



City of Seattle

Department of Planning & Development
D. M. Sugimura, Director



INITIAL RECOMMENDATION OF THE QUEEN ANNE/ MAGNOLIA (WEST) DESIGN REVIEW BOARD

Project Number: 3012675

Address: 307 Fairview Ave N

Applicant: Perkins Will for Paul Klansnic of Touchstone Development

Date of Meeting: Wednesday, May 08, 2013

Board Members Present: Mindy Black (Chair)
David Delfs, substitute
Jill Kurfirst
Boyd Pickrell

Board Members Absent: Magdalena Hogness
Katie Idziorek
Janet Stephenson


DPD Staff Present: Shelley Bolser, Senior Land Use Planner

SITE & VICINITY

Site Zone: Industrial Commercial (IC-65)

Nearby Zones: North: IC-65
South: IC-85
East: IC-65
West: IC-65

Lot Area: 109,129 square feet



Current Development: Troy Laundry Building (a designated historic landmark), Boren Investment Building (a designated historic landmark), surface parking, and structured parking.

Access: Vehicular access is via curb cuts from the street frontages.

Surrounding Development and Neighborhood Character: Nearby development includes a wide range of uses including older 1-3 story residential and industrial/commercial uses, newer multi-story office and residential uses, and historic landmarks. Recreational opportunities include Lake Union a few blocks to the north and Cascade Playground one block to the east. The area offers frequent transit service, including the Streetcar two blocks to the west and several nearby bus routes.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is for two office buildings, one 12 stories tall and one 13 stories tall. The proposal includes approximately 800,000 square feet of commercial space with 4,000 square feet of retail at grade and 1,120 below grade parking spaces.

The proposed development includes Landmarks Board review of any proposed modifications to the designated landmark portions of the Troy Laundry Building and the Boren Investment Building.

The City Council adopted an ordinance on May 6, 2013 to change zoning in South Lake Union, including rezoning this site from IC-65 to SM-16/85-240. This zoning will be effective on June 13, 2013. The applicant has designed the proposal to respond to the recently adopted ordinance and applicable development standards.

EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE MEETING: November 16, 2011

The EDG packet includes materials presented at the EDG meeting, and is available online by entering the project number at this website:

http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/Planning/Design_Review_Program/Project_Reviews/Reports/default.asp.

or contacting the Public Resource Center at DPD:

Address: Public Resource Center
700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98124

Email: PRC@seattle.gov

PUBLIC COMMENT

Approximately 7 members of the public attended this Early Design Review meeting. The following comments, issues and concerns were raised:

- The EDG presentation lacked discussion of neighborhood context; 3-D modeling is needed in comparison with existing development within 3-4 blocks
- The Floor Area Ratio of 7 is a limit, not a guarantee
- Height bulk and scale (Guideline B-1) is particularly important in providing a transition from 160' to IC-65 and IC-85
- Concerns with the proposed garage exits in relation to traffic patterns; internal garage queuing may be needed
- Presentation should have better explained the development standards of the zone
- Unclear if departures are the same for all the alternatives
- Solar studies are unclear regarding building overhangs or deck shadows

SECOND EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE MEETING: November 7, 2012

The EDG packet includes materials presented at the EDG meeting, and is available online by entering the project number (3012675) at this website:

http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/Planning/Design_Review_Program/Project_Reviews/Reports/default.asp.

or contacting the Public Resource Center at DPD:

Address: Public Resource Center
700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000
Seattle, WA 98124

Email: PRC@seattle.gov

The applicant noted that the proposed contract rezone and design is intended to be consistent with the proposed legislative (City-sponsored) South Lake Union rezone. Harrison Street is anticipated to be designated a Green Street as part of the South Lake Union changes. The proposed development has been designed in response to the proposed South Lake Union changes.

The applicant explained that the Boren Investments Building has been designated as an historic landmark since the last EDG meeting. The proposed design intent for this development would integrate the landmark buildings with the new construction, using scalar techniques.

The preferred alternative at the previous EDG meeting showed two faceted new buildings, facing diagonally across the site with a direct visual connection through the site. The preferred massing option now includes two L-shaped upper towers facing into the site, creating an interlocked massing scheme.

