In existing neighborhoods with a well-defined architectural character, site and design new structures to complement or be compatible with the architectural style and siting patterns of neighborhood buildings.

CS3-A-4. Evolving Neighborhoods: In neighborhoods where architectural character is evolving or otherwise in transition, explore ways for new development to establish a positive and desirable context for others to build upon in the future.

CS3-B Local History and Culture

CS3-B-1. Placemaking: Explore the history of the site and neighborhood as a potential placemaking opportunity. Look for historical and cultural significance, using neighborhood groups and archives as resources.

CS3-B-2. Historical/Cultural References: Reuse existing structures on the site where feasible as a means of incorporating historical or cultural elements into the new project.

PUBLIC LIFE

PL1 Connectivity: Complement and contribute to the network of open spaces around the site and the connections among them.

PL1-A Network of Open Spaces

PL1-A-1. Enhancing Open Space: Design the building and open spaces to positively contribute to a broader network of open spaces throughout the neighborhood.

PL1-A-2. Adding to Public Life: Seek opportunities to foster human interaction through an increase in the size and quality of project-related open space available for public life.

PL1-B Walkways and Connections

PL1-B-1. Pedestrian Infrastructure: Connect on-site pedestrian walkways with existing public and private pedestrian infrastructure, thereby supporting pedestrian connections within and outside the project.

PL1-B-2. Pedestrian Volumes: Provide ample space for pedestrian flow and circulation, particularly in areas where there is already heavy pedestrian traffic or where the project is expected to add or attract pedestrians to the area.

PL1-B-3. Pedestrian Amenities: Opportunities for creating lively, pedestrian oriented open spaces to enliven the area and attract interest and interaction with the site and building should be considered.

PL1-C Outdoor Uses and Activities

PL1-C-1. Selecting Activity Areas: Concentrate activity areas in places with sunny exposure, views across spaces, and in direct line with pedestrian routes. PL1-C-2. Informal Community Uses: In addition to places for walking and sitting, consider including space for informal community use such as performances, farmer's markets, kiosks and community bulletin boards, cafes, or street vending.

PL1-C-3. Year-Round Activity: Where possible, include features in open spaces for activities beyond daylight hours and throughout the seasons of the year, especially in neighborhood centers where active open space will contribute vibrancy, economic health, and public safety.

PL2 Walkability: Create a safe and comfortable walking environment that is easy to navigate and well-connected to existing pedestrian walkways and features.

PL2-A Accessibility

PL2-A-1. Access for All: Provide access for people of all abilities in a manner that is fully integrated into the project design. Design entries and other primary access points such that all visitors can be greeted and welcomed through the front door.

PL2-A-2. Access Challenges: Add features to assist pedestrians in navigating sloped sites, long blocks, or other challenges.

PL2-B Safety and Security

PL2-B-1. Eyes on the Street: Create a safe environment by providing lines of sight and encouraging natural surveillance.

PL2-B-2. Lighting for Safety: Provide lighting at sufficient lumen intensities and scales, including pathway illumination, pedestrian and entry lighting, and/or security lights. **PL2-B-3. Street-Level Transparency:** Ensure transparency of street-level uses (for uses such as nonresidential uses or residential lobbies), where appropriate, by keeping views open into spaces behind walls or plantings, at corners, or along narrow passageways.

PL2-C Weather Protection

PL2-C-1. Locations and Coverage: Overhead weather protection is encouraged and should be located at or near uses that generate pedestrian activity such as entries, retail uses, and transit stops.

PL2-C-2. Design Integration: Integrate weather protection, gutters and downspouts into the design of the structure as a whole, and ensure that it also relates well to neighboring buildings in design, coverage, or other features.

PL2-C-3. People-Friendly Spaces: Create an artful and people-friendly space beneath building.

PL2-D Wayfinding

PL2-D-1. Design as Wayfinding: Use design features as a means of wayfinding wherever possible.

PL3 Street-Level Interaction: Encourage human interaction and activity at the street-level with clear connections to building entries and edges.

