## HOUSES ON THE CUT

38 W AND 40 W EIRURIA ST
SDCI \# 6677328-CN MUP \# 3032422-LU

## 1 OCTOBER, 2018

PROPOSAL DEMOUSH EXISTING STRUCTURES. BUILD SIX TO WNHOMES WITH SURFACE PARKING.
OWNER J ESSIECAT
3326 160th AVE SE. STE 150
BEШEVUE, WA 98008
APPUCANT. STEPHENSON DESIG N COLLECTIVE
1118 NW 50TH ST. STE 302
SEATIE, WA 98107
LANDSCAPE DESIGN. ROOTOF DESIGN

## PACKETCONIENT.

PROPOSAL STATEMENTOF DEVELOPMENTOBJ ECTVES INDICATING TYPES OF DESIRED USES, STRUCTURE HEIG HT, NUMBER OF UNITS, SQUARE FOOTAGE AND NUM BER OF PARKING SPACES
ANALYSIS OF CONIEXT. INITAL SITE ANALYSIS ADDRESSING SITE OPPO RTUNITES AND C ONSTRAINTS, ADJ AC ENTBUILDINGS, ZONING OF THE SITE AND ADJ ACENTPRO PERTIES, OVERLAY DESIG NATIONS, SOLAR ACC ESS, VIEWS, CIRC ULATION PATIERNS, COMMUNITY NODES, LANDMARKS AND EXISTING ARCHITECTURALAND SITING PATTERNS.
EXISTING STIE CONDTIONS. A DRAWING OF EXISTING SITE CONDITO NS INDICATING TO PO GRAPHY OF THE SITE OR OTHER PHYSICAL FEATURES AND LOCATION OF STRUC TURES AND PROMINENT LANDSC APE ELEMENTS ON THE SITE INC LUDING BUTNOTUMITED TO AL TREES 6 INCHES OR GREATER IN DIAMETER MEASURED 4.5' ABOVE THE GROUND.
SITE PLAN. A PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN INCLUDING PROPO SED STRUCTURES, OPEN SPACES, VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS, AND LANDSCAPING.
DESIGN GUIDEUNES. A BRIEF DESC RIPTIO OF HOW THE PROPOSAL MEETS THE INTENT OF THE APPLIC ABLE CITYWIDE AND NEIGHBORHOOD DESIGN REVIEW GUIDEUNES. IDENTIFY DESIGN GUIDEUNES MOST RELEVANTTO THE PROPOSAL
ARCHITECTURALCONCEPT. ONE OR MORE COLOR RENDERINGS TO DEPICTTHE OVERAL MASSING OF STRUCTURES AND THE DESIG N CONC EPT. GRAPHICS SHOULD SHOW PROPOSED SITING, MASSING, OPEN SPACE AND FACADE TREATMENTS. THREE DIMENSIO NAL STUDIES AND SKETC HES, INC LUDING THO SE ATTHE STREETLEVEL ARE OPTIO NAL
ADJ USTMENIS OR DEPARTURES. A SUMMARY OF POTENTAL DEVELO PMENTSTANDARD ADJ USTMENTS.



38 W AND 40 W EIRURIA ST


DEVELOPMENTOBJ EC TIVES
. Demolish existing Single Family Residence and construct (6) Townhomes with surface parking.
EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS
VIEWS
The site is a dense mix of residential uses in buildings ranging from single family to apartments and townhomes. The site slopes gradually downhill from South to North. Each unit will have ernito rial views from the roof decks of the Freemont Cut to the North and possibly the Ballard Brdige to the North West. . There is an existing large build ing to the East, and a house to he West that wili controlight and views from the lowerlevels ofthe new developement. The buildings noth ofte Ally are downill dissruption

NEIGHBORING DEVELOPMENT
West Etruria Street is zoned LR3 with a mix of single family residences, townhomes and apartment build ings. There are similar conditions to the South, West and east of the parcel. Directly to the North and across the alley, the lots are zoned MIO-37-LR3. Further North, There are cof fee shops, restaruants and other businesses along Nic kerson St before reaching the Freemont Cut. Seattle Pacific University is West of the parcel and North Queen Anne neighborhood is located to the South

ADJ USTMENTS REQ UESTED
We are not requesting any adjustments at this time
BELOW ARE LOCAL EXAMPLES OF DENSITY WITHIN THE NEIG HBO RHO OD.