The applicant noted that they have taken this new preferred massing option to the Architectural Review Committee (ARC) related to the review of impacts to the landmarks on site. The ARC was supportive of the proposed massing as a response to the context of the historic landmarks, and the proposal to set the upper additions approximately 15' back from the historic landmarks. However, the ARC had suggestions for the treatment of mass at the corners, in relation to the landmark buildings.

The design concept for the proposed new development is to provide one type of 'skin' on the street facing facades and the facades that wrap into the mid-block connection, and provide a different treatment on the courtyard-facing facades. This concept would be used on both the historic landmarks and the upper mass.

The overall design parti consists of a strong horizontal expression to reference the horizontal datum lines in the historic structures. The lower areas of the new construction would include darker horizontal bands alternating with glazed horizontal bands. The upper areas would continue the pattern, but with lighter bands and glazed bands. The solid bands may be metal panels or fritted glass. A 'zipper' of glass would follow the datum line of the historic landmarks and the grade, and would separate the historic buildings from the new upper building mass.

The applicant clarified that the entry points to the mid-block connection would include gates for potentially securing the site at night, if it proves necessary. The intent is to keep the connection open 24/7.

The applicant described an exceptional birch tree that is proposed for removal. The tree is adjacent to the north property line. The street trees would be retained at the edges, with potential pruning to open views to the historic structures.

All the parking and loading would be below grade and accessed from a curb cut at Harrison St.

PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment was offered at the meeting.

INITIAL RECOMMENDATION MEETING: May 8, 2013
--

The initial recommendation packet includes materials presented at the meeting and is available online by entering the project number (3012675) at this website:

http://www.seattle.gov/dpd/Planning/Design_Review_Program/Project_Reviews/Reports/default.asp.

or contacting the Public Resource Center at DPD:

Address: Public Resource Center
700 Fifth Ave., Suite 2000

Seattle, WA 98124

Email: PRC@seattle.gov

The applicant explained that the design response to the EDG includes glazed internal corners of the towers. The glazed internal corners are set back 4-5' from the adjacent façade, with the intent of creating additional light and views through the site. The gap will create a view of approximately 7' – 12' between the internal corners of the building. The design parti is a “jacket” with varied bands of glass frit facades at the exterior, glazed internal corners, and a different façade treatment on the interior of the site.

The only retail currently planned at the site is an area of the Troy Laundry Building façade on Thomas St. The applicant noted that the Boren Investment Building could potentially include retail or an office tenant. However, the design of the Boren Investment Building includes a floor height that is elevated above the sidewalk at the northwest corner, which included loading docks for the original building tenants. The elevated floor makes retail uses challenging at this corner.

The on-street parking currently on Thomas Street will be removed, consistent with the Green Street plan for this street.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Comments included the following:

- The proposal is large and complex, and includes two historic landmarks.
- The departures are significant and the Board should carefully consider how the resulting design will impact the public.
- The massing and street level design will result in the appearance of a single building, as viewed from most of the adjacent right of way.
- The open space in the courtyard should be public.
- Thomas Street is planned as a green street. The proposal should be consistent with that plan.
- The Floor Area Ration (FAR) is a maximum, not a guarantee. The site is difficult and the development should not necessarily maximize the FAR if it results in negative impacts.
- The original timbers in the Boren Investment building are more interesting than the brick façade, and the timbers should be retained. If the brick were removed and the timbers were retained, it could allow additional glass storefronts at the northwest corner.
- The tree species should be chosen to prevent root heave in the sidewalks.

PRIORITIES & BOARD RECOMMENDATIONS

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following siting and design guidance.

EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE (NOVEMBER 16, 2011):

1. The Board was generally supportive of the preferred massing scheme (Alternative 3), with the guidance listed below.
2. Massing and Context. The applicant should provide additional information and a response to the following guidance at the next EDG meeting:
 - a. Clarify the proposed solar/shadow impacts, especially at the NE corner and on the site across the street to the north. Indicate how the proposed design will minimize those impacts. Arrange the massing to retain sun during times of high usage (ex. Lunch time for office uses).
 - b. Clarify massing impacts, especially to the northeast.
 - c. Erode the massing at the northeast corner to reduce impacts.
 - d. Hold the tower away from the historic buildings.
 - e. Provide information indicating how the proposed massing will respond to the Fairview Ave N street edge.
 - f. Provide information indicating how the proposed design will step down with topography at the north edge.
 - g. Provide conceptual information about how the existing historic materials and the proposed new materials will be handled in a cohesive site design.
 - h. Due to the size of the site and complexity of the massing, provide a physical model to demonstrate the proposed massing in relationship to the existing and adjacent structures.
 - i. Provide several pedestrian level perspective graphics from various points at the edges and interior of the site.
 - j. The Board was supportive of the use of 304 Boren and the applicant's acknowledgement of historic buildings. The Board advised the applicant to seek expertise from an adaptive reuse expert to adequately integrate the landmarks with the proposed structures.
3. The Board indicated that the massing should shift to the southwest on the site, in order to reduce shadow and bulk and scale impacts to Fairview Ave N and to the northeast.
4. Street Level Development. The applicant should provide additional information and a response to the following guidance at the next EDG meeting:
 - a. Areas of proposed transparency and solid materials
 - b. Building entries' design and details
 - c. Pedestrian circulation patterns
 - d. Indicate uses at street level (office entry, lobby, retail, garage entry, etc.).
 - e. Clarify areas that are intended for the public (the Troy Laundry building should clearly be designed to be open to the public).
 - f. Conceptually indicate how the design would respond to the Green Street designation at Thomas St. This street frontage should include a high degree of transparency.
5. The applicant should provide information about any proposed phased construction, in order to understand how portions of the site may relate to the Guidelines between phases of development.
 - a. The Board noted that construction of the south tower first would be the best option for scale transition and solar impacts.

- b. The applicant should demonstrate how the second building site would be treated in the lag between phases of construction.
- 6. Provide graphics indicating the conceptual design of the courtyard.
 - a. Indicate how the courtyard would be activated.
 - b. Indicate how the courtyard activity would be linked to activity at the sidewalks.
 - c. Indicate how the courtyard would be visually linked with other areas of the site and through the site.
 - d. Transparency should wrap the southwest corner into the courtyard.

SECOND EARLY DESIGN GUIDANCE (NOVEMBER 7, 2012):

1. Massing:

- a. The upper masses should be designed to avoid the appearance of a single superblock mass.
 - i. The Board suggested rearranging the two buildings to provide additional views of daylight across the site. The proposed new building masses could shift to respond to the landmarks, and also provide more directly visible openings between the upper masses. (A-1, A-2, A-4, B-1, C-1, C-2, D-7)
 - ii. If the buildings are not rearranged, at a minimum the corners of the upper masses facing the courtyard should be glazed or treated to maximize daylight through the site and enhance the appearance of two different buildings. The Board noted that the left hand sketch on page 25 of the packet demonstrates this concept. (A-2, B-1, C-1, C-2)
 - iii. Regardless of massing, the two buildings should be designed to be visually distinct, while creating a related design concept for the entire site. The Board noted that one strategy would be to reference the different color and scale of each historic building in the new building above the related historic landmark. (B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4)
 - iv. The new buildings should be designed with a scale that relates to both the horizontal and the vertical scale of the historic structures below. The Board suggested referencing the historic structure bay widths is one strategy to meet this guidance. (B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4)
- b. The glazed 'zipper' should be enhanced to strengthen the upper building mass design intent and distinction from the historic buildings. (C-1, C-2, C-4)
 - i. The Board noted that one strategy to meet this guidance could be an additional street level setback at the northeast corner, similar to the setback between the zipper and upper mass at the courtyard.

