NICK3RSON APARTMENTS 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET, SEATTLE, WA 98119 | PROJECT #3026191





2nd DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION

2.0 CONTENTS 3.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND +DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES	2 3
4.0 SURVEY + SITE PLAN	4
5.0 URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS	5
urban context	
zoning	
north queen anne axonometric view	
existing urban context	
street montages + uses	
6.0 ZONING ANALYSIS	10
7.0 SITE RESTRAINTS	11
8.0 DESIGN CONCEPT	12
overview	
EDG guidance and response	
9.0 1ST RECOMMENDATION RESPONSE	14
overview	
architectural concept response	
materials response	
entry sequence response	
fenestration response	
landscaping response	
detailing response	
10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN	20
floor plans	
landscape plans	
lighting concept	
building elevations	
cross section	
perspective views	
sections	
materials & details	
11. REQUESTED DEPARTURES	37





3.0 PROJECT BACKGROUND + DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

PROJECT INFORMATION

site address 609/615/621 W Nickerson Street 1443500225, 1443500230, 1443500235, 1443500245 parcel number 3026191-LU project number

PROJECT TEAM

architect	Atelier Drome Architecture	
	112 Prefontaine PI S	
	Seattle, Washington 98104	
	206 395 4392	
contact	Michelle Linden	
	michelle@atelierdrome.com	
owner	STS Construction Services	
geotechnical	Keith Cross	
surveyor	Terrane	
landscape	Erin Lau Design	
structural	AJP Engineering	
civil	KPFF	

PROJECT CRITERIA

zoning	LR3 RC	
abutting zones	C2-40 to North, MIO-37-LR3 RC to East, and LR2 to South	
current use	single-family residences on 609, apartment on 615, and triplex	
	on 621	
lot area	17,585sf	
allowable FAR	1.3/1.6 for Apartments	
ECAs	40% steep slope, Potential Slide Area, and Known Slide Areas	
	for 609 & 615 only	
parking	17 stalls provided (in frequent transit zone, 50% reduction)	

PROJECT PROPOSAL

far residential units 70 units

27,975 sf





CONTEXT + SITE

The project site is located in North Queen Anne, which faces Fremont, is home to Seattle Pacific University, and has a quieter feel than the rest of Queen Anne that is closer to Downtown. The site is zoned LR3 RC, sandwiched between low-rise zones and commercial zones with major institution overlays. The site is near numerous transit locations and is embedded in a neighborhood transitioning from older low-rise apartments and single family residences, to a more dense array of multi-family developments.

DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL

as required.

DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVES

- inside and outside the building.
- housing

This development proposes to build 70 new small efficiency dwelling units in an area that is currently underserved in its offering of multi-family dwelling units. Parking will also be provided

1. Create housing that can be a source of pride for a young, transitioning community.

2. Encourage <u>community building</u> through large, attractive residential amenity spaces both

3. Provide housing that can be used both by students and by those graduating into their own

4. Develop a sustainably minded, long-lasting building to support the neighboring SPU.

4.0 SURVEY + SITE PLAN



PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

lot 14, lot 15, lot 16, lot 17, block 6, ross second addition to the city of seattle, according to the plat thereof recorded in volume 2 of plats, page(s) 140, records of king county, washington.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

<u>single family, (</u> zone: MIO-37-L This project proposes to develop the existing multi-family lots at 609/615/621 w nickerson st. the proposed building is a 3-story, small efficiency dwelling unit (SEDU) apartment building with parking as required.







urban context | 5.0 URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS



commercial

institutional

area

bicycle-friendly roads/trails

ballard-interbay-

northend industrial

te de la secola de la



609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET | PROJECT #3026191 DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION

5

5.0 URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS | zoning

SITE ZONING

The project is located in the low-rise residential/commercial zone, with commercial zone directly to the north, low-rise residential/commercial zone with major institutional overlay to the east, and low-rise residential/commercial zones to the west.

