

ROOSEVELT APARTMENTS 4218 ROOSEVELT WAY DPD Project #3021266

Recommendation Meeting Northeast Design Review Board January 30, 2017

RECOMMENDATION PROPOSAL PACKET



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Site Information	2
Context Analysis	3-4
Zoning Data	5
Site Plan	6
EDG Summary	7
EDG Response	8-11
Floor Plans	12-20
Landscape Plans	21-23
Elevations	24-27
Material & Color	28-29
Adjacent Context	30
Renderings	31-35
Lighting Concept	36-41
Signage Concept	42
Sections	44-45
Departures	46-47
Supplemental Information	48-53



PROJECT TEAM

OWNER G & K Brothers, INC 15958 NE 117th Way Redmond, WA 98052 425.999.5472

ARCHITECT Studio 19 Architects 207^{1/2}]st Ave S. Suite 300 Seattle, WA 98104 206.466.1225

4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS

SITE INFORMATION

Project Location: 4218 Roosevelt Way NE, Seattle WA 98105

Parcel #: 1142000735, 1142000740, 1142000745

Lot Size: 16,020 SF

FAR Allowed: 4.25 (68,085 SF) residential /4.75 (76,095 SF) total

Base Zone: C1-65: Commercial 1

Overlay Zones: University District Northwest Urban Center Village Frequent Transit Corridors

Design Guidelines: City of Seattle Comprehensive Plan Guidelines University Neighborhood Design Guidelines

PROPOSAL SUMMARY

Total Gross Floor Area: **73,229 SF (FAR 4.57)** Total Residential Floor Area: **68,029 SF (FAR 4.246)** Total Commercial Floor Area: **5,200 SF**

Building Height: 65 FT

Number of Residential Units: **110** Number of Live Work Units: **NONE** Number and Location of Parking Stalls: **47 - 1.5 levels below grade** Number of Bike Stalls: **60**

Departures: SMC 23.47A.014.B.3 SMC 23.54.030.D.1.E

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposal is to construct a new mixed-use apartment building. Commercial retail space and a residential lobby will be located at the ground level on Roosevelt Way NE. The building consists of 1.5 floors of underground parking, and 6 floors of apartments above, specifically 110 units and 49 parking stalls.



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS

2

CONTEXT ANALYSIS SURROUNDING STRUCTURES



Ave.

RECOMMENDATION PROPOSAL PACKET





3- JACK STRAW PRODUCTIONS 4261 ROOSEVELT WAY NE | RECORDING STUDIO

Jack Straw Production is a recording studio west of the project site. It is an active hot spot within the community. The window openings at street level not only communicate the building's function but the activity within can be seen by pedestrians walking on street level.





6- UW ROOSEVELT COMMONS 4300 ROOSEVELT WAY NE | CLASSROOM/ADMIN

The UW Roosevelt Commons is frequently surrounded by college students and college faculty. The design of the building incorporates raised platforms/plazas that provide the users a safe exterior space alongside Roosevelt Way.

CONTEXT ANALYSIS SURROUNDING USES



ZONING DATA

The proposal meets all the zoning requirements except as listed in the Departure Requests section of this packet.

Street-Level Uses 23.47A.005

C.1 Residential uses are generally permitted anywhere in a structure in C1 zones, except as provided in 23.47A.005.C.2 and 23.47A.005.C.3.

C.3 Residential uses may not exceed, in the aggregate, 20% of the street-level street-facing facade when facing an arterial or within a zone that has a height limit of 85 feet or higher.

C.4 Residential uses may occupy 100% of the street level street-facing façade in a structure if the structure: d. Does not face a designated principal pedestrian street.

Street-Level Development Standards 23.47A.008

A.2.b Blank segments of the street-facing façade between 2 feet and 8 feet above the sidewalk may not exceed 20 feet in width.

A.2.c The total of all blank façade segments may not exceed forty 40% of the width of the

façade of the structure along the street.

A.3. Street-level street-facing facade segments shall be located within ten (10) feet of the street lot line, unless wider sidewalks, plazas, or other approved landscaped or open spaces are provided.