2. Entries:

- a. The building entries should be enhanced in the proposed new portions of the building. (A-2, A-3, C-2, D-1)
- b. The northeast corner may offer an opportunity for a primary entry. (A-2, A-3, A-10, C-2)

3. Streetscape Compatibility and Context:

- a. The proposed design should respond to the Harrison Street “heart location” in the Design Review Guidelines and the proposed development across Harrison Street to the north. (For “heart location” guideline statements, see pages 8-9 of the South Lake Union Design Guidelines). (A-1, A-2, A-8, A-10, C-1, C-5, D-2, D-6, D-10, D-11, E-1)
- b. The site should include opportunities for passenger loading/unloading, and the street level treatment should respond to the context and uses at each street frontage. The Board suggested that Thomas Street should include opportunities for on-street passenger load/unload areas, and Boren Ave may be a more appropriate street for rain gardens. (A-2, A-4, A-8, E-1, E-2, E-3)

INITIAL RECOMMENDATIONS (MAY 8, 2013):

1. **Massing:** The massing and design response indicates a full block building that holds a continuous horizontal line at the floor plates and roofline, with a consistent architectural expression including minimal modulation and articulation. The Board noted that the proposed design does not appear to respond sufficiently to the EDG. (A-1, A-2, A-4, B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4)
 - a. The view through the courtyard doesn’t provide the visual separation as directed by the Board at the Second EDG meeting. The internal corners should be set back further or chamfered to increase the appearance of separation between the buildings. The Board noted that the glazing and stepped back façade at the internal corners is a positive direction, but the massing and treatment doesn’t provide a sufficient response to EDG. (A-1, A-2, A-4, B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3)
 - b. The glazed “zipper” creates visual interest, especially on the sloping street frontages, but it doesn’t serve to reduce the appearance of upper building mass on the north, east, and south facades. (A-2, A-4, B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4)
 - c. The Board appreciated the articulation indicating the entries at the northeast and southwest corners. However, the Board directed the applicant to further develop the articulation to both enhance the entries and reduce the scale of the north, east, and south facades. (A-2, A-3, B-1, C-2, D-1)
 - d. The applicant should provide studies showing alternate design techniques and massing changes to reduce the scale of the north, east, and south facades. Possible treatments include texture, modulation, color, material change, interrupting the continuous horizontal banding. The Board noted that the solutions should respond to the design parti and concept, but should result in a reduction of scale to the north, east and west facades. (A-2, A-3, B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4)
 - e. The Board appreciated the following aspects of the exterior façade design: the upper building response to the Boren Investment Building at the west façade, the design of the ‘zipper’ as a response to the historic landmark buildings on the sloped street frontages, the use of different colors of glass frit to respond to the existing brick colors, and the courtyard entry break in the massing at the west façade.

2. **Entries:**

- a. The Board appreciated the use of reveals above the entry and recessed facades at the entry. (A-2, A-3, C-2, D-1)
 - b. The Board indicated support for the departure for transparency and blank walls to allow the use of perforated metal panels at entries, provided that the perforated panels are designed to create visual interest and human scale. The applicant should demonstrate creative use of the perforated metal panels, as well as possible benches and landscaping to soften the use of this panel and create human scale and visual interest at the entries. (A-3, C-2, C-3, D-1, E-2)
- 3. Streetscape Compatibility and Context:**
- a. The Board noted that the design of the public spaces around the building, relocating the entries to the corners, and the design of the courtyard are positive responses to EDG. (A-2, A-4, D-1, E-1, E-2, E-3)
 - b. The Board noted that the inclusion of on-street short term (3 minute) parking on Boren is an important aspect of the site planning and design. (A-2, A-8, A-10, D-7)
 - c. The mid-block connection through the site is great, but it's not evident from the Troy Building. The mid-block connection should be designed to be obviously public and visible from the public right of way. (A-2, D-1, E-3)

DESIGN REVIEW GUIDELINES

After visiting the site, considering the analysis of the site and context provided by the proponents, and hearing public comment, the Design Review Board members provided the following siting and design guidance. The Board identified the Citywide Design Guidelines & Neighborhood specific guidelines (as applicable) of highest priority for this project.

The Neighborhood specific guidelines are summarized below. For the full text please visit the [Design Review website](#).