PROJECT NEIGHBORHOOD

Queen Anne is located north of downtown Seattle and south of the Fremont area. Queen Anne is both a vibrant neighborhood and one of the tallest hills in Seattle. North Queen Anne is home to Seattle Pacific University, and has a quieter feel than the rest of Queen Anne that is closer to Downtown.













north queen anne axonometric | **5.0 URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS**

5.0 URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS | existing urban context

PROJECT LOCATION KEY









SURROUNDING CONTEXT



a. emerson hall: SPU residence hall; amenities include a community lounge and exercise room

st.



b. 645 w nickerson st: 3-story apartments





c. 633 w nickerson st: 2-story apartments with access to parking from w nickerson w nickerson st: apartments with garage access from w nickerson st



e. bob oates: 1-story commercial building with surface parking lot adjacent to it



















street montages + uses | **5.0 URBAN DESIGN ANALYSIS**





project site



609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET | PROJECT #3026191 DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION



north

alley looking north at the project site

6.0 ZONING ANALYSIS |low-rise 3 zone

LR-3 ZONING PER SMC 23.45

requirements

EXAMPLES OF MASSING IN LR-3 ZONE					
Lot Size Total Allowed GSF Efficiency Factor Total NSF	5,000sf 10,000sf .8 8,000sf	Lot Size Total Allowed GSF Efficiency Factor Total NSF	15,000sf 30,000sf .8 24,000sf	Lot Size Total Allowed GSF Efficiency Factor Total NSF	5,000sf 10,000sf .8 8,000sf
PROPOSED M/ PROPOSED M/ Iot size: 17,585 stotal allowed FAF total allowed FAF total proposed F/ total units: 70 SE SEDU size: 320 s parking spaces p	sf R: 28,136 sf AR: 27,975 sf EDUs				

*320 sf maximum allowed for small-efficiency dwelling units

PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION

allowed uses	residential uses (townhouses, rowhou and apartments)
floor area ratio (FAR) max.	apartments 1.3 or 1.6* (outside urbar village) * on lots that abut a street w/ frequent service, higher FAR limit is 1.6
density limit	no density limit for apartments in LR-3
height limit	30ft if outside of urban centers & urba villages, and station area overlay distri
setbacks	front: 5ft min. sides: 7ft avg; 5ft min. rear: 10ft min. with alley
amenity area	25% of lot area required; 50% of total area is required to be provided on gro common amenity area for apartments
green factor	landscaping of 0.6 green factor require
structure width	120ft for apartments outside of urban centers or station overlay district areas
facade length	max. 65% of the length of the side lot portions within 15ft of a lot line
parking	1 stall per 1 dwelling unit* *50% reduction in frequent transit zon 50% reduction for SEDUs
design standards	street-level, street-facing transparency articulation requirements
light & glare	parking shall be screened from abuttin properties by a fence, wall, or landsc berm at least 5ft in height.
waste area	for units 51-100, 375 sf + 4 sf each additional unit above 50.

	proposed		
ouses,	SEDU (small-efficiency dwelling unit) apartments		
an nt transit	allowed: 1.6 x 17,585 sf = 28,136 sf max. proposed: 27,975 sf < 28,136 sf, complies* portions of a story that extend \leq 4ft above grade & bicycle storage areas excluded in FAR		
-3	70 SEDUs		
pan 27ft above the average grade plane, comp rricts			
	front: 8.5ft on street-level, 5ft min. upper level sides: 8.75ft (west); 19.78ft (east) rear: 21.5ft (alley), complies		
al amenity round as ts	required: 4,396.3 sf (2,198 sf at ground level) proposed: 2,515 sf on ground level, complies 5,323 sf total amenity area, complies		
ired	proposed: 0.625, complies		
n villages/ as	proposed: 131.55ft > 120ft* *structure width departure requested		
t line for	allowed: 109.82ft x 65% = 71.38ft max. proposed: 67.08ft < 71.38ft, complies		
ne	70 SEDUs in frequent transit zone = 17 req'd 17 stalls proposed off the alley, complies		
cy and	not required for projects going through design review		
ting caped	min. 5ft tall landscaped berm to the west and 6ft in height fence to the east proposed		
h	SPU approved waste exemption for the proposed waste layout with 264 sf area		

ATELIER DROME architecture + interior design

steep site topography challenge

+

- project site is designated as ECA steep slope area.
- approximately 24' grade difference from w. nickerson st ٠ to the alley (North - South)
- project site also slopes from West to East with 4-5' grade differences at w. nickerson street and the alley



