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DESCRIPTION Construction of a 7 -story building consisting of one above-grade structure with 130 apartment units and one story of below-grade parking.
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## Project Introduction



## DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

The proposed project is a 7 story residential building with 130 units. Several below grade parking spaces will be provided on site as well as some on-grade parking accessed by the alley.

The size and massing are complementary to the Urban Hub vision and fit in with the 5,6 , and 7 story developments in the Urban Hub.

Proposed Uses: Multi-Family Structure Height: Approx. 75 feet Number of Residential Units: 130 Number of Live-Work Units: 0 Commercial Area: 0 SF Building Area Approx.: 173,000 SF Parking Stalls: 51 Bicycle Spaces: 117

## EXISTING SITE AND CONTEXT

The project is located in the Lake City Urban Hub a neighborhood in Northeast Seattle. This area is developing its unique identity as Seattle continues to urbanize. Several new projects have been constructed that are of similar size and scale to proposed building.

The site consists of two parcels, midblock between NE 125th Street and NE 123rd Street. There are currently two single story office buildings on the site. There is a grade change of 4 '- 2 " feet along the 30th Ave NE face of the site. The most notable immediate landmark is to the south, Dick's Drive in. There are no trees on the site.

The surrounding buildings in the Urban Hub are a mix of 1 -story commercial, low rise and mid-rise multifamily, as well as several civic buildings. The architectural character of this neighborhood is still developing. However, the once automobiledominant planning is giving way to a pedestrianfriendly urban environment.

ZONING
Zoning for the project and adiacent sites are NC3-75. The Lake City Urban Hub is a mix of NC3, NC3P, LR, and MR.


Lake City Gateway proposal from 1992. Notable for acknowledging Lake City Way's autooriented history. Excerpt from Lake City Urban Design Framework

## Zoning Summary

| SITE OVERVIEW |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address |  | 12337-12345 30th Avenue NE, Seattle, WA 98125 |
| Parcel Numbers |  | 344800-0135 \& 344800-0140 |
| Lot Size |  | 18,655 sf |
| ZONING OVERVIEW |  |  |
| Zone | 23.47A | NC3-75 (M) |
| Adjacent Zones |  | MR (M) |
| Zoning Overlay | 23.47A.009.E | Hub Urban Village, Lake City Hub |
| ROW Designation | ROW 2.9 | 30th Avenue NE: <br> Urban Village Neighborhood, Collector Arterial Minimum ROW: 66', Existing ROW: 60' |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23.53.030.D } \\ & \text { Table C } \end{aligned}$ | Alley: $\quad$ Minimum ROW: 20 |
| Permitted Uses | 23.47A. 004 Table A | Permitted outright: eating and drinking establishments, sales and services, institutions, live-work units, residential, offices, medical services |
| ECA |  | None |
| MHA | 23.47A.017 | M suffix, low MHA area |
| Design Review Equity Area |  | Yes |
| DEVELOPMENT POTENTIAL |  |  |
| Allowable FAR | 23.47A. 013 | Base FAR: 5.5 Min FAR: 2 |
|  |  | Area exempt from FAR limits: stories that are underground, portions of a story that extend no more than 4 feet above the lower of finish or existing grade, rooftop greenhouses, bicycle commuter facilities, required bicycle parking for small efficiency dwelling units or congregate residence sleeping rooms |
| Structure Height | 23.47A.012 | 75 ft |
|  | 23.47A.012.C. 2 | 4' above base height for clerestories, parapets, and railings, greenhouses, solariums, and firewalls |
|  | 23.47A.C.4-5 | 16 ' above base height for stair and elevator penthouses 15 ' for mechanical equipment, solar collectors, play equipment, communication utilities, and greenhouses dedicated to food production |
| Setbacks | 23.47A.014 .C. 1 | Street: $\quad$ Avg 8' upper level setback for portions above 65' |
|  | 23.47A.014.B. 2 | Alley: No setback required. <br> Side: No setback required. |
|  |  | Projections in required setbacks: Decks with open railings, uncovered unenclosed pedestrian bridges, detached solar collectors, dumpsters and other trash receptacles, green stormwater infrastructure |
| Landscaping | 23.47A.016.A. 2 | Green factor score 0.3 or greater pursuant to 23.86.019 |
|  | 23.47A. 016 Table A | Landscaping required for surface parking areas: <br> 20-25 spaces: 18 sf/parking space <br> 52-99 spaces: $\quad 25$ sf/parking space <br> $100+$ spaces: $\quad 35 \mathrm{sf} /$ parking space |
| Amenity Area | 23.47A. 024 | Minimum 5\% of gross floor area in residential use. Rooftop areas excluded. |
|  |  | Required amenity areas shall not be enclosed. <br> Common amenity: minimum 10' and 250 sf <br> Private balconies and decks: minimum 6' and 60 sf |