| land use code analysis |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1.4 | Land Use code summary |
| 23.45 | Multi-Fa mily |
| 23.45 .502 | Lowrise 3 LR3 |
| 23.45.504 | Permitted and prohibited uses. |
|  | Table A Residential use is permitted outright subject to provisions of this title. |
|  | C.1.Private garages and carports. |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.54.015 | Parking Table B Section II., line M. No minimum requirement. |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.45.510 | Floor a rea (FAR) limits. |
|  | Table A LR3, Outside of Growth Areas, Townhouse developments |
|  | 1.1 or 1.3* If LEED Silver or Built G reen 4 Star -and- meets parking standards |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.45.510 | Exemptions from FAR Limits. |
|  | E.1. Floors below grade. |
|  | E.4. Partial below grade floor (first floor above is no more than 4 feet above grade.) |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.45 .512 | Density limits. Table A LR3, Townhouse Development 1/1,600 or No |
|  | Limit* |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.45 .514 | Struc ture height. |
|  | Table A LR3, Rowhouse and townhouse developments 30 feet |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.45.514 | Struc ture height. |
|  | E.1. Butterfly roofs (some shed roof conditions, too.) in LR zones. |
|  | Add 3 '-0" to allowable height at wall. |
|  | Add $4^{\prime}-0$ " to allowable height at overhang. |
|  | For information only. |
|  | H. Exhibit B Additional height allowed for sloped roof concealed by parapet. |
|  | For information only. |
|  | F. 1-4. Add $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ to allowable height for partially below grade floor if all conditions |
|  | are met. |
|  | J.2. Roof top features. Add $4^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ for parapets, open railings, planters etc. |
|  | J.4. Roof top features. Add 10'-0" for stair towers, elevators, etc. |
|  | This proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.45 .518 | Setbacks and separations. |
|  | Table A Townhouse developments |
|  | Front 7'-0 average; $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ minimum |
|  | Rear 7'-0 average; $5^{\prime}-0{ }^{\prime \prime}$ minimum |
|  | Side $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ if less than $40^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ long |
|  | $5^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ minimum if greater than $40^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ long. $7^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ average |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |
| 23.45 .522 | Amenity area. |
|  | A.1. $25 \%$ of lot area (Townhouse developments). |
|  | 2. $50 \%$ of required amenity area at ground level exc ept |
|  | rooftop can be used if it meets 23..45.510.E.5. |
|  | 3. For rowhouse and townhouse developments, amenity a rea re quired at ground level may provided as either private or common |
|  |  |
|  | The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code. |



## 1409 N 47th STZO NING AND WALLNG FORD RESIDENTIAL URBAN VILAGE OVERLAY

### 23.45 .524

Landscaping standards
A.2.a. Green Factor of 0.6 or greater required
B.1. Street trees required
mplies with this section of the Land Use Code
A.2.a. LEED Silver, BuiltGreen 4-Star, or Evergreen standa rds a re required for the higher FAR limit. (Table A, 25.45.510)

The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code.
Structure width and façade length in LR zones.
A. Width (Table A) LR3,Townhouse Developments $60^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ maximum.
B.1. Length Within $15^{\prime}-0^{\prime \prime}$ of side lot line Less than $65 \%$ of side lot line length.

This proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code.
Design Standards.
C.2.b. Façade articulation. If greater than 750 SF then division into separate façade
planes is required.
C.2.c. Minimum façade plane $=150 \mathrm{SF}$

Maximum façade plane $=500 \mathrm{SF}$
Less than $65 \%$ of side lot line length.
C.2.e. Exemptions are allowed by Director.
F.3. Each townhouse unit, with a street-facing facade shall have a pedestrian entry on the street-facing facade that is designed to be visually prominent feature through the use of covered stoops, porches, or other architectural entry features. For townhouse units on comer lots, a visually prominent pedestrian entry is required on only one of the street-facing facades.
The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code
Parking location, access and screening.
Typical provisions. Read section fordetailed requirements.
LR3 The proposal complies with this section of the Land Use Code.