- facade length maximum requirement per smc 23.45: 60% of the • side lot line = 109.82ft x 65% = 71.38ft max.
- structure width maximum requirement per smc 23.45: 120ft max. if the site is not in the urban village or station overlay district* * the project is proposing the departure to request increase in the structure width
- 6" alley dedication , 20' backing distance for small vehicles, 16' small parking spaces: all located rear per DRB

max. allowed

facade length

max. allowed

facade length

height limits

Ĕ

additional building restraints

┿

- modulation and landscape buffer @ rear requested by DRB













 \bigcirc ATELIER DROME hitecture + interior design

north

project site restraints and challenges | 7.0 SITE RESTRAINTS







The design concept of "slices" or slipping masses is an evolution of EDG scheme #3, "the bar". Asked to combine schemes 2 & 3, the design team took further inspiration from the nearby boat slips located in the Ship Canal just a block from the site. The form and rhythm of these structures enhanced and extended the bar scheme to it's current state.

The building is designed as a series of slices that glide past one another. Much like boats on the canal, each slice is different in size, shape, and importance. Each one of these slices can also be clearly differentiated from one another by their materials. Though each slice maintains its uniqueness, the building maintains cohesiveness through regimented fenestration patterns and materials that clearly reflect the massing moves.



PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION

DESIGN CONCEPT



	GUIDANCE THEME BOARD GUIDANCE		DESIGN RESPONSE	DESIGN (
edg guidance - may 17th, 2018	1 massing/ composition	Develop a hybrid massing that is combining the context-sensitive massing (scheme 2) and parking solution (scheme 3). Provide modulation on the alley. Study the existing context on Nickerson and explain how the solution proposed is responding to the context.	The proposed development is a hybrid of scheme 2 and 3 that is reflective of the context. The massing is modulated to break down the scale and reflect the rhythm of the surrounding buildings on Nickerson Street. Surrounding context study is provided.	PL3-A: entries CS2-D: height, bulk DC1-A: arrangemer	
	2 street-level interaction	Supported the recessed lobby of scheme 2 (better privacy buffer, the creation of defensible space, & hierarchically larger entry).	The primary entry is located on the east side adjacent to the large courtyard. The lobby becomes an extension of the courtyard, and connects residents & visitors to the public realm of the building, while the street-level, street-facing units live protected behind their buffer.	DC1-B1: vehicular a design	
	3 amenity space	Combine the two ground-level amenity spaces into one larger & more useable space. Asymmetrical composition might be more appropriate to group and maximize the amenity spaces.	Larger public amenity space is justified to one side for better use by the residents. The isolated asymmetry functions as a beacon of the public space and allows for an improved amenity experience.	PL3-A: entries PL3-B: residential e	
	4 materials	High quality materials will be very important on this project and that the most appropriate context to draw cues from would be materials from the campus of SPU.	Durable, high quality materials are proposed at locations close to grade and to amenity & public areas. Architectural articulation and fenestration cues are drawn upon from the surrounding residential context per the Board's recommendation.	DC4-A: exterior eler	
	5 parking access & bicycles	The board supported the alley-only parking scheme of scheme 3 and gave guidance that the two ADA spots on Nickerson be eliminated.	All of the parking spaces are located off the alley. Bicycle storage is directly accessed from the lobby which is adjacent to the public amenity space.	PL4-A: entry locatic relationships PL4-B: planning ah	





EDG guidance and response | 8.0 DESIGN CONCEPT

N GUIDELINE

bulk, and scale ment of interior uses

lar access location &

al edges

elements & finishes

ations and

ahead for bicyclists

BOARD GUIDANCE: ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT

- A The Board asked that the applicant simplify & clarify the choices of materials, colors, fenestrations, pattern, and detailing to create a legible order and hierarchy that supports a clear architectural concept. The Board agreed that the multiple massing shifts and changes in siding type and color did not yet exhibit a legible compositional order. [DC-2]
- B The Board asked that the applicant simplify and clarify these choices to create a legible order and hierarchy that supports a clear architectural concept. [DC2-B]
- C The Board agreed that in this largely symmetrical composition, the deep setback of the white-clad mass in the center of the project works at cross purposes with the intent to locate the principal entry not at the center but at an outboard edge.
 - The Board asked the applicant to re-order this compositional logic to support the intended entry location. [PL2-D, PL3-A, DC-1, DC2-B]