| STREET-LEVEL STANDARDS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Blank Facades | 23.47A. 008 | May not exceed 20' <br> May not exceed 40\% | dth between 2 he width of the | bove the sidewalk on th ade of the structure along |
| Non-Residential Uses | 23.47A.008.B. 2 | Transparency: | Spaces great min depth of | an 600 sf shall extend an om the street-level street- |
|  | 23.47A.008.B. 3 | Depth: | Spaces great min depth of | an 600 sf shall extend an om the street-level street- |
|  | 23.47A.008.B. 4 | Height: | Minimum floc | oor height: 13 ' |
| Residential Uses | 23.47A.008.C. 1 | Entry: | Prominent ped | ian entry on street-level sters |
|  | 23.47A.008.C. 2 | Floor: | Min 4' above sidewalk | low sidewalk grade, or be |
| Live-Work | 23.47A.008.D. 1 | Areas: | Min 300 sf between street and residential portion of live-work. Non-residential portion shall extend the width of the street-level street-facing façade, and min 15 ' deep |  |
|  | 23.47A.008.D. 2 | Pedestrian Entry: | Each unit must have a visually prominent entry located on street-facing facade, and provide direct access to non-residential portions |  |
|  | 23.47A.008.D. 3 | Signage: Must include exterior sign |  |  |
| PARKING REQUIREMENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Automobiles | 23.47A. 015 | Residential in urban village: No minimum req |  |  |
|  | 23.47A.032.A.1.a | Parking access required from existing alley |  |  |
|  | 23.27A.032.B. 1 | a. Shall not be between a structure and a street lot line. <br> b. Within a structure, street-level parking shall be separated from street-level street-facing facades by another use. <br> c. Parking to the side of a structure shall not exceed 60 ' of street frontage |  |  |
|  | 23.54.026 | Flexible-use parking area |  |  |
| Bicycles | $\begin{aligned} & 23.54 .015 \\ & \text { Table D } \end{aligned}$ | Multi-Family Residential: | Long Term: Short Term: | 1 per dwelling unit <br> 1 per 20 dwelling units |
|  |  | Commercial: Offices: | Long Term: Short Term: | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { per } 2,000 \mathrm{sf} \\ & 1 \text { per } 10,000 \text { sf } \end{aligned}$ |



## Board Direction and Response

## EDG Summarized Staff Recommendations with Response

## 1. Massing, Design \& Street Level Activation:

a. The applicant will need to include front façade and street level studies to further inform the massing. The study will need to demonstrate how the front façade and street level design effectively breaks down the building mass from the street. The street level study will need to demonstrate how the lobby, amenity space, and street level residential unit are distinct, separate spaces along the street. (DC2.A.2, CS3.A, PL3.A, PL3.B)

Response: Design diagrams have been included which show the evolution of the basic massing and character on four sides of the building. The residential unit has been removed, and the lobby and amenity space remain. The lobby has been accentuated with a canopy and color and is distinct from the amenity edge, which has a stepping planter to soften the sidewalk.
b. Staff supports the bump outs shown along 30th Avenue NE, however more detail is needed. The applicant will need to provide character sketches and material callouts for the bump outs to further assist staff in understanding how the bump outs work with the overall building design. In addition, the recommendation packet will need to demonstrate how the bump outs, secondary elements, and massing moves on the north and south sides of the building are applied to create a clear design concept to all four sides of the building. (DC2.B, DC2.C)

Response: Design diagrams per the previous comment have been included as well as material callouts.

## 3. Blank walls:

b. Staff has concerns about the north and south walls of the building being potentially blank walls, noting that presently both the north and south walls will be highly visible to the public and residents in the area. Staff encourages the applicant to introduce secondary elements on both the north and south walls to assist in breaking down the massing. (DC2.B)

Response: Particular attention is being paid to the north and south facades and how they integrate into the overall design. The design diagrams express this integration and development.

## REC Summarized Board Recommendations with Response

## 1. Architectural Concept/Massing

a. ...The Board noted the application of color, especially with the bays, needed further study and better color integration...Board recommended the applicant simplify the accent colors and study which accent colors may work best on each façade. The Board also recommended the applicant identify one expression on each façade and maintain that expression instead of combining multiple expressions.