A-1 Responding to Site Characteristics. The siting of buildings should respond to specific site conditions and opportunities such as non-rectangular lots, location on prominent intersections, unusual topography, significant vegetation and views or other natural features.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- **Encourage provision of “outlooks and overlooks” for the public to view the lake and cityscapes. Examples include provision of public plazas and/or other public open spaces and changing the form or facade setbacks of the building to enhance opportunities for views.**
- **Minimize shadow impacts to Cascade Park.**
- **New development is encouraged to take advantage of site configuration to accomplish sustainability goals. The Board is generally willing to recommend departures from development standards if they are needed to achieve sustainable design. Refer to the**

Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design*(LEED) manual which provides additional information. Examples include:

- Solar orientation
- Storm water run-off, detention and filtration systems
- Sustainable landscaping
- Versatile building design for entire building life cycle

A-2 Streetscape Compatibility. The siting of buildings should acknowledge and reinforce the existing desirable spatial characteristics of the right-of-way.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

The vision for street level uses in South Lake Union is a completed network of sidewalks that successfully accommodate pedestrians. Streetscape compatibility is a high priority of the neighborhood with redevelopment. Sidewalk-related spaces should appear safe, welcoming and open to the general public.

- Provide pedestrian-friendly streetscape amenities, such as: tree grates; benches; lighting.
- Encourage provision of spaces for street level uses that vary in size, width, and depth. Encourage the use of awnings and weather protection along street fronts to enhance the pedestrian environment.
- Where appropriate, consider a reduction in the required amount of commercial and retail space at the ground level, such as in transition zones between commercial and residential areas. Place retail in areas that are conducive to the use and will be successful.
- Where appropriate, configure retail space so that it can spill-out onto the sidewalk (retaining six feet for pedestrian movement, where the sidewalk is sufficiently wide).

A-3 Entrances Visible from the Street. Entries should be clearly identifiable and visible from the street.

A-4 Human Activity. New development should be sited and designed to encourage human activity on the street.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- Create graceful transitions at the streetscape level between the public and private uses.
- Keep neighborhood connections open, and discourage closed campuses.
- Design facades to encourage activity to spill out from business onto the sidewalk, and vice-versa.
- Reinforce pedestrian connections both within the neighborhood and to other adjacent neighborhoods. Transportation infrastructure should be designed with adjacent sidewalks, as development occurs to enhance pedestrian connectivity.
- Reinforce retail concentrations with compatible spaces that encourage pedestrian activity.

- Create businesses and community activity clusters through co-location of retail and pedestrian uses as well as other high pedestrian traffic opportunities.
- Design for a network of safe and well-lit connections to encourage human activity and link existing high activity areas.

A-8 Parking and Vehicle Access. Siting should minimize the impact of automobile parking and driveways on the pedestrian environment, adjacent properties, and pedestrian safety.

A-10 Corner Lots. Building on corner lots should be oriented to the corner and public street fronts. Parking and automobile access should be located away from corners.

B-1 Height, Bulk, and Scale Compatibility. Projects should be compatible with the scale of development anticipated by the applicable Land Use Policies for the surrounding area and should be sited and designed to provide a sensitive transition to near-by, less intensive zones. Projects on zone edges should be developed in a manner that creates a step in perceived height, bulk, and scale between anticipated development potential of the adjacent zones.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- Address both the pedestrian and auto experience through building placement, scale and details with specific attention to regional transportation corridors such as Mercer, Aurora, Fairview and Westlake. These locations, pending changes in traffic patterns, may evolve with transportation improvements.
- Encourage stepping back an elevation at upper levels for development taller than 55 feet to take advantage of views and increase sunlight at street level. Where stepping back upper floors is not practical or appropriate other design considerations may be considered, such as modulations or separations between structures.
- Relate proportions of buildings to the width and scale of the street.
- Articulate the building facades vertically or horizontally in intervals that relate to the existing structures or existing pattern of development in the vicinity.
- Consider using architectural features to reduce building scale such as: landscaping; trellis; complementary materials; detailing; accent trim.

C-1 Architectural Context. New buildings proposed for existing neighborhoods with a well-defined and desirable character should be compatible with or complement the architectural character and siting pattern of neighboring buildings.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- Support the existing fine-grained character of the neighborhood with a mix of building styles.
- Re-use and preserve important buildings and landmarks when possible.
- Expose historic signs and vintage advertising on buildings where possible.