DESIGN PROCESS + RESPONSE ELABORATED

The design concept began with an initial slice from west to east through the overall mass to give the illusion of movement on the site reflective of the movement along Nickerson. The slice is punctuated by a beacon element at the eastern edge. This concept has been expanded to include:

- A second slice through the overall mass
- Materials applied with rigor to reflect the simplified organization of the massing concept.
- A glimpse to the internal slices by cutting away from the masses adding the benefit of providing light and air to residents while exposing the inner slices.
- Upper floor re-ordered to give weight to the location of the entry at the eastern edge, and provide a clearer visual of the exposed slices.
- The entry and amenity tower beacon have been connected visually and physically with vertical windows and a brise soleil.

[DC2-A-1, DC2-B, PL2-D, PL3-A-1, DC1-A-2]



PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION



BOARD GUIDANCE: MATERIALS



The Board asked that careful consideration be given to how the "special" materials (brick and wood cladding) are used to make the most of these higher-quality materials. [DC4, DC2-C, DC2-D]

DESIGN PROCESS + RESPONSE ELABORATED

Materials have been simplified and reduced in order to better reflect the massing concept.

- Materials are consistent on each slice of the building, the changes in materials happen to changes in the slices, taking special care that the materials do not feel painted on. This is clearly evident at the exposed slice at the entry and more visible central mass at upper levels.
- Higher quality materials are used at the base, where the brick can be enjoyed by pedestrians and residents alike, and at the community spaces, with the wood cladding at the beacon element signifying its community use and connecting the amenity spaces at the ground and roof levels.
- Per the Board recommendation, the quantity and variety of materials has • been reduced and simplified.





ORIGINAL



SPECIAL MATERIALS ADDED AT MULTIPLE LOCATIONS. **NO HIGHER END MATERIALS AT BASE**



PREFERRED MATERIAL SCHEME

[DC2-C-1, DC2-D-1, DC4-D-1, DC4-D-2]

MATERIALS PALETTE









M-6 paint (copper clay)



teal)

M-2 panel M-3 lap siding (mediterranean (mediterranean teal 80%)



window

M-8 cedar -M-7 black vinvl stained



M-9 black railing

M-4 fiber M5 - fiber cement cement panel panel (night train) (rock bottom)







materials response | 9.0 1ST RECOMMENDATION RESPONSE

RIGID APPLICATION OF MATERIALS. NO HIGHER END MATERIALS AT BASE



REDUCED MATERIAL PALETTE. NO HIGHER END MATERIALS AT BASE

BOARD GUIDANCE: ENTRY SEQUENCE

- A The Board supported the proposed unique entry sequence; a combination of exterior amenity space, through-connection to alley, amenity tower 'beacon' and principal entry.
- B The board agreed that the elements of this composition should be revised and re-ordered to create a strong entry expression and unique identity for this area, one that clearly indicates its location and primacy. [DC2-E, PL3-A]
- C The Board supported the idea of the entry tower 'beacon' and asked that this gesture be strengthened to read more clearly, and that its form be better integrated with the larger composition. [PL3-A, DC2-B]

DESIGN PROCESS + RESPONSE ELABORATED

The proposed entry is located at the eastern edge of the building, recessed from the front facade. This location allows the entry and its associated covered walk to directly relate to the adjacent amenity space, allowing inside and outside to blend together.

- An amenity tower (clad in wood siding, which helps to signify its use moving up the building) acts as a beacon adjacent to the entry. This both connects the various levels of entry and amenity space as well as serves as an identifying feature for visitors and residents alike.
- The massing and fenestration has been reordered to add weight to the eastern edge, and similar window styles and the brise soleil provide both a physical connection and logical visual signifier of the entry and amenity beacon







PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION





BOARD GUIDANCE: FENESTRATION

- The Board asked the applicant to develop a simplified & legible language of window sizes, types, and uses, and to organize them in a pattern that supports the larger compositional order. [DC2-B, DC2-C]
- Where large areas of opaque wall appear on the Nickerson elevation, the Board encouraged the applicant to consider larger windows and/or a reorganization of the elements to create a more harmonious composition. [DC2-B]

DESIGN PROCESS + RESPONSE ELABORATED

Originally, the proposal included a wide variety of window types and sizes. Additionally, the window locations were predominantly located based on their relationship to the interior spaces. Based on the Board's feedback, have reduced the variety of window types and provided a clearer logic to their location.