Response: The use of an accent color has been significantly reduced. A wood/wood-like material that is more subtle than the accent color and residential in feel has been added. With the addition of the art to the south façade, we felt that a more muted south façade would allow more flexibility with the art and not compete with it for attention. The resulting design of the south facade uses less direct references to the east. For the sake of consistency, the north façade utilizes the same design approach as the south.
b. ...The Board suggested disassociating the tops and bottoms of each of the (East) bays ...The Board encouraged the applicant to explore changes to the design of the main entry to make it more distinct.

Response: The vertical extension of the bays on the east façade has been studied Breaking the planes at Level 2 and Level 7 with extensions have the negative effec of adding inconsistencies into the bay proportions, such as high foreheads at Leve 7 , without compensatory benefits in strengthening the scheme. At the entry, the continuous planter and lack of any other entrances along $30^{\text {th }}$ make the entrance easily identifiable to any passerby. The canopy has been enlarged and changed to a bright color to further emphasize the entrance. At a distance, the wood/wood-like material used on the bay above the entry as well as the additional signage makes the entry very distinct from the remainder of the façade.
c. The Board noted the architectural language on the north façade should be clarified by simplifying the façade...

Response: The north facade has been simplified and muted to be consistent with the south facade. Panels affixed to the balconies have been changed to the wood/wood-like material to add consistency with the east facade.
d. The Board commented that the bay window concept does not carry through on the west facade. The Board questioned how the cascading concept comes into play...The Board thought the west façade had two competing design logics currently expressed...

Response: The design of the west façade has been completely revised. The scheme is much more simplified and uses one expression rather than a mixing of two.
e. ...The Board recommended the applicant incorporate civic art...on the south façade.

Response: The design of the south façade has been revised. See prior note

## 2. Entries

a. ...The Board recommended the applicant explore how to create a more meaningful entry at the corner that is prominent and easily identifiable as the main entry.

Response: The canopy at the entrance has been enlarged and additional signage has been added.
b. ...The Board recommended the applicant explore ways to further highlight the bike entry from the street..

Response: Graphics will be added to the north wall of the project that connect the bike entrance to the sidewalk.

## 3. Materials

a. ....The Board also noted the metal siding should be a gauge that would not move or introduce oil canning in longer runs.

Response: Much of the fiber cement board on the east façade has been changed to an alternate material. The metal siding has been revised to minimize oil canning.

## 4. Landscaping/Lighting

b. ...The Board suggested the applicant improve the lighting in the alley..The Board went further with the bike entry and recommended additional lighting.

Response: Lighting has been added to the alley and between the bike entry and the sidewalk

Prior Design


30th Ave (NE)
North

## Design Response - East / South


(1) Previous Design - View at 30th

Comment:...The Board noted the application of color, especially with the bays, needed further study and better color integration...Board recommended the applicant simplify the accent colors and study which accent colors may work best on each façade. The Board also recommended the applicant identify one expression on each façade and maintain that expression instead of combining multiple expressions.

Comment:...The Board recommended the applicant incorporate civic art...on the south façade.

Response: The use of an accent color has been significantly reduced. A wood/wood-like material that is more subtle than the accent color and residential in feel has been added. With the addition of the art to the south façade, we felt that a muted, simplified south façade would allow more flexibility with the art and not compete with it for attention. The resulting design of the south facade uses modules related to the east facade doesn't reference the cascade expression.

The southern, double bay return has been expanded along the south face to create a space for the art.
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## Design Response - East Elevation (30th Ave)



EAST ELEVATION - 30TH AVE
EAST ELEVA


- FCS: FIBER CEMENT SIDING. 5/16" THICK. EXPOSED FASTENERS PAINTED TO MATCH
- METAL PANEL. CONCEALED FASTENERS. 22 GA FOR LENGTHS > 22' AND 24 GA. FOR LENGTHS < 22'. REVEALS ARE APPROX 1 " WIDE EVERY 6 "

[^0]ARCHITECTURE AUSBAN DESICN


## Design Response - South Elevation



[^1]
## Design Response - East Bay Study

Comment:...The Board suggested disassociating the tops and bottoms of each of the (East) bays
Response: The vertical extension of the bays on the east façade has been studied. Breaking the planes at Level 2 and Level 7 with extensions have the negative effect of adding inconsistencies into the bay proportions, such as high foreheads at Level 7 , without compensatory benefits in strengthening the scheme.


Design Response - 30th Streetscape


## Design Response - Color Studies



## Design Response - North

Comment: The Board noted the architectural language on the north façade should be clarified by simplifying the façade.