B.2.a Transparency: Sixty percent of street-facing façade between two 2 feet and 8 feet above the sidewalk shall be transparent.

Structure Height 23.47A.012

A 65 feet max height per Land Use Map, Ch. 23.32.

Floor Area Ratio 23.47A.013

A Floor area ratio (FAR) limits apply to all structures and lots in all C zones.

A.1 All gross floor area not exempt under subsection D of this Section is counted against the maximum gross floor area allowed by the permitted FAR.

D.1. Gross floor area below grade is not counted toward FAR.

Setback Requirements 23.47A.014

C A minimum 5 foot landscaped setback may be required under certain conditions and for certain uses according to Section 23.47A.016, Screening and landscaping standards.

Landscaping and Screening Standards 23.47A.016

A.2 Green Factor Requirement: .30 or greater per the procedures in Section 23.86.019.

Residential Amenity Areas 23.47A.024

A Residential amenity areas, including but not limited to decks, balconies, terraces, roof gardens, plazas, courtyards, play areas, or sport courts, are required in an amount equal to 5% of the total gross floor area in residential use, except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter. Gross floor area, for the purposes of this subsection, excludes areas used for mechanical equipment and accessory parking. B.1 All residents must have access to at least one common or private amenity area.

B.2 Residential amenity areas shall not be enclosed.

Required parking 23.54.015

D.1 No minimum parking is required for Urban Village Centers or Station Area Overlay

K Bicycle parking. See Table E. Requirements are based on gross floor area of uses.

1. After the first fifty (50) spaces for bicycles are provided, additional spaces are required at one half (1/2) the ratio shown in Chart E. Spaces within dwelling units or on balconies do not count toward the bicycle parking requirement. Refer to K.2-7 for specific requirements of bicycle storage



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 5

SITE PLAN & GROUND FLOOR PLAN



EDG SUMMARY MASSING OPTIONS





SUMMARY:

- Unit count: 121
- Parking: 83 stalls
- Retail Space: 4,000 SF

PROS:

- Modulated façade in multiple locations, vertical and horizontal
- Covered retail along street front
- Level platform at street level
- Retail along street front
- Community roof deck

CONS:

- Main façade is flat facing street front
- Columns required along pedestrian walkway

OPTION 2



SUMMARY:

- Unit count: 109
- Parking: 83 stalls
- Retail Space: 4,000 SF

PROS

- Residential courtyard on east side facing alley
- Covered retail along street front

CONS

- Main facade is flat facing street front with minimal modulation
- Street front steps with grade not allowing for maximized retail space

OPTION 3 (PREFERRED OPTION)



SUMMARY:

- Unit count: 114
- Parking: 83 stalls

PROS

CONS

• Retail Space: 5,000 SF

• Articulated and modulated façade along street front • Residential courtyard along street front • Pronounced building entrance for residents • Modulated façade along alley • Level platform at street level to maximize retail space Community courtyard

• Retail spaces are separated, not one space (which is less flexible)

Board Recommendations & Responses:

1. MASSING AND CONTEXT RESPONSE

The Board appreciated the thorough and thoughtful exploration of massing options in response to the context. The Board supported the development of a hybrid massing concept that retains the simplicity and clarity of the massing presented in Option 3 and that plays on the proportion of Hardwick's as presented in Option 1. (CS2-B, CS2-D, CS3-A, SC3-I, DC2-A

a. The Board supported the upper massing presented in Option 3 which included a second level courtyard along Roosevelt Way and two larger "bookend" masses at the north and south ends. (CS2-B, CS3-A, DC2-A)

RESPONSE: We have revised the massing to incorporate the bookend element on the north façade and a wider mass along the south and central components of the façade along Roos-evelt, to respond to Board's recommendation. This was composed to emphasize the building entrance, ground floor uses and pedestrian plaza located along the street front. The elon-gated building mass plays off of smaller scale buildings in the neighborhood, similar to the Hardwicks store.