- Window sizes have been increased, parapet heights reduced, and additional windows added at the Nickerson facade in order reduce large areas of opague wall.
- Window and secondary architectural features have been adjusted to better reflect the overall compositional order of the massing.
- A clearer uniformity of window styles has been provided throughout the building, with unique window styles located in order to reflect their importance at the entry corner and and amenity tower beacon.

[DC2-B-1, DC2-B-2, DC2-E]



RIGID SPACING AT REAR MASS, REDUCED BLANK WALLS, REVISED MASSING AND FENESTRATION TO SUPPORT CORNER ENTRY



fenestration response | 9.0 1ST RECOMMENDATION RESPONSE

STUDIES



IRREGULAR SPACING, REVISED MASSING, REDUCED BLANK WALLS

ORIGINAL SPACING, REVISED MASSING



RIGID SPACING, REVISED MASSING, REDUCED BLANK WALLS

9.0 1ST RECOMMENDATION RESPONSE | landscaping response

BOARD GUIDANCE: LANDSCAPING

A The Board acknowledged the evolution and development of the landscape design at the eastern portion of the site (where the amenity space and entry are located) and asked that a similar level of attention and development be applied to the rest of the street-facing and street-adjacent areas on Nickerson. [PL3-B, DC4-D]

B That development should establish a rhythm and pattern of elements (planting-type areas, leaning or sitting spots, 'eddies' for pedestrians, etc.) with an understandable order in support of the architectural concept. [PL3]

DESIGN PROCESS + RESPONSE ELABORATED

During the EDG meeting, the Board requested that the building provide a defensible landscape buffer between the sidewalk edge and the private yards. However, during the 1st Design Rec meeting, the Board suggested that the landscape had become too defensible and relentless, and requested more pedestrian engagement and connection to establish a rhythm & pattern of elements in support of the architectural concept.

The landscape has been revised to incorporate public benches, a small eddy, and provide a variety of scales and types of plantings to create visual interest and engagement along the route to the entry. The planting patterns slip towards the entry, reinforcing both the entry location and the overall architectural concept.

The center units at the lower level have been pushed forward closer to the sidewalk, minimizing the feeling of a recessed formal courtyard. The bench at this location gestures towards the entry, emphasizing the entry as the destination, whereas the bench is a part of the journey.

Additional subtle landscape cues have been integrated along the W Nickerson facade to further enforce the gesture.

[PL3-A-1, PL3-A-4, PL3-B-1, DC4-D-1, DC4-D-2]









BOARD GUIDANCE: DETAILING

- The Board agreed that the composition and quality of detailing would be a critical element in the success of the project. [DC2-C]
- The Board encouraged the applicant to simplify the language and pallet of materials used for secondary architectural features, i.e. metal trim and metal railings could all be black or all be galvanized [DC]
- For the next meeting, please provide schematic level (materials, critical dimensions) details for typical elements.
- Staff note: complete assembly details will be required for all cementfiber cladding materials to ensure that they will remain flat, plumb, square and true for the reasonable life of the building [DC4-A-1, DC4-A-2]

DESIGN PROCESS + RESPONSE ELABORATED

The pallet of materials for secondary features has been simplified to better reflect the overall concept. Details have been provided to ensure a high quality finished project.

• All metal trims and railings are now black.