- Respond to the history and character in the adjacent vicinity in terms of patterns, style, and scale. Encourage historic character to be revealed and reclaimed, for example through use of community artifacts, and historic materials, forms and textures.
- Respond to the working class, maritime, commercial and industrial character of the Waterfront and Westlake areas. Examples of elements to consider include: window detail patterns; open bay doors; sloped roofs.
- Respond to the unique, grass roots, sustainable character of the Cascade neighborhood. Examples of elements to consider include: community artwork; edible gardens; water filtration systems that serve as pedestrian amenities; gutters that support greenery.

C-2 Architectural Concept and Consistency. Building design elements, details and massing should create a well-proportioned and unified building form and exhibit an overall architectural concept. Buildings should exhibit form and features identifying the functions within the building. In general, the roofline or top of the structure should be clearly distinguished from its facade walls.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

Design the “fifth elevation” — the roofscape — in addition to the streetscape. As this area topographically is a valley, the roofs may be viewed from locations outside the neighborhood such as the freeway and Space Needle. Therefore, views from outside the area as well as from within the neighborhood should be considered, and roof-top elements should be organized to minimize view impacts from the freeway and elevated areas.

C-3 Human Scale. The design of new buildings should incorporate architectural features, elements, and details to achieve a good human scale.

C-4 Exterior Finish Materials. Building exteriors should be constructed of durable and maintainable materials that are attractive even when viewed up close. Materials that have texture, pattern, or lend themselves to a high quality of detailing are encouraged.

C-5 Structured Parking Entrances. The presence and appearance of garage entrances should be minimized so that they do not dominate the street frontage of a building.

D-1 Pedestrian Open Spaces and Entrances. Convenient and attractive access to the building’s entry should be provided. To ensure comfort and security, paths and entry areas should be sufficiently lighted and entry areas should be protected from the weather. Opportunities for creating lively, pedestrian-oriented open space should be considered.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- New developments are encouraged to work with the Design Review Board and interested citizens to provide features that enhance the public realm, i.e. the transition zone between private property and the public right of way. The Board is generally willing to consider a departure in open space requirements if the project proponent

provides an acceptable plan for features such as: curb bulbs adjacent to active retail spaces where they are not interfering with primary corridors that are designated for high levels of traffic flow; pedestrian-oriented street lighting; street furniture.

D-2 Blank Walls. Buildings should avoid large blank walls facing the street, especially near sidewalks. Where blank walls are unavoidable they should receive design treatment to increase pedestrian comfort and interest.

D-6 Screening of Dumpsters, Utilities, and Service Areas. Building sites should locate service elements like trash dumpsters, loading docks and mechanical equipment away from the street front where possible. When elements such as dumpsters, utility meters, mechanical units and service areas cannot be located away from the street front, they should be situated and screened from view and should not be located in the pedestrian right-of-way.

D-7 Personal Safety and Security. Project design should consider opportunities for enhancing personal safety and security in the environment under review.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- Enhance public safety throughout the neighborhood to foster 18-hour public activity. Methods to consider are: enhanced pedestrian and street lighting; well- designed public spaces that are defensively designed with clear sight lines and opportunities for eyes on the street; police horse tie-up locations for routine patrols and larger event assistance.

D-10 Commercial Lighting. Appropriate levels of lighting should be provided in order to promote visual interest and a sense of security for people in commercial districts during evening hours. Lighting may be provided by incorporation into the building façade, the underside of overhead weather protection, on and around street furniture, in merchandising display windows, in landscaped areas, and/or on signage.

D-11 Commercial Transparency. Commercial storefronts should be transparent, allowing for a direct visual connection between pedestrians on the sidewalk and the activities occurring on the interior of a building. Blank walls should be avoided.

E-1 Landscaping to Reinforce Design Continuity with Adjacent Sites. Where possible, and where there is not another overriding concern, landscaping should reinforce the character of neighboring properties and abutting streetscape.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- Support the creation of a hierarchy of passive and active open space within South Lake Union. This may include pooling open space requirements on-site to create larger spaces.
- Encourage landscaping that meets LEED criteria. This is a priority in the Cascade neighborhood.
- Where appropriate, install indigenous trees and plants to improve aesthetics, capture water and create habitat.