PL3-A Entries

PL3-A-1. Design Objectives: Design primary entries to be obvious, identifiable, and distinctive with clear lines of sight and lobbies visually connected to the street.

PL3-A-2. Common Entries: Multi-story residential buildings need to provide privacy and security for residents but also be welcoming and identifiable to visitors.

PL3-A-3. Individual Entries: Ground-related housing should be scaled and detailed appropriately to provide for a more intimate type of entry.

PL3-A-4. Ensemble of Elements: Design the entry as a collection of coordinated elements including the door(s), overhead features, ground surface, landscaping, lighting, and other features.

PL3-B Residential Edges

PL3-B-1. Security and Privacy: Provide security and privacy for residential buildings through the use of a buffer or semi-private space between the development and the street or neighboring buildings.

PL3-B-2. Ground-level Residential: Privacy and security issues are particularly important in buildings with ground-level housing, both at entries and where windows are located overlooking the street.

PL3-B-3. Buildings with Live/Work Uses: Maintain active and transparent facades in the design of live/work residences. Design the first floor so it can be adapted to other commercial use as needed in the future.

PL3-B-4. Interaction: Provide opportunities for interaction among residents and neighbors.

PL3-C Retail Edges

PL3-C-1. Porous Edge: Engage passersby with opportunities to interact visually with the building interior using glazing and transparency. Create multiple entries where possible and make a physical and visual connection between people on the sidewalk and retail activities in the building.

PL3-C-2. Visibility: Maximize visibility into the building interior and merchandise displays. Consider fully operational glazed wall-sized doors that can be completely opened to the street, increased height in lobbies, and/or special lighting for displays.
PL3-C-3. Ancillary Activities: Allow space for activities such as sidewalk vending, seating, and restaurant dining to occur. Consider setting structures back from the street or incorporating space in the project design into which retail uses can extend.

PL4 Active Transportation: Incorporate design features that facilitate active forms of transportation such as walking, bicycling, and use of transit.

PL4-A Entry Locations and Relationships

PL4-A-1. Serving all Modes of Travel: Provide safe and convenient access points for all modes of travel.

PL4-A-2. Connections to All Modes: Site the primary entry in a location that logically relates to building uses and clearly connects all major points of access.

PL4-B Planning Ahead for Bicyclists

PL4-B-1. Early Planning: Consider existing and future bicycle traffic to and through the site early in the process so that access and connections are integrated into the project along with other modes of travel.

PL4-B-2. Bike Facilities: Facilities such as bike racks and storage, bike share stations, shower facilities and lockers for bicyclists should be located to maximize convenience, security, and safety.

PL4-B-3. Bike Connections: Facilitate connections to bicycle trails and infrastructure around and beyond the project.

PL4-C Planning Ahead For Transit

PL4-C-1. Influence on Project Design: Identify how a transit stop (planned or built) adjacent to or near the site may influence project design, provide opportunities for placemaking.

PL4-C-2. On-site Transit Stops: If a transit stop is located onsite, design project-related pedestrian improvements and amenities so that they complement any amenities provided for transit riders.

PL4-C-3. Transit Connections: Where no transit stops are on or adjacent to the site, identify where the nearest transit stops and pedestrian routes are and include design features and connections within the project design as appropriate.

DESIGN CONCEPT

DC1 Project Uses and Activities: Optimize the arrangement of uses and activities on site.

DC1-A Arrangement of Interior Uses

DC1-A-1. Visibility: Locate uses and services frequently used by the public in visible or prominent areas, such as at entries or along the street front.

DC1-A-2. Gathering Places: Maximize the use of any interior or exterior gathering es.

spaces.

DC1-A-3. Flexibility: Build in flexibility so the building can adapt over time to evolving needs, such as the ability to change residential space to commercial space as needed. **DC1-A-4. Views and Connections:** Locate interior uses and activities to take advantage of views and physical connections to exterior spaces and uses.

DC1-B Vehicular Access and Circulation

DC1-B-1. Access Location and Design: Choose locations for vehicular access, service uses, and delivery areas that minimize conflict between vehicles and non-motorists wherever possible. Emphasize use of the sidewalk for pedestrians, and create safe and attractive conditions for pedestrians, bicyclists, and drivers.