[DC2-B-1, DC2-C-1, DC4-A-1, DC4-A-2]







detailing response | 9.0 1ST RECOMMENDATION RESPONSE



1 plan - basement
$$1/16'' = 1'-0''$$

PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET Design Review Recommendation



DESIGN GUIDELINE KEY

PL1-C-1: Selecting Activity Areas PL2-A-1: Access for All PL2-D-1: Design as Wayfinding PL3-A-4: Ensemble of Elements PL3-B-1: Security and Privacy PL4-A-2: Connections to All Modes DC1-A-2: Gathering Places DC2-A-1: Site Characteristics and Uses DC2-C-1: Visual Depth and Interest DC3-B-4: Multifamily Open Space DC4-D-2: Hardscape Materials DC4-D-4: Place Making



DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION

first floor plan | **10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN**

21

10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | second floor plan

DESIGN GUIDELINE KEY



 $1 \quad \frac{\text{plan - second floor}}{1/16^{"} = 1'-0"}$

PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION



DESIGN GUIDELINE KEY

PL1-C-1: Selecting Activity Areas PL2-A-1: Access for All PL2-D-1: Design as Wayfinding PL3-A-4: Ensemble of Elements PL3-B-1: Security and Privacy PL4-A-2: Connections to All Modes DC1-A-2: Gathering Places DC2-A-1: Site Characteristics and Uses DC2-C-1: Visual Depth and Interest DC3-B-4: Multifamily Open Space DC4-D-2: Hardscape Materials DC4-D-4: Place Making





third floor plan | **10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN**



10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | roof deck plan

DESIGN GUIDELINE KEY

PL1-C-1: Selecting Activity Areas PL2-A-1: Access for All PL2-D-1: Design as Wayfinding PL3-A-4: Ensemble of Elements PL3-B-1: Security and Privacy PL4-A-2: Connections to All Modes DC1-A-2: Gathering Places DC2-A-1: Site Characteristics and Uses DC2-C-1: Visual Depth and Interest DC3-B-4: Multifamily Open Space DC4-D-2: Hardscape Materials DC4-D-4: Place Making





PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION









composite planting plan and concept | **10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN**





COMPOSITE PLANTING PLAN

ATELIER DROME architecture + interior design

10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | planting palette

GROUND LEVEL & RIGHT OF WAY PLANTS



GERANIUM

SWORD FERN

AUTUMN FERN

EPIMEDIUM CAREX 'EVERGOLD'

CREEPING RASPBERRY

ROOF LEVEL PLANTS



PARROTIA PERSICA

PARROTIA PERSICA

HARDSCAPE MATERIALS



PERMEABLE PAVERS

PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION







lighting concept | 10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN



WALL SCONCE



BISTRO LIGHT



PATH LIGHT



10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | north elevation



 $\underbrace{1}_{1/16"} = 1'-0"$

PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION

DESIGN GUIDELINE KEY

PL1-C-1: Selecting Activity Areas PL2-A-1: Access for All PL2-D-1: Design as Wayfinding PL3-A-4: Ensemble of Elements PL3-B-1: Security and Privacy PL4-A-2: Connections to All Modes DC1-A-2: Gathering Places DC2-B-2: Blank Walls DC2-C-1: Visual Depth and Interest DC2-D-2: Texture DC3-B-4: Multifamily Open Space DC4-D-2: Hardscape Materials DC4-D-4: Place Making





$$1 \frac{\text{elevation} - \text{south}}{1/16"} = 1'-0"$$



south elevation | 10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN



10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | west elevation & east elevation





DESIGN GUIDELINE KEY

- PL1-C-1: Selecting Activity Areas
- PL2-A-1: Access for All
- PL2-D-1: Design as Wayfinding
- PL3-A-4: Ensemble of Elements
- PL3-B-1: Security and Privacy
- PL4-A-2: Connections to All Modes
- DC1-A-2: Gathering Places
- DC2-B-2: Blank Walls
- DC2-C-1: Visual Depth and Interest
- DC2-D-2: Texture
- DC3-B-4: Multifamily Open Space
- DC4-D-2: Hardscape Materials
- DC4-D-4: Place Making







cross section | 10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | perspective views



street-level perspective facing southwest

PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET Design Review Recommendation





street-level building entry perspective



perspective views | 10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET | PROJECT #3026191 Design Review Recommendation

10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | perspective views



ikerson st

view looking southwest from nickerson st

view looking southeast from nickerson st





view looking northwest from the alley

view looking northeast from the alley

PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET Design Review Recommendation









sections | 10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN

10.0 ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN | materials and details



PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION



Departure #1

To reduce the size of required parking spaces from 60% medium (8'x16') and 40% small (7.5'x15') to 100% small.