Response: The north facade has been simplified and muted to be consistent with the south facade Panels affixed to the balconies have been changed to the wood/woodlike material to add consistency with the east facade


1 VIEW SOUTH FROM 30TH

## Design Response - North Elevation



- FCS: FIBER CEMENT SIDING. 5/16" THICK. EXPOSED FASTENERS PAINTED TO MATCH
METAL PANEL. CONCEALED FASTENERS. 22 GA FOR LENGTHS > 22' AND 24 GA. FOR LENGTHS < 22'. REVEALS ARE APPROX ${ }^{1 "}$ WIDE EVERY $6^{\prime \prime}$ - PNL: WOOD GRAIN HPL PANEL. COLOR MATCH EXPOSED FASTENERS


NORTH ELEVATION
$1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1$ '-0"
Comment: The Board noted the architectural language on the north façade should be clarified by simplifying the façade...
Response: The north facade has been simplified and muted to be consistent with the south facade. Panels affixed to the balconies have been changed to the wood/wood-like material to add consistency with the east facade.



Comment:...The Board recommended the applicant explore ways to further highlight the bike entry from the street...

Response: Graphics will be added to the north wall of the project that connect the bike entrance to the sidewalk.

Comment:...The Board suggested the applicant improve the lighting in the alley.. The Board went further with the bike entry and recommended additional lighting.

Response: Lighting has been added to the alley and between the bike entry and the sidewalk

## Lighting



Lighting Plan - Level 1
1 " = 30'-0"
Comment:...The Board suggested the applicant improve the lighting in the alley..The Board went further with the bike entry and recommended additional lighting.

Response: Lighting has been added to the alley and between the bike entry and the sidewalk

(3) Wall Light - Terrace


Lighting Plan - Roof 1 " = $30^{\prime}-0{ }^{\prime \prime}$

Under Canopy Lights


Wall Light

(4) String Lights


Emerency Light

## Design Response - West (Alley)



- FCS: FIBER CEMENT SIDING. 5/16" THICK. EXPOSED FASTENERS PAINTED TO MATCH
METAL PANEL. CONCEALED FASTENERS. 22 GA FOR LENGTHS > 22' AND 24 GA. FOR LENGTHS < 22'. REVEALS ARE APPROX 1 " WIDE EVERY $6^{\prime \prime}$ - PNL: WOOD GRAIN HPL PANEL. COLOR MATCH: EXPOSED FASTENERS

— EL. 185.3'

WEST ELEVATION - ALLEY
$1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0 "$
d. The Board commented that the bay window concept does not carry through on the west façade. The Board questioned how the cascading concept comes into play...The Board thought the west façade had two competing design logics currently expressed..

Response: The design of the west façade has been completely revised. The scheme is much more simplified and uses one expression rather than a mixing of two.


Materials Board

## FCS PANEL <br> WHITE

Comment:...The Board also noted the metal siding should be a gauge that would not move or introduce oil canning in longer runs.

Response: Much of the fiber cement board on the east façade has been changed to an alternate material. The metal siding has been revised to minimize oil canning.


## Courtyard Elevations



- FCS: FIBER CEMENT SIDING. 5/16" THICK. EXPOSED FASTENERS PAINTED TO MATCH
METAL PANEL. CONCEALED FASTENERS. 22 GA FOR LENGTHS > 22' AND PN. FOR LENGTHS < 22. REVEALS ARE APPROX 1" WIDE EVERY $6^{\prime \prime}$

[^2]SCARENO ASSOCIAT


EAST ELEVATION - COURTYARD $1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$


SOUTH - COURT
$1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1$ 1'-0"


WEST ELEVATION - COURTYARD $1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0{ }^{\prime \prime}$



VIEW SOUTH FROM ALLEY


AERIAL VIEW


COURTYARD

## Site Plan




## Floor Plans




## Building Section



EAST-WEST SECTION
$1 / 16^{\prime \prime}=1^{\prime}-0 "$


 RED TWIG DOGWOOD


RUSHES


NEW ZEALAND SEDGE


HOLLY FERN


EVERGREEN CLEMATIS


SEDUM MIX


## Landscape





EXTENSIVE GREEN ROOF


FIBERGLASS PLANTERS



CASUAL SEATING, PEDESTAL PAVERS


OUTDOOR GRILL/FOOD PREP AREA

FIRE TABLE


| Signage Plan |
| :--- |
| $1^{\prime \prime}=30^{\prime}-0 "$ |



MAIN ENTRY SIGN/GRAPHIC


MAIN BUILDING SIGN - NORTH

## NOCTURNE

BACKLIT SIGN EXAMPLE


THANK YOU
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