- Retain existing, non-intrusive mature trees or replace with large caliper trees.
- Water features are encouraged including natural marsh-like installations.
- Reference the City of Seattle Right Tree Book and the City Light Streetscape Light Standards Manual for appropriate landscaping and lighting options for the area.

E-2 Landscaping to Enhance the Building and/or Site. Landscaping, including living plant material, special pavements, trellises, screen walls, planters, site furniture, and similar features should be appropriately incorporated into the design to enhance the project.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

- Consider integrating artwork into publicly accessible areas of a building and landscape that evokes a sense of place related to the previous uses of the area. Neighborhood themes may include service industries such as laundries, auto row, floral businesses, photography district, arts district, maritime, etc.

E-3 Landscape Design to Address Special Site Conditions. The landscape design should take advantage of special on-site conditions such as high-bank front yards, steep slopes, view corridors, or existing significant trees and off-site conditions such as greenbelts, ravines, natural areas, and boulevards.

SLU-specific supplemental guidance:

Landscaping should be designed to take advantage of views to waterfront and downtown Seattle.

DEVELOPMENT STANDARD DEPARTURES

The Board’s recommendation on the requested departures will be based upon the departures’ potential to help the project better meet these design guideline priorities and achieve a better overall design than could be achieved without the departures. The Board’s recommendation will be reserved until the final Board meeting.

No departures were requested at EDG meetings. At the time of the Initial Recommendation meeting, the following departures were requested. These are departures from the South Lake Union rezone ordinance, adopted by City Council on May 6, 2013.

The Board noted that the Recommendation packet received in the mail did not sufficiently describe the proposed departures, and the Board was unable to offer recommendations for departure requests that were briefly described at the Recommendation meeting.

The next Recommendation meeting packet that is mailed to the Board before the Recommendation meeting should clearly describe all Code requirements and departures, including graphics and legible dimensions.

- 1. Façade Modulation (SMC 23.48.013.D):** The Code requires a maximum length of 150' for unmodulated facades that are above 65' tall and located within 15' of the street lot line. The Code also requires a maximum length of 120' for unmodulated facades that are above 125' tall and located within 15' of the street lot line. The applicant proposes unmodulated facades that are 250' in length on Harrison Street, 187' in length on Fairview Ave N, and 186' in length on Boren Ave.

The Board indicated that they are not inclined to support this departure, based on the current design and the initial recommendations regarding massing. (A-1, A-2, A-4, B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4)

- 2. Setbacks (SMC 23.48.014.A.3.a):** The Code requires street-facing facades to be built to the property line for 70% of the façade on Class II Pedestrian Streets. Fairview, Boren, and Harrison are Class II Pedestrian Streets. The applicant noted that this departure is required in response to setting back the new structure above the historic landmark facades.

The Board indicated that they would continue to entertain this departure at the next Recommendation meeting, depending on the response to the initial recommendations. The Board was generally supportive of the departure, since it's tied to the retaining the existing landmarks. (C-1, C-2)

- 3. Required Parking and Loading (SMC 23.54.035.A):** The Code requires 8 loading berths for this proposal. The applicant proposes 5 loading berths for loading needs, and 3 loading berths for solid waste collection.

DPD indicated that the number of loading berths may not be reduced through a design review departure, but the size of loading berths may be reduced through a design review departure.

The Board indicated that they would continue to entertain a departure for the size of loading berths at the next Recommendation meeting, depending on the response to initial recommendations. (C-5, D-6)

- 4. Floor Area Size (SMC 23.48.013.B):** The Code requires a maximum floor area of 30,000 square feet for a lot of this size that includes historic landmark structures. The applicant proposes a floor area of 31,500 square feet to provide more open space at the interior pedestrian courtyard.

The Board was divided in support for this departure, and requested additional information demonstrating how the departure better meets the intent of the Design Review Guidelines. (A-2, A-3, B-1, C-1, C-2, C-3, C-4, D-1, E-3)

- 5. Transparency (SMC 23.48.014.D.1.a):** The Code requires a minimum of 60% of the street facing, street level façade to be transparent between 2' and 8' above the sidewalk. Fairview,

Boren, and Harrison are Class II Pedestrian Streets. Thomas is a Neighborhood Green Street. The applicant proposes to exempt the historic landmarks from this calculation.

The Board indicated that they would continue to entertain this departure at the next Recommendation meeting, depending on the response to the initial recommendations, especially increasing human scale materials at the building entries. (A-3, C-2, C-3, D-1, E-2)

- 6. Blank Facades (SMC 23.48.014.D.2.a):** The Code requires a minimum of 15' wide blank facades on Class I, II, and Green Streets. Fairview, Boren, and Harrison are Class II Pedestrian Streets. Thomas is a Neighborhood Green Street. The applicant proposes blank facades to accommodate the existing landmark structures (up to 195' long blank facades) and to allow the use of perforated metal panels at the building entries (up to 70'9" long blank facades).

The Board indicated that they would continue to entertain this departure at the next Recommendation meeting, depending on the response to the initial recommendations, especially increasing human scale materials at the building entries. (A-3, C-2, C-3, D-1, E-2)

- 7. Curb Cuts (SMC 23.54.030.F):** The Code requires a maximum of 2 curb cuts for this size of street frontage. The applicant proposes 3 curb cuts to allow a right out lane, a left out lane, and one lane of incoming traffic at the garage entry on Harrison Street.

The Board indicated that they would continue to entertain this departure at the next Recommendation meeting, depending on whether the proposed departure better meets the intent of the Design Review Guidelines, including pedestrian safety, a comfortable pedestrian realm, bike security for bike storage, etc.

The Board also indicated that a safe landing (place of pedestrian refuge) is needed between the 2nd and 3rd driveway lanes. The Board noted it may be possible to support a departure for a slightly wider curb cut if this is included. (A-8, C-5, D-7)

- 8. Pedestrian Entry (SMC 23.48.014.A.1):** The Code requires that the required primary pedestrian entry shall be no more than 3' above or below the sidewalk grade. The applicant proposes entries that are more than 3' above (northeast entry) and below (southwest entry) the sidewalk grade.

The Board indicated preliminary support for this departure, depending on the design response to the initial recommendations for design of the entries. (A-2, A-3, C-2, C-3, D-1, E-2)

- 9. Façade Height (SMC 23.48.010.6.b):** The applicant included this item in the list of departure requests submitted at the Initial Recommendation meeting, but explained in the presentation that they have withdrawn the request for this departure.

10. Tower Standards (Landscape Requirements) (SMC 23.48.013.F.4.c): The Code requires landscaped open space to cover at least 15% of the lot area at ground level, for sites with more than one non-residential tower per block. The applicant proposes 7.8% landscaped areas at grade to provide more paved surfaces and pedestrian furniture in the mid-block pedestrian path.

The applicant also noted that a Type I zoning determination requires input from the Design Review Board regarding sufficient bicycle amenities on site.

The Board indicated that more information is needed to identify the Code requirement and the proposed departure and bicycle plan, as well as demonstration of how the proposed departure better meets the intent of the Design Review Guidelines. (A-2, D-1, E-3)

The Board offered preliminary support for the proposed landscape plan.

11. Setback Landscape (SMC 23.48.024.B.2): The Code requires all setback areas to be landscaped, with a maximum of 30% of the setback area to be covered in paving, ADA access, sculptures, or fountains. The applicant proposes to place paving and hardscape over 58% of the setbacks.

The Board indicated that more information is needed to identify the Code requirement and the proposed departure and bicycle plan, as well as demonstration of how the proposed departure better meets the intent of the Design Review Guidelines. (A-2, D-1, E-3)

The Board offered preliminary support for the proposed landscape plan.

BOARD DIRECTION

At the conclusion of the Initial Recommendation meeting, the Board recommended the project should return to the Board for an additional Recommendation meeting in response to the items discussed at the Initial Recommendation meeting.