Code Requirement

SMC 23.54.030.B.1

for residential uses: when more than 5 or more parking spaces are provided, max, of 60% of the parking spaces shall be striped for medium vehicles. 40% of the parking spaces may be striped for any size category in 23.54.030.A.

Proposal

Provide (16) small parking spaces and (1) ADA van space

Rationale for Departure

The departure request for small parking spaces in lieu of a mix of medium and small spaces will allow the project to conform with several of the DRB's requests, while still accommodating parking spaces consistent with the unit type (small efficiency dwelling units).

- The Design Review Board wanted all parking to be from the alley, with no parking accessed off of Nickerson though this is not typical to the neighborhood. Due to the steep topography of the site, it is not feasible to provide underground parking, and therefore the project is limited in parking quantity by the width of the property.
- The Design Review Board also requested ٠ modulation at the rear façade as well as a planting strip buffer in order to provide an appealing facade to neighbors and tenants alike. Small parking spaces allow this modulation and planting to occur. Medium parking spots are both longer and require a greater backing distance, which would force the project to eliminate the modulation and planting strip in order to maintain our minimum unit count. Because these units are all SEDUs (small efficiency dwelling units), the small parking spaces are consistent with the unit type, demographic, and neighborhood.

[DC1-C2, DC2-B-1, DC2-D-1]

ATELIER DROME

itecture + interior design





view of parking, without departure





view of parking, with departure

departure #1 | **11.0 REQUESTED DEPARTURES**

parking at alley, without departure

parking at alley, with departure

37

11.0 REQUESTED DEPARTURES | departure #2

Departure #2

To increase the required structure width from 120' to 134' 11"

Code Requirement

SMC 23.45.536

Structure width in LR zones may not exceed the width indicated in Table A:

Required Width for Apartments in LR3 outside of Urban Villages, Urban Centers or Station Area Overlay Districts shall be **120 feet max**.

Proposal

Increasing the building width to 131'-6 5/8" (9.6% structure width increase)

Rationale for Departure

Increased structure width will allow tenants multiple points of egress and increase circulation. It also creates an asymmetrical composition, by creating an amenity space which serves as a beacon to direct visitors and residents to the public areas, as suggested by the board. This departure would still allow for a large open space to maintain a privacy buffer for neighbors to the east while providing more than the required setback at the west.

- The area of departure is significantly recessed from both the street and alley side, and therefore has minimal impact on neighbors.
- The board specifically requested a 2nd lobby facing the alley and suggested that interior common amenity space would be a great benefit; the increased structure width allow for both of those items.
- Furthermore, because this project site combines three parcels, yet is still restricted by the 120' structure width, the unbuildable area is nearly equivalent to the loss of a building site. The small acreage width has a negligable affect on the overall impact to neighborhood, while allowing the project to recoo-p some width for public amenity space.

[PL1-B-1, PL1-C-1, PL2-A-1, PL2-D-1, DC1-A-2]





PROJECT #3026191 | 609/615/621 W NICKERSON STREET DESIGN REVIEW RECOMMENDATION



private public

courtyard space less welcoming and less

loss of additional

interior amenity space

end of hallway becomes

mostly blank facade, less

engaging with courtyard

no buffer between public

and private spaces in the

engaging

buildina

public courtyard cross section, without departure

public courtyard cross section, with departure



Departure #3

To increase the awning projection into the front setback

Code Requirement

SMC 23.45.518.H.1

Cornices, eaves, gutters, roofs, and other forms of weather protection may project into required setbacks and separations a max. of 4ft if they are no closer than 3ft to any lot line.

Proposal

Increasing the entry awning projection into the front setback by an additional 2ft to within 1' of the front lot line.

Rationale for Departure

Increased awning depth will help make the principal entry more prominent, particularly as viewed when approaching from the east, while still maintaining a pedestrian scale.

Furthermore, even though the project site is in an LR3 zone, the neighboring lots across the street are in commercial zones. The increased awning depth is compatible with the neighboring zones.

[PL2-D-1, PL3-A-1, PL3-A-4, DC2-D-1]



view from west, without departure



view from west, with departure







departure #3 | **11.0 REQUESTED DEPARTURES**

w nickerson st

entry level plan, without departure

entry level plan, with departure