DC1-B-2. Facilities for Alternative Transportation: Locate facilities for alternative transportation in prominent locations that are convenient and readily accessible to expected users.

DC1-C Parking and Service Uses

DC1-C-1. Below-Grade Parking: Locate parking below grade wherever possible. Where a surface parking lot is the only alternative, locate the parking in rear or side yards, or on lower or less visible portions of the site.

DC1-C-2. Visual Impacts: Reduce the visual impacts of parking lots, parking structures, entrances, and related signs and equipment as much as possible.

DC1-C-3. Multiple Uses: Design parking areas to serve multiple uses such as children's play space, outdoor gathering areas, sports courts, woonerf, or common space in multifamily projects.

DC1-C-4. Service Uses: Locate and design service entries, loading docks, and trash receptacles away from pedestrian areas or to a less visible portion of the site to reduce possible impacts of these facilities on building aesthetics and pedestrian circulation.

DC2 Architectural Concept: Develop an architectural concept that will result in a unified and functional design that fits well on the site and within its surroundings.

DC2-A Massing

DC2-A-1. Site Characteristics and Uses: Arrange the mass of the building taking into consideration the characteristics of the site and the proposed uses of the building and its open space.

DC2-A-2. Reducing Perceived Mass: Use secondary architectural elements to reduce the perceived mass of larger projects.

DC2-B Architectural and Facade Composition

DC2-B-1. Façade Composition: Design all building facades—including alleys and visible roofs— considering the composition and architectural expression of the building as a whole. Ensure that all facades are attractive and well-proportioned.

DC2-B-2. Blank Walls: Avoid large blank walls along visible façades wherever possible. Where expanses of blank walls, retaining walls, or garage facades are unavoidable, include uses or design treatments at the street level that have human scale and are designed for pedestrians.

DC2-C Secondary Architectural Features

DC2-C-1. Visual Depth and Interest: Add depth to facades where appropriate by incorporating balconies, canopies, awnings, decks, or other secondary elements into the façade design. Add detailing at the street level in order to create interest for the pedestrian and encourage active street life and window shopping (in retail areas).
 DC2-C-2. Dual Purpose Elements: Consider architectural features that can be dual purpose— adding depth, texture, and scale as well as serving other project functions.
 DC2-C-3. Fit With Neighboring Buildings: Use design elements to achieve a successful fit between a building and its neighbors.

DC2-D Scale and Texture

DC2-D-1. Human Scale: Incorporate architectural features, elements, and details that are of human scale into the building facades, entries, retaining walls, courtyards, and exterior spaces in a manner that is consistent with the overall architectural concept **DC2-D-2. Texture:** Design the character of the building, as expressed in the form, scale, and materials, to strive for a fine-grained scale, or "texture," particularly at the street level and other areas where pedestrians predominate.

DC2-E Form and Function

DC2-E-1. Legibility and Flexibility: Strive for a balance between building use legibility and flexibility. Design buildings such that their primary functions and uses can be readily

determined from the exterior, making the building easy to access and understand. At the same time, design flexibility into the building so that it may remain useful over time even as specific programmatic needs evolve.

DC3 Open Space Concept: Integrate open space design with the building design so that they complement each other.

DC3-A Building-Open Space Relationship

DC3-A-1. Interior/Exterior Fit: Develop an open space concept in conjunction with the architectural concept to ensure that interior and exterior spaces relate well to each other and support the functions of the development.

DC3-B Open Space Uses and Activities

DC3-B-1. Meeting User Needs: Plan the size, uses, activities, and features of each open space to meet the needs of expected users, ensuring each space has a purpose and function.

DC3-B-2. Matching Uses to Conditions: Respond to changing environmental conditions such as seasonal and daily light and weather shifts through open space design and/or programming of open space activities.

DC3-B-3. Connections to Other Open Space: Site and design project-related open spaces to connect with, or enhance, the uses and activities of other nearby public open space where appropriate.

