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## CITY of SEATILE

Application for Streamlined Design Guidance

PART I: CONTACT INFORMATION

|  | 1. Property Address | 1416 N 46 th Street |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2. Project number | 3019204 |
|  | 3. Additional related project number(s): | None |
|  | 4. OwnerLLessee Name | Robert Hardy |
|  | 5. Contact Person Name | Lisa Healy |
|  | Firm | b9 architects |
|  | Mailing Address | 610 2nd Avenue |
|  | City State Zip | Seattle, WA 98104 |
|  | Phone | 206.297.1284 |
|  | Email address | lisa@b9architects.com |
|  | 6. Applicant's Name | Bradley Khouri |
|  | Relationship to Project | Architect |
|  | 7. Project Designer | Lisa Healy |
|  | Address | 610 2nd Avenue |
|  | Phone | 206.297.1284 |
|  | Email address | Lisa@b9architects.co |

[^0]ZONING USE MAP

## SITEOPPORTUNITIES \& CONSTRAINTS

The site is located in an area zoned Lowrise 2. Surrounding zoning includes Lowrise 2, Lowrise 3, Single Family 5000 and NC2.

## Address: 1416 N 46 th Street

Lot Size: 5,000 square feet
Zoning: LR2



There are adjancent single family homes to the north, east and west, at varying distances from the site's property lines. All homes are relatively similar in scale and traditional character. There are three trees near property lines as well as two at the street.


RESIDENTAL $\square$ SMALL $\square$ DMaLL

$\square{ }^{\text {LARGE }} 10+$ Units

## CONTEXT \& CURRENTUSE

The site's immediate street front on N 46 th Street consists predominantly of single family residences. The neighborhood is more diverse, with uses ranging from multifamily residential to mixed use and commercial. In the short distance from North 46th to North 45th street, scale and use change significalty: scale is larger, use more varied, traftic and public transit more concentrated.

North 45th street is both a major arterial and commercial street, consisting of restaurants, cafes, boutiques, grocery stores, schools, offices, etc. It is a thouroughfare that connects interstates 5 and 99 as well as facilitates general travel east-west in Seattle.

The neighborhoods north and south of North 45th street are largely residential, similar to that of the site. On North 46 th street, the single family homes are mostly traditional in character, craftsman or bungalow. However there are several recent residential developments in the neighborhood that are more contemporary.
Several parks are in close proximity to the site, Woodland and Green Lake park to the north, Meridien park to the north east, and Wallingford park to the south. These are easily accesible on foot or by bus.


Single family homes typical of the neighborhood -- the houses shown above are across the street
from the site on N 46 th


Corner of N 46 th and Woodlawn showing variety of housing, from single family in a traditional style to a multi-family development in a contemporary style

an at Interlaken showing single family homes as well as a post office at the far Corner of $N$ 46th at Interlaken showing single family homes as well as a post office at the far
corner -- there are more commerical properties of this scale (restaurants, stores, etc.) on $N 45$ th



1. View of N 46 th looking East, showing site and opposite side of the street. $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 2. View of } \mathrm{N} 46 \text { th looking North East, showing site and development further East on } \\ & \mathrm{N} 46 \mathrm{th} \text {. }\end{aligned}$


## SITEANALYSIS

The site dimensions are 100 feet north-south and 50 feet east-west. It fronts $N 46$ th Street with no alley access. The development site contains an existing single family house and detached garage. The uses immediately surrounding the site are predominantly single family structures and a few multifamily structures. Closer to N 45 th Street and Interlaken Way there are more commercial and mixed-use structures. Immediately north of the parcel is an existing single family home onstructed in 1906. To the east of the parcel is an existing single family home constructed in 1919. To the west of the parcel is an existing single family home constructed in 1908. Across N 46 th Stree to the west of the parcel are two existing single mily homes constructed in 1920 . One block south is $N 45$ th Street with ample restaurants and shops. Four blocks to the horth is Woodland Park with access to the Woodland Park Zoo and Green Lake.

The site is located with access to bus transit as well as city arterials and is fairly close to the Burke-Gilman bike/pedestrian path at the end of Stone Way N . Bus stops at N 45 th Street (a one block walk) provide access to route 16 with access to Northgate and Downtown and route 44 with access to Ballard and the University District.

The site's topography is flat with no significant grade changes.