b. The Board felt that the massing provided lots of opportunity for an interesting and thought-ful architectural composition that reinforces the underlying architectural concept. (CS3-A, DC2-A, DC2-B)

RESPONSE: This has been further developed and complies with the Board's recommendation.

c. The modulation of the upper massing should relate to the entry and promote exterior legi-bility. The Board suggested moving the deep and narrow recess to align with the entry and reinforce the lobby location with the interruption in massing (PL2-D, PL3-A, DC2-A, DC2-B)

RESPONSE: We have shifted the recess in the façade along Roosevelt per the Board's recommendation and it now aligns with the building entrance and defines the pedestrian plaza area located along the street front.

d. The massing at the ground plane should be further developed to reinforce the prominence of the residential entry (see Residential Entry & Entry Court, below). (PL2-D, DC2-A)

RESPONSE: We have shifted the recess in the façade along Roosevelt, which now has a direct relation to the building entrance, giving it prominence. Steps and a connection to Roosevelt have been added as well as a larger pedestrian plaza adjacent to the entry.

e. The Board supported upper level setbacks to reduce the perceived height; bulk, and scale of the structure, especially at the east façade. (CS2-D, CS2-II, CS2-IV, DC2-A)

RESPONSE: We have incorporated upper story setbacks along the East, West and South façades per the Board's recommendation. Multiple levels of upper story setbacks have been provided along the East facade. An upper story setback along the North facade is not necessary.





GROUND PLANE RENDERING

8



Ground plane scale relates to the adjacent Hardwick's Shop (1a)

The accent yellow color, continuous canopy and facade recess reinforce the prominence of the residential entry (1d)

1. MASSING AND CONTEXT RESPONSE (CONT.)

f. The Board noted that the seven-story rise with no setback at the alley did not adequately respond to the multi-family zone across the alley, and that an upper level setback was necessary to provide relief from the massing. The Board indicated that this setback should inform the façade composition along the alley. (CS2-D, CS2-II, DC2-A, DC2-B)

RESPONSE: An upper story setback has been provided for most of the alley façade in re-sponse to the Board's comments and the neighboring developments. We have retained one massing element that does not step back along the north facade so that it will play off of the adjacent hotel building. The remaining massing along the alley has multiple steps and two different upper story setbacks to reduce the scale of the building.

2. STREETSCAPE & PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE

The street-level design should prioritize the pedestrian experience, established a relationship with the streetscape, and provide opportunities for ancillary activities. (CS2-B, PL1-B, PL1-I, PL3-C, PL1-II, DC3-A, DC3-B)

a. The Board did not support the series of steps presented in Option 2, noting that it results in less flexible retail space and creates obstacles that diminish the perceived width and accessibility of the sidewalk. (PL1-B, PL2-A)

RESPONSE: We have developed a different option per Board's recommendation.

b. Ground-level retail spaces should stay with grade, or be slightly above, to provide ad-equate width and height at grade to achieve a comfortable pedestrian experience. If any portion of the sidewalk must be sunken due to the grade of the retail, it should be as minimal as possible. No entry should be located below grade. The Board indicated they may be open to a departure to allow less than a 13' height for retail to avoid sunken entries or sidewalks. (CS2-A, PL1-B, PL3-C, PL3-II, C2-A, DC3-A, DC3-B)

RESPONSE: The building design has been developed to incorporate a retail sidewalk that will stay close to grade with no portion located more than 30" above the existing sidewalk so that guardrails will not be required, which would restrict views in and out of the retail developments. The retail and lobby spaces will be at two different heights, but no area will have less than 13' floor to floor, in order to meet code and no spaces will be sunken at any area. All spaces will be ADA compliant.

c. The Board supported the concept of the small raised area towards the south end of the site, as it provides an opportunity for activity to spill out from the retail while maintaining a relationship with the sidewalk. This area should be limited to less than 30" tall to avoid the need for a guardrail and to maintain a visual connection with the sidewalk. (CS2-A, PL1-8, PL3-C, PL3-IĬ, C2-A, DC3-A, DC3-B)

RESPONSE: This area has been removed and incorporated into the main pedestrian plaza so that space can be more flexible and usable.





Upper level setback

2. STREETSCAPE & PEDESTRIAN EXPERIENCE (CONT.)

d. The Board supported weather protection to support ancillary uses and relate to the architectural composition. (PL2-C)

RESPONSE: Weather protection has been provided along the entire façade along Roosevelt.

e. The Board supported locating a large shared amenity area at the top level, noting that it provided an opportunity to act as a focal point and to tie into the overall design concept. (DC1-A, DC2-A, DC2-B)

RESPONSE: An amenity space has been located on the upper level per the Board's recommendation.

3. RESIDENTIAL ENTRY & ENTRY COURT

The Board indicated that the residential entry should be reinforced by a small plaza or entry court adjacent to the lobby. (CS2-B, PL1-8, PL1-1, PL2-I, PL3-A, PL3-II, DC2-A, DC3-I)

a. The entry court should be located at or above grade. The Board suggested that the space could spill into the raised area created by the plinth wall along the sidewalk. (DC3-A, DC3-I)

RESPONSE: The entry plaza is located above grade per the Board's recommendation.

b. Overhead weather protection should be incorporated into the outdoor amenity space at ground level. (PL2-C)

RESPONSE: Overhead weather protection is incorporated in the entry plaza and sidewalks along Roosevelt per the Board's recommendation.

c. The Board suggested taking cues from the courtyard at the hotel to the north, including wrapping the space with active uses and transparency. (CS2-B, PL2-B, PL3-C)

RESPONSE: We have developed the building design to incorporate a larger pedestrian plaza in the center of the building that is similar to the hotel development. The raised retail sidewalk insects the plaza from both the north and south, creating a prominent experience along the main facade. Seating and landscaping have been provided as a buffer to the sidewalk as well to soften the edge.





Residential entry court with overhead protection (3a & b)



RESIDENTIAL ENTRY PERSPECTIVE

Overhead weather protection above retail spill out space (3b)

4. ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT AND FACADE COMPOSITION

The Board looks forward to seeing a carefully considered composition that reinforces and retains the clarity of the massing concept. (DC2-A, DC2-B, DC2-C) a. The Board supported a play on the proportions in Option 1 that related to the adjacent 1-story commercial structure (Hardwicks).

RESPONSE: The design has a smaller scale horizontal massing element along Roosevelt that responds to smaller scale developments in the surrounding context which is in keeping with the Board's recommendation.

b. The Board supported the intention of the design cues on p. 29, and encouraged a playful expression that relates to the eclectic context.

RESPONSE: This has been further developed and complies with the Board recommendation.

c. If moving forward with the concept of a monochromatic field marked with accent colors, the Board cautioned that the composition be thoughtful and demonstrate a judicious application of design concept.

RESPONSE: This has been further developed and complies with the Board recommendation.

d. The Board supported the conceptual sketch which indicated the center mass at the courtyard would express a different design language than the end masses.

RESPONSE: This has been further developed to only include one bookend massing, while expressing the south end of the façade with a massing similar to what was designed in the center previously.

5. SECURITY

a. The Board expressed concern about security and privacy along the alley, and noted that any fencing or screening should be aesthetically pleasing as it will be visible from the residential uses across the alley and the ground-level units. (CS2-D, CS2-II, PL2-C)

RESPONSE: The design includes a concrete wall at the face of the parking garage with the building setback an additional 5'. Landscaping will be provided in a planter along the entire façade to create a buffer from the alley for the residential units. The fitness center has been located to the north of this facade as it is the closest in proximity to the level of the alley pavement, which will help with the function of security.

b. The Board supported moving the interior amenity area from the basement to an above-grade location or to ground-level along the alley to provide additional views into the public realm for increased security. (PL2-B, DC1-A)

RESPONSE: The fitness center amenity area has been moved to the street level per the Board's recommendation.

Simplified "bookend" mass to contrast adjacent playful massing (4d)



ROOSEVELT WAY NE RENDERING



ALLEY VIEW RENDERING

Landscaping to provide aesthetically pleasing facade and concrete retaining wall to create privacy (5a)

Playful window pattern with accent color elements and balconies (4b &c)

FLOOR PLAN PARKING LEVEL 1



FLOOR PLAN PARKING LEVEL 2



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 13

FLOOR PLAN LEVEL ONE PLAN



FLOOR PLAN LEVEL TWO PLAN



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 15

FLOOR PLAN LEVEL THREE PLAN



FLOOR PLAN LEVEL FOUR PLAN



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 17

FLOOR PLAN LEVEL FIVE PLAN



FLOOR PLAN LEVEL SIX PLAN



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 19

FLOOR PLAN ROOF PLAN



LANDSCAPE SITE PLAN



DINING & LOUNGE FURNISHINGS



GREEN ROOF



WOOD DECKING



VEGETATED WALLS



RAISED METAL PLANTERS



GROUND FLOOR SITE FURNISHINGS



LANDSCAPE GROUND FLOOR PLAN_



Acer circinatum Vine Maple



Nandina 'Gulf Stream' Heavenly Bamboo



Taxus 'Densiformis' Dense Yew



22



15+00





13+00



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS

ALLEY 1111111 LEVEL 1 11111111 **ROOSEVELT WAY NE**



LANDSCAPE ROOFTOP



Sedum album 'Coral Carpet'

Coral Carpet Stonecrop

Rosmarinus 'Irene'

Irene Trailing Rosemary

٢

RECOMMENDATION PROPOSAL PACKET

Hydrangea integrifolia Evergreen Climbing Hydrangea

Sedum album 'Murale'

Chubby Fingers

Sedum kamtschaticum Orange Stonecrop





Sedum kamtschaticum Orange Stonecrop

4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 23





4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS







RECOMMENDATION PROPOSAL PACKET

4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 25



COLOR 9 - ANODIZED ALUMINUM STOREFRONT - BLACK

PAINTED ARCHITECTURAL

ALUMINUM STOREFRONT

GLASS AND METAL RAILING

METAL AND WOOD FENCE

CONCRETE

METAL RAILING







4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS





RECOMMENDATION PROPOSAL PACKET

4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 27

ARCHITECTURAL CONCRETE



COLOR 9 - ANODIZED ALUMINUM STOREFRONT - BLACK

PAINTED ARCHITECTURAL

ALUMINUM STOREFRONT

GLASS AND METAL RAILING

METAL AND WOOD FENCE

CONCRETE

METAL RAILING



MATERIAL AND COLOR PALETTE



TYPICAL WALL VENT, COLOR TO MATCH WALL FINISH



NOTE: THE MATERIAL BOARD WILL BE PRESENTED AT THE MEETING



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 29



ADJACENT CONTEXT ROOSEVELT WAY NE





VIEW OF THE RESIDENTIAL ENTRANCE AND RETAIL AT ROOSEVELT WAY NE



VIEW FROM ROOSEVELT WAY NE



VIEW FROM ROOSEVELT WAY NE



VIEW FROM ROOSEVELT WAY NE



VIEW FROM ROOSEVELT WAY NE

4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 35

LIGHTING GROUND FLOOR PLAN


LIGHTING SIXTH FLOOR AND ROOF PLAN



⁴²¹⁸ ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 37



WEST (ROOSEVELT WAY) ELEVATION



EAST (ALLEY) ELEVATION





NORTH ELEVATION

SOUTH ELEVATION

LIGHTING VISUALS



PRODUCT RENDERING DOUBLE-SIDED VERTICAL WALL MOUNTED SCONCE (C)



INSPIRATION IMAGE PLANTER MOUNTED STEP LIGHT GRAZING THE WALKWAY



INSPIRATION IMAGE DECK MOUNTED LIGHTING



INSPIRATION IMAGE IN-PLANTER LIGHTING



INSPIRATION IMAGE LINEAR LED STRIP AT CANOPY



RECOMMENDATION PROPOSAL PACKET



WALL MOUNTED SCONCE (A)

SIGNAGE CONCEPT



SECTION 1 NORTH-SOUTH



4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 43

SECTION 2 EAST-WEST

CORRIDOR

RETAIL

PARKING



SECTION 3 EAST-WEST



CORRIDOR

RETAIL

PARKING

4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 45





DEPARTURE REQUEST 1

DEPARTURE NUMBER	REQUIRED	REQUEST	JUSTIFICATION
DI	SMC 23.47A.014.B.3 - For a structure containing a residential use, a setback is required along any side or rear lot line that abuts a lot in a residential zone or that is across an alley from a lot in a residential zone, as follows: for por- tions of structure 13'-40' in height, 15'. for portions of structure above 40' in height, additional setback of 2' every 10'	 Requesting a reduction of required setback at the northeast and southeast corners of the east facade: 1) a 108'-3" wide by 1'-6" high portion of the 'eyebrow canopy' at the southeast corner encroaches 1'-5" into the 70' setback at roof level. 2) a 46'-7" wide by 17'-6 1/2" high portion of level 7 and roof parapet at the northeast corner encroaches a maximum of 3'-0" into the setback between 50' and 70'. 3) a 12'-10" wide by 7 1/2" high portion of level 4 deck area at the southeast corner encroaches a maximum of 1 1/2" into the setback. 	We are requesting a reduction in the of the building on the top level so that alley façade to break up the building the façade and allow it to be more as ments. The departure request would and an average of a reduction of 18 north, adjacent to this façade, does r would be aligning our proposed build well to enhance the connection of the



ALLEY FACADE DIAGRAM



23'-0" SETBACK

SECTION THROUGH NORTH ENCROACHMENT

the upper story setback for a very small portion that we can have adequate modulation along the ing horizontally and vertically. This will enhance a aesthetically pleasing for the neighboring developild only be for the north 46 feet of the alley façade 18" for the top floor setback. The building to the so not have an upper story setback either, so we uilding to match and play off of their massing as the two projects.



DEPARTURE REQUEST 2

DEPARTURE NUMBER	REQUIRED	REQUEST	JUSTIFICATION
2 ^{D2}	SMC 23.54.030.D.1.E - Driveways with a turning radius of more than 35 degrees shall conform to the minimum turning path radius shown in exhibit b.	We are requesting a reduction of the required turning radius from 18' to 5' and a reduction of the required width of two way drive- way from 24' to 22'.	Due to the limited space available is we are requesting a departure from recessed at both sides of the entry of and to increase visibility as vehicles driveway at only 5'-3" deep, we be and unnecessary. Due to lower traff we feel the updated driveway confi access the alley.



DEPARTURE 2 - PARKING LEVEL ENTRY FLOOR PLAN

le between the alley and the garage entry door, om the 18' radius required. The building has been by door to provide additional maneuvering space cles enter and exit the garage. However with the believe a 18' turning radius is both impractical raffic volume and slower vehicle speed in the alley onfiguration provides a safe and effective means to

4218 ROOSEVELT WAY NE, SEATTLE WA | STUDIO19 ARCHITECTS 47

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SITE ANALYSIS

TREES + SURROUNDING PARKS

No significant trees have been identified within the boundaries of our site. There are street trees to the west edge of the site along Roosevelt Way NE. West of the site is Christie Park, a neighborhood park that offers picnic space, drinking fountains, public artwork, and a basketball court.

SIGNIFICANT VIEWS

AVENUE NE

E C

AVENUE NE

NE 43 TO STREET

NE 43RD STREET

NE 42ND

There are no immediate ground level views due to the heights of the surrounding buildings. The upper floors and the building's rooftop may have views of the surrounding neighborhood, Downtown Seattle, the Olympic Mountains, Mt. Rainier, and of South Lake Union.

ACCESS OPPORTUNITIES + CONSTRAINTS

The site is currently a surface parking lot. Roosevelt Way and 8^{TH} Avenue are both designated one-way streets that run north to south. 11^{TH} Avenue NE and 9^{TH} Avenue are also both designated one-way streets that run south to north. There are five nearby bus stops. Two stops are located on Roosevelt Way, two are on 45^{TH} Street, and one is located on 11^{TH} Avenue. There are three dedicated bike lanes surrounding the site located on Roosevelt Way, 11^{TH} Avenue, and on 45^{TH} Street. There is also a bike shop located on 45^{TH} Street. Pedestrian access to the site occurs from Roosevelt Way.

NE 43RD STREF

66 67 NE 42ND





RD STREET



NE 42ND STREET



Neighborhoods and Structures Natural Surroundings

ACCESS/CIRCULATION LEGEND





SOLAR EXPOSURE + PREVAILING WINDS

The site is enclosed by a 6-story building to the north, 4-story building to the east, and 3 and 4-story buildings to the west. There is a 1-story retail shop to the south of the site. Due to the lower building height on the south side of the site, the proposed design will be exposed to the sun and wind on the design's southern facade.



SOLAR/WINDS LEGEND

Site Summer Sun and Winds Winter Sun and Winds

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SURROUNDING CIRCULATION/ENTRIES





VEHICULAR/PEDESTRIAN ENTRY POINTS

CE.

132

The primary means of circulation on Roosevelt Way NE is by vehicle. A public sidewalk exists on both sides of the street, but only a few of the buildings along Roosevelt are designed for a pedestrian expe-rience. By studying the entry points of the vehicular and pedestrian circulation, we discovered that the majority of the pedestrian entry-ways are located away from the major streets, and most vehicular entries are off of arterials or alley-ways. Below are three examples of pedestrian entries in our vicinity which helped to inform our design concepts.

SURROUNDING PEDESTRIAN ENTRANCES/PLAZAS



1- TRINITY 43RD APARTMENTS ENTRANCE 902 NE 43RD STREE

The Trinity 43rd Apartments have a small plaza dividing the two major masses of the apartment complex. The plaza itself has plantings and a canopy that continues to wrap around the western façade of the building.



2 - WATERTOWN HOTEL ENTRANCE 4242 ROOSEVELT WAY NE | HOTEL

The Watertown Hotel's street level plaza is composed The pedestrian entry into the UW Roosevelt commons is of a series of steps, platforms, and plantings that are elevated on a platform that separates the pedestrian from the car circulation on street level. The designers used combined to create a unique spatial experience for those entering the hotel lobby and along Roosevelt Way. Due the platform as not only a means of separation but also to the change in topography the topography is composed as an opportunity for plantings and green space for the of a series of stairs and platforms to make the change in topography more comfortable for pedestrians passing by. building's exterior.

PEDESTRIAN/VEHICULAR CIRCULATION ENTRIES LEGEND

Site Vehicular Routes/Entries Pedestrian Routes/Entries Parking Lots Residential Entry Plazas



3- UW ROOSEVELT COMMONS ENTRANCE 4300 ROOSEVELT WAY NE | CLASSROOM/ADMIN

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION STREETSCAPE



NE 43RD STREET

4218 SITE FROM ROOSEVELT WAY



ACROSS ROOSEVELT WAY

ROOSEVELT WAY NE View Roosevelt Way NE facing East

ROOSEVELT WAY NE View Roosevelt Way NE facing West

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION STREETSCAPE



4218 SITE FROM ALLEY



ACROSS ALLEY

PROJECT SITE View of site facing East, from Alley

PROJECT SITE View of site facing East, from Alley

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SHADOW ANALYSIS



10 AM - SUMMER SOLSTICE June 21st, 2015

12 PM - SUMMER SOLSTICE June 21st, 2015

2 PM - SUMMER SOLSTICE June 21st, 2015

4 PM - SUMMER SOLSTICE June 21st, 2015

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SHADOW ANALYSIS





10 AM | WINTER SOLSTICE December 21st, 2015



December 21st, 2015



December 21st, 2015

December 21st, 2015