WESTEIRURIA ST
38 W AND 40 W ETRURIA ST


W EIRURIA STELEVATION STUDY. THE LOTIS LOCATED BETWEEN A LARGE SIN GLE FAMILY HOUSE TO THE WESTAND AN APARTMENTBUIDING TO THE EAST. THERE ARE MATURE TREES ON EITHER SIDE OF THE LOTTHATWIL HELP THE BUILDING BLEND INTO THE ESTABUSHED STREET SCAPE. AL BUILDING S ON THIS BLOCK OF EIRURIA SEEM TO BE MULTIFAMILY, BUTTHE LOTS ARE SIMILAR IN WIDTH TO THE SUBJ ECTLOT. BEING LO CATED ON THE NORTHERN SLOPE OF QUEEN ANNE ALOWS PRIVACY FROM NORTH OR SOUTH NEIG HBORS AND SEPARATION FROM THE PROPOSED BUILDING 1 AND BUILDING 2 SO THATTHEY ARE NOT STARING INTO EACH OTHERS' HOMES. THE SLOPE SHOULD PROVIDE NICE VIEWS THATARE NOTBLOC KING EXISTING NEIGHBORS OR ABLE TO BE BLOCK BY FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS

BLOCK DENSITY STUDY


BUILDING S ON THE NORTH SIDE OF W EIRURIA STREET, ACROSS FROM THE SITE


## CS2. Urban Pattem and Form

B. Adjacent Sties, Streets, and Open Spaces

The site falls from the street to the alley. The proposed buildingssit in the landscape to adhere to the existing grade, creating natural privacy foreach unit.
. Height, Bulk, and Scale
he townhomes are modulated to integrate with their 2-3 story neighbors through the use of massing modulation with staggering windows to break up the height. The street is mostly a partment build ings a nd multi fa mily homes, so the proposed units will fit the established context of the neighborhood. Height and bulk re blended with the use of darker matenial at a personal scale and modulating indows along the facade, offsetting units to break the plane at the street and解 the street.

## PL. Connectivity.

B. Walkways and Connections

Multiple pedestrian walkways allow access to the individual units and site. C. Outdoor Uses and Activities

Buildings and open spacesconfigured to allow multiple sized exterior landscaped reas for both secluded semi-private areas and areasmore connected directly and visually to the street orcreate privacy from the adjacent homes.

## PL2. Walkability

B. Safety and Security

Residences have multiple windows on each side of their unit. Each side yard is visible from multiple units, providing natural surveillance of the site. Building and path lighting provides additional protection.
D. Wayfinding

Address signage, entry lighting, and building artic ula tion help identify each unit's entrance.

## PL3. Street-Level Interaction

A. Entries

Each entry is designed as having a relationship with that of its neighbors, but with a distinct individual character. Lighting, porches, awnings, and doors are located o denote each unit smain entrance.
C. Residential Edge

Units utilize vertic al separation from the street, modulation of distance from setback, glazing encouraging visual interaction, and landscaped areas to facilitate interaction with neighbors, balanced with a sense of security and privacy.



CONCEPTUALELEVATION FROM THEALLEY WITH PARKING


## DC2. Architectural Concept

A. Massing
nstead of a monolithic block, the mass is seperated into multiple units. The units stagger away from the street in order to create a more personal scale and give a sense of individual space
B. Architectural and Facade Composition

Facades composed using fenestration, roofs, architectural projections,
and material changes to give a unique identity to each unit, as well as tie the units together as a cohesive whole. Windows and architectural elements provided to avoid large blank facades.
C. Secondary Architectural Features

Architectural features provided to establish unit identity, visual interest and a
measure of solar shading. Decks and build ing recesses further add to visual interes. D. Scale and Texture

Human scale of entrances, building matenials, and site walks relate to a residential scale. Offset windows break the vertical height of the building and remove the tow ering feeling of many row homes and townhomes.
E. Form and Function

This project is designed as modem residence. Clean modemist lines give an expres sion of timeless design, rather than relying on a trendy solution that will quickly grow tiresome. The sloped form at the roofs break the visual appearance of height and provide privacy from one unit to the next without a solid 4' flat wal
DC 4. Materials
ements and Finishes
Durable and naturalmaterials, such ascedarand hardie panel, are in character with many residential buildings in the northwest
B. Signage

Residential house numbers in character and scale of similar projects in area C. Lighting

Entrance and path lighting utilized to artic ulate visual interest of landsc aping and buildings, and provide site safety. Lighting located or sheilded to avoid glare to neighborin sites.
D. Trees, Landsc ape and Hardsc ape Materials

Hardscaping areaslocated to encourage interaction with neighbors. Landscaping designed to create distinct areas of both semi-private and more public areas, to facilitate mulitiple uses on site.

## DC1. Project Uses and Activitie

A. Arrangement of Interior Uses
interior public spaces, such as living and dining rooms have large windows facing private views and the street. Roof decks arranged to allow for temitorial views, semi-privacy, and interaction with neighbors.
B. Vehicular Access and Circulation

Vehicular access located to minimize motorists and pedestrians crossing paths. Pedestrian walks allowing ac cess to main entrancesare located off of sidewalks, instead of driveway.

## D3. Open Space Concep

Open Spaces Uses and Activities
. areas.
Open areas mixture of largeropen spaces and smaller semi-private yards to encourage private use and social interaction.



PLANT SCHEDULE

IORETENTION
hookeriona humilis／Dwarf Sweet Bo
BOTANCAL NAME／COMMON NAME
Acorvs graminevs＇ggon＇／Solden Variegated Sweetflag
arex obnupta／slough Sedge
Juncus effusus／Soft Rush
L黄 Lbertla peregrinans／New Zealand irls

| TR | BOTANICAL NAME／COMMON NAME |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0$ | Cerclaliphylum 」aponicum／Katsura Tree Street Tree |
| SHRUSS | BOTANCAL NAME／COMMON NAME |
| － | Berberis thunbergll＇Crimson Pygmy＇／Crimson Pygmy Barberry |
| ＊ | Berberis thunbergil＇Orange Rocket＇／Orange Rocket Barberry |
| 路 | Erunnera macrophyla＇siver Heart＇／Siberian Eugloss |
| ＊ | Calamagrostis $\times$ acutiflora＇Karl Foerster＇／Feather Reed Grass |
| － | Calluna vulgaris＇Wickwar Flame＇／Wieknar Flame Heather |
| ＊ | Carex morrowil＇cee Dance＇／lce Dance Japanese Sedge |
| 棌 | Carex oshimensis＇Everilla＇／Everillo Japanese Sedge |
| 6 | Cyrtomium fortunei／Japanese Holly Fern |
|  | Helleborus niger＇HGC Jacob＇／Christmas Rose |
|  | Hydrangea macrophyla＇Nikko Blie＇／Nikko Blue Hydrangea |
| （2） | \｜lex crenata＇Sky Pencil＇／Sky Pencil Japanese Holly |
| \％ | Leveothoe fontanesiana＇Rainbow＇／Rainbow Leveothoe |
| ＊ | Liriope muscari Big Blue＇／Big Blue Lilyturf |
| － | Lonkera plleata＇Moss Green＇／Moss Green Honeysuckle |
|  | Mahonia x media＇Charity＇／Mahonia |
|  | Miscanthus sinensis＇Strictus＇／Porcupine Grass |
| Q | Nandina domestica＇Gulf Stream＇TM／Heavenly Samboo |
| \％ | Fennisetum orientale／Oriental Fountain Grass |
| ＊ | Polystichum munilum／Western Sword Fern |
| \％ | Rhododendron $\times$＇Ramapo＇／Ramapo Rhododendron |
|  | Sarcococca hookeriana humilis／Dwarf Sneet Box |
| BIORETENTION | botancal name／Common name |
| \％ | Acorvs gramineus＇ogon＇／Golden Variegated Sneetfiog |
| 橾 | Carex obnupta／Slough sedge |
| ＊ | Juncus effusus／Soft Rush |
| 湤 | Libertia peregrinans／New Zealand iris |
| O | Polygonatum odoratum／Solomon＇s Seal |









NORTH EASTPERSPEC TIVE ALONG W EIRURIA


BIRD'S EYE VIEW


SOUTH EAST PERSPECTIVE ALONG THE AШEY

## 䊩

STREETELEVATION FROM W EIRURIA ST



Roof Level Plan


F.A.R. DIAGRAMS

## F.A.R. CALCULATIONS:

TOWNHOUSE @ 1.3 F.A.R. OF LR3 ZONE
6605 X 1.3 F.A.R. $=8586.5$ SF AШOWED > 8532 SF PROPOSED

## FAR per Roor:

Ground Level $=$ 2,424 FAR
Sec ond Level $=2,898$ FAR
Third Level $=\quad$ 2,898 FAR
Roof Level = 312 FAR

TOTAL =