SITE PLAN
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## PLOT PLAN NOTES
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3. exstrme tree to reman
4. Extstimg structurue to be removed

5. Provioe canvor over door


## DESIGN APPROACH

 The project solution responds directly to the challenges of the typical infill lot. Our approach has been defined, in part, by the lack of an alley. Our solution has been driven by eliminating the lack of an ary. Our sol celebrating the interaction of owners and visitors. Designed to address specific influences, the building proposal consists of one code compliant structure that frames a central courtyard; two units at the street and three units behind. Parking is buried beneath the structure and some of the communal central space. All homes access parking through a covered outdoor stair at grade located at the south edge of the courtyard, activating the shared space and creating opportunities for informal gathering.Building facades are carefully articulated through the use of varied materials, distinct window design, and modulated massing and roof/deck projections to fit the design within the existing vernacular of the neighborhood. In concert, these provide for a textured, holistic solution which allows the project to be scaled to its surroundings while responding to natural elements and existing landscape. The articulation is generated by a site-specific approach that improves its compatibility with its environment. Responding directly to adjacent sites, pitched roofs with overhangs and deck projections activate the building tacades facing N 46 h Street. Window trim and material and oetfaing focale Stair penthus at his sito


Benches and landscaping frame spaces to encourage lingering and socializing in the courtyard. Decks and roof decks offer the and sortunity to interact with the courtyard below while providing private outdoor space.

## SITE SECTION



## CONTEXT \& SITE

## CS2 URBAN PATTERN AND FORM

C. RELATIONSHIP TO BLOCK
D. HEIGHT, BULK, AND SCALE

GUIDANCE - Use massing to identify individual units. Locate windows and amenity space to minimize privacy impacts to adjacent residential structure.

## CS3 ARCHITECTURAL CONTEXT AND CHARACTER

A. EMPHASIZING POSITIVE NEIGHBORHOOD ATTRIBUTES

GUIDANCE - Neighborhood has a well-architectural context. Building massing, modulation, fenestration and materials must help lend new building into existing context.

RESPONSE -
In response to the above Context and Site Design Guidelines, the proposed design for this mid-block site looks to neighboring single family homes in two significant ways: first to relate, through scale, massing and texture, and second to separate and provide necessary space and privacy.

The design takes cues from neighboring buildings: their scale and massing; the positive-negative space relationships of porches and overhangs; and the scale and rhythm of fenestration. The design's massing and facades are modulated and unique at each townhouse. This differentiates individual units and lessens overall impact on the site. Rather than one large mass, the design is a composition of smaller masses that, coupled with details like overhangs and railings, will integrate into the existing streetscape

Additionally, since the new design sits closer to the street than the adjacent buildings, a combination of the walkway to courtyard, the south west entry pulling in, the addition of landscaped surfaces and the puling in of the east facade, wilf all help maintain continuity between street facades. With the courtyard open to the west, the west facade length is significantly under the equirement and allows ample light and air through the site and to the adjacent structure. The east facade length is code compliant with a generous setback provided for the south portion of the site.

Lastly, amenities and architectural details are strategically located to maximize privacy and minimize disruption. These clude locating the driveway on the east property line; the walkway and shared outdoor space on the west; and curating windows, privacy screening and landscaping in response to neighboring facades.

## WALKABILITY

A. ACCESSIBILITY

GUIDANCE - Consider how building meets street, how pedestrian will identify units from the street and access units.

## RESPONSE

## Pl3 StREET level interaction

## A. ENTRIES

C. RESIDENTIAL EDGES

GUIDANCE - Provide a front setback that is consistent with adjacent properties. Utilize the front setback to provide a semi-private buffer to the street.

RESPONSE-
The propsed design carefully considers how the building will meet and relate to N 46 th street as well as the pedestrian experience from the street. Modulation along the street facade surfaces pull back from the sidewalk with deck projections accenting the entry porches and providing weather protection for Townhouses 1 and 2. Both entries are accentuated by the void space, overhang and integration of landscape elements; the entries are clear, identifiable and visible from the street as well as intimate and sate, being set back from the street. Additionally the hrough the courtyard. Adjacent to the entry space created for Townhouses 1 and 2 , the walkway will be distinctive and inviting with visual connection to the street. This will be achieved through the materiality of the walkway path connecting to the side walk as well as landscape elements including trellises, green walls and plantings. The courtyard is an additional threshold one must pass hrough prior to entry into Townhouses 3,4 and 5 . This enhances the experience of entry and provides a privacy buffer from the street. Additionally, the entries on the courtyard are located strategically to afford some privacy from the couryard itself.

In summary, the design proposal has been updated to sit back from the sidewalk, providing a setback more in line with he existing context and the scale of the street facade is broken down with the accentuation of the entry void and having landscaping wrap around the south to the west facade, and through the pulling back of facade surfaces wherever possible. In pulling the building back and maximizing set backs, the design provides semi-private buffers from the street and neighbors throughout. Mass ing, voids, pathways and other arcinectural elements are also sizd to a smiar scali of surrounding buidings. This strategy wis allow for continuity along the street and enhance the relationship of the building to its neighbors, to the street and to pedestrians.

## DESIGN CONCEP

DC1 PROJECT USES AND ACTIVITIES
B. VEHICULAR ACCESS AND CIRCULATION
C. PARKING AND SERVICE USES

GUIDANCE - Minimize presence of parking and access. Use hardscape and landscape to break up large expanses of concrete RESPONSE-

The design proposal includes below grade, shared parking for all 5 townhouses, with the intention of minimizing vehicula presence and impact to site, street, pedestrians and neighbors. Access to parking is from a driveway at the east property line. The xisting curb cut will be maintained and the driveway will be located where a driveway currently exists (leading to an detached arage at grade that will be deconstructed). The design will reduce impact and result in vehicular presence that is similar, if not mproved, from existing. Additionally, visual impact from the street of the driveway to the east and parking underground will be minital. That said, the driveway will be unobstructed and clearly visible to pedestrians and motorists to minimize conflicts and maximize safety. The below grade parking area will also provide a less visible and convenient location for services such as trash receptacles

## DC2 ARCHITECTURAL CONCEPT

B. ARCHITECTURAL AND FACADE COMPOSITION
C. SECONDARY ARCHITECTURAL FEATURES
D. SCALE AND TEXTURE
E. FORM AND FUNCTIO

GUIDANCE - Neighborhood has a well-architectural context. Building massing, modulation, fenestration and materials must help blend new building into existing context.

## RESPONSE.

The proposed project looks to the established neighborhood context and constituent architectural elements to inform and enhance its design and use. Below is a list of specific responses to the five components of the Architectural Concept Design Guide enha
line:

- Massing: The varied building volumes and voids are scaled and in dialogue with those of the neighboring buildings. Porches, overhangs and fenestration patterns were all considered and integrated into the new design. For example in the overhang at the street acade, the sizing and location of windows, and the addition of smaller scale details like railings and columns. All provide points of imilarity and continuity to the existing context. The design also reduces perceived mass by pulling back building volume from the street and neighbors where possible.
-Architectural Facade and Composition: All facades have been carefully considered, their volume modulation, window size and patterning, and details such as railings, material variation and roof overhangs. Considerations included scaling facade elements in a way not to out size neighboring buildings and integrate well with the streetscape as well as providing privacy for the new building and neighboring buildings.

Secondary Architectural Features: Visual depth is added at all facades through modulation of building volume. This is accentuated by use of various materials and colors and window trim treatment. Dual purpose elements are also integrated and include railings, ellises, planters and other landscape elements.

Scale and Texture: The proposed design emphasizes human scale through the entry void at the street that connects to the walkway leading to the shared courtyard. The overhang, plantings and differentiated walk way material contribute to the accessible scale and varied texture of the building, creating an inviting and enhanced experience from the street and upon entering. Additionally, details like railings and material variation contribute to a a well scaled and textured design.

- Form and Function: The proposed design is legible and flexible. Entries, pathways and primary functions are clear, accessible and visually appealing through use of materials and landscaping. Flexibility is most celebrated at the shared courtyard, an outdoo space enhanced by trees and plantings that can serve numerous uses over time


## dC3 OPEN SPACE CONCEPT

A. BUILDING-OPEN SPACE RELATIONSHIP

GUIDANCE - Locate ground level open space to enhance overall site development.
RESPONSE
The proposed design includes a shared open space at street level that enhances the building and site. The space is accessed from the west side of the site and is the point of entry for the three townhouses on the north of the site. The courtyard space se landscaped and include new plantings and trees and also provide opportunities for socializing, sitting and various other activities and uses. All units have access to and views of the courtyard space

DC4 EXTERIOR ELEMENTS AND MATERIALS
A. BUILDING MATERIALS
B. SIGNAGE
C. LIGHTING
D. TREES, LANDSCAPE AND HARDSCAPE MATERIALS

GUIDANCE - Neighborhood has a well-architectural context. Building materials must be respectifu of existing context
RESPONSE-
Proposed exterior architectural elements and building materials were carefully selected to be durable, attractive, age well, provide scale and texture, and integrate well with the existing neighborhood context. Architectural elements include railings; materials include wood and paneling in various colors. The landscape design also integrates additional exterior elements and materials including plantings, trees, trellises, green walls, planters and pavers. All contribute to a distinct design that will create successful and enduring outdoor spaces. Exterior elements, architectural and landscape, are scaled to relate to those of the surrounding buildings and neighborhood.

Addtionally, lighting will be carefully integrated to increase site safety and highlight the architectural and landscape design while minimizing any light pollution or glare to neighborhing buildings.

Code Compliant Scheme


A code compliant scheme of one 5 -plex fills the site in the northsouth direction
This massing solution does little to accommodate the adjacen site conditions or respond to the scale and rhythm of the neighborhood

Code Compliant Site Specific Response


- The 5 -plex massing shifts to provide an area in the middle of the site for a shared courtyard
- This massing solution provides an open area at the site's center and begins to accommodate the adjacent site conditions and respond to the scale and rhythm of the neighborhood

Response to Neighborhood Context


- Providing generous setbacks at all property lines reduces the overall mass of the proposal and better responds to the scale of the neighborhood.
- Pulling back the massing from the sidewalk creates a fron setback consistent with the existing context
Pulling back the massing from the north property line provides a respectful transition to the single family zone to the north
Maintains a code compliant scheme

SDR Guidance Reponse Overlay


- Orange ouline denotes Pre-SDR Guidance Proposal
- Response to SDR Guidance proposes one code compliant structure instead of two structures with adjustment requests
- For specific SDR Guidance Response dimensions and description, refer to the Privacy Elevations and Floor Plans on pages 24-27 and the renders on pages 16-23
.......................................................


## Proposed Scheme



- Pedestrian and vehicular access are separated with the pedestrian path located at the west property line and the vehicula access at the east property line to a shared underground garage.
- Each unit's has individual entrance connect to the shared path and courtyard.
Modulation on the east facade opens up the courtyard to both sides to provide more air and light circulation.
- Deck projections, varied massing and canopies highlight individual homes and entries.

RENDERINGS


RESPONSE TO SDR GUIDANCE:
et pull back from the sidewalk to better align with adjacent structures

- Deck projections pull back from the sidewalk $2^{\prime}-4^{\prime \prime}$ and are code compliant the facade lengh along the west property line is
significantly under the significantly unde
The shared courtyard open to the west providing a to the west providing a generous setback to the which allows ample light and which allows ample light and
air (2)


1. Street View from SW


RESPONSE TO SDR GUIDANCE:

- Massing at the street pull back from the sidewalk to better align with adjacent structures
- Deck projections pull back from the sidewalk $2^{2}-4^{\prime \prime}$ to be code compliant

A generous setback is provided to the east for the driveway access to the
below grade story which aligns with the adjacent driveway to the east
The east facade length is code compliant and provides massing and
material modulation, roof overhangs and window trim details to be respectiul to adjecent sites



RESPONSE TO SDR GUIDANCE:

- Massing at the northwest corner of the site pulls back from the property line to provide a generous setback to the adjacent site to the north Deck projections are removed from the north facade adjacent sites
Roof decks pull back from the Roof decks pul back from the north property line to provide further privacy to the adja
sites
- Massing, material, roof overhangs and window trim
reduce the overall height, bulk reduce the overall height
and scale of the project
- A wood fence provides buffer to adjacent sites


1. NW View


RESPONSE TO SDR GUIDANCE:

- Massing at the northwest corner of the site pulls back from the property line to provide a generous setback to the adjacent site to the north
Deck projections are removed from the north facade to provide privacy to
the adjacent sites
Roof decks pull back from the north property line to provide further privacy to the adjacent sites
Masing
height, bulk and scale of the project
A wood fence provides a buffer to adiacent sites



Initial SDR Design Proposal


RESPONSE TO SDR GUIDANCE: structure is arranged around a central courtyard with access

- Deck projections in the
courtyard create a dynamic exterior space at every level
- High quality materials and details are focused at the occupiable spaces

- Massing at the street pull back from the sidewalk to better align with adjacent structures
- Deck projections pull back
from the sidewalk $2^{\prime}-4$ " to be
code compliant
materials, roof overnang porches, coloumns and window trim reflect the Wallingford neighborhood vernacular
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1. SW Aerial View

## PRIVACY ELEVATIONS






3 SECOND FLOOR PLAN
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(1) 153015 th Ave. E. Exterior side view from 15 th Ave. E

(2) 3515-19 Wallingford Ave. N. view from street

(3) 90 E Newton. view from street

(5) 1411 E. Fir St. exterior view from street

(6) $\begin{aligned} & 1411 \text { E. Fir St. interior } \\ & \text { boardwalk view }\end{aligned}$

(7) 1911 E Pine St. view at interior of canyon

(8) 1911 E. Pine St. view from street


[^0]:    Project site, existing structures to be deconstructed