DC3-B-4. Multifamily Open Space: Design common and private open spaces in multifamily projects for use by all residents to encourage physical activity and social interaction.

DC3-C Design

DC3-C-1. Reinforce Existing Open Space: Where a strong open space concept exists in the neighborhood, reinforce existing character and patterns of street tree planting, buffers or treatment of topographic changes. Where no strong patterns exist, initiate a strong open space concept that other projects can build upon in the future. **DC3-C-2. Amenities/Features:** Create attractive outdoor spaces suited to the uses envisioned for the project.

DC3-C-3. Support Natural Areas: Create an open space design that retains and enhances onsite natural areas and connects to natural areas that may exist off-site and may provide habitat for wildlife.

DC4 Exterior Elements and Finishes: Use appropriate and high quality elements and finishes for the building and its open spaces.

DC4-A Exterior Elements and Finishes

DC4-A-1. Exterior Finish Materials: Building exteriors should be constructed of durable and maintainable materials that are attractive even when viewed up close. Materials that have texture, pattern, or lend themselves to a high quality of detailing are encouraged.

DC4-A-2. Climate Appropriateness: Select durable and attractive materials that will age well in Seattle's climate, taking special care to detail corners, edges, and transitions.

DC4-B Signage

DC4-B-1. Scale and Character: Add interest to the streetscape with exterior signs and attachments that are appropriate in scale and character to the project and its environs. **DC4-B-2. Coordination with Project Design:** Develop a signage plan within the context of architectural and open space concepts, and coordinate the details with façade design, lighting, and other project features to complement the project as a whole, in addition to the surrounding context.

DC4-C Lighting

DC4-C-1. Functions: Use lighting both to increase site safety in all locations used by pedestrians and to highlight architectural or landscape details and features such as entries, signs, canopies, plantings, and art.

DC4-C-2. Avoiding Glare: Design project lighting based upon the uses on and off site, taking care to provide illumination to serve building needs while avoiding off-site night glare and light pollution.

DC4-D Trees, Landscape, and Hardscape Materials

DC4-D-1. Choice of Plant Materials: Reinforce the overall architectural and open space design concepts through the selection of landscape materials.

DC4-D-2. Hardscape Materials: Use exterior courtyards, plazas, and other hard surfaced areas as an opportunity to add color, texture, and/or pattern and enliven public areas through the use of distinctive and durable paving materials. Use permeable materials wherever possible.

DC4-D-3. Long Range Planning: Select plants that upon maturity will be of appropriate size, scale, and shape to contribute to the site as intended.

DC4-D-4. Place Making: Create a landscape design that helps define spaces with significant elements such as trees.

DC4-E Project Assembly and Lifespan

DC4-E-1. Deconstruction: When possible, design the project so that it may be deconstructed at the end of its useful lifetime, with connections and assembly techniques that will allow reuse of materials.

RECOMMENDATIONS

At the conclusion of the RECOMMENDATION meeting, the Board recommended approval of the project with conditions.

The recommendation summarized above was based on the design review packet dated Monday, October 29, 2018, and the materials shown and verbally described by the applicant at the Monday, October 29, 2018 Design Recommendation meeting. After considering the site and context, hearing public comment, reconsidering the previously identified design priorities and reviewing the materials, the four Design Review Board members recommended APPROVAL of the subject design and departures with the following conditions:

- 1. The Board recommended a condition to retain the metal siding as shown in the packet at ground level and within the bridges/inset areas between the three building masses.(DC4A)
- 2. The Board recommended a condition to maintain the project fencing at four feet project wide. (PL2B)
- 3. The Board recommended a condition to add more landscape lighting at the project northeast corner if a street light is not located nearby.(DC4C)
- 4. The Board recommended a condition that the eastern edge of the rooftop open space be pulled back from the parapet edge to a minimum of six (6) feet to reduce the impact on properties across Winslow. (PL1C,CS2B)
- The Board conditioned the project to add measures such as a curb or doggy rocks to protect the at grade landscaping in the right of way along Aurora, if SDOT agrees. (DC